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In 1972, L. McLinden [3,4] proposed a perturbation method in order to build the dual of a minimax problem. This method is similar to Rockafellar's for dual minimization problems but is much more complicated for several technical reasons. In particular, McLinden permanently works with classes of equivalent convex-concave functionals.

Our aim is to present an equivalent theory of duality for minimax problems, using only the classical duality theory for minimization problems and a notion of partial minimization.

## I. NOTATIONS

The notations are essentially the same as in $[1,2]$. We denote by $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ two locally convex topological linear spaces in duality ; $\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle$ being the value of the bilinear form at $X \in X$ and $X^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. In the same way $Y, Y^{\prime}$ are in duality; $Y_{1}, Y_{1}^{\prime} ; X_{2}, X_{2}^{\prime}$; and so on $\ldots$. We consider functionals $f$ defined on $X$ (or $\left.X^{\prime}, Y, Y, \ldots\right)$ with values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\} \cup\{-\infty\}$. The set of functionals $f$ defined on $X$ which are the supremm of a family of continuous affine functionals will be denoted by $\Gamma(X)$. The conjugate of $f$ will be denoted by $f^{*}$; it is an element of $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. If a functional $f$ is defined on a product $X Y$, $f^{*}$ means the conjugate of $f$ with respect to the two variables ; it is an element of $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}\right)$. We will need a notion of partial conjugency ; for example, if $f$ is defined on $X Y, p_{Y} f$ will denote a functional defined on $X Y$ ' which is obtained by partial conjugency with respect to $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{Y}$ :

$$
p_{Y^{\prime}} f\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Sup}_{y \in Y}\left(\left\langle y, y^{\prime}\right\rangle-f(x, y)\right)
$$

The conjugency (or partial conjugency) operation will always be applied to convex functionals. Rather to define a notion of conjugency for concave functionals g , we will use the "change of sign operator" $\theta$ (such that $\theta \mathrm{g}=-\mathrm{g}$ ) and take the conjugate of $\theta g$. For example, if $f \in \Gamma(X Y), p_{Y} f$ is concave with respect to $x \in X$ and we can define $p_{X} \theta p_{Y^{f}}$. It is easy to see that :

$$
p_{X} \theta p_{Y^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=f^{*}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=p_{Y} \theta p_{X^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

II. DUAL MINIMLZATION PROBLEMS AND DUAL MINIMAX PROBLEMS.

Following Rockafelıar [5], but using notations as in [1,2], a pair of dual minimization problems is defined by two convex functionals $\varphi \in \Gamma\left(X Y\right.$ ) and $\Psi \in \Gamma\left(X^{\prime} Y\right)$ which are mutually conjugate (i.e. such that $\Psi=\varphi^{*}$ and $\varphi=\psi^{*}$ ). If we define $f(x)=\varphi\left(x, y^{\prime}=0\right)$ (*) and $g(y)=\psi\left(x^{\prime}=0, y\right)$, the two dual problems are :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x \in X} f(x) \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Q)

$$
\beta=\operatorname{Inf}_{y \in Y} g(y)
$$

We always have $-\beta \leq \alpha$. The variables $y^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ act as perturbatinn variables. If we consider the two families of minimization problems :

| $\left(P_{y^{\prime}}\right)$ | $h\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x \in X} \varphi\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left(Q_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ | $k\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y \in Y} \Psi\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ |

the problems $(P)$ and $(Q)$ correspond to the value zero of the perturbation variables $y^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$.

The duality between ( P ) and ( Q ) could also be defined by the class $L$ of equivalent (see [5] ) convex-concave functionals, the extreme elements of which are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\ell}(x, y)=\theta p_{Y^{\prime}}, \varphi(x, y)=-\operatorname{Sup}_{y^{\prime} \in \bar{Y}^{\prime}}\left(<y, y^{\prime}>-\varphi\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \underline{\ell}(x, y)=p_{X}, \Psi(x, y)=\operatorname{Sup}_{x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}}\left(<x, x^{\prime}>-\Psi\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right) \\
& L=\{\ell \mid \underline{\ell} \leq \ell \leq \bar{\ell}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimax problem ( $S$ ) associated to the functionals $\varphi$ and $\Psi$ consists in finding $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] \in X Y$ such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(\overline{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{y}) \leq \ell(\overline{\mathrm{x}}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}) \leq \ell(\mathrm{x}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}) \quad, \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X} \text { and } \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{Y} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the solutions of (S) are the same for all $\ell \in L$ ).

[^0]It is well-known that the following three propositions are equivalent :
(i) $[\overline{\mathrm{x}}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}]$ is a solution of (S).
(ii) $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P}), \overline{\mathrm{y}}$ is a solution of (Q) and $\alpha=-\beta$.
(iii) $f(\bar{x})+g(\bar{y})=0$.

Thus, hereafter, a minimax problem will rather be given by a pair of mutually conjugate functionals $\varphi$ and $\Psi$ which are defined on a product of two spaces.

DEFINITION : Consider two minimax problems $S_{1}$ (represented by the two functionals $\varphi_{11}$ and $\Psi_{11}$, and the associated minimization problems $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ ) and $S_{2}$ (represented in the same way by $\varphi_{22}, \Psi_{22}$, and the associated problems ( $P_{2}$ ) and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$ ). We will say that $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are dual if
$-P_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are dual (with respect to $\varphi_{12}$ and $\Psi_{12}$ )
$-P_{2}$ and $Q_{1}$ are dual (with respect to $\varphi_{21}$ and $\Psi_{21}$ )

This definition can be summarized by the following diagram :


In the next section we will show how this diagram can be easily obtained in the classical framework of duality for two minimization problems, using partial minimization.

## III. DUAL MTNIMAX PROBLEMS AND PARTIAL MINIMIZATION.

Let ( $P$ ) and ( $Q$ ) be two dual minimization problems cormesponding to $\varphi \in \Gamma$ (XY') and $\Psi \in \Gamma\left(X^{\prime} Y\right)$ (with $\left.\Psi=\varphi^{*}\right)$, but suppose that the variable $X \in X$ splits into two variables $X_{1} \in X_{1}$ and $X_{2} \in X_{2}$, i.e. $X$ is the product $X_{1} X_{2}$. In the same way, suppose $Y$ to be the product $Y_{1} Y_{2}$. The spaces $X=X_{1} X_{2}$ and $X^{\prime}=X_{1}^{\prime} X$ are in duality and similarly the spaces $Y=Y_{1} Y_{2}$ and $Y^{\prime}=Y_{1}^{\prime} Y_{2}^{\prime}$ are in duality.

The problem ( P ) can be written :
(P) $\quad \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$
with $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}=0, y_{2}^{\prime}=0\right)$, while the problem (Q) is :
(Q) $\beta=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}} g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$
$y_{2} \in Y_{2}$
with $g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\Psi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}=0, x_{2}^{\prime}=0, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$.

Now we construct a problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ) which consists in minimizing over $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ the functional $f_{1}$ which is the result of a partial minimization with respect to the variable $x_{2}$. Conversely we call $\left(P_{2}\right)$ the problem of minimizing over $X_{2}$ the functionneal $f_{2}$ which is the result of a partial minimization with respect to $X_{1}$.

In the same way we construct the problems $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Let us write the four problems at the vertices of a square :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right): \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}} f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \text { with } f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Q_{1}\right): \quad \beta=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}} g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \text { with } \\
& g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \in Y_{2}} g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(P_{2}\right): \quad \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}} f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}} f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(Q_{2}\right): \quad \beta=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \in Y_{2}} g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& \text { with } \quad g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}} g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show that the duality relations described in the diagram of section II can be easily obtained :
(a) Duality between $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ :

The objective functionals of $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ can be written :

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}} \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}=0, y_{2}^{\prime}=0\right)
$$

$$
g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \in Y_{2}} \Psi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}=0, x_{2}^{\prime}=0, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
$$

The dual variable of $y_{1}$ being $y_{1}^{\prime}$, we consider the function of $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}^{\prime}$ defined by :

$$
\varphi_{11}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}^{\prime}} \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}=0\right)=\theta p_{X_{2}} \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}=0, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}=0\right)
$$

and similarly :

$$
\Psi_{11}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{\mathrm{y}_{2} \in \mathrm{Y}_{2}} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}=0\right)
$$

The functionals $\varphi_{11}$ and $\Psi_{11}$ are convex ; in general they do not belong to $\Gamma\left(X_{1} Y_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(X_{1} Y_{1}\right)$ respectively, and they are not mutually conjugate. But under weak assumptions these properties can be satisfied. Let us consider for example the following two assumptions :
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ for all $x_{2}^{\prime} \in X_{2}^{\prime}$, the functional
$\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \theta \mathrm{p}_{2} \varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$
belongs to $\Gamma\left(X_{1} Y_{1}^{\prime} Y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.
$\left(K_{1}\right)$ for all $y_{2}^{\prime} \in Y_{2}^{\prime}$, the functional

$$
\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}^{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right] \rightarrow \theta \mathrm{p}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{1}\right)
$$

belongs to $\Psi\left(X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} Y_{1}\right)$.
The assumption ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ) means that the projection of the epigraph of $\varphi$ (which is a closed convex set of $X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1}^{\prime} V_{2} \mathbb{R}$ ) onto the space $X_{1} Y_{1}^{1} Y_{2} \mathbb{R}$ is closed. There are many sufficient conditions for obtaining this property.

PROPOSITION :
The assumption $\left(H_{1}\right)$ implies that $\varphi_{11}=\Psi_{11}^{*}$ and the assumption $\left(K_{1}\right)$ that
$\Psi_{11}=\varphi_{11}^{*}$.
PROOF: We have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{11}^{*}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{\prime}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}}\right) \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{2}} \varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}=0\right) \\
& \Psi_{11}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}=0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption ( $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ ), if we take the conjugate of $\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}{ }^{\Psi}$ with respect to the variables $x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} y_{1}$, and then the conjugate with respect to $x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{1}^{\prime}$, we obtain $\theta p_{Y_{2}}{ }^{\Psi}$ itself :

$$
\left(p_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{2}}\right)\left(p_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}^{\Psi}=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}{ }^{\Psi} .
$$

But $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}^{\prime}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{2}}{ }^{\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}}{ }^{\Psi}=\Psi^{*}=\varphi$. It follows that $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{2}}^{\varphi}=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}{ }^{\Psi}$, hence $\varphi_{11}^{*}=\Psi_{11}$. By similar arguments, we prove that assumption $\left(H_{1}\right)$ implies $\Psi_{11}^{*}=\varphi_{11}$.

Thus, under ( $H_{1}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ ), the functionals $\varphi_{11}$ and $\Psi_{11}$ are mutually conjugate and they define a duality between $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$. We will call $\left(S_{1}\right)$ the comesponding minimax problem.
(b) Duality between $\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$ :

Proceeding in the same way, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{22}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{\mathrm{x}_{1} \in \mathrm{X}_{1}} \varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Psi_{22}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{\mathrm{yn}_{1} \in \mathrm{Y}_{1}} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we consider the two assumptions :
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{1}^{\prime} \in X_{1}^{\prime}$, the functional

$$
\left[\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

belongs to $\Gamma\left(X_{2} Y_{1}^{1} Y_{2}^{1}\right)$
$\left(K_{2}\right)$ for all $y_{1}^{\prime} \in Y_{1}^{\prime}$, the functional

$$
\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right] \rightarrow \theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}} \Psi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)
$$

belongs to $\Gamma\left(X_{1} X_{2}^{1} Y_{2}\right)$.
The assumption ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) implies that $\varphi_{22}=\psi_{22}^{*}$ and ( $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ ) that $\Psi_{22}=\varphi_{22}^{*}$. With ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ ) the two functionals $\varphi_{22}$ and $\Psi_{22}$ are mutually conjugate and define a duality between $\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$. We will call $\left(S_{2}\right)$ the corresponding minimax problem.
(C) Duality between $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\left(S_{2}\right)$ :

In order to define a duality between $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\left(S_{2}\right)$ we have to define $1^{\circ} /$ a duality between the two minimization problems $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$ and $2^{\circ} /$ a duality between $\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$.

Consider the two functionals :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{12}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\theta \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}} \varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Psi_{12}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\theta \mathrm{p}_{1}{ }^{\psi}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can prove that the assumption ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ) implies that $\varphi_{12}=\psi_{12}^{*}$ and the assumption ( $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ ) that $\Psi_{12}=\varphi_{12}^{*}$. Thus the two assumptions ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ ) together imply that $\varphi_{12}$ and $\Psi_{12}$ are mutually conjugate and define a duality between $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$.

Similarly, we define :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{21}\left(x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\theta p_{X_{1}} \varphi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}=0, x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}=0\right), \\
& \Psi_{21}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{1}\right)=\theta p_{Y_{2}} \Psi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}=0, x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}=0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the two assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$ these two functionals are mutually conjugate and they define a duality between $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$.

Finally, with the four assumptions ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$, we have obtained two dual minimax problems $\left(S_{1}\right)$, which is the pair $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(Q_{1}\right)$, and $\left(S_{2}\right)$, which is the pair $\left(P_{2}\right),\left(Q_{2}\right)$. The problems $\left(P_{1}\right),\left(P_{2}\right)$, and the problems $\left(Q_{1}\right),\left(Q_{2}\right)$ are obtained by partial minimization of two problems, respectively ( $P$ ) and ( $Q$ ), which are dual of each other in the classical sense. This situation is summarized in the following diagram :


## IV. STABIITTY AND CHARACIERIZATION OF THE SOLUTIONS .

Using the characterization theorem of section II and the fact that $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{2}\right)$ are dual as well as $\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$, we have the following result :

The pair $\left[\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{y}_{1}\right]$ is a solution of $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and the pair $\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}_{2}\right]$ is a solution of $\left(S_{2}\right)$ if and only if the following two conditions hold :

$$
f_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)+g_{2}\left(\bar{y}_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+g_{1}\left(\bar{y}_{1}\right)=0
$$

Using the terminology introduced in $[1,2]$, the problem $\left(P_{1}\right)$ is stable with respect to the perturbation $y_{2}^{\prime}$ if the functional :

$$
h_{12}\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}^{\prime}} \varphi_{12}\left(x_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is finite and continuous at $y_{2}^{1}=0$.
In the same way, $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ is stable with respect to $x_{2}^{1}$ if the functional

$$
k_{21}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}} \Psi_{21}\left(x_{2}^{j}, y_{1}\right)
$$

is finite and continuous at $\mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}=0$.
Speaking of the duality between $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\left(S_{2}\right)$, it is logical to say that $\left(S_{1}\right)$ is stable if $h_{12}$ and $k_{21}$ are finite and continuous at $y_{2}^{\prime}=0$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}=0$ respectively.

We have then the following characterization theorem:
If ( $S_{1}$ ) is stable, then $\left[\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{y}_{1}\right]$ is a solution of $\left(S_{1}\right)$, if and only if there exists $\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \bar{y}_{2}\right] \in X_{2} Y_{2}$ such that:

$$
f_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)+g_{2}\left(\bar{y}_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+g_{1}\left(\bar{y}_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

(obviously such a pair $\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \bar{y}_{2}\right]$ is a solution of $\left(S_{2}\right)$ ).

## V. EXAMPIES.

In both examples we suppose that the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$ are satisfied.

## Example 1:

Suppose we have $X_{1}=Y_{2}^{\prime}, X_{1}^{\prime}=Y_{2}, X_{2}=Y_{1}, \quad X_{2}^{\prime}=Y_{1}$ and let $\omega_{1} \in \Gamma\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)$ and $\omega_{2} \in \Gamma\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)$. Define :

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\omega_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then the conjugate of $\varphi$ is :

$$
\Psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=w_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}+x_{2}^{*}\right)+w_{2}^{*}\left(-y_{1},-y_{2}\right)
$$

It follows that the minimax problem $\left(S_{1}\right)$ is defined by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{11}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}}\left(\omega_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \Psi_{11}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \in Y_{2}}\left(w_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}\right)+w_{2}^{*}\left(-y_{1},-y_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{22}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \Psi_{22}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\omega_{2}^{*}\left(-y_{1},-y_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(The functionals $\varphi_{12} \Psi_{12} \varphi_{21} \Psi_{21}$ are defined in the same way).
The four problems which form $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\left(S_{2}\right)$ are :

| $\left(P_{1}\right) \quad \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}} f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ | $\left(Q_{1}\right) \quad \beta=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}} g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}}\left(\omega_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ | $g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \in Y_{2}}\left(\omega_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)+\omega_{2}^{*}\left(-y_{1},-y_{2}\right)\right)$ |
| $\left(P_{2}\right) \quad \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in X_{2}} f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ | $\left(Q_{2}\right) \quad \beta=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \in Y_{2}} g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)$ |
| $f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in X_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\omega_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ | $g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \in Y_{1}\left(w_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)+w_{2}^{*}\left(-y_{1},-y_{2}\right)\right)}$ |

## Example 2 :

Let $Y_{1}=Y_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $Y_{2}=Y_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose $\omega \in \Gamma\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right), f_{i} \in \Gamma\left(X_{1}\right)$, $i=1, \ldots, m$ and $g_{j} \in \Gamma\left(X_{2}\right), j=1, \ldots, n$.
Define :
$\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \text { if } f_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+y_{1 i}^{\prime} \leq 0, \\ & \text { and } g_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)+y_{2 j}^{\prime} \leq 0, \ldots, m \\ +\infty & \text { elsewhere. }\end{cases}$
The conjugate of $\varphi$ has the following expression :
$\psi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sup \left(\left\langle x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle-\omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right. \\ \left.x_{1} x_{2} \quad-\sum_{i} y_{1 i} f_{i}\left(x_{1}\right) \quad-\sum_{j} y_{2 j} g_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\ \quad \text { if } y_{1 i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, m \text { and } y_{2 j} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots, n, \\ +\infty \quad \text { elsewhere. }\end{array}\right.$

If' we define :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left\{x_{1} \in X_{1} \mid f_{i}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 0, i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \\
& C_{2}=\left\{x_{2} \in X_{2} \mid g_{j}\left(x_{2}\right) \leq 0, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

the minimax problem $\left(S_{1}\right)$ is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{11}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Inf} \omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \text { if } f_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)+y_{1 i}^{\prime} \leq 0, i=1, \ldots, m \\
x_{2} \in C_{2} \\
+\infty \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and the minimax problem $\left(S_{2}\right)$ is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{22}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Inf}^{\prime} \omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \text { if } g_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)+y_{2 j}^{\prime} \leq 0, j=1, \ldots, n, \\
x_{1} \in C_{1} \\
+\infty \quad \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the four problems which compose $\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\left(S_{2}\right)$ are :

| $\begin{aligned} & \left(P_{1}\right) \quad \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in C_{1}} \omega_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\ & \omega_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in C_{2}} \omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \left(Q_{1}\right) \quad \beta & =\operatorname{Inf}_{y_{1} \geq 0} \eta_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\ \eta_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)= & -\operatorname{Inf}^{x_{1} \in X_{1}}\left(\omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\sum_{i} y_{1 i} i_{i}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \\ & x_{2} \in C_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \left(P_{2}\right) \quad \alpha=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{2} \in C_{2}} \omega_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \\ & \omega_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in C_{1}} \omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \left(Q_{2}\right) \quad \beta= & \operatorname{Inf}_{y_{2} \geq 0} \eta_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\ \eta_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)= & -\operatorname{Inf}_{x_{1} \in C_{1}}\left(\omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\sum_{j} y_{2 j} g_{j}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\ & x_{2} \in x_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
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[^0]:    (*) The notation $\varphi\left(x, y^{\prime}=0\right)$ instead of $\varphi(x, 0)$ helps to recall that the variable which is taken equal to zero is $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$. This method will be very useful later for more complicated cases.

