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#### Abstract

A non-complete graph $\Gamma$ is said to be $(G, 2)$-distance transitive if $G$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group of $\Gamma$ that is transitive on the vertex set of $\Gamma$, and for any vertex $u$ of $\Gamma$, the stabilizer $G_{u}$ is transitive on the sets of vertices at distance 1 and 2 from $u$. This paper investigates the family of $(G, 2)$-distance transitive graphs that are not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. Our main result is the classification of such graphs of valency not greater than 5 .


## 1. Introduction

Graphs that satisfy certain symmetry conditions have been a focus of research in algebraic graph theory. We usually measure the degree of symmetry of a graph by studying if the automorphism group is transitive on certain natural sets formed by combining vertices and edges. For instance, $s$-arc transitivity requires that the automorphism group should be transitive on the set of $s$-arcs (see Section 2 for precise definitions). The class of $s$-arc transitive graphs have been studied intensively, beginning with the seminal result of Tutte 13 that cubic $s$-arc transitive graphs must have $s \leqslant 5$. Later, in 1981, Weiss [15], using the finite simple group classification, showed that there are no 8-arc transitive graphs of valency at least 3 . For a survey on $s$-arc transitive graphs, see 12 .

Recently, several papers have considered conditions on undirected graphs that are similar to, but weaker than, $s$-arc transitivity. For examples of such conditions, we mention local $s$-arc transitivity, local $s$-distance transitivity, $s$-geodesic transitivity, and 2-path transitivity. Devillers et al. 4] studied the class of locally $s$-distance transitive graphs, using the normal quotient strategy developed for $s$-arc transitive graphs in [11]. The condition of $s$-geodesic transitivity was investigated in several papers [5, 6, 8. A characterization of 2-path transitive, but not 2-arc transitive graphs was given by Li and Zhang [10].

In this paper we study the class of 2-distance transitive graphs. If $G$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group of a graph $\Gamma$, then $\Gamma$ is said to be $(G, 2)$-distance transitive if $G$ acts transitively on the vertex set of $\Gamma$, and a vertex stabilizer $G_{u}$ is transitive on the neighborhood $\Gamma(u)$ of $u$ and on the second neighborhood $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ (see Section 2). The class of (G,2)-distance transitive graphs is larger than the class of $(G, 2)$-arc transitive graphs, and in this paper we study the ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive graphs that are not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

Our first theorem links the structure of ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$ arc transitive graphs to their valency and the value of the constant $c_{2}$ in the intersection array (see Definition 2.1).

[^0]| $\Gamma$ | valency | girth | $G$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{(2 \times 4)-\text { grid }}$ | 3 | 4 | satisfies Condition 3.1 | Section 3.1 |
| Octahedron | 4 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & G \leqslant S_{2} \backslash S_{3}, \\ & \left\|S_{2} \backslash S_{3}: G\right\| \in\{1,2\}, \\ & G \text { projects onto } S_{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Lemma 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2,3)$ | 4 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline G \leqslant S_{3} \backslash S_{2}, \\ & \left\|S_{3} \backslash S_{2}: G\right\| \in\{1,2\} \\ & G \text { projects onto } S_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Proposition 5.2 |
| the line graph of a connected ( $G, 3$ )-arc transitive graph | 4 | 3 |  | Proposition 5.2 |
| $\overline{(2 \times 5)-\text { grid }}$ | 4 | 4 | satisfies Condition 3.1 | Section 3.1 |
| Icosahedron | 5 | 3 | $G \in\left\{A_{5}, A_{5} \times C_{2}\right\}$ | Lemma 3.5 |
| $\overline{(2 \times 6)-\text { grid }}$ | 5 | 4 | satisfies Condition 3.1 | Section 3.1 |

TABLE 1. ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive graphs of valency at most 5

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graph of girth 4 and valency $k \geqslant 3$. Then $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant k-1$ and the following are valid.
(i) If $c_{2}=k-1$, then $\Gamma \cong \overline{(2 \times(k+1))-\text { grid }}$ and $G$ satisfies Condition 3.1.
(ii) If $c_{2}=2$, then $k$ is a prime-power such that $k \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $G_{u}$ acts 2-homogeneously, but not 2-transitively on $\Gamma(u)$ for each $u \in V \Gamma$.

The following corollary is a characterization of the family of connected $(G, 2)$ distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive graphs of girth 4 and prime valency.

Corollary 1.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graph of girth 4 and prime valency $p$, and let $u \in V \Gamma$. Then the following are valid.
(i) Either $\Gamma \cong \overline{(2 \times(p+1))-\text { grid }}$, or $c_{2} \mid p-1$ and $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant(p-1) / 2$.
(ii) If $c_{2}=2$, then $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $G_{u}$ is 2-homogeneous, but not 2 transitive on $\Gamma(u)$.
(iii) If $c_{2}=(p-1) / 2$, then $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=2 p$, and $G_{u}$ is imprimitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$.

Finally, our third main result determines all the possible ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive graphs of valency at most 5 .

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graph of valency $k \leqslant 5$. Then $\Gamma$ and $G$ must be as in one of the rows of Table 1.

In Section 2 we state the most important definitions and some basic results related to 2-distance transitivity. In Section [3, we study some examples, such as grids, their complements, Hamming graphs, complete bipartite graphs, and platonic solids from the point of view of 2-distance transitivity. In Section 4 we consider 2 -distance transitive graphs of girth 4 . Finally the proofs of our main results are given in Section 5
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## 2. Basic Definitions and useful facts

In this paper, graphs are finite, simple, and undirected. For a graph $\Gamma$, let V $\Gamma$ and $A u t \Gamma$ denote its vertex set and automorphism group, respectively. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph and let $u$ and $v$ be vertices in $\Gamma$ that belong to the same connected component. Then the distance between $u$ and $v$ is the length of a shortest path between $u$ and $v$ and is denoted by $d_{\Gamma}(u, v)$. We denote by $\Gamma_{s}(u)$ the set of vertices at distance $s$ from $u$ in $\Gamma$ and we set $\Gamma(u)=\Gamma_{1}(u)$. The diameter diam $\Gamma$ of $\Gamma$ is the greatest distance between vertices in $\Gamma$. Let $G \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ and let $s \leqslant \operatorname{diam} \Gamma$. We say that $\Gamma$ is $(G, s)$-distance transitive if $G$ is transitive on $\mathrm{V} \Gamma$ and $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{i}(u)$ for all $i \leqslant s$. If $\Gamma$ is $(G, s)$-distance transitive for $s=\operatorname{diam} \Gamma$, then we simply say that it is $G$-distance transitive. By our definition, if $s>\operatorname{diam} \Gamma$, then $\Gamma$ is not $(G, s)$-distance transitive. For instance, the complete graph is not $(G, 2)$-distance transitive for any group $G$.

In the characterization of $(G, s)$-distance transitive graphs, the following constants are useful. Our definition is inspired by the concept of intersection arrays defined for the distance regular graphs (see 23).

Definition 2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a $(G, s)$-distance transitive graph, $u \in \mathrm{~V} \Gamma$, and let $v \in \Gamma_{i}(u), i \leqslant s$. Then the number of edges from $v$ to $\Gamma_{i-1}(u), \Gamma_{i}(u)$, and $\Gamma_{i+1}(u)$ does not depend on the choice of $v$ and these numbers are denoted, respectively, by $c_{i}, a_{i}, b_{i}$.

Clearly we have that $a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i}$ is equal to the valency of $\Gamma$ whenever the constants are well-defined. Note that for $(G, 2)$-distance transitive graphs, the constants are always well-defined for $i=1,2$.

A sequence $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{s}\right)$ of vertices of a graph is said to be an $s$-arc if $v_{i}$ is connected to $v_{i+1}$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, s-1\}$ and $v_{i} \neq v_{i+2}$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, s-2\}$. A graph $\Gamma$ is called $(G, s)$-arc transitive if $G$ acts transitively on the set of vertices and on the set of $s$-arcs of $\Gamma$. (We note that some authors define $(G, s)$-arc transitivity only requiring that $G$ should be transitive on the set of $s$-arcs.) It is well-known, that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive if and only if $G$ is transitive on V $\Gamma$, and the stabilizer $G_{u}$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$ for some, and hence for all, $u \in \mathrm{~V} \Gamma$. We will use this fact without further reference in the rest of the paper.

The girth of a graph $\Gamma$ is the length of a shortest cycle in $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected $(G, 2)$-distance transitive graph. If $\Gamma$ has girth at least 5 , then for any two vertices $u$ and $v$ with $d_{\Gamma}(u, v)=2$, there exists a unique 2 -arc between $u$ and $v$. Hence if $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, then it is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. On the other hand, if the girth of $\Gamma$ is 3 , and $\Gamma$ is not a complete graph, then some 2 -arcs are contained in a triangle, while some are not. Hence $\Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. We record the conclusion of this argument in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a (G,2)-distance transitive graph. If $\Gamma$ has girth at least 5 , then $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. If $\Gamma$ has girth 3 , then $\Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

If $\Gamma$ has girth 4 , then $\Gamma$ can be $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. An infinite family of examples can be constructed using Lemma 3.2.

We close this section with two results on permutation group theory and another one on 2-geodesic transitive graphs. They will be needed in our analysis in Sections 45, Recall that a permutation group $G$ acting on $\Omega$ is said to be 2 -homogeneous if $G$ is transitive on the set of 2 -subsets of $\Omega$.

Lemma 2.3 (9). Let $G$ be a 2-homogeneous permutation group of degree $n$ which is not 2 -transitive. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) $n=p^{e} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ where $p$ is a prime;
(ii) $|G|$ is odd and is divisible by $p^{e}\left(p^{e}-1\right) / 2$;

Lemma 2.4. ([7, Theorem 1.51]) If $G$ is a primitive, but not 2 -transitive permutation group on $2 p$ letters where $p$ is a prime, then $p=5$ and $G \cong A_{5}$ or $S_{5}$.

An $s$-geodesic in a graph $\Gamma$ is a shortest path of length $s$ between vertices in $\Gamma$. In particular, a vertex triple $(u, v, w)$ with $v$ adjacent to both $u$ and $w$ is called a 2 -geodesic if $u$ and $w$ are not adjacent. A non-complete graph $\Gamma$ is said to be $(G, 2)$-geodesic transitive if $G$ is transitive on both the arc set and on the set of 2geodesics of $\Gamma$. Recall that the line graph $L(\Gamma)$ of a graph $\Gamma$ is graph whose vertices are the edges of $\Gamma$ and two vertices of $L(\Gamma)$ are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent to a common vertex of $\Gamma$. For a natural number $n$, we denote by $\mathrm{K}_{n}$ the complete graph on $n$ vertices.
Lemma 2.5. ([5, Theorem 1.3]) Let $\Gamma$ be a connected, non-complete graph of valency 4 and girth 3. Then $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-geodesic transitive if and only if, either $\Gamma=L\left(\mathrm{~K}_{4}\right)$ or $\Gamma=L(\Sigma)$ where $\Sigma$ is connected cubic ( $G, 3$ )-arc transitive graph.

We observe that the line graph of $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ is precisely the octahedral graph (see Lemma 3.4).

## 3. Constructions, Examples \& non-Examples

3.1. Complements of grids and complete bipartite graphs. For $n, m \geqslant 2$, we define the $(n \times m)$-grid as the graph having vertex set $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant$ $j \leqslant m\}$, and two distinct vertices $(i, j)$ and $(r, s)$ are adjacent if and only if $i=r$ or $j=s$. The automorphism group of the $(n \times m)$-grid, when $n \neq m$, is the direct product $S_{n} \times S_{m}$; when $n=m$, it is $S_{n} \ell S_{2}$. The complement $\bar{\Gamma}$ of a graph $\Gamma$, is the graph with vertex set $V \Gamma$, and two vertices are adjacent in $\bar{\Gamma}$ if and only if they are not adjacent in $\Gamma$. Clearly, Aut $\Gamma=\operatorname{Aut} \bar{\Gamma}$. Of particular interest to us
 Observe that for $\Gamma=\overline{(2 \times m)-\text { grid }}$, we have $\operatorname{diam} \Gamma=3$, and

$$
c_{1}=1, a_{1}=0, b_{1}=m-2, c_{2}=m-2, a_{2}=0, b_{2}=1
$$

Condition 3.1. Let $m \geqslant 3$ and let $\pi: S_{2} \times S_{m} \rightarrow S_{2}$ be the natural projection. We say that a subgroup $G$ of $S_{2} \times S_{m}$ satisfies Condition 3.1 if $G \pi=S_{2}$ and $G \cap S_{m}$ is a 2 -transitive, but not 3-transitive subgroup of $S_{m}$.


Figure 1. The grid complement $\overline{(2 \times 4) \text {-grid; }}$ and on the right represented according to a distance-partition.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma=\overline{(2 \times m)-\text { grid }}$ with $m \geqslant 4$, and let $G \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma=S_{2} \times S_{m}$. Then $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive if and only if $G$ satisfies Condition 3.1.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{1}=\{(1, i) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $\Delta_{2}=\{(2, i) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, m\}$ be the two biparts of $V \Gamma$. Let $u=(1,1) \in \Delta_{1}$. Suppose first that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. Since $G$ is transitive on $V \Gamma, G$ projects onto $S_{2}$, that is, $G \pi=S_{2}$. Let $H=G \cap S_{m}$. Then $G_{u}=H_{1}, \Delta_{2}=\Gamma(u) \cup\{(2,1)\}$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)=\Delta_{1} \backslash\{u\}$. Since $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, $G_{u}=H_{1}$ is transitive on both $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Hence $H_{1}$ is transitive on $\{2, \ldots, m\}$, and so $H$ is a 2transitive subgroup of $S_{m}$. Since $\Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive, $G_{u}=H_{1}$ is not 2 -transitive on $\{2,3, \ldots, m\}$, so $H$ is not 3 -transitive. Thus $G$ satisfies Condition 3.1.

Conversely, suppose that $G$ satisfies Condition 3.1. Then $H=G \cap S_{m}$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, and $G$ swaps these two sets. Thus $G$ is transitive on VГ. As $H$ is a 2 -transitive, but not 3 -transitive subgroup of $S_{m}, H_{1}$ is transitive, but not 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)=\{(2, i) \mid i=2, \ldots, m\}$ and on $\Gamma_{2}(u)=\{(1, i) \mid i=2, \ldots, m\}$. Hence $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

A list of 2-transitive, but not 3-transitive permutation groups can be found in 3, pp. 194-197].

Complete bipartite graphs appear frequently in this paper. Since $K_{m, n}$ with $m \neq n$ is not regular, we study $\mathrm{K}_{m, m}$. The full automorphism group of $\mathrm{K}_{m, m}$ is $S_{m} 乙 S_{2}$, and this automorphism group acts 2-arc transitively on $\mathrm{K}_{m, m}$. In the lemma below, we show that there is no 2-distance transitive action on $\mathrm{K}_{m, m}$ which is not 2-arc transitive.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{K}_{m, m}$ with $m \geqslant 2$ and let $G \leqslant$ Aut $\Gamma$. Then $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$ distance transitive if and only if it is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

Proof. If $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive, then, by definition, it is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive. Conversely, suppose that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive with some $G \leqslant$ Aut $\Gamma$. Let $\mathrm{V} \Gamma=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}$ be the bipartition of $V \Gamma$ where $\Delta_{1}=\{(1, i) \mid i=1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\Delta_{2}=\{(2, i) \mid i=1, \ldots, m\}$. The full automorphism group of $\Gamma$ is $S_{m} \backslash S_{2}$. Since $G \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ is assumed to be vertex transitive, $G_{\Delta_{1}}=G_{\Delta_{2}}$ is transitive on both $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Set $G_{0}=G_{\Delta_{1}}$. Thus $G_{0}$ is a subdirect subgroup in $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$ where $M^{(i)} \leqslant S_{m}$ and $M^{(i)}$ is the image of $G_{0}$ under the $i$-th coordinate projection $S_{m} \times S_{m} \rightarrow S_{m}$. Further, $G$ projects onto $S_{2}$ under the natural projection Aut $\Gamma \rightarrow$
$S_{2}$. If $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \sigma \in G$ with $x_{i} \in S_{m}$ and $\sigma=(1,2) \in S_{2}$, then $\left(M^{(1)}\right)^{x_{1}}=M^{(2)}$, and so $M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ are conjugate subgroups of $S_{m}$. Hence possibly replacing $G$ with its conjugate $G^{\left(x_{1}, 1\right)}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $M^{(1)}=$ $M^{(2)}=M$.

Let $u=(1,1) \in \mathrm{V} \Gamma$. Then $\Gamma(u)=\Delta_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)=\Delta_{1} \backslash\{u\}$. Further, $G_{u}$ stabilizes $\Delta_{1}$, and hence $G_{u} \leqslant G_{0}$. Since $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, it follows that $G_{u}$ is transitive on both $\Delta_{2}$ and $\Delta_{1} \backslash\{u\}$. Set $H=M_{1}$. Since $G_{u} \leqslant H \times M$, the stabilizer $H$ must be transitive on $\{2, \ldots, m\}$, and hence $M$ is a 2 -transitive subgroup of $S_{m}$. In particular $M$ contains a unique minimal normal subgroups $N$ and this minimal normal subgroup is either elementary abelian or simple. Since $N$ is transitive, we can write $M=N H$. We have that $G_{0}$ contains $1 \times N$ if and only if it contains $N \times 1$. Hence we need to consider two cases: the first is when $G_{0}$ contains $N \times N$ and the second is when it does not.

Suppose first that $G_{0}$ contains $N \times N$. In particular, $1 \times N \leqslant G_{u}$. For all $h_{2} \in H$, there is some $n_{1} h_{1} \in M$ with $n_{1} \in N$ and $h_{1} \in H$ such that $\left(n_{1} h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in G_{0}$. Since $N \times 1 \leqslant G_{0}$, this implies that $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in G_{0}$ and also $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in G_{u}$. Thus $G_{u}$ projects onto $N H=M$ by the second projection. Hence $G_{u}$ is 2-transitive on $\Delta_{2}=\Gamma(u)$, which shows that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

Suppose now that $N \times N$ is not contained in $G_{0}$. Since $G_{0} \cap(1 \times M)$ is a normal subgroup of $M$ and $N$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $M$, we find that $G_{0} \cap(1 \times M)=1$ and, similarly, that $G_{0} \cap(M \times 1)=1$. Therefore $G_{0}$ is a diagonal subgroup; that is,

$$
G_{0}=\{(t, \alpha(t)) \mid t \in M\}
$$

with some $\alpha \in$ Aut $M$. As $H$ is the stabilizer of 1 in $M$, we have that $G_{u}=$ $\{(t, \alpha(t)) \mid t \in H\}$. On the other hand, $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Delta_{2}$, and hence $\alpha(H)$ is a transitive subgroup of $M$. Thus we obtain the factorization $M=H \alpha(H)$. The following possibilities are listed in [1, Theorem 1.1].
(a) Either $M$ is affine and is isomorphic to $\left[\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)^{3} \rtimes \operatorname{PSL}(3,2)\right] \ X$ where $X$ is a transitive permutation group;
(b) or $\operatorname{Soc} M \cong \mathrm{P} \Omega_{8}^{+}(q), \operatorname{Sp}(4, q)(q$ even with $q \geqslant 4), A_{6}, M_{12}$.

In case (a), if $X \neq 1$, then $M$ is contained in a wreath product in product action, and such a wreath product is never 2-transitive. Thus $X=1, m=8, M=\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)^{3} \rtimes$ $\operatorname{PSL}(3,2)$, and $G_{u} \cong \operatorname{PSL}(3,2)$ acting transitively on $\Delta_{2}$. However, this transitive action of $\operatorname{PSL}(3,2)$ is 2-transitive, which gives that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

In case (b), inspecting the list of almost simple 2-transitive groups in [3], we find that there are no 2-transitive groups with socle $\mathrm{P} \Omega_{8}^{+}(q)$ or $\operatorname{Sp}(4, q)$ with $q$ even and $q \geqslant 4$. Hence $\operatorname{Soc} M=A_{6}$ or $M_{12}$. Then $G_{u}$ is either $A_{5}, S_{5}$ or $M_{11}$ acting transitively on $\Delta_{2}$. These actions are all 2-transitive, which implies that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.
3.2. Hamming graphs and platonic solids. For $d, q \geqslant 2$, the vertex set of the Hamming graph $\mathrm{H}(d, q)$ is the set $\{1, \ldots, q\}^{d}$ and two vertices $u=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$ and $v=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if their Hamming distance is one; that is, they differ in precisely one coordinate. The Hamming graph has diameter $d$ and has girth 4 when $q=2$ and girth 3 when $q>2$. The wreath product $W=S_{q}$ 亿 $S_{d}$ is the full automorphism group of $\Gamma$, acting distance transitively, see [2, Section 9.2]. The Hamming graphs are well studied, due in part to their applications to coding theory. Hamming graphs arise in two cases of our research. The first case
is the cube $\Gamma=\mathrm{H}(3,2)$. The standard construction of the cube graph is precisely the same as for the Hamming graphs with $d=3$ and $q=2$, and so this graph is the 'standard' cube with 8 vertices (the cube $\mathrm{H}(3,2)$ is also isomorphic to the grid complement $\overline{(2 \times 4)-\text { grid })}$. The second case is $\Gamma=\mathrm{H}(d, 2)$ when $d>2$; see Lemma 4.3

Some platonic solids (cube, octahedron and icosahedron) appear in some form in our investigation. The cube appears as the $\overline{(2 \times 4)-\text { grid. We discuss in more detail }}$ the octahedron and the icosahedron. The octahedron (see Figure (2) has 6 vertices and diameter 2 . Its automorphism group $S_{2}$ 乙 $S_{3}$ acts imprimitively preserving the partition of vertices into antipodal pairs. We denote by $\pi$ the natural projection $S_{2} \backslash S_{3} \rightarrow S_{3}$.


Figure 2. The octahedron, displayed according to its distance-partition.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\Gamma$ be the octahedron, and let $G \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$. Then $\Gamma$ is not ( $G, 2$-arc transitive. Further, $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, if and only if either $G=S_{2} \backslash S_{3}$, or $G$ is an index 2 subgroup of $S_{2} \backslash S_{3}$ and $G \pi=S_{3}$.
Proof. Since $\Gamma$ is non-complete of girth $3, \Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. Now assume that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive. Let $u=a$ be the vertex in the graph of Figure 2. Since $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u)$ and $|\Gamma(u)|=4,\left|G_{u}\right|$ is divisible by 4. Further, $\left|G: G_{u}\right|=6$, and so $|G|$ is divisible by 24 . Suppose that $G$ is a proper subgroup of Aut $\Gamma=S_{2}$ 2 $S_{3}$. Then $|G|=24$. As $\mid$ Aut $\Gamma \mid=48, G$ is an index 2 subgroup of $S_{2}\left\{S_{3}\right.$. The three antipodal blocks of $V \Gamma$ in the graph of Figure 2 are $\Delta_{1}=\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}$, $\Delta_{2}=\left\{b, b^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\Delta_{3}=\left\{c, c^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $G$ is transitive on $V \Gamma, G$ is transitive on the three antipodal blocks. Thus the image $G \pi$ of $G$ in $S_{3}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $S_{3}$. Assume $G \pi=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Then $G_{u}$ acts on the three antipodal blocks trivially. Hence $G_{u}$ does not map $\Delta_{2}$ to $\Delta_{3}$, contradicting that $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u)$. Therefore $G \pi=S_{3}$. Simple calculation shows that the conditions stated in the lemma are sufficient for 2-distance transitivity.

The icosahedron has automorphism group $S_{2} \times A_{5}$ acting arc transitively.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\Gamma$ be the icosahedron, and let $G \leqslant A u t \Gamma$. The graph $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$ distance transitive if and only if $G=S_{2} \times A_{5}$ or $G=A_{5}$. In particular, $\Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.
Proof. By [6, Theorem 1.5], Aut $\Gamma \cong S_{2} \times A_{5}$. It is easy to see that for $G \in\left\{S_{2} \times\right.$ $\left.A_{5}, A_{5}\right\}, \Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive. Then $G$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$ and $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, and so $12=|V \Gamma|$ divides


Figure 3. The icosahedron, displayed according to its distancepartition.
$|G|$ and $|\Gamma(u)|=5$ divides $\left|G_{u}\right|$. Thus 60 divides $|G|$. Since $G \leqslant$ Aut $\Gamma \cong S_{2} \times A_{5}$, it follows that $G=S_{2} \times A_{5}$ or $G=A_{5}$. Finally, as $\Gamma$ is a non-complete graph of girth $3, \Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive.

## 4. Graphs of girth 4

By the assertion of Lemma 2.2 to study the family of ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graphs, we only need to consider the graphs with girth 3 or 4 . This section is devoted to the girth 4 case, and the structure of such graphs depends strongly upon the value of the constant $c_{2}$ as in Definition 2.1. We begin with a simple combinatorial result:

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a (G,2)-distance transitive graph with valency $k$ and girth at least 4. Let $u \in V \Gamma$. Then there are $k(k-1)$ edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, and $k(k-1)=c_{2}\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|$.

Proof. Consider a vertex $v \in \Gamma(u)$. Since $\Gamma$ has girth more than 3, all of the neighbors of $v$, except for $u$, lie in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Thus, there are $k-1$ edges from $v$ to $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Since there are $k$ such vertices $v$, there are $k(k-1)$ edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. As $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, the equation $k(k-1)=c_{2}\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|$ follows by counting the same quantity from the other side: each vertex in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ is incident with exactly $c_{2}$ edges between $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ and $\Gamma(u)$.

For a vertex $u \in \mathrm{~V} \Gamma$, we denote by $G_{u}^{\Gamma_{i}(u)}$ the permutation group induced by $G_{u}$ on $\Gamma_{i}(u)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a (G,2)-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graph with valency $k$ and girth 4 , and suppose that $c_{2}=2$. Then $G_{u}$ acts 2homogeneously, but not 2-transitively on $\Gamma(u)$ for each $u \in \mathrm{~V} \Gamma$. Further, $k=p^{e} \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$ where $p$ is a prime.

Proof. Since $c_{2}=2$, each vertex $w \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$ uniquely determines a 2-subset in $\Gamma(u)$, namely the intersection $\Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma(u)$. We claim that the map $\psi: w \mapsto \Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma(u)$ is a bijection between $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ and the set of 2 -subsets of $\Gamma(u)$. Suppose that $\psi\left(w_{1}\right)=$ $\psi\left(w_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Then $u, w_{1}, w_{2} \in \Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $v_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}\left(v_{1}\right)$. On the other hand, as $v_{2}$ is adjacent to $u, w_{1}, w_{2}$, there are three edges from $v_{2}$ to $\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)$, which is
impossible, as $c_{2}=2$. Hence $\psi$ is injective. Since $\Gamma$ has girth 4 , it follows from Lemma4.1that $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=k(k-1) / 2=\binom{k}{2}$, and so the map $\psi$ is a bijection. Hence $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ if and only if it is transitive on the set of 2-subsets in $\Gamma(u)$, that is, $G_{u}^{\Gamma(u)}$ acts 2-homogeneously on $\Gamma(u)$. Since $\Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive, $G_{u}^{\Gamma(u)}$ is not 2-transitive on $\Gamma(u)$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, $k=p^{e} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ where $p$ is a prime.

In the following lemma we characterize $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$ arc transitive Hamming graphs over an alphabet of size 2.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\Gamma=\mathrm{H}(d, 2)$ with $d>2$, and let $G \leqslant$ Aut $\Gamma \cong S_{2}$ 亿 $S_{d}$. Then $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive if and only if $G=S_{2}$ 乙 $H$ where $H$ is a 2-homogeneous, but not 2-transitive subgroup of $S_{d}$. Further, in this case, $d=p^{e} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

Proof. By [2, p. 222], $\Gamma$ is Aut $\Gamma$-distance transitive of girth 4, valency $d$, and $c_{2}=2$. Assume that the action of $G$ on $\Gamma$ is 2-distance transitive, but not 2-arc transitive. Then by Lemma4.2, $G_{u}$ is 2-homogeneous, but not 2 -transitive on $\Gamma(u)$, for all $u$. Further, $d=p^{e} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ where $p$ is a prime. Let $A=$ Aut $\Gamma=M \rtimes S_{d}$ where $M=\left(S_{2}\right)^{d}$. Let $u$ be the vertex $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and set $H=G_{u}$. If $g \in G$, then $g=m h$ where $m \in M$ and $h \in S_{d}$, and so $h \in H$. Hence $G \leqslant M H$. Then, by Dedekind's Modular Law, $(G \cap M) H=G \cap(M H)=G$. Thus $G \cap M$ is a transitive subgroup of $G$. Since $M$ is regular, $G \cap M=M$, and so $M \leqslant G$. Thus $G=M \rtimes H=S_{2} \prec H$. As the action of $H$ on $\Gamma(u)$ is faithful, $H=G_{u}^{\Gamma(u)}$.

Conversely, assume that $G=S_{2} \ell H$ and $H$ is a 2-homogeneous, but not 2transitive subgroup of $S_{d}$. Then $G$ is transitive on $V \Gamma$. Since $G_{u}^{\Gamma(u)}=G_{u}=H$, $G_{u}^{\Gamma(u)}$ acts 2-homogeneously, but not 2-transitively on $\Gamma(u)$ for each $u \in \mathrm{~V} \Gamma$. Hence $\Gamma$ is not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive and $G_{u}^{\Gamma(u)}$ is transitive on the set of 2-subsets of $\Gamma(u)$. Since $\Gamma$ has girth 4 and $c_{2}=2$, we can construct a one-to-one correspondence between the 2-subsets of $\Gamma(u)$ and vertices of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 Thus $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, so $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive.

We have treated the case where $c_{2}=2$. When $c_{2}$ is 'large' (that is, close to the valency) we can say a lot about the structure of $\Gamma$.

Lemma 4.4. If $\Gamma$ is a connected ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive graph with valency $k$ and girth 4 , then the following are valid.
(i) If $c_{2}=k$, then $\Gamma=\mathrm{K}_{k, k}$.
(ii) If $k \geqslant 3$ and $c_{2}=k-1$, then $\Gamma=\overline{(2 \times(k+1))-\text { grid }}$.

Proof. (i) Let $(u, v, w)$ be a 2-arc. Since $\Gamma$ has girth $4, u$ and $w$ are nonadjacent, so $w$ has $k$ neighbors in $\Gamma(u)$, as $c_{2}=k$. Since the valency of $\Gamma$ is $k$, this forces $\Gamma(u)=\Gamma(w)$. By the ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitivity of $\Gamma$, every vertex in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ has all its neighbors in $\Gamma(u)$, and this implies that $\Gamma_{3}(u)$ is empty and there are no edges in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Thus $\Gamma$ is a bipartite graph and the two biparts are $\Gamma(u)$ and $\{u\} \cup \Gamma_{2}(u)$. Every edge between the two biparts is present, so $\Gamma$ is a complete bipartite graph. Since $\Gamma$ is regular of valency $k$, we have $\Gamma=\mathrm{K}_{k, k}$.
(ii) Let $(u, v, w)$ be a 2 -arc. Since $\Gamma$ has girth 4 and $c_{2}=k-1$, by Lemma 4.1, we have $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=k$. Let $w^{\prime}$ be the unique vertex in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ that is not adjacent to $v$. Assume that the induced subgraph $\left[\Gamma_{2}(u)\right]$ contains an edge. As $G_{u}$ is transitive on
$\Gamma_{2}(u)$, every vertex of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ is adjacent to some vertex of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Since $\Gamma$ has girth 4, the $k-1$ vertices in $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ are pairwise nonadjacent, so every vertex of $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ is adjacent to $w^{\prime}$, which is impossible, as $\left|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|=k-1$. Thus there are no edges in $\left[\Gamma_{2}(u)\right]$. Thus each vertex in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ is adjacent to a unique vertex in $\Gamma_{3}(u)$.

Let $z \in \Gamma_{3}(u) \cap \Gamma(w)$. Since $c_{2}=k-1$, every pair of vertices at distance 2 have $k-1$ common neighbors, so $|\Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma(z)|=k-1$. Hence $z$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ that are adjacent to $v$. If for all $v^{\prime} \in \Gamma(u), \Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)=\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v^{\prime}\right)$, then $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=k-1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma(u)$ contains a vertex $v^{\prime}$ such that $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(v) \neq \Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, $\Gamma_{2}(u)=\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap\left(\Gamma(v) \cup \Gamma\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Now $v^{\prime}$ and $z$ must have a common neighbor in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, and so $v^{\prime}$ and $z$ are at distance 2. Thus, as $c_{2}=k-1, z$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ that are adjacent to $v^{\prime}$. Thus $z$ is adjacent to all vertices of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Since $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=k$, we find that there are no more vertices in $\Gamma$. Therefore, we have determined $\Gamma$ completely, and $\Gamma=\overline{(2 \times(k+1))-\text { grid }}$.

## 5. Proof of Main Results

We first prove Theorem 1.1
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\Gamma$ has girth 4, it follows that $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant k$. If $c_{2}=k$, then, by Lemma 4.4 $\Gamma=\mathrm{K}_{k, k}$. However, by Lemma 3.3, $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive, whenever it is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, and hence this case cannot arise. Thus $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant k-1$. Statement (i) now follows from Lemmas 4.4(ii) and 3.2, while statement (ii) follows from Lemma 4.2

Next we prove Corollary 1.2
Proof of Corollary 1.2, If $p=2$, then $\Gamma$ is a cycle graph, so $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-distance transitive if and only if it is $(G, 2)$-arc transitive, which is a contradiction. Thus
 Assume that $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant p-2$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $p(p-1)=c_{2}\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|$. Since $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant p-2, p$ and $c_{2}$ are coprime, so $c_{2}$ divides $p-1$. As $c_{2}<p-1$, we get $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant(p-1) / 2$ and this proves (i). Statement (ii) follows from Theorem1.1(ii). Assume that $c_{2}=(p-1) / 2$. By Lemma 4.1, $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=2 p$. If $G_{u}$ were primitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, then by Lemma 2.4] we would have, $p=5$, and hence $c_{2}=2$. However, In this case $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G_{u}$ is imprimitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ and this shows (iii).

One can form an infinite family of examples that satisfy the conditions of Corollary 1.2 from Hamming graphs $\mathrm{H}(p, 2)$ using Lemma 4.3

In the following, we prove Theorem 1.3 that is, we determine all $(G, 2)$-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graphs of valency at most 5 . We split the proof into two parts, as we consider the girth 4 and 3 cases separately in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. respectively.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not ( $G, 2$ )-arc transitive graph of girth 4 and valency $k \in\{3,4,5\}$. Then $\Gamma \cong \overline{(2 \times k+1)-\text { grid }}$, and $G$ satisfies Condition 3.1.
Proof. We claim that $c_{2}=k-1$ in all cases. By Theorem 1.1, $c_{2} \leqslant k-1$. If $k=3$, then $c_{2} \geqslant 2=k-1$ follows from the girth condition, and so $c_{2}=k-1$. If $k \in\{4,5\}$
and $c_{2} \leqslant k-2$ ，then we must have that $c_{2}=2$（use Corollary 1.2 for $k=5$ ）．Hence， by Lemma $4.2, k \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ ：a contradiction，as $k \in\{4,5\}$ ．Now the rest follows from Theorem 1．1（i）．

Proposition 5．2．Let $\Gamma$ be a connected（G，2）－distance transitive graph of girth 3 and valency 4 or 5 ，and let $u \in V \Gamma$ ．Then one of the following is valid．
（i）$\Gamma$ is the octahedron and either $G=S_{2} \backslash S_{3}$ or $G$ is an index 2 subgroup of $S_{2}$ 乙 $S_{3}$ and $G$ projects onto $S_{3}$ ；
（ii）$\Gamma \cong \mathrm{H}(2,3)$ and either $G=S_{3}$ 乙 $S_{2}$ or $G$ is an index 2 subgroup of $S_{3}$ 亿 $S_{2}$ and $G$ projects onto $S_{2}$ ；
（iii）$\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=8$ and $\Gamma$ is the line graph of a connected cubic $(G, 3)$－arc transitive graph；
（iv）$\Gamma$ is the icosahedron and $G=A_{5}$ or $A_{5} \times S_{2}$ ．
In cases（i）－（iii），the valency of $\Gamma$ is 4 ，while in case（iv），the valency is 5 ．
Proof．Suppose first that the valency is 4 ．Since $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$－distance transitive of valency 4 and girth 3 ，it follows that the induced graph $[\Gamma(u)]$ is a vertex transitive graph with 4 vertices of valency $k$ where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 3$ ．If $[\Gamma(u)]$ has valency 3 ， then $[\Gamma(u)]$ is complete，and so $\Gamma$ is complete，which is a contradiction．If $[\Gamma(u)]$ has valency 2 ，then $[\Gamma(u)] \cong C_{4}$ ．Hence $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)\right|=1$ for any arc $(u, v)$ ，so $G_{u, v}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ ，that is，$\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$－geodesic transitive．Thus by［5，Corollary 1．4］，$\Gamma$ is the octahedron．It follows from Lemma 3.4 that either $G=S_{2} \imath S_{3}$ ，or $G$ is an index 2 subgroup of $S_{2} \imath S_{3}$ and $G$ projects onto $S_{3}$ ．Hence， case（i）is valid．

Now suppose that $[\Gamma(u)]$ has valency 1 ．Then $[\Gamma(u)] \cong 2 \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ and there are 8 edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ ．Further，each arc lies in a unique triangle．Let $\Gamma(u)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ be such that $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\left(v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$ are two arcs．Then $\mid \Gamma_{2}(u) \cap$ $\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right) \mid=2$ ，say $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ ．Since $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)\right] \cong 2 \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ ，it follows that $v_{2}$ is adjacent to neither $w_{1}$ nor $w_{2}$ ．As $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{2}\right)\right|=2$ ，we have $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right| \geqslant 4$ ．Since there are 8 edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ and since $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ ，we obtain that $8\left|\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|\right.$ ，and so $| \Gamma_{2}(u) \mid \in\{4,8\}$ ．

Suppose first that $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=4$ ．As noted above，$v_{2}$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}$ or $w_{2}$ ．Set $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{2}\right)=\left\{w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}$ ．Then $\Gamma_{2}(u)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}$ ．Since $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)\right] \cong\left[\Gamma\left(v_{2}\right)\right] \cong 2 \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ ，it follows that $w_{1}$ ，$w_{2}$ are adjacent and，similarly，$w_{3}, w_{4}$ are adjacent．Since $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=4$ and there are 8 edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ ， we must have $\left|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{i}\right)\right|=2$ ．Since $v_{2}$ ，$w_{1}$ are nonadjacent，$w_{1}$ is adjacent either to $v_{3}$ or to $v_{4}$ ，say $v_{3}$ ．Then $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ ．As each arc lies in a unique triangle and $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ is a triangle，it follows that $v_{3}$ is not adjacent to $w_{2}$ ．Hence $v_{3}$ is adjacent to either $w_{3}$ or $w_{4}$ ，say $w_{3}$ ．Then $\Gamma\left(v_{3}\right)=\left\{u, v_{4}, w_{1}, w_{3}\right\}$ ． Since $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{3}\right)\right] \cong 2 \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ and $u, v_{4}$ are adjacent，it follows that $w_{1}$ ，$w_{3}$ are adjacent． Thus，$\Gamma\left(w_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, w_{2}, v_{3}, w_{3}\right\}$ ．Finally，as $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{4}\right)\right|=2$ and $v_{4}$ is adjacent to neither $w_{1}$ nor $w_{3}, v_{4}$ is adjacent to both $w_{2}$ and $w_{4}$ ．Since $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{4}\right)\right] \cong 2 \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ and $\left(v_{3}, u, v_{4}\right)$ is a triangle，it follows that $w_{2}, w_{4}$ are adjacent．Now，the graph $\Gamma$ is completely determined and $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{H}(2,3)$ ．By［2，Theorem 9．2．1］，$\Gamma$ is（Aut $\Gamma, 2)$－ distance transitive where Aut $\Gamma \cong S_{3}$ 2 $S_{2}$ ．Suppose that $G$ is a proper subgroup of Aut $\Gamma$ ．Since $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u)$ and $|\Gamma(u)|=4,\left|G_{u}\right|$ is divisible by 4 ，so $|G|$ is divisible by $4|\mathrm{~V} \Gamma|=36$ ．It follows that $|G|=36$ ，so $G$ is an index 2 subgroup of $S_{3} 乙 S_{2}$ ．Finally，as $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(u), G_{u}$ projects onto $S_{2}$ ．Thus（ii）is valid．

Let us now consider the case when $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=8$. Then for each $z \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$, there is a unique 2 -geodesic between $u$ and $z$. Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of 2-geodesics starting from $u$ and the set of vertices in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$. Since $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, it follows that $G_{u}$ is transitive on the set of 2-geodesics starting from $u$, so $\Gamma$ is $(G, 2)$-geodesic transitive. Therefore by Lemma 2.5, $\Gamma$ is the line graph of a connected cubic $(G, 3)$-arc transitive graph. Therefore (iii) is valid.

Assume now that the valency is 5 . Let $(u, v)$ be an arc. Since $\Gamma$ is $G$-arc transitive, the induced subgraph $[\Gamma(u)]$ is vertex transitive. As $\Gamma$ has girth 3 and non-complete, the valency $k$ of $[\Gamma(u)]$ is at most 3 . Since $[\Gamma(u)]$ is undirected, it follows that $[\Gamma(u)]$ has $5 k / 2$ edges, and so $k$ is even; that is, $k=2$. Thus $[\Gamma(u)] \cong C_{5}$.

Set $\Gamma(u)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}$ with $v_{1}=v$ and assume $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{5}\right)$ is a 5 -cycle. Then $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)\right|=2$ and say $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then $\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)=$ $\left\{u, v_{2}, v_{5}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. As $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right)\right] \cong C_{5}$ and $\left(v_{2}, u, v_{5}\right)$ is a 2-arc, it follows that $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are adjacent, $v_{2}$ is adjacent to one of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ and $v_{5}$ is adjacent to the other. Without loss of generality, assume $v_{2}$ is adjacent to $w_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ is adjacent to $w_{2}$. In particular, $v_{2}$ and $w_{2}$ are not adjacent. Moreover, $2 \leqslant c_{2} \leqslant 4$. Since there are 10 edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, we have $10=c_{2}\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|$, so $c_{2}=2$ and $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=5$.

Since $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{2}\right)\right|=2$, there exists $w_{3}$ in $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ which is adjacent to $v_{2}$, and so $\Gamma\left(v_{2}\right)=\left\{u, v_{1}, v_{3}, w_{1}, w_{3}\right\}$. Note that $\left(w_{1}, v_{1}, u, v_{3}\right)$ is a $3-\operatorname{arc}$, and as $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{2}\right)\right] \cong C_{5}$, it follows that $w_{3}$ is adjacent to both $v_{3}$ and $w_{1}$. Since $G_{u}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, $\left[\Gamma_{2}(u)\right]$ is a vertex transitive graph. Recall that $w_{1}$ is adjacent to $w_{2}$ and $w_{3}$. It follows that $\left[\Gamma_{2}(u)\right] \cong C_{5}$. Thus $\left|\Gamma_{3}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{1}\right)\right|=1$, say $\Gamma_{3}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{1}\right)=\{e\}$. Then $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}, e\right)$ and $\left(v_{2}, w_{1}, e\right)$ are two 2-geodesics. As $c_{2}=2,\left|\Gamma\left(v_{1}\right) \cap \Gamma(e)\right|=$ $\left|\Gamma\left(v_{2}\right) \cap \Gamma(e)\right|=2$. Hence $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\} \subseteq \Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(e)$.

Since $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{3}\right)\right|=2$, there exists $w_{4}\left(\neq w_{3}\right) \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$ such that $v_{3}, w_{4}$ are adjacent. Noting that $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{5}\right\}$, we find $w_{4} \notin\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$. Since $\left[\Gamma\left(v_{3}\right)\right] \cong C_{5}$ and $\left(w_{3}, v_{2}, u, v_{4}\right)$ is a 3-arc, it follows that $w_{4}$ is adjacent to both $v_{4}$ and $w_{3}$. As $\left(v_{3}, w_{3}, e\right)$ is a 2-geodesic, $\left|\Gamma\left(v_{3}\right) \cap \Gamma(e)\right|=2$, so $w_{4} \in \Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma(e)$. Now $\left(v_{4}, w_{4}, e\right)$ is a 2-geodesic, so $\left|\Gamma\left(v_{4}\right) \cap \Gamma(e)\right|=2$, hence $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap$ $\Gamma\left(v_{4}\right) \subset \Gamma(e)$. Let the remaining vertex of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ be $w_{5}$. Since $\left|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma\left(w_{5}\right)\right|=2$, it follows that $w_{5}$ is adjacent to both $v_{4}, v_{5}$. Hence $\Gamma_{2}(u) \cap \Gamma\left(v_{4}\right)=\left\{w_{4}, w_{5}\right\} \subset \Gamma(e)$. Thus $\Gamma_{2}(u)=\Gamma(e)$, so $\Gamma_{3}(u)=\{e\}$. Now we have completely determined the graph $\Gamma$, and this graph is the icosahedron. Finally, by Lemma 3.5, $G \cong S_{2} \times A_{5}$ or $A_{5}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3. If the valency of $\Gamma$ is 2 or the girth is greater than 4, then $\Gamma$ cannot be ( $G, 2$ )-distance transitive, but not $(G, 2)$-arc transitive. Hence the valency is at least 3 . If the valency and the girth are both equal to 3 , then $\Gamma=\mathrm{K}_{4}$. Hence Theorem 1.3 follows from Proposition 5.1, in the case of girth 4, and from Proposition 5.2 in the case of girth 4.
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