Acyclic Edge Coloring of Graphs with maximum degree 4

Manu Basavaraju^{*} L. Sunil Chandran[†]

Abstract

An *acyclic* edge coloring of a graph is a proper edge coloring such that there are no bichromatic cycles. The *acyclic* chromatic index of a graph is the minimum number k such that there is an acyclic edge coloring using k colors and is denoted by a'(G). It was conjectured by Alon, Sudakov and Zaks that for any simple and finite graph G, $a'(G) \le \Delta + 2$, where $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of G. We prove the conjecture for connected graphs with $\Delta(G) \le 4$, with the additional restriction that $m \le 2n - 1$, where n is the number of vertices and m is the number of edges in G. Note that for any graph G, $m \le 2n$, when $\Delta(G) \le 4$. It follows that for any graph G if $\Delta(G) \le 4$, then $a'(G) \le 7$.

Keywords: Acyclic edge coloring, acyclic edge chromatic index

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. A proper *edge coloring* of G = (V, E) is a map $c : E \to S$ (where S is the set of available *colors*) with $c(e) \neq c(f)$ for any adjacent edges e, f. The minimum number of colors needed to properly color the edges of G, is called the chromatic index of G and is denoted by $\chi'(G)$. A proper edge coloring c is called acyclic if there are no bichromatic cycles in the graph. In other words an edge coloring is acyclic if the union of any two color classes induces a set of paths (i.e., linear forest) in G. The *acyclic edge chromatic number* (also called *acyclic chromatic index*), denoted by a'(G), is the minimum number of colors required to acyclically edge color G. The concept of *acyclic coloring* of a graph was introduced by Grünbaum [11]. The *acyclic chromatic number* of a graph, both of which have many practical applications, for example, in wavelength routing in optical networks ([4], [12]). Let $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ denote the maximum degree of a vertex in graph G. By Vizing's theorem, we have $\Delta \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 1$ (see [8] for proof). Since any acyclic edge coloring is also proper, we have $a'(G) \geq \chi'(G) \geq \Delta$.

It has been conjectured by Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] that $a'(G) \leq \Delta + 2$ for any G. Using probabilistic arguments Alon, McDiarmid and Reed [1] proved that $a'(G) \leq 60\Delta$. The best known result up to now for arbitrary graph, is by Molloy and Reed [13] who showed that $a'(G) \leq 16\Delta$. Muthu, Narayanan and Subramanian [14] proved that $a'(G) \leq 4.52\Delta$ for graphs G of girth at least 220 (*Girth* is the length of a shortest cycle in a graph).

Though the best known upper bound for general case is far from the conjectured $\Delta + 2$, the conjecture has been shown to be true for some special classes of graphs. Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] proved that there exists a constant k such that $a'(G) \leq \Delta + 2$ for any graph G whose girth is at least $k\Delta \log \Delta$. They also proved that $a'(G) \leq \Delta + 2$ for almost all Δ -regular graphs. This result was improved by Nešetřil and Wormald [17] who showed that for a random Δ -regular graph $a'(G) \leq \Delta + 1$. Muthu, Narayanan and Subramanian proved the conjecture for grid-like graphs [15] and outer planar graphs [16]. In fact they gave a better bound of $\Delta + 1$ for those classes of graphs. From Burnstein's [7] result it follows that the conjecture is true for subcubic graphs. Skulrattankulchai [18] gave a polynomial time algorithm to color a subcubic graph using $\Delta + 2 = 5$ colors. Recently Basavaraju and Chandran [5] proved that connected non-regular subcubic graph can be acyclically edge colored using 4 colors.

Determining a'(G) is a hard problem both from a theoretical and from an algorithmic point of view. Even for the simple and highly structured class of complete graphs, the value of a'(G) is still not determined exactly. It has also been shown by

^{*}Computer Science and Automation department, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore- 560012, India. manu@csa.iisc.ernet.in

[†]Corresponding Author: Computer Science and Automation department, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore- 560012, India. sunil@csa.iisc.ernet.in

Alon and Zaks [3] that determining whether $a'(G) \le 3$ is NP-complete for an arbitrary graph G. The vertex version of this problem has also been extensively studied (see [11], [7], [6]). A generalization of the acyclic edge chromatic number has been studied. The *r*-acyclic edge chromatic number $a'_r(G)$ is the minimum number of colors required to color the edges of the graph G such that every cycle C of G has at least min{ $|C|,r}$ colors (see [9], [10]).

Our Result: In this paper, we prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 1. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices, $m \le 2n - 1$ edges and maximum degree $\Delta \le 4$, then $a'(G) \le 6$. (Note that if $\Delta(G) \le 4$, then $m \le 2n$ always).

Note that if $\Delta(G) < 4$, it follows from Burnstein's [7] result that $a'(G) \le 5$ and is tight.

Corollary 1. Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \leq 4$. Then $a'(G) \leq 7$.

Proof. If $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then $m \leq 2n$ for each connected component. If $m \leq 2n-1$, by Theorem 1 $a'(G) \leq 6$ for each connected component. Otherwise if m = 2n, we can remove an edge from each connected component and color the resulting graph with at most 6 colors. Now the removed edges of each component could be colored using a new color. Thus $a'(G) \leq 7$.

Remark: There exists graphs with $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ that require at least 5 colors to be acyclically edge colored. For example, any graph with $\Delta(G) = 4$ and m = 2n - 1 require 5 colors. But we do not know whether there exist any graph with $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ that needs 7 colors or even 6 colors to be acyclically edge colored. Thus we feel that the bound of *Corollary* 1 and *Theorem* 1 can be improved. Our proof is constructive and yields an efficient polynomial time algorithm.

2 **Preliminaries**

Let G = (V, E) be a simple, finite and connected graph with maximum degree 4. Let $x \in V$. Then $N_G(x)$ will denote the neighbours of x in G. For an edge $e \in E$, G - e will denote the graph obtained by deletion of the edge e. For $x, y \in V$, when e = (x, y) = xy, we may use $G - \{xy\}$ instead of G - e. Let $c : E \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ be an *acyclic edge coloring* of G. For an edge $e \in E$, c(e) will denote the color given to e with respect to the coloring c. For $x, y \in V$, when e = (x, y) = xy we may use c(x, y) instead of c(e).

To prove the main result, we plan to use induction on the number of edges. Let G = (V, E) be a graph on m edges where $m \ge 1$. We will remove an edge from G and get a graph G' = (V, E') on smaller number of edges. By induction hypothesis G' will have an acyclic edge coloring $c : E' \to \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$. Throughout the paper we will consistently assume that the edge we remove from G to get G' is xy = (x, y). Then $E' = E - \{xy\}$. Our intention will be to extend the acyclic edge coloring c of G' to G by assigning an appropriate color for the edge xy.

An (α,β) -maximal bichromatic path with respect to an acyclic edge coloring c of G' is a path consisting of edges that are colored using the colors α and β alternatingly. An (α,β,a,b) -maximal bichromatic path is an (α,β) -maximal bichromatic path which starts at the vertex a with an edge colored α and ends at b. We emphasize that the edge of the (α,β,a,b) -maximal bichromatic path incident on vertex a is colored α and the edge incident on vertex b can be colored either α or β . Thus the notations (α,β,a,b) and (α,β,b,a) have different meanings. The following fact is obvious from the definition of acyclic edge coloring:

Fact 1. Given a pair of colors α and β of an acyclic edge coloring *c*, there can be at most one maximal (α, β) -bichromatic path containing a particular vertex *v*, with respect to *c*.

We denote the set of colors in the acyclic edge coloring c by $C = \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$. For any vertex $u \in V(G')$, we define $F_u = \{c(u, z) | z \in N_{G'}(u)\}$. For an edge $ab \in E'$, we define $S_{ab} = F_b - \{c(a, b)\}$. Note that S_{ab} need not be the same as S_{ba} .

A color α is a *candidate* for an edge *e* in *G* with respect to a coloring of G - e if none of the adjacent edges of *e* are colored α . A candidate color α is *valid* for an edge *e* if assigning the color α to *e* does not result in any bichromatic cycle in *G*.

Let $F = F_x \cup F_y$. Recall that the vertices x and y are non-adjacent in G'. Note that any color $\beta \in C - F$ is a candidate color for the edge xy in G with respect to the coloring c of G'. But β may not be valid. What may be the reason? It is

clear that color β is not *valid* if and only if there exists $\alpha \neq \beta$ such that a (α,β) -bichromatic cycle gets formed if we assign color β to the edge xy. In other words, if and only if, in G' there existed a (α,β,x,y) maximal bichromatic path with α being the color given to the first and last edge of this path. Such paths play an important role in our proof. We call them *critical paths*. It is formally defined below:

Critical Path: For an edge $ab \in E$ an (α, β, a, b) maximal bichromatic path which starts out from a vertex a via an edge colored α and ends at vertex b via an edge colored α is called an (α, β, ab) critical path.

Lemma 1. A candidate color for an edge e = uv, is valid if $(F_u \cap F_v) - \{c(u, v)\} = (S_{uv} \cap S_{vu}) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Any cycle containing the edge uv will also contain an edge incident on u (other than uv) as well as an edge incident on v (other than uv). Clearly these two edges are colored differently since $(S_{uv} \cap S_{vu}) = \emptyset$. Thus the cycle will have at least 3 colors and therefore any of the candidate color for the edge uv is valid.

An obvious strategy to extend the coloring c of G' to G would be to try to assign one of the candidate colors in C - F to the edge xy. The condition that a candidate color is not valid for the edge xy is captured in the following fact.

Fact 2. The color $\beta \in C - F$ is not a valid color for the edge xy if and only if $\exists \alpha \in F_x \cap F_y$ such that there is a (α, β, xy) critical path in G'.

If none of the colors in C - F is valid for the edge xy, then we can group the colors in C - F into two categories namely weak and strong.

Weak Color: A color $\beta \in C - F$ is called *weak* if it forms only one critical path with x and y as end points. Equivalently, there exists only one $\alpha \in F_x \cap F_y$ such that there is a (α, β, xy) critical path. A weak color β is said to be *actively present* in a set S_{xa} , if $\exists k \in N_{G'}(a), k \neq x$ such that $c(a, k) = \beta$ and (α, β, xy) critical path contains the edge (a, k). If a weak color $\beta \in S_{xa}$ is not actively present in S_{xa} then it is said to be *passively present* in S_{xa} . Strong Color:If the color $\beta \in C - F$ is not *weak*, it is called *strong*.

If there are weak colors, it makes sense to try to break the critical path containing one of the weak colors, thus enabling us to use that weak color for the edge xy. For this purpose we introduce the concept of *Recoloring*.

Recolor: We define $c' = Recolor(c, e, \gamma)$ as the recoloring of the edge e with a candidate color γ to get a modified coloring c' from c, i.e., $c'(e) = \gamma$ and c'(f) = c(f), for all other edges f in G'. The recoloring is said to be proper, if the coloring c' is proper. The recoloring is said to be acyclic (valid), if in coloring c' there exists no bichromatic cycle.

Recall that our strategy is to extend the coloring of G' to G by assigning a valid color for the edge xy. When all the candidate colors of xy turn out to be *invalid*, we try to *slightly modify* the coloring c of G' in such a way that with respect to the modified coloring, we have a valid color for edge xy. Recoloring of an edge in the critical path which contained a weak color is one such strategy. Sometimes we resort to a slightly more sophisticated strategy to modify the coloring namely color exchange defined below.

Color Exchange: Let $u, i, j \in V(G')$ and $ui, uj \in E(G')$. We define c' = ColorExchange(c, ui, uj) as the the modification of the current coloring c by exchanging the colors of the edges ui and uj, i.e., c'(u, i) = c(u, i), c'(

In our proof we use the strategy of color exchange many times and in different contexts. All these contexts are more or less similar but differ in minor details. We would like to capture all these different contexts in a general framework. The configuration defined below is an attempt to formalize this:

Configuration A Let u be a vertex and $i, j \in N_{G'}(u)$. Let $N'_{G'}(u) \cup N''_{G'}(u)$ be a partition of $N_{G'}(u) - \{i, j\}$, i.e., $N'_{G'}(u) \cup N''_{G'}(u) = N_{G'}(u) - \{i, j\}$ and $N'_{G'}(u) \cap N''_{G'}(u) = \emptyset$. The 5-tuple $(u, i, j, N'_{G'}(u), N''_{G'}(u))$ is in *configuration* A if $(u, i), (u, j) \in E'$ and

1. $c(u,i) \notin S_{uj}$ and $c(u,j) \notin S_{ui}$

2. $\forall z \in N'_{G'}(u), c(u, z) \notin S_{ui}$ and $c(u, z) \notin S_{uj}$

Suppose $(u, i, j, N'_{G'}(u), N''_{G'}(u))$ is in *configuration* A with respect to the coloring c. Let c' be the coloring obtained after the color exchange with respect to the edges ui and uj. Then note that condition 1 guarantees that the color c(u, i) is a candidate for edge uj and the color c(u, j) is a candidate for edge ui and thus the coloring obtained after the color exchange is proper. Condition 2 inhibits the possibility of any (c(u, i), c(u, z)) or (c(u, j), c(u, z)) bichromatic cycles being formed for any $z \in N'_{G'}(u)$. Its obvious that there can not be any (c(u, j), c(u, i)) bichromatic cycles after exchange. Thus the following fact is easy to verify:

Fact 3. Let the 5-tuple $(u, i, j, N'_{G'}(u), N''_{G'}(u))$ be in configuration A. Then c' = Color Exchange(c, ui, uj) is not valid if and only if $\exists h \in N''_{G'}(u)$ such that after the color exchange (i.e., in c') there exists an (α, β) bichromatic cycle that passes through h for $\alpha \in \{c'(u, i), c'(u, j)\}$ and $\beta = c'(u, h)$.

In view of Fact 3, the following Fact is obvious:

Fact 4. Let the 5-tuple $(u, i, j, N'_{G'}(u), N''_{G'}(u))$ be in configuration A. Then if $N''_{G'}(u) = \emptyset$, the color exchange c' = Color Exchange(c, ui, uj) is valid.

Lemma 2. Let $u, i, j, a, b \in V(G)$, $ui, uj \in E'$ and $ab \in E$. Also let $\{\alpha, \beta\} \cap \{c(u, i), c(u, j)\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{i, j\} \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset$. Suppose there exists an (α, β, ab) -critical path that passes through vertex u, with respect to the coloring c of G'. Let c' = ColorExchange(c, ui, uj) be proper. Then with respect to the coloring c', there will not be any (α, β, ab) -critical path in G'.

Proof. Note that since we are assuming that the color exchange is proper, $c(u, j) \notin S_{ui}$. Thus $\{\alpha, \beta\} \neq \{c(u, i), c(u, j)\}$ because any (c(u, i), c(u, j), ab) critical path through vertex u will have to involve the edges ui and uj. Since $i \notin \{a, b\}$, color $c(u, j) \in S_{ui}$, a contradiction. Let P be the (α, β, ab) -critical path. Without loss of generality assume that $\gamma = c(u, i) \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Since vertex u is contained in path P, we claim that the edge ui belongs to the path P. This is because $\gamma = c(u, i) \in F_u$ and hence path P has to involve edge ui by the maximality of path P. Let us assume without loss of generality that path P starts at vertex a and reaches vertex i before it reaches vertex u. With respect to the coloring c', there will not be any edge adjacent to vertex i that is colored γ . So the (α, β, ab) critical path does not exist.

3 proof of Theorem **1**

Proof. We prove the Theorem by induction on the number of edges. Let $H = (V_H, E_H)$ be a connected graph of n vertices and $m \leq 2n - 1$ edges and $\Delta(H) \leq 4$. Let the Theorem be true for all connected graphs W such that $\Delta(W) \leq 4$ and $|E(W)| \leq 2|V(W)| - 1$, with at most m - 1 edges. Without loss of generality we can assume that H is 2-connected, since if there are cut vertices in H, the acyclic edge coloring of the blocks $B_1, B_2 \dots B_k$ of H can easily be extended to H (Note that each block satisfies the property that $\Delta(B_i) \leq 4$ and $|E(B_i)| \leq 2|V(B_i)| - 1$). Thus $\delta(H) \geq 2$. Now since H has at most 2n - 1 edges, there is a vertex x of degree at most 3.

Let $y \in N_H(x)$. The degree of y is at most 4. Let $H' = H - \{xy\}$, i.e., $H' = (V_{H'}, E_{H'})$, where $V_{H'} = V_H$ and $E_{H'} = E_H - \{xy\}$. Thus in H', $degree(x) \le 2$ and $degree(y) \le 3$. Note that since H is 2-connected, H' is connected

To avoid certain technicalities in the presentation of the proof, we construct the graph G' from H' as below. If $degree_{H'}(x) = 2$, $degree_{H'}(y) = 3$ and $\forall z \in N_{H'}(x) \cup N_{H'}(y)$, $degree_{H'}(z) = 4$, then let G' = H' and G = H. Otherwise, we construct the graph G' = (V', E') from H' in the following manner. First add pendant vertices as neighbours to the vertices x and y such that $degree_{G'}(x) = 2$ and $degree_{G'}(y) = 3$. Next add pendant vertices as neighbours to the newly added vertices and $\forall z \in N_{H'}(x) \cup N_{H'}(y)$ such that $\forall z \in N_{G'}(x) \cup N_{G'}(y)$, $degree_{G'}(z) = 4$. Note that since H' was connected, G' is also connected. Let $G = G' \cup \{xy\}$, i.e., G = (V, E), where V = V' and $E = E' + \{xy\}$.

By induction hypothesis, graph H' is acyclically edge colorable using 6 colors. Note that we can easily extend the coloring of H' to G' by coloring each of the newly added edges with the available colors satisfying the acyclic edge coloring property. Let $c_0 : E' \to \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ be a acyclic edge coloring of G'. It is easy to see that if we extend the acyclic edge coloring of G' to G by assigning an appropriate color to the edge xy, then this coloring also corresponds to the acyclic edge coloring of H, since H is a subgraph of G.

Our intention will be to extend the acyclic edge coloring c_0 of G' to $G = G' + \{xy\}$ by assigning an appropriate color for the edge xy. We denote the set of colors of c_0 by $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Let $N_{G'}(x) = \{a, b\}$ and $N_{G'}(y) = \{a', b', d'\}$. Note that $N_{G'}(x) \cap N_{G'}(y)$ need not be empty. Also recall that $degree_{G'}(a) = degree_{G'}(b) = 4$. Let $N_{G'}(a) = \{x, k_1, k_2, k_3\}$ and $N_{G'}(b) = \{x, l_1, l_2, l_3\}$.

case 1: $F_x \cap F_y = \emptyset$

Since |F| = 5, |C - F| = 1. Clearly the *candidate* color in C - F is valid for the edge xy.

case 2: $|F_x \cap F_y| = 2$

Assumption 1. Without loss of generality let $F_x = \{1, 2\}$ and $F_y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Thus $F = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

By Assumption 1, $C - F = \{4, 5, 6\}$. If none of the candidate colors are *valid*, then by *Fact* 2, the following Claim is easy to see:

Claim 1. With respect to the coloring c_0 , $\forall \beta \in C - F$, $\exists \alpha \in F_x \cap F_y$ such that there is a (α, β, xy) critical path.

case 2.1: $(S_{xa} \cup S_{xb}) \cap F = \emptyset$

Since $F = \{1, 2, 3\}, S_{xa} = S_{xb} = \{4, 5, 6\}.$

Claim 2. With respect to the coloring c_0 , all the colors of C - F are weak.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there is a strong color in C - F. Without loss of generality let 4 be a strong color. Let $c_0(x, a) = c_0(y, a') = 1$ and $c_0(x, b) = c_0(y, b') = 2$. Now it is easy to check that the 5-tuple $(x, a, b, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ satisfies configuration A. Let

$$c'_0 = ColorExchange(c_0, xa, xb)$$

By Fact 4 the color exchange with respect to the edges xa and xb is valid. Thus the coloring c'_0 is acyclic.

Since color 4 was strong in coloring c_0 , there was a (1, 4, xy) critical path as well as a (2, 4, xy) critical path before *color exchange* (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_0). Thus by Lemma 2, (1, 4, xy) critical path and (2, 4, xy) critical path will not exist after the *color exchange* (i.e., with respect to the coloring c'_0). Thus by Fact 2, color 4 is valid for edge xy.

By Claim 2, all the colors of C - F are weak. Each weak color should be actively present in exactly one of S_{xa} or S_{xb} . Since there are 3 weak colors, we can infer that either S_{xa} or S_{xb} is such that at least 2 of the weak colors are actively present in it.

Assumption 2. Without loss of generality assume that colors 4 and 5 are actively present in S_{xa} . Let $c(a, k_1) = 4$ and $c(a, k_2) = 5$.

From Assumption 2, it follows that since c(x, a) = 1, there exist (1, 4, xy) and (1, 5, xy) critical paths. The following claim is obvious.

Claim 3. With respect to the coloring c_0 , $1 \in S_{ak_1}$ and $1 \in S_{ak_2}$.

It is easy to verify that the 5-tuple $(x, a, b, \emptyset, \emptyset)$ satisfies configuration A with respect to the coloring c_0 .

$$c_1 = ColorExchange(c_0, xa, xb)$$

By Fact 4 the color exchange with respect to the edges xa and xb is valid. Thus the coloring c_1 is acyclic.

But there were (1, 4, xy) and (1, 5, xy) critical paths before color exchange (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_0). By Lemma 2, both (1, 4, xy) and (1, 5, xy) critical paths does not exist after the color exchange (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_1).

Thus even with respect to the coloring c_1 , if both the colors 4 and 5 are not *valid* for the edge xy, by *Fact* 2, there has to be (2, 4, xy) and (2, 5, xy) critical paths. Thus $2 \in S_{ak_1}$ and $2 \in S_{ak_2}$. Thus we can *Claim* the following:

Claim 4. With respect to the coloring c_1 , $\{1,2\} \subset S_{ak_1}$ and $\{1,2\} \subset S_{ak_2}$. Moreover there will not be any (1,4,xy) and (1,5,xy) critical paths.

Now since the colors 4 and 5 are weak, we try to break the (2, 4, xy) and (2, 5, xy) critical paths by recoloring the edge xa.

$$c_2 = Recolor(c_1, xa, 3)$$

Note that color 3 is a candidate for the edge xa since $S_{xa} = \{4, 5, 6\}$ and c(x, b) = 1. And also since $S_{xa} \cap S_{ax} = \emptyset$, by Lemma 1 color 3 is valid for the edge xa.

Note that with respect to the coloring c_2 , $F_x \cap F_y = \{1,3\}$. In view of *Claim* 4, there will not be any (1,4,xy) and (1,5,xy) critical paths with respect to the coloring c_2 also. If both the colors 4 and 5 are not valid for the edge xy still, then by Fact 2, there has to be (3,4,xy) and (3,5,xy) critical paths implying $3 \in S_{ak_1}$ and $3 \in S_{ak_2}$. Thus combined with *Claim* 4, we infer the following:

Claim 5. With respect to the coloring c_2 , we have $S_{ak_1} = S_{ak_2} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Moreover there will not be any (1, 4, xy) and (1, 5, xy) critical paths.

Now the 5-tuple $(a, k_1, k_2, \{k_3\}, \{x\})$ satisfies configuration A.

 $c_3 = ColorExchange(c_2, ak_1, ak_2)$

By fact 3 if there is any bichromatic cycle (recalling that $c_3(a, x) = 3$), it has to be either a (5, 3) or (6, 3) bichromatic cycle that passes through vertex a and hence vertex x. But any cycle that passes through vertex x should contain edge xb also. Since $c_3(x, b) = 1$, this is a contradiction and we infer that c_3 is acyclic.

There was a (3, 4, xy) critical path as well as a (3, 5, xy) critical path before *color exchange* (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_2). Thus by Lemma 2, both these critical paths does not exist after the color exchange (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_3) (Note that $k_1, k_2 \notin \{x, y\}$ since $c(a, k_1) = 4$ and $c(a, k_2) = 5 \notin F_x$ or F_y . Therefore we can apply Lemma 2)

To summarize, $c_3(x, a) = 3$, $c_3(x, b) = 1$ and thus $F_x \cap F_y = \{1, 3\}$. With respect to the coloring c_3 , there exist no (3, 4, xy) and (3, 5, xy) critical paths. Recall that by Claim 5, there won't be any (1, 4, xy) and (1, 5, xy) critical paths with respect to the coloring c_2 . It is easy to see that even with respect to the coloring c_3 , there won't be any (1, 4, xy) and (1, 5, xy) critical paths. (1, 5, xy) critical paths.

Thus by Fact 2, color 4 and 5 are valid for edge xy.

case 2.2: $(S_{xa} \cup S_{xb}) \cap F \neq \emptyset$

Assumption 3. Without loss of generality let $S_{xa} \cap F \neq \emptyset$. It follows that one of $\{4, 5, 6\}$ is missing in S_{xa} since $|S_{xa}| = 3$. Without loss of generality let it be color 5. Also let $c_0(x, a) = c_0(y, a') = 1$ and $c_0(x, b) = c_0(y, b') = 2$ and $c_0(y, d') = 3$.

Claim 6. With respect to the coloring c_0 , there exists a (2, 5, xy) critical path. Thus $5 \in S_{xb}$.

Proof. Since color 5 is not valid for the edge xy, by Claim 1 there has to be a (1, 5, xy) critical path or a (2, 5, xy) critical path. But by Assumption 3, color $5 \notin S_{xa}$ and hence there can not be a (1, 5, xy) critical path. Thus there exists a (2, 5, xy) critical path.

Claim 7. With respect to the coloring c_0 , all the colors of C - F are weak.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there is at least one strong color in C - F. Without loss of generality let 4 be a strong color. Thus we have $4 \in S_{xb}$. Combined with *Claim* 6, we have:

$$\{4,5\} \subset S_{xb}.\tag{1}$$

Now let

$$c_0' = Recolor(c_0, xa, 5)$$

Note that color 5 is a candidate for the edge xa since $c_0(x, b) = 2$ and $5 \notin S_{xa}$ (by Assumption 3). Now we claim that assigning color 5 to the edge xa can not result in any bichromatic cycle. To see this first note that since any cycle containing the edge xa should also contain the edge xb, but $c_0(x, b) = 2$ and therefore if a bichromatic cycle gets formed it must be a (2, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there is a (2, 5, xa) critical path. But there is already a (2, 5, xy) critical path (by Claim 6) and by Fact 1 there can not be a (2, 5, xa) critical path, a contradiction. Thus coloring c'_0 is acyclic.

Note that with respect to the coloring c'_0 , color 6 remains to be a candidate color for the edge xy. Also note that $F_x \cap F_y = \{2\}$. If the candidate color 6 is not valid for the edge xy, then by Fact 2 there has to be a (2, 6, xy) critical path and thus $6 \in S_{xb}$. Thus combined with (1), we have:

$$S_{xb} = \{4, 5, 6\} \tag{2}$$

With respect to the coloring c_0 , color 4 was strong (assumption) and thus there existed a (1, 4, xy) critical path. After recoloring the edge xa with color 5 (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_1), the (1, 4, xy) critical path gets curtailed to a (1, 4, y, a) maximal bichromatic path without containing the vertex x. Moreover note that (1, 4, y, a) maximal bichromatic path does not contain the vertex b, since if b is in this path, then it is an internal vertex and thus both colors $1, 4 \in F_b$, a contradiction $(1 \notin F_b)$. Thus we have,

With respect to the coloring
$$c'_0$$
, a $(1, 4, y, a)$ maximal bichromatic path exists,
but this path does not contain the vertices x or b. (3)

Now with respect to the coloring $c'_0, F_x \cap F_y = \{2\}$. Let

$$c_0'' = Recolor(c_0', xb, 1)$$

Note that color 1 is a candidate color for the edge xb since $c'_0(x, a) = 5$ and $1 \notin S_{xb} = \{4, 5, 6\}$. Color 1 is valid for the edge xb because any bichromatic cycle containing edge xb should also contain edge xa and since color $1 \notin S_{xa}$ (Recall that $c_0(x, a) = 1$. Thus $1 \notin S_{xa}$ with respect to the coloring c_0 . Therefore $1 \notin S_{xa}$ with respect to the coloring c'_0 also.), such a (1, 5) bichromatic cycle can not be formed. Thus c''_0 is acyclic.

Thus with respect to coloring c''_0 , $F_x \cap F_y = \{1\}$. Now by (3), with respect to the coloring c'_0 , there existed a (1, 4, y, a) maximal bichromatic path that does not contain vertex b or x. Thus noting that c''_0 is obtained just by changing the color of the edge xb to 1, by Fact 1 we infer that c''_0 can not contain (1, 4, xy) critical path.

Thus by *Fact* 2 color 4 is valid for the edge *xy*.

Claim 8. In view of Assumption 3, with respect to the coloring c_0 , each $\alpha \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ is actively present in S_{xb}

Proof. Suppose not. By Claim 6, we know that color 5 is actively present in S_{xb} . Without loss of generality let color 6 be not actively present in S_{xb} . Therefore color 6 is actively present in S_{xa} . Now let

$$c'_0 = Recolor(c_0, xa, 5)$$

Note that color 5 is a candidate since $5 \notin \{S_{xa} \text{ (by } Assumption 3) \text{ and } c_0(x, b) = 2. \text{ Now we claim that assigning color 5 to the edge <math>xa$ can not result in any bichromatic cycle. To see this first note that since any cycle containing the edge xa should also contain the edge xb, but $c_0(x, b) = 2$ and therefore if a bichromatic cycle gets formed it must be a (2, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there is a (2, 5, xa) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . But in c_0 there is already a (2, 5, xy) critical path (by Claim 1) and by Fact 1 there can not be a (2, 5, xa) critical path, a contradiction. Thus coloring c'_0 is acyclic.

Now $F_x \cap F_y = \{2\}$. But in c_0 , there did not exist a (2, 6, xy) critical path since by assumption color 6 is actively present in S_{xb} . Thus noting that c'_0 is obtained just by changing the color of the edge xa to 5, we infer that c'_0 can not contain (2, 6, xy) critical path.

Thus by $Fact \ 2 \ color \ 6 \ is \ valid for the edge \ xy$.

Recall that $c_0(x,b) = c_0(y,b') = 2$. In view of Claim 8, with respect to the coloring c_0 , we have:

$$S_{xb} = S_{yb'} = \{4, 5, 6\} \tag{4}$$

Let

$$c_1 = Recolor(c_0, xb, 3)$$

Note that color 3 is a candidate for edge xb since $3 \notin \{S_{xb} = \{4, 5, 6\}$ (by Claim 8) and $c_0(x, a) = 1$. Moreover since $S_{xb} \cap S_{bx} = \emptyset$, by Lemma 1 color 3 is also valid. Thus the coloring c_1 is acyclic.

With respect to the coloring c_1 , $F_x \cap F_y = \{1,3\}$. In view of *Claim* 7 and *Claim* 8, $\forall \alpha \in \{4,5,6\}$, α is not actively present in S_{xa} and thus $(1, \alpha, xy)$ critical path does not exist with respect to the coloring c_0 . It is true with respect to the coloring c_1 also. Hence if none of the colors from $\{4, 5, 6\}$ is valid for the edge xy with respect to the coloring c_1 , then by *Fact* 2 there has to be (3, 4, xy), (3, 5, xy) and (3, 6, xy) critical paths. Recalling that by Assumption 3 c(y, d') = 3, we infer that $S_{yd'} = \{4, 5, 6\}$.

Thus with respect to the coloring c_1 , we have:

$$S_{yb'} = S_{yd'} = \{4, 5, 6\}$$
⁽⁵⁾

The 5-tuple $(y, b', d', \{a'\}, \emptyset)$ is configuration A. Now let

 $c_2 = ColorExchange(c_1, yb', yd')$

By Fact 4 the color exchange with respect to the edges yb' and yd' is valid. Thus the coloring c_1 is acyclic.

For $\alpha \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ there was a $(3, \alpha, xy)$ critical path before *color exchange* (with respect to coloring c_1). Thus by *lemma* 2, these critical paths does not exist after the *color exchange* (with respect to coloring c_2). Also recall that there was no $(1, \alpha, xy)$ critical path with respect to the coloring c_1 . Noting that the *color exchange* involved only the colors 2 and 3 there is no chance of any $(1, \alpha, xy)$ critical path to get formed with respect to the coloring c_2 .

Thus by fact 2, color α is valid for edge xy.

case 3: $|F_x \cap F_y| = 1$

Assumption 4. Without loss of generality let $F_x = \{1, 2\}$ and $F_y = \{1, 3, 4\}$. Thus $F = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then $C - F = \{5, 6\}$. Let $c_0(x, a) = c_0(y, a') = 1$, $c_0(x, b) = 2$, $c_0(y, b') = 3$ and $c_0(y, d') = 4$.

If none of the colors from C - F are valid, then by Fact 2, there exist (1, 5, xy) and (1, 6, xy) critical paths. We capture this in the following claim:

Claim 9. With respect to coloring c_0 , there exist (1, 5, xy) and (1, 6, xy) critical paths. Thus $\{5, 6\} \subset S_{xa}$ and $\{5, 6\} \subset S_{ya'}$.

Claim 10. With respect to coloring c_0 , $\{3, 4\} \subset S_{xb}$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then at least one of 3, 4 is missing in S_{xb} . Without loss of generality let $4 \notin S_{xb}$. Recalling that $c_0(x, a) = 1$, it follows that color 4 is a candidate color for the edge xb. We claim that there exists a (1, 4, xb) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . Suppose not. Then let

$$c'_0 = Recolor(c_0, xb, 4)$$

Clearly c'_0 is acyclic since any bichromatic cycle being formed should involve the edge xa as well. But $c'_0(x, a) = 1$ and hence a (1, 4) bichromatic cycle has to be formed, implying that there is a (1, 4, xb) critical path, a contradiction to our assumption.

With respect to the coloring c'_0 , $|(F_x \cap F_y) = \{1, 4\}| = 2$, and by case 2 we will be able to find a valid color for the edge xy.

Thus we can infer that there exists a (1, 4, xb) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . For a (1, 4, xb) critical path to exist clearly we should have $4 \in S_{xa}$, since $c_0(x, a) = 1$. Combined with Claim 9, we get:

$$S_{xa} = \{4, 5, 6\} \tag{6}$$

Moreover we have $1 \in S_{xb}$ with respect to c_0 since there is a (1, 4, xb) critical path. Now let the other two colors in S_{xb} be $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then $\gamma \in (\{3, 5, 6\} - \{\alpha, \beta\})$ is a candidate color for the edge xb. Let

 $c_0'' = Recolor(c_0, xb, \gamma)$

We claim that c''_0 is acyclic. Otherwise if any bichromatic cycle gets formed with respect to the coloring c''_0 , then it should be a $(\gamma, 1)$ bichromatic cycle since any cycle that contains edge xb should contain edge xa also and $c''_0(x, a) = 1$, implying that there exists a $(1, \gamma, xb)$ critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . If $\gamma = 3$, such a critical path can not exist since $3 \notin S_{xa}$ (by (6)). On the other hand if $\gamma \in \{5, 6\}$, by Fact 1, $(1, \gamma, xb)$ critical path can not exist with respect to the coloring c_0 since there is already a $(1, \gamma, xy)$ critical path (by Claim 9). Thus we infer that c''_0 is acyclic.

With respect to coloring c''_0 , if $\gamma = 3$, $|(F_x \cap F_y) = \{1,3\}| = 2$, and by case 2 we will be able to find a valid color for the edge xy.

With respect to coloring c''_0 , if $\gamma \in \{5, 6\}$ we have $(F_x \cap F_y) = \{1\}$ and $2 \in C - F$. Thus color 2 is a candidate color for the edge xy. Moreover since $S_{xa} = \{4, 5, 6\}$ (by (6)), there can not be a (1, 2, xy) critical path and hence by Fact 2, color 2 is valid for the edge xy.

Claim 11. With respect to the coloring c_0 , $S_{xb} = \{3, 4, 1\}$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then in view of Claim 10, we can infer that color $1 \notin S_{xb}$. Recall that by Claim 9, $\{5, 6\} \subset S_{xa}$. Let the remaining color in S_{xa} be α . Let $\beta \in \{3, 4\} - \{\alpha\}$. Now let

$$c'_0 = Recolor(c_0, xb, 1)$$

and

$$c_0'' = Recolor(c_0', xa, \beta)$$

Note that c''_0 is proper since $1 \notin S_{xb}$ (by Assumption) and $\beta \notin S_{xa}$, by the definition of β . The coloring c''_0 is acyclic since any cycle containing the edge xa should also contain the edge xb (and vise versa), but $c''_0(x,b) = 1$ and therefore if a bichromatic cycle gets formed it must be a $(1, \beta)$ bichromatic cycle, implying that $1 \in S_{xa}$. But this is a contradiction since $1 \notin S_{xa}$ with respect to c_0 as $c_0(x, a) = 1$ and therefore $1 \notin S_{xa}$ with respect to c''_0 also.

Now since $\beta \in \{3, 4\}$, we have $|(F_x \cap F_y) = \{1, \beta\}| = 2$ and thus the situation reduces to *case* 2, thereby enabling us to find a valid color for the edge xy.

Claim 12. There is a (1, 2, xy) critical path. Thus in combination with Claim 9 $S_{xa} = \{5, 6, 2\}$, $S_{ya'} = \{5, 6, 2\}$ with respect to the coloring c_0 .

Proof. Suppose not. Let

$$c_0' = Recolor(c_0, xb, 5)$$

Note that color 5 is a candidate color for the edge xb since, by Claim 11, $S_{xb} = \{3, 4, 1\}$ and $c_0(x, a) = 1$. It is also valid since if there is a bichromatic cycle, then it should contain the edges xa and xb and hence it has to be a (1, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there exists a (1, 5, xb) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . But there can not be a (1, 5, xb) critical path (by *Fact* 1) as there is already a (1, 5, xy) critical path (by *Claim* 9). Thus the coloring c'_0 is acyclic.

Now with respect to the coloring $c'_0, F_x \cap F_y = \{1\}$. Color 2 is a candidate color for the edge xy since $2 \notin (F_x \cup F_y = \{1, 3, 4, 5\})$. Since there is no (1, 2, xy) critical path (by assumption), by *Fact* 2, color 2 is valid for the edge xy.

Recall that $N_{G'}(a) = \{x, k_1, k_2, k_3\}$ and $N_{G'}(b) = \{x, l_1, l_2, l_3\}$. Also recall that by Assumption 4, $c_0(x, a) = c_0(y, a') = 1, c_0(x, b) = 2, c_0(y, b') = 3$ and $c_0(y, d') = 4$. By Claim 11 and Claim 12, $S_{xa} = \{5, 6, 2\}$ and $S_{xb} = \{3, 4, 1\}$. We make the following Assumption:

Assumption 5. Without loss of generality let $c_0(a, k_1) = 5$, $c_0(a, k_2) = 6$, $c_0(a, k_3) = 2$, $c_0(b, l_1) = 3$, $c_0(b, l_2) = 4$ and $c_0(b, l_3) = 1$.

The main intention of the next two *Claims* is to establish that $S_{bl_1} = S_{bl_2} = \{2, 5, 6\}$.

Claim 13. With respect to the coloring c_0 , there exist (2, 3, xa) and (2, 4, xa) critical paths. Thus $2 \in S_{bl_1}$, $2 \in S_{bl_2}$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then without loss of generality let there be no (2, 3, xa) critical path. Let

$$c_0' = Recolor(c_0, xa, 3)$$

Note that color 3 is a candidate color for edge xa since $3 \notin (S_{xa} = \{2, 5, 6\})$ (by Claim 12) and $c_0(x, b) = 2$. It is also valid since if there is any bichromatic cycle containing edge xa, then it should also contain edge xb and since $c_0(x, b) = 2$, it has to be a (2, 3) bichromatic cycle, implying that there is a (2, 3, xa) critical path, a contradiction to our assumption. Thus the coloring c'_0 is acyclic.

With respect to the coloring $c'_0, c'_0(y, b') = 3$ and $(F_x \cap F_y) = \{3\}$. Now if one of the colors 5 and 6 are valid for the edge xy, we are done. Otherwise by Fact 2, there are (3, 5, xy) and (3, 6, xy) critical paths. Thus

$$\{5,6\} \subset S_{yb'} \tag{7}$$

Let,

$$c_0'' = Recolor(c_0', xb, 5)$$

First note that color 5 is a candidate for the edge xb since $5 \notin (S_{xb} = \{3, 4, 1\})$ (by *Claim* 11) and $c'_0(x, a) = 3$. It is also valid since if there is any bichromatic cycle containing the edge xb then it should also contain edge xa and since $c'_0(x, a) = 3$, it has to be a (3, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there exists a (3, 5, xb) critical path. But there can not be a (3, 5, xb) critical path (by *Fact* 1) as there is already a (3, 5, xy) critical path. Thus the coloring c''_0 acyclic.

Now with respect to the coloring c''_0 , $(F_x \cap F_y) = \{3\}$ and $2 \notin (F_x \cup F_y) = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$. Color 2 is a *candidate* for the edge xy. If it is *valid* then we are done. Otherwise by *Fact* 2, there exists a (3, 2, xy) critical path.

Thus $2 \in S_{yb'}$ and in combination with (7), we get,

$$S_{yb'} = \{2, 5, 6\} \tag{8}$$

Recall that $S_{ya'} = \{2, 5, 6\}$ by $Claim \ 12$ with respect to the coloring c_0 . It is easy to see that $S_{ya'} = \{2, 5, 6\}$ even with respect to the coloring c_2 . Now in view of Assumption 4, we have the 5-tuple $(y, a', b', \{d'\}, \emptyset)$ in Configuration A. Let,

 $c_0^{\prime\prime\prime} = ColorExchange(c_0^{\prime\prime}, ya^{\prime}, yb^{\prime})$

By Fact 4, the color exchange with respect to the edges ya' and yb' is valid. Thus the coloring c_0''' is acyclic.

There was a (3, 6, xy) critical path before *color exchange* (i.e., with respect to the coloring c''_0) since otherwise color 6 would have been valid for the edge xy with respect to the coloring c''_0 . Thus by Lemma 2 no (3, 6, xy) critical path exists after the *color exchange* (i.e., with respect to the coloring c''_0). Thus by Fact 2, color 6 is valid for edge xy.

Claim 14. With respect to the coloring c_0'' , $\forall \alpha \in \{3, 4\}$ and $\forall \beta \in \{5, 6\}$, there exist (α, β, b, a) maximal bichromatic path which ends at vertex a with an edge colored β . Thus $S_{bl_1} = \{2, 5, 6\}$ and $S_{bl_2} = \{2, 5, 6\}$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then $\exists \alpha \in \{3, 4\}$ and $\exists \beta \in \{5, 6\}$ such that there is no (α, β, b, a) maximal bichromatic path which ends at vertex a with an edge colored β . Without loss of generality let $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 5$. Now let,

$$c_0' = Recolor(c_0, xa, 3)$$

and

$$c_0'' = Recolor(c_0', xb, 5)$$

Note that c_0'' is a proper coloring (since $(3 \notin S_{xa} = \{2, 5, 6\} \text{ and } c_0''(x, b) = 5)$ and $(5 \notin S_{xb} = \{3, 4, 1\} \text{ and } c_0''(x, b) = 3)$). Now to see that c_0'' is acyclic, note that if there is a bichromatic cycle with respect to the coloring c_0'' , then it should contain both the edges xa and xb, thus forming (3, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there should be a (3, 5, a, b) maximal bichromatic path which ends at vertex a with an edge colored 3 with respect to the coloring c_0 , a contradiction to our assumption.

Note that with respect to the coloring c''_0 , $F = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$ and thus color 2 is a candidate color for the edge xy. By Claim 13 there was a (2, 3, xa) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . From this it is easy to see that with respect to

the coloring c_0'' , there is a (3, 2, xb) critical path. Thus by *Fact* 1 there can not be a (3, 2, xy) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0'' . Hence color 2 is valid for the edge xy.

Thus $\forall \alpha \in \{3, 4\}$ and $\forall \beta \in \{5, 6\}$, there exist (α, β, b, a) maximal bichromatic path which ends at vertex a with an edge colored β . Thus recalling that $c_0(b, l_1) = 3$ and $c_0(b, l_2) = 4$ with respect to the coloring c_0 , we have,

$$\{5,6\} \subset S_{bl_1} \tag{9}$$

$$\{5,6\} \subset S_{bl_2} \tag{10}$$

By Claim 13, $2 \in S_{bl_1}$ and $2 \in S_{bl_2}$. Thus we have,

$$S_{bl_1} = S_{bl_2} = \{2, 5, 6\} \tag{11}$$

Now let,

$$c_1 = Recolor(c_0, xb, 5)$$

Recalling Claim 11, $s_{xb} = \{3, 4, 1\}$ and $c_0(x, a) = 1$, color 5 is a candidate for the edge xb. Moreover color 5 is also valid since if there is any bichromatic cycle containing the edge xb then it should also contain edge xa and since $c_0(x, a) = 1$, it has to be a (1, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there exists a (1, 5, xb) critical path with respect to the coloring c_0 . But there can not be a (1, 5, xb) critical path (by Fact 1) as there is already a (1, 5, xy) critical path (by Claim 9). Thus the coloring c_1 is acyclic.

Recall that by *Claim* 14, with respect to the coloring c_0 , there was a (3, 5, b, a) maximal bichromatic path that ends at vertex *a* with an edge colored 5. After the recoloring of edge *xb* with color 5 (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_1), it is easy to see that this (3, 5, b, a) maximal bichromatic path gets extended to a (3, 5, xa) critical path. Thus we have,

With respect to the coloring
$$c_1$$
, there exists a $(3, 5, xa)$ critical path. (12)

Recall that by *Claim* 13, with respect to the coloring c_0 , there existed a (2, 3, xa) critical path. After recoloring the edge xb with color 5 (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_1), the (2, 3, xa) critical path gets curtailed to a (2, 3, a, b) maximal bichromatic path that ends at vertex b with an edge colored 3. Note that (2, 3, a, b) maximal bichromatic path does not contain the vertex y, since if y is in this path, then it is an internal vertex and thus both colors $2, 3 \in F_y$, a contradiction $(2 \notin F_b)$. Thus noting that $c_1(b, l_1) = 3$, we have,

With respect to the coloring c_1 , there exists a (2, 3, a, b) maximal bichromatic path that ends at vertex b (13) with an edge colored 3. This path contains the edge bl_1 but does not contain vertex y.

In view of Claim 14, we have $S_{bl_1} = S_{bl_2} = \{2, 5, 6\}$. The 5-tuple $(b, l_1, l_2, \{l_3\}, \{x\})$ is in *configuration A*. Let,

 $c_2 = ColorExchange(c_1, bl_1, bl_2)$

By *Fact* 3 if there is any bichromatic cycle, recalling that $c_2(x, b) = 5$, there has to be either (3, 5) or (4, 5) bichromatic cycle that passes through vertex x. But any cycle that passes through vertex x should contain edge xa also. Since $c_2(x, a) = 1$, this is a contradiction and we infer that c_2 is acyclic.

Note that by (13) there existed (2, 3, a, b) maximal bichromatic path containing the edge bl_1 with respect to the coloring c_1 . Since the color of edge bl_1 is changed in c_2 , this path gets curtailed to a $(2, 3, a, l_1)$ maximal bichromatic path which now ends at the vertex l_1 since $3 \notin F_{l_1}$ with respect to the coloring c_2 . Note that it still does not contain vertex y. Thus we have,

With respect to the coloring c_2 , there exists a $(2, 3, a, l_1)$ maximal bichromatic path which does not contain vertex y. (14) But before *color exchange* (i.e., with respect to the coloring c_1) by (12) there was a (3, 5, xa) critical path. Clearly this path passes through the vertex b. Thus by Lemma 2, the (3, 5, xa) critical path, does not exist after the color exchange (with respect to the coloring c_2) (It easy to see that $l_1, l_2 \notin \{x, a\}$ since $1 \notin F_{l_1}, F_{l_2}$ but $1 \in F_x, F_a$. Therefore Lemma 2 can be applied). Thus we have,

With respect to the coloring c_2 , there does not exists any (3, 5, xa) critical path. (15)

Now let

$$c_3 = Recolor(c_2, xa, 3)$$

By Claim 12, $s_{xa} = \{2, 5, 6\}$ with respect to the coloring c_0 and $s_{xa} = \{2, 5, 6\}$ even with respect to the coloring c_2 . Thus color 3 is candidate for edge xa since $3 \notin S_{xa}$ and $c_2(x, b) = 5$. Coloring c_3 is also acyclic since if there is any bichromatic cycle containing edge xa then it should also contain edge xb. But $c_3(x, b) = 5$ and $c_3(x, a) = 3$. Thus it has to be a (3, 5) bichromatic cycle, implying that there exists a (3, 5, xa) critical path with respect to the coloring c_2 , a contradiction (by (15)).

Note that by (14) there existed $(2, 3, a, l_1)$ maximal bichromatic path with respect to the coloring c_2 . Since the color of edge xa is changed in c_3 to color 3, it is easy to see that this path gets extended to a $(3, 2, x, l_1)$ maximal bichromatic path which now starts at the vertex x since $2 \notin F_x$ with respect to the coloring c_3 . Note that it still does not contain vertex y.

Now with respect to the coloring c_3 , $F = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $F_x \cap F_y = \{3\}$. Thus color 2 is a candidate for the edge xy. Since $(2, 3, x, l_1)$ maximal bichromatic path contains vertex x and does not contain vertex y, by Fact 1 there can not be (2, 3, xy) critical path. Thus by Fact 2 color 2 is valid for the edge xy.

References

- N. ALON, C. J. H. MCDIARMID, AND B. A. REED, Acyclic coloring of graphs, Random Structures and Algorithms, 2 (1991), pp. 343–365.
- [2] N. ALON, B. SUDAKOV, AND A. ZAKS, Acyclic edge-colorings of graphs, Journal of Graph Theory, 37 (2001), pp. 157–167.
- [3] N. ALON AND A. ZAKS, Algorithmic aspects of acyclic edge colorings, Algorithmica, 32 (2002), pp. 611-614.
- [4] D. AMAR, A. RASPAUD, AND O. TOGNI, All to all wavelength routing in all-optical compounded networks, Discrete Mathematics, 235 (2001), pp. 353–363.
- [5] M. BASAVARAJU AND L. S. CHANDRAN, Acyclic edge coloring of subcubic graphs. To appear in Discrete Mathematics., 2007.
- [6] O. V. BORODIN, Acyclic colorings of planar graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 25 (1979), pp. 211–236.
- [7] M. I. BURNSTEIN, Every 4-valent graph has an acyclic five-coloring, Soobsč: Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR, 93 (1979).
- [8] R. DIESTEL, Graph Theory, vol. 173, Springer Verlag, New York, 2 ed., 2000.
- [9] S. GERKE AND M. RAEMY, *Generalised acyclic edge colourings of graphs with large girth*, Discrete Mathematics, 307 (2007), pp. 1668–1671.
- [10] C. GREENHILL AND O. PIKHURKO, Bounds on the generalised acyclic chromatic numbers of bounded degree graphs, Graphs and Combinatorics, 21 (2005), pp. 407–419.
- [11] B. GRÜNBAUM, Acyclic colorings of planar graphs, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 14 (1973), pp. 390-408.
- [12] A. KOSTOCHKA, E. SOPENA, AND X. ZHU, Acyclic and oriented chromatic numbers of graphs, J. Graph Theory, 24 (1997), pp. 331–340.

- [13] M. MOLLOY AND B. REED, *Further algorithmic aspects of lovász local lemma*, in Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1998, pp. 524–529.
- [14] R. MUTHU, N. NARAYANAN, AND C. R. SUBRAMANIAN, Improved bounds on acyclic edge coloring, Electronic notes in discrete mathematics, 19 (2005), pp. 171–177.
- [15] —, *Optimal acyclic edge coloring of grid like graphs*, in Proceedings of the 12th International Conference, CO-COON, LNCS 4112, 2006, pp. 360–367.
- [16] —, *Acyclic edge colouring of outerplanar graphs*, in Algorithmic Aspects in Information and Management, LNCS 4508, 2007, pp. 144–152.
- [17] J. NĚSETŘIL AND N. C. WORMALD, *The acyclic edge chromatic number of a random d-regular graph is d+1*, Journal of Graph Theory, 49 (2005), pp. 69–74.
- [18] S. SKULRATTANKULCHAI, Acyclic colorings of subcubic graphs, Information processing letters, 92 (2004), pp. 161– 167.