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#### Abstract

The chromatic sum $\Sigma(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the smallest sum of colors among all proper colorings with natural numbers. The strength $s(G)$ of $G$ is the minimum number of colors needed to achieve the chromatic sum. We construct for each positive integer $k$ a tree $T_{k}$ with strength $k$ that has maximum degree only $2 k-2$. The result is best possible.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

A proper coloring of the vertices of a graph $G$ is a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that adjacent vertices receive different labels (colors). The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is the minimum number of colors in a proper coloring of $G$. The chromatic sum $\Sigma(G)$ is a variation introduced by Ewa Kubicka in her dissertation. It is the minimum of $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ over proper colorings $f$ of $G$. A minimal coloring of $G$ is a proper coloring of $G$ such that $\sum_{v} f(v)=\Sigma(G)$.

One might think that a minimal coloring can be obtained by selecting a proper coloring with the minimum number of colors and then giving the largest color class color 1 , the next largest color 2 , and so on. However, even among trees, which have chromatic number 2 , more colors may be needed to obtain a minimal coloring. The strength $s(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of colors needed to obtain a minimal coloring. Kubicka and Schwenk [4] constructed for every positive integer $k \geq 2$ a tree $T_{k}$ with strength $k$. Thus $s(G)$ may be arbitrarily large even when $\chi(G)=2$ (trivially $s(G) \geq \chi(G)$ ).

How large can $s(G)$ be in terms of other parameters? When vertices are colored greedily in natural numbers with respect to a vertex ordering $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$, the number of colors used is at most $1+\max _{i} d^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)$, where $d^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)$ counts the neighbors of $v_{i}$ in $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right\}$. Always this yields $\chi(G) \leq 1+\Delta(G)$. The best upper bound on $\chi(G)$ that can be obtained in this way is the Szekeres-Wilf number $w(G)=1+\max _{H \subseteq G} \delta(H)$ (also confusingly called the "coloring number"). Interestingly, the average of these two well-known upper bounds for the chromatic number is an upper bound for the strength $s(G)$.

THEOREM (Hajiabolhassan, Mehrabadi, and Tusserkani [2]) Every graph $G$ has strength at most $\lceil(w(G)+\Delta(G)) / 2\rceil$.

We show that this bound is sharp, even for trees. Every nontrivial tree $T$ has SzekeresWilf number 2, and thus $s(T) \leq 1+\lceil\Delta(T) / 2\rceil$. In the Kubicka-Schwenk construction [4],
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the tree with strength $k$ has maximum degree about $k^{2} / 2$. To show that the bound above is sharp, we construct for each $k \geq 1$ a tree $T_{k}$ with strength $k$ and maximum degree $2 k-2$. Given a proper coloring $f$ of a tree $T$, we use $\Sigma f$ to denote $\sum_{v \in V(T)} f(v)$.

## 2. THE CONSTRUCTION

Linearly order the pairs of natural numbers so that $(h, l)<(i, j)$ if either $h+l<i+j$ or $h+l=i+j$ and $l<j$. With respect to this ordering, we inductively construct for each pair $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ a rooted tree $T_{i}^{j}$ and a coloring $f_{i}^{j}$ of $T_{i}^{j}$. In other words, we construct trees in the order $T_{1}^{1}, T_{2}^{1}, T_{1}^{2}, T_{3}^{1}, \ldots$. Our desired tree with strength $k$ will be $T_{k}^{1}$. Let $[n]=\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$.

Construction. Let $T_{1}^{1}$ be a tree of order 1 , and let $f_{1}^{1}$ assign color 1 to this single vertex. Consider $(i, j) \neq(1,1)$, and suppose that for each $(h, l)<(i, j)$ we have constructed $T_{h}^{l}$ and $f_{h}^{l}$. We construct $T_{i}^{j}$ and $f_{i}^{j}$ as follows. Let $u$ be the root of $T_{i}^{j}$. For each $k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq i+j-1$ and $k \neq i$, we take two copies of $T_{k}^{m}$, where $m=\lceil(i+j-k) / 2\rceil$, and we let the roots of these $2(i+j-2)$ trees be children of $u$. The resulting tree is $T_{i}^{j}$ (see Fig. 1). Define the coloring $f_{i}^{j}$ of $T_{i}^{j}$ by assigning $i$ to the root $u$ and using $f_{k}^{m}$ on each copy of $T_{k}^{m}$ rooted at a child of $u$.


Figure 1. The construction of $T_{i}^{j}$

LEMMA For $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, the construction of $T_{i}^{j}$ is well-defined, and $f_{i}^{j}$ is a proper coloring of $T_{i}^{j}$ with color $i$ at the root.
Proof: To show that $T_{i}^{j}$ is well-defined, it suffices to show that when $(i, j) \neq(1,1)$, every tree used in the construction of $T_{i}^{j}$ has been constructed previously. We use trees of the
form $T_{k}^{m}$, where $k \in[i+j-1]-\{i\}$ and $m=\lceil(i+j-k) / 2\rceil$. It suffices to show that $k+m \leq i+j$ and that $m<j$ when $k+m=i+j$.

For the first statement, we have $k+m \leq\lceil(i+j+k) / 2\rceil \leq i+j$, since $k \leq i+j-1$. Equality requires $k=i+j-1$, which occurs only when $j \geq 2$ and yields $m=1$. Thus $m<j$ when $k+m=i+j$. Since the trees whose indices sum to $i+j$ are generated in the order $T_{i+j-1}^{1}, \ldots, T_{1}^{i+j-1}$, the tree $T_{k}^{m}$ exists when we need it.

Finally, $f_{i}^{j}$ uses color $i$ at the root of $T_{i}^{j}$, by construction. Since the subtrees used as descendants of the root have the form $T_{k}^{m}$ with $k \neq i$, by induction the coloring $f_{i}^{j}$ is proper.

## 3. THE PROOF

The two-parameter construction enables us to prove a technically stronger statement. The additional properties of the construction facilitate the inductive proof. Recall that all colorings considered are labelings with positive integers.

THEOREM The construction of $T_{i}^{j}$ and $f_{i}^{j}$ has the following properties:
(1) If $f^{\prime}$ is a coloring of $T_{i}^{j}$ different from $f_{i}^{j}$, then $\Sigma f^{\prime}>\Sigma f_{i}^{j}$. Furthermore, if $f^{\prime}$ assigns a color different from $i$ to the root of $T_{i}^{j}$, then $\Sigma f^{\prime}-\Sigma f_{i}^{j} \geq j$;
(2) If $j=1$, then $\Delta\left(T_{i}^{j}\right)=2 i-2$, achieved by the root of $T_{i}^{j}$. If $j \geq 2$, then $\Delta\left(T_{i}^{j}\right)=$ $2(i+j)-3$;
(3) The highest color used in $f_{i}^{j}$ is $i+j-1$.

Proof: We use induction through the order in which the trees are constructed. As the basis step, $T_{1}^{1}$ is just a single vertex, and $f_{1}^{1}$ gives it color 1 ; conditions (1)-(3) are all satisfied.

Now consider $(i, j) \neq(1,1)$. For simplicity, we write $T$ for $T_{i}^{j}$ and $f$ for $f_{i}^{j}$. To verify (1), let $f^{\prime}$ be a coloring of $T$ different from $f$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $f^{\prime}$ assigns $i$ to the root $u$ of $T$.
In this case, $f^{\prime}$ and $f$ differ on $T-u$. Recall that $T-u$ is the union of $2(i+j-2)$ previously-constructed trees. The colorings $f^{\prime}$ and $f$ differ on at least one of these trees. By the induction hypothesis, the total under $f^{\prime}$ is at least the total under $f$ on each of these subtrees, and it is larger on at least one. Hence $\Sigma f^{\prime}>\Sigma f$.

Case 2. $f^{\prime}$ assigns a color different from $i$ to the root $u$.
In this case, we need to show that $\Sigma f^{\prime}-\Sigma f \geq j$. Again the induction hypothesis gives $f^{\prime}$ as large a total as $f$ on each component of $T-u$. If $f^{\prime}(u) \geq i+j$, then the difference on $u$ is large enough to yield $\Sigma f^{\prime}-\Sigma f \geq j$.

Hence we may assume that $f^{\prime}(u)=k$, where $1 \leq k \leq i+j-1$ and $k \neq i$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is a proper coloring, it assigns a label other than $k$ to the roots $v, v^{\prime}$ of the two copies of $T_{k}^{m}$ in $T-u$, where $m=\lceil(i+j-k) / 2\rceil$. Since $f$ uses $f_{k}^{m}$ on each copy of $T_{k}^{m}$, we have $f(v)=f\left(v^{\prime}\right)=k$. Since $f^{\prime}(v)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ differ from $k$, the induction hypothesis implies that on each copy of $T_{k}^{m}$ the total of $f^{\prime}$ exceeds the total of $f$ by at least $m$. Since the total is at least as large on all other components, we have

$$
\Sigma f^{\prime}-\Sigma f \geq k-i+2 m=k-i+2\left\lceil\frac{i+j-k}{2}\right\rceil \geq j
$$

Next we verify (2). In the construction of $T=T_{i}^{j}$, we place $2(i+j-2)$ subtrees under the root $u$. These have the form $T_{k}^{m}$ for $1 \leq k \leq i-1$ and $i+1 \leq k \leq i+j-1$, and always $m=\lceil(i+j-k) / 2\rceil$. Note that $m=1$ only when $k=i+j-1$ or $k=i+j-2$. The subtrees have maximum degree $2 k-2$ (when $m=1$ ) or $2(k+m)-3$ (when $m>1$ ). Note that $2(k+m)-3>2 k-2$ when $m \geq 1$. Thus

$$
\Delta\left(T_{k}^{m}\right) \leq 2(k+m)-3=2\left(k+\left\lceil\frac{i+j-k}{2}\right\rceil\right)-3=2\left\lceil\frac{i+j+k}{2}\right\rceil-3 .
$$

Also, we always have $k+m=\lceil(i+j+k) / 2\rceil$ for the subtree $T_{k}^{m}$.
When $j=1$ we only have $k \leq i-1$, and thus $\Delta\left(T_{k}^{m}\right) \leq 2\lceil(i+1+k) / 2\rceil-3 \leq 2 i-3$. Hence each vertex in $T-u$ has degree at most $(2 i-3)+1=2 i-2$ in $T$. Since $d_{T}(u)=$ $2 i-2$, we have $\Delta(T)=2 i-2$, achieved by the root.

When $j \geq 2$, the values of $k$ for the subtrees are $1 \leq k \leq i-1$ and $i+1 \leq k \leq i+j-1$. By the induction hypothesis, the maximum degree of $T_{i+j-1}^{1}$ is $2(i+j-1)-2=2(i+j)-4$ and is achieved by its root. In $T$ this vertex has degree $2(i+j)-3$, which exceeds $d_{T}(u)$. For $k \leq i+j-2$, we have $\Delta\left(T_{k}^{m}\right) \leq 2\lceil(i+j+k) / 2\rceil-3 \leq 2(i+j)-5$. Hence $\Delta(T)=$ $2(i+j)-3$, achieved by the roots of the trees that are isomorphic to $T_{i+j-1}^{1}$.

It remains to verify (3): the maximum color used in $f_{i}^{j}$ is $i+j-1$. By the induction hypothesis and the construction, the maximum color used by $f_{k}^{m}$ on each $T_{k}^{m}$ within $f_{i}^{j}$ is $k+m-1=\lceil(i+j+k) / 2\rceil-1$. Since the largest $k$ is $i+j-1$ when $j \geq 2$ and is $i-1$ when $j=1$, this computation yields $i+j-1$ when $j \geq 2$ and $i-1$ when $j=1$ as the maximum color on $T-u$. Since $f$ assigns $i$ to the root $u$, we obtain $i+j-1$ as the maximum color on $T$ for both $j \geq 2$ and $j=1$.

We have proved that $f_{i}^{j}$ is the unique minimal coloring of $T_{i}^{j}$ and that it uses $i+j-1$ colors. Hence $s\left(T_{i}^{j}\right)=i+j-1$. The maximum degree is $2 i-2$ or $2(i+j)-3$, depending on whether $j=1$ or $j \geq 2$. In particular, $T_{i}^{1}$ is a tree with strength $i$ and maximum degree $2 i-2$.

COROLLARY 1. There exists for each positive integer $i$ a tree $T_{i}$ with $s\left(T_{i}\right)=i$ and $\Delta\left(T_{i}\right)=2 i-2$.

COROLLARY 2. For every real number $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$, there is a sequence of trees $T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s\left(T_{n}^{\prime}\right) / \Delta\left(T_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$.
Proof: Let $t=\left\lfloor\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-2\right) i\right\rfloor+2$. Consider the construction of $T_{i}^{1}$. Form $T_{i}^{\prime}$ by adding $t$ additional copies of the subtree $T_{i-1}^{1}$ under the root $u$ of $T_{i}^{1}$. The strength of $T_{i}^{\prime}$ is $i$, but $\Delta\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right)=2 i-2+t$. As $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\frac{s\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right)}{\Delta\left(T_{i}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{i}{2 i+t-2}=\frac{i}{2 i+\left\lfloor\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-2\right) i\right\rfloor} \rightarrow \alpha
$$
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