Lecture Notes in Computer Science

4214

Commenced Publication in 1973
Founding and Former Series Editors:
Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Oscar Nierstrasz

University of Bern, Switzerland

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

University of Dortmund, Germany

Madhu Sudan

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, MA, USA

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Moshe Y. Vardi

Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max-Planck Institute of Computer Science, Saarbruecken, Germany

Christine Hofmeister Ivica Crnkovic Ralf Reussner (Eds.)

Quality of Software Architectures

Second International Conference on Quality of Software Architectures, QoSA 2006 Västerås, Sweden, June 27-29, 2006 Revised Papers



Volume Editors

Christine Hofmeister
Computer Science and Engineering Department
Lehigh University
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015, USA
E-mail: crh@cse.lehigh.edu

Ivica Crnkovic Software Engineering Lab Mälardalen University SE-721 23 Västerås, Sweden E-mail: ivica.crnkovic@mdh.se

Ralf Reussner
Institute for Program Structures and Data Organization
University of Karlsruhe (TH)
Karlsruhe, Germany
E-mail: reussner@ipd.uka.de

Library of Congress Control Number: 2006937334

CR Subject Classification (1998): D.2.4, F.3, D.4, C.4, K.4.4, C.2

LNCS Sublibrary: SL 2 – Programming and Software Engineering

ISSN 0302-9743

ISBN-10 3-540-48819-7 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN-13 978-3-540-48819-4 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media

springer.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2006 Printed in Germany

Typesetting: Camera-ready by author, data conversion by Scientific Publishing Services, Chennai, India Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 11921998 06/3142 5 4 3 2 1 0

Preface

Although the quality of a system's software architecture is one of the critical factors in its overall quality, the architecture is simply a means to an end, the end being the implemented system. Thus the ultimate measure of the quality of the software architecture lies in the implemented system, in how well it satisfies the system and project requirements and constraints and whether it can be maintained and evolved successfully. In order to treat design as a science rather than an art, we need to be able to address the quality of the software architecture directly, not simply as it is reflected in the implemented system.

Therefore, QoSA is concerned with software architecture quality directly by addressing the problems of:

- Designing software architectures of good quality
- Defining, measuring, evaluating architecture quality
- Managing architecture quality, tying it upstream to requirements and downstream to implementation, and preserving architecture quality throughout the lifetime of the system

Cross-cutting these problems is the question of the nature of software architecture. Software architecture organizes a system, partitioning it into elements and defining relationships among the elements. For this we often use multiple views, each with a different organizing principle.

But software architecture must also support properties that are emergent, that cannot be ascribed to particular elements. For this we often use the language of quality attributes. Quality attributes cover both internal properties, exhibited only in the development process (e.g., maintainability, portability, testability, etc.), and external properties, exhibited in the executing system (e.g., performance, resource consumption, availability, etc.). Quality attributes cover properties that are emergent, that have a pervasive impact, that are difficult to reverse, and that interact, thereby precluding or constraining other properties.

Thus in addition to examining software architecture quality, QoSA also aims to investigate quality attributes in the context of the problems of the design, evaluation, and management of software architecture. The papers selected for QoSA 2006 describe research and experience on these topics. Architecture evaluation is the most prevalent theme of the papers. The approaches vary from formal models to support evaluation to experience with process-centered approaches. The focus of the evaluation varies from evaluation of a particular quality attribute, such as performance or safety, to approaches where the evaluation covers a number of quality attributes, determined by the evaluator. Other themes for QoSA 2006 were processes for achieving, supporting and ensuring architecture quality. These papers go beyond the problem of evaluation to address software architecture quality at the process level. A final significant theme is the problem of managing and applying architectural knowledge.

VI Preface

Of the 30 papers submitted, 12 were selected as papers for this post-conference proceedings volume. A number of shorter papers describing emerging results or case studies were also presented at QoSA; these papers are published as technical report No 2006/10, of the University of Karlsruhe, on Perspectives in Software Architecture Quality.

As a part of the QoSA conference, a special "Industrial Day" event was organized. This included two inspiring keynote presentations by Jan Bosch and Clemens Szyperski, three tutorials, and a panel in which representatives from several international software-intensive companies participated. The abstracts of the keynotes and tutorials are available in this proceedings volume.

We thank the members of the Program Committee and additional reviewers for their thorough, thoughtful, and timely reviews of the submitted papers. We thank Steffen Becker, Sven Overhage, and Judith Stafford for their work in supporting QoSA and Klaus Krogmann for preparing this LNCS proceedings volume. Finally, we thank the generous sponsors of QoSA 2006: University of Karlsruhe (TH), Mälardalen University, and Västerås City. This conference would not be possible without the support of all the above people and sponsors.

September 2006

Ivica Crnkovic Christine Hofmeister Ralf Reussner

Organization

General Chair

Ivica Crnkovic, Mälardalen University, Sweden

Program Committee Chair

Christine Hofmeister, Lehigh University, USA

Steering Committee

Ralf Reussner, University of Karlsruhe (TH), Germany Judith Stafford, Tufts University, USA Sven Overhage, Augsburg University, Germany Steffen Becker, University of Karlsruhe (TH), Germany

Program Committee

Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim, Germany Len Bass, Software Engineering Institute, USA Don Batory, University of Texas at Austin, USA PerOlof Bengtsson, University of Karlskrona/Ronneby, Sweden Jan Bosch, Nokia Research Center, Netherlands Alexander Brandle, Microsoft Research, UK Michel Chaudron, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Netherlands Viktoria Firus, University of Oldenburg, Germany Hassan Gomaa, George Mason University, USA Ian Gorton, National ICT, Australia Volker Gruhn, University of Leipzig, Germany Wilhelm Hasselbring, University of Oldenburg / OFFIS, Germany Jean-Marc Jezequel, University of Rennes / INRIA, France Philippe Kruchten, University of British Columbia, Canada Patricia Lago, Vrije Universiteit, Netherlands Nicole Levy, University of Versailles, France Tomi Mannisto, Helsinki University of Technology, Finland Raffaela Mirandola, Politecnico di Milano, Italy Robert Nord, Software Engineering Institute, USA Frantisek Plasil, Charles University, Czech Republic Iman Poernomo, King's College, UK Sasikumar Punnekkat, Mälardalen University, Sweden Andreas Rausch, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany

VIII Organization

Matthias Riebisch, Technical University of Ilmenau, Germany Bernhard Rumpe, University of Technology Braunschweig, Germany Chris Salzmann, BMW Car-IT Jean-Guy Schneider, Swinburne University, Australia Michael Stal, Siemens, Germany Clemens Szyperski, Microsoft, USA Hans van Vliet, Vrije Universiteit, Netherlands Wolfgang Weck, Independent Software Architect, Switzerland

Additional Reviewers

Sven Apel, University of Magdeburg, Germany Roberto Lopez-Herrejon, University of Oxford, UK Moreno Marzolla, INFN, Italy

Sponsoring Institutions

University of Karlsruhe (TH) Mälardalen University Västerås City

Table of Contents

Abstracts of the Keynotes	
Expanding the Scope of Software Product Families: Problems and Alternative Approaches	1
Composing with Style – Components Meet Architecture	2
Abstracts of the Tutorials	
Documentation Principles and Practices that You Can Live With $Judith\ Stafford$	3
$\begin{tabular}{ll} Model-Based Software Development with Eclipse$	5
Software Architecture Analysis and Evaluation	7
Architecture Evaluation: Selecting Alternatives	
MEMS: A Method for Evaluating Middleware Architectures	9
Evaluating Alternative COTS Assemblies from Imperfect Component Information	27
Managing and Applying Architectural Knowledge	
Building Up and Reasoning About Architectural Knowledge	43
Managing Architectural Design Decisions for Safety-Critical Software Systems	59
Architectural Evaluation: Performance Prediction	
Runtime Prediction of Queued Behaviour	78

Model Transformation in Software Performance Engineering	95
Processes for Supporting Architecture Quality	
Traveling Architects – A New Way of Herding Cats	111
A Practical Architecture-Centric Analysis Process	127
Models for Architecture Evaluation	
Embedded Systems Architecture: Evaluation and Analysis	145
Parameter Dependent Performance Specifications of Software Components	163
Architectural Evaluation	
Applying the ATAM to an Architecture for Decentralized Control of a Transportation System	180
Towards an Integration of Standard Component-Based Safety Evaluation Techniques with SaveCCM Lars Grunske	199
Author Index	215