Wavelet Compression of Active Appearance Models

C. B. H. Wolstenholme and C. J. Taylor

Division of Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, U.K. cbw@sv1.smb.man.ac.uk http://www.isbe.man.ac.uk

Abstract. Active Appearance Models (AAMs) provide a method of modelling the appearance of anatomical structures in medical images and locating them automatically. Although the AAM approach is computationally efficient, the models used to search unseen images for the structures of interest are large - typically the size of 100 images. This is perfectly practical for most 2-D images, but is currently impractical for 3-D images. We present a method for compressing the model information using a wavelet transform. The transform is applied to a set of training images in a shape-normalised frame, and coefficients of low variance across the training set are removed to reduce the information stored. An AAM is built from the training set using the wavelet coefficients rather than the raw intensities. We show that reliable image interpretation results can be obtained at a compression ratio of 20:1, which is sufficient to make 3-D AAMs a practical proposition.

1 Introduction

Model-based interpretation of medical images provides an effective method of using prior knowledge of a class of images to achieve robust segmentation and anatomical labelling. We have recently described Active Appearance Models (AAMs) which provide a generic approach to modelling the shapes and grey-level appearance of the structures of interest in a class of images and for locating them automatically by matching the models to unseen images [1]. So far we have only described 2-D applications of AAMs [2,3]. In principle AAMs could be straightforwardly extended to 3-D. However, although the approach is computationally efficient, the models are large - typically the size of 100 images. This is perfectly practical for most 2-D images, but is currently impractical for 3-D images.

Wavelet transforms provide a useful approach to image compression [4,5,6]. By transforming an image, they enable relatively high compressions with very little degradation to the original image. In medical images all information is potentially important, and the near lossless nature of wavelet compression lends itself well to medical image compression [7].

By combining the image search effectiveness of the AAM with the compression capabilities of wavelets, we hope to develop a 3D image search algorithm which is both efficient and robust.

[©] Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1999

2 Active Appearance Models

In this section we provide a brief outline of the standard AAM approach, and describe two models used to evaluate our new approach. For a more comprehensive description of the AAM algorithm, see [1].

2.1 Modelling Image Appearance

Active Appearance Models are generated using a statistical analysis of the shape and texture variation over a training set of images. Rather than model the complete image, a region of interest is first labelled using a set of landmark points that describe the shape of the labelled objects in each image. Each example in the training set is labelled with the same number of points marking out the same structures. After alignment with the mean shape, the coordinates of the landmark points are concatenated to form the shape vector \mathbf{x} . Figure 1 shows two examples of images labelled with landmark points.



Fig. 1. Two examples of an MR brain slice labelled with landmark points. One around the ventricles, the caudate nucleus, the lentiform nucleus, and the outside of the skull (a), and the other around the brain stem, brain hull and inside of the skull (b)

The variation in shape across the set is described by applying Principle Component Analysis (PCA) to the landmark points, resulting in a Point Distribution Model (PDM). Full details of this method can be found in [8]. Any valid example of the shape modelled can then be approximated using:

$$\mathbf{x} = \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{P_s} \mathbf{b_s} \tag{1}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is the mean shape vector, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a set of orthogonal modes of variation, and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is a vector of shape parameters.

To build a statistical model of the grey-level appearance we sample points within the convex hull of the landmark points. This is a somewhat arbitrary choice which is appropriate for the examples show here. A more sophisticated sampling scheme could easily be devised. The samples are warped to the mean shape using a triangulation algorithm. Thus the warped images all match the mean shape. Grey level information g_{im} is then sampled from this shape normalised image. By applying PCA to the normalised data, we obtain a linear model that can approximate valid examples of grey-level appearance:

$$\mathbf{g} = \bar{\mathbf{g}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{g}} \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ is the mean normalised grey-level vector, $\mathbf{P_g}$ is a set of orthogonal modes of intensity variation, and $\mathbf{b_g}$ is a set of grey-level parameters.

By varying the vectors $\mathbf{b_s}$ and $\mathbf{b_g}$, the shape and grey-level of any example can be approximated. There may exist some correlation in the variances of shape and grey-level, so a further PCA can be applied to the data, concatenating the vectors, and obtaining a model of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{g}} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{g}} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{c}$$
(3)

where $\mathbf{W_s}$ is a diagonal matrix of weights for each shape parameter, correcting for the difference in units between the shape and grey-level models, \mathbf{Q} is a set of orthogonal modes of appearance variation, and \mathbf{c} is a vector of appearance parameters.

The linearity of the resulting model enables us to express shape and grey-levels as functions of ${\bf c}$

$$\mathbf{x} = \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{c} , \ \mathbf{g} = \bar{\mathbf{g}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{c}$$
(4)

where

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{s}} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{g}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

A synthetic image can be generated for a given \mathbf{c} by first generating the shapefree grey-level image, \mathbf{g} , and warping it to match the known control points described by \mathbf{x} .

2.2 Appearance Models of the Brain

Two sets of images were labelled with landmarks to make up the training sets for two appearance models. Both used slices from T1 weighted MR images of the brain. The training set for the ventricle model ((a) in Figure 1) contained 36 labelled images each with 163 landmark points, and the brain stem model ((b) in Figure 1) contained 42 images in its training set, each with 145 landmark points.

The effect of varying parameters in the vector \mathbf{c} in each model is shown in Figure 2, where the first two parameters, c_1 and c_2 , are varied by ± 2 standard deviations.



Fig. 2. The first two modes of appearance variation in the two models

2.3 Image Search

To complete the tests on the normal model, we built an AAM using both models, and tested them in a model search.

The AAM search algorithm tries to minimise the difference in the model frame between a sample, \mathbf{g}_{s} , from an image being searched, and a synthesised image, \mathbf{g}_{m} , by varying the appearance model parameters \mathbf{c} which, for notational simplicity, is taken to include parameters for position, orientation and scale. This difference is given by:

$$\delta \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{m}} \tag{6}$$

Remember the **c** parameter also vary the shape, which affects the way the sampled image $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is acquired. A linear relationship between $\delta \mathbf{g}$ and $\delta \mathbf{c}$, the change in **c** required to minimise $|\delta \mathbf{g}|$, is learnt during a second training phase:

$$\delta \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{A} \delta \mathbf{g} \tag{7}$$

The matrix **A** is obtained by applying linear regression using random displacements, $\delta \mathbf{c}$, from an image generated using the mean shape, pose and contrast. Equation (7) can then be used in an iterative matching algorithm by measuring the difference, δg , between an image generated by the current model parameters and predicting the change, $\delta \mathbf{c}$, required to minimise $|\delta g|$. Full details of this can be found in [1].

Figure 3 shows results of AAM image search in each of the models described above. Quantitative results are given in Section 3.4.

3 Compressing the Model's Least Variant Features

3.1 Wavelets

Wavelet analysis can be carried out using the Fast Wavelet Transform (FWT) algorithm developed by Stéphane Mallat [9]. This involves the decompositions



Fig. 3. Search results for the two brain models, showing the original image, the start position of the model, and the search result

of a signal by passing it through high-pass and low-pass filters. The wavelet coefficients are generated using a convolution of the high-pass and low-pass filters with the signal in turn. The low-pass filter gives rise to the *approximation* and the high pass filter gives rise to the *details*. The approximations, therefore, represent the low frequencies within the signal, which approximate the original signal, and the details represent the minor corrections to the approximation for the accurate reconstruction of the signal. As the signal is being passed through two filters, the result would be data of double the length of the original. Therefore, a further step is necessary. This step, *downsampling*, reduces the data by removing the coefficients at every second location.

In 2D signals, details are required in multiple directions - one horizontal, one vertical, and one diagonal. This is implemented using an extra bank of filters through the second dimension. Figure 4 shows this algorithm diagrammatically.

To reconstruct the signal from the wavelet coefficients we need to reverse the process and replace the decomposition filters with reconstruction filters. Upsampling also replaces the downsampling in the diagram, so that zeros are inserted at every second position.

In the standard FWT, the filtering process can be applied to the approximation resulting from a previous pass, creating a multi-level tree. Further decomposition can be achieved using wavelet packet analysis. This is similar to the method described above, except that it not only decomposes the approximations at each level, but it also decomposes the details at each level, leading to a binary (one-dimesional decomposition) or quad- (two-dimensional decomposition) tree (Figure 5). As the details are the higher frequencies, which only add minor information to a signal or an image, then changing the values of small details has little effect on the reconstructed image. This is the basis of the wavelet compression. In standard wavelet compression, a threshold is set, and if a detail coefficient falls below that threshold, it is zeroed. The zeroed values can then be removed to reduce the data, provided a scheme for their replacement is devised.



Fig. 4. 2D wavelet decomposition. The rows of the signal, s, are passed through high- and low-pass filters, then downsampled. The resulting columns of the signal are then passed through further filters to give the approximation and three sets of details.



Fig. 5. A wavelet tree to two levels. Each node holds a set of coefficients giving a detail or approximation of the previous level

3.2 Compression Over an Image Set

When building an appearance model, a training set of images is used, each marked with the landmark points. After the shape model has been trained using the landmarks, the grey-level texture model is built. This is done by taking a convex hull around the landmarks, sampling from the training image, and warping the sampled data to the mean shape. This puts the grey-level samples in a shape free frame, as shown in Figure 6. In this shape free frame all the images are similar, so this is an ideal place to compress the images, since a standardised vector can be held for all the images detailing the decompression information. In the case of standard wavelet compression, zeroed coefficients that match throughout the image set, after the threshold is applied, can be zeroed. A vector containing the positions of the zero columns could be stored, and the zeros replaced at reconstruction time. The main problem with this method is



Fig. 6. The shape free samples taken from the first and second images in the brain stem model's image set

that the zeroed coefficients throughout the set rarely fall into line, giving only low rates of compression.

A better scheme, giving much higher rates of compression, is to remove the coefficients that show the least variance throughout the image set. Rather than zero these coefficients, they can be set to their mean to preserve any non-zero, but invariant values. A vector is then held which contains the means of all the positions that have been removed. This vector can be used during reconstruction to replace the mean values. The resulting vector can be passed through the wavelet reconstruction to recover the shape free image, with minimised loss of quality.

This method differs from the standard wavelet compression method in that compression can be applied to the approximation as well as the detail without much degradation of the images. This is possible as the coefficients are being set to the mean rather than being zeroed. The wavelet decomposition is still important to the method as most of the change is still in the detail coefficients. This is due to the fact that in the 2D analysis, the details outweigh the approximations by 3:1 at the first level of the analysis, and this increases at the lower levels. We use three levels of decomposition.

The Appearance Models can be trained on the sets of compressed wavelet coefficients instead of the original image information.

3.3 Improving the AAM Search with Wavelets

A multiresolution version of the AAM algorithm outlined in Section 2 has been described previously and shown to improve both speed and robustness [10]. The method requires extra training of the Appearance Model at each resolution at which the search is to be carried out. As the aim of the wavelet tranform is to compress the Appearance Model, the standard multiresolution algorithm could not be applied. One property of the wavelet decomposition, however, is the downsampling and repeated decomposition of the approximations. These approximations lie in the left hand nodes of the wavelet tree (Figure 5), such that node $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{0}$ is the approximation at level \mathbf{n} . The images at these nodes can be reconstructed, and represent a multi-level decomposition of the approximations as shown in Figure 7



Fig. 7. Images recovered from the different levels of approximations in the wavelet tree, showing their multiresolution property

As the model is decomposed to three levels, then we can reconstruct at each level to represent that resolution of the model. Figure 8 shows the Appearance Model representation at four resolutions (level 0 being that of the normal Appearance Model). The AAM can also be trained using the multi-level model. The mean model is reconstructed at each level, and random model displacements are reconstructed at the same level to train a regression matrix at that level. This is repeated at each level in the tree. In image search, a wavelet transform identical to that of the model is applied to the image to be searched, and its approximations are reconstructed at each level in turn. The model then searches at each level from the lowest resolution to the highest, with the result of each level used as the starting displacement for the next.



Level 2

Level 3

Fig. 8. The appearance model reconstructed at the different levels of approximations $% \left({{{\mathbf{F}}_{{\mathbf{F}}}} \right)$

3.4 Compressed Appearance Models of the Brain

Compressed appearance models were built using the sets of training images labelled as shown in Figure 1 , and three levels of Haar wavelet decomposition, The models were tested at 75%, 90% and 95% compression, giving compression ratios of 4:1, 10:1 and 20:1 respectively. The first mode of appearance variation resulting from the brain stem models is shown in Figure 9 together with the uncompressed model.



Fig. 9. The first mode of variation of the model of the brain stem compressed to 20:1 (a) compared to the normal model (b)

Since the models built using wavelet coefficients could still represent the appearance of the images, and could be reconstructed with reasonable accuracy, AAMs of the models were built to test the compressed model's ability in image search. Only the models at 10:1 and 20:1 compression were used for this experiment. 'Leave-all-in' and 'leave-one-out' tests were used to give estimated upper and lower bands on search accuracy. Figure 10 shows an example result from the tests using the 20:1 compressed model using the multilevel search, and Table 1 shows the mean point to point errors between the search results and the original training landmarks for the compressed and non-compressed models. All the models tested gave similar results in the leave-all-in tests, showing that the compression had little effect on the AAMs search capabilities on previously seen image. In the leave-one-out tests, however, while the non-compressed and compressed models using the standard search again gave similar results, the multilevel search greatly improved the model's results. The non-compressed and 20:1 compressed models gave mean errors of 16.33 and 16.89 pixels respectively at their worst (and these failed to locate the brain), while the multilevel model at 20:1 compression resulted in a worst mean error of only 6.35 pixels, which located the brain. The best mean error for the multilevel model in the leave-oneout tests was also better at 1.72 pixels compared to the non-compressed (1.90) pixels) and 20:1 compressed (1.94 pixels) models.



Fig. 10. The search results of the 20:1 compressed brain stem model from 'leaveall-in' (best (a) and worst (b)) and the 'leave-one-out' (best (c) and worst (d)) tests using the multilevel search. The top row are the original images, and the bottom are the search results

Compression	Leave-all-in			Leave-one-out		
	% Successful	% Failure	P to P	% Successful	% Failure	P to P
None	100	0	3.03	92.86	7.14	4.47
20:1	100	0	3.11	90.48	9.52	4.78
Multi-res 20:1	100	0	3.25	100	0	3.89

Table 1. Search results from the leave-all-in and leave-one-out tests. The mean Point to Point error is measured between landmarks resulting from the reconstructed shape after search to the original landmarks in pixels.

4 Discussion and Conclusions

We have demonstrated a method for compressing the amount of data required to describe an Appearance Model, while still retaining the necessary information for using the model successfully in the AAM search algorithm. The method uses wavelets to place the shape-free images used by the appearance model into a frame where compression can be carried out with minimal degradation to the images. The compression is achieved by removing the coefficients that vary least throughout the training set within the wavelet space. We have shown that the model is still effective when used as an Active Appearance Model in image search. The level of compression achieved (20:1) is sufficient to reduce a model, which uncompressed would be around 6 gigabytes, into a size managable within the memory of a desktop computer. The model loses detail as the compression increases, but it still searches accurately, and once the object is located in an image, the more detailed information can be retrieved directly from the image.

As the model building process is linear, a compression scheme using a linear transform has the advantage that the final model is equivalent to that which would have been built from the raw data. Wavelets provide one such scheme. Wavelets also have the advantage over more traditional compression methods such as the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) of avoiding blocking effects. A further advantage is the image-like property of the wavelet coefficients, which allows the use of the multi-level transform as a basis for the multi-resolution appearance model without the need for extra training.

It is a small step from our current results to accurately locating brains in 2D, a process that may be used to bootstrap some existing 3D brain stripping algorithms. However, the reason for studying compression is to enable us to extend the AAMs to 3D. If AAM search can be used for 3D MR images of the brain, then this could prove an effective, fully automatic method for brain stripping and structure segmentation, as well as proving useful in solving other medical imaging location and segmentation problems.

References

- T F Cootes, G J Edwards, and C J Taylor. Active appearance models. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision, volume 2, pages 484–498. Springer, 1998. 544, 545, 547
- G J Edwards, C J Taylor, and T F Cootes. Learning to identify and track faces in image sequences. In 8th British Machine Vision Conference, pages 130–139. BMVA Press, 1997. 544
- T F Cootes, C Beeston, G J Edwards, and C J Taylor. A unified framework for atlas matching using active appearance models. In Accepted for IPMI 1999, 1999. 544
- G Strang and T Nguyen. Wavelets and Filter Banks. Wellesley Cambridge Press, Wellesley, 1997. 544
- C K Chui. Wavelet Analysis and Its Applications. Academic Press, London, 1992. 544
- I Daubechies. Ten Lectures on Wavelets. CBNS-NSF regional conference series in applied mathematics, Philadelphia, 1992. 544
- A Zandi, J Allen, E Schwartz, and M Boliek. Crew: Compression with reversible embedded wavelets. In *IEEE Data Compression Conference*, pages 212–221, 1995. 544
- T F Cootes, C J Taylor, D H Cooper, and J Graham. Image search using trained flexible shape models. *Advances in Applied Statistics*, pages 111–139, 1994. 545
- S Mallat. A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: the wavelet representation. *IEEE Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 11:674–693, 1989. 547
- T F Cootes, G J Edwards, and C J Taylor. A comparative evaluation of active appearance model algorithms. In *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference*, volume 2, pages 680–689. BMVA Press, 1998. 550