# CHARACTERISTICS OF SATURATION OF THE CLASS OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS

## G.Ŝ.Rubinstein

Institute of Mathematics
Siberian Branch
U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences
Novosibirsk

#### I. Formulation of the problem

In many cases real-valued functions are essential only in respect of perfect pre-orders they induce on their domains. Thus we define the following equivalence relation in the space  $\overline{F}(G)$  of all real-valued functions given on one and the same set G:

 $(f \sim g) \iff (f(x) \leqslant f(y) \iff g(x) \leqslant g(y)).$  Equivalence classes f corresponding to functions  $f \in F(G)$  have the following simple functional description:

$$F_f = \{ u \circ f : u \in U(T_f) \},$$

where  $U(T_f)$  is the set of all increasing functions defined on the numerical set  $T_f = f(G) = \{f(x): x \in G\}$  and  $u \circ f$  is a superposition on u and f, that is function  $g(x) = u(f(x)), x \in G$ .

As usual the saturation of the class  $\Phi \subset F(G)$  related to the above-described equivalence is defined as the set

$$U\phi = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \varphi} F_{\varphi} = \{u \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \varphi, u \in U(T_{\varphi})\}.$$

In other words a function  $f \in F(G)$  belongs to saturation  $U \Leftrightarrow G$ 

the class  $\Phi_{\mathsf{CF}(G)}$  if there exists such a function  $u \in U(T_{\mathsf{f}})$  that  $u \circ_{\mathsf{f}} \in \Phi$  .

If the class  $\Phi \subset F(G)$  coincides with its saturation  $V \Phi$  then it's called saturated.

Hereafter, it will be considered that G is arbitrary (containing more than one point) relatively open convex set in a real vector space, that is such a convex set that for any  $x, y \in G$  there exists  $\lambda > 0$  for which  $y + \lambda (y - \infty) \in G$ .

By  $V(\mathcal{G})$  we shall designate the class of convex functions, that is such functions  $\mu \in F(\mathcal{G})$  that

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y) \tag{I}$$

holds for arbitrary  $x,y \in G$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . By W(G) we shall designate the class of quasi-convex functions, that is such functions  $f \in F(G)$  that

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \max\{f(x), f(y)\}$$
 (2)

holds for any  $\infty, y \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and the inequality is strict when  $\varphi(x) \neq f(y)$ .

It is clear that the class of quasi-convex functions is saturated. Hence, it contains saturation UV(G) of more narrow class of convex functions. But there always exist functions  $f \in W(G)$  which do not belong to UV(G). In connection with this the American mathematician W.Fenchel stated a well-known problem of characterizing quasi-convex functions that belong to saturation UV(G) of the class of convex functions (see [1], p.115-137). The present report is devoted to solving that problem.

#### 2. Auxiliary Functions

Let  $F_c(G)$  be a subspace that consists of functions  $f \in F(G)$  such that their traces on the cross-cuts of G with any straight line is continuous.

Let us note now that it's sufficient to solve the question on the quasi-convex functions, we are interested in, for more narrow class  $W_c(G) = W(G) \cap F_c(G)$ .

Indeed, for every function  $f \in W(G) \setminus W_c(G)$  it is possible to construct an equivalent function  $f \circ = v \circ f$ , where  $v \in U(T_f)$  coincides with Lebesque measure of set  $T_f \cap [t_0, t]$  when  $t \in T_f$  is greater than some fixed  $t \circ \in T_f$  and if  $t \leqslant t$  then it equals Lebesque measure of

set  $T_t \cap [t,t_0]$  multiplied by - I. If in this case  $f_0 \in F_c(G)$  then the initial function  $f \in W(C)$  does not undoubtedly belong to the set UV(G), we are interested in. Otherwise, the question is to examine function  $f_{c} \in W_{c}(G)$ .

It's known (see [2] ) that if traces of function  $f \in F(G)$  on cross-cuts of the set G with any straight line are measurable then inequalities (I) and (2) are implications of related inequalities with some fixed  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , for instance, with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ . Specifically, it's true for all functions from  $UF_c(6)$ . And that means we may study question concerning functions from  $f \in W_c(G)$  in terms of auxiliary functions

$$\tau_{f}(t,t') = \sup \{ f(\frac{x+y}{2}) : x \in f^{-1}(t), y \in f^{-1}(t') \}$$

defined on  $T_4 \times T_4$ .

Evidently, function  $f \in W_c(G)$  belongs to set UV(G) if and only if such a function  $u: T_f \to R$  exists that inequalities

$$u(t')-u(t)>0\;,\;\;u(t)+u(t')-2u(\mathcal{T}_{f}(t,t'))\geqslant0 \tag{3}$$
 hold for any  $t< t'$  from  $\mathcal{T}_{f}$  . Under this condition the function  $u\in V(\mathcal{T}_{f})$  is automatically continuous.

All functions  $f \in F_c(G)$  evidently have connected ranges. At that if function fe Wc (G) is not constant it cannot attain its maximum on relatively open convex set G . So, its range  $T_4$  either coincides with its open core  $\mathcal{T}_{t}$  or contains, besides, one additional point  $\theta = \min_{x \in G} f(x)$ .

We shall call the point  $t^* \in T_f$  regular, the function  $f \in W_c(G)$  beeing fixed, if for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is such a function  $u: T \to R$ , where  $T = T_f \cap (t^* - \varepsilon, t^* + \varepsilon)$ , that for any t < t' from T inequalities (3) hold.

It's clear that if the function  $f \in W_c(G)$  belongs to UV(G)then all points of the set  $T_4$  are regular. The following inverse statement is also correct (its complete proof is given in [3] ):

Theorem I. If all points of set  $\mathring{T}_{\mathbf{f}}$  are regular for the function  $f \in W_c(G)$  then the function is equivalent to some function  $\varphi \in V(G)$ .

So, if function  $f \in W_c(G)$  does not belong to  $U_cV(G) =$ =  $F_c(G) \wedge UV(G)$ , then at least one interior point of set  $T_f$ is not regular. To complete the characterization of the set  $U_{
m c}\,V(G)$ we are to find out necessary and sufficient regularity conditions of

interior points of sets  $T_f$  corresponding to functions  $f \in W_c$  (G).

### 3. Characteristics of regular points

Let us introduce auxiliary normed linear space of additive functions with finite supports belonging to some bounded connected set  $T \in R$ . For the purpose let us assign to every  $t \in T$  an additive function  $\mu_t: \mathcal{L}^T \to R$  which equals 1 on sets  $e \in \mathcal{L}^T$  containing t and equals 0 otherwise. Further let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^T)$  be the set of all finite linear combinations of introduced elementary functions with the coefficient sum equal to 0. The norm  $\mathbb{H} \cdot \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$  in linear space  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^T)$  is defined as the full variation of corresponding additive functions.

Let us assign now to every function  $f \in W_c(G)$  and interval  $T = [\alpha, \beta]$  from  $T_f$  a cone  $K(f, T) \subset \mathfrak{P}(2^T)$  which is the conical hull of the union of two sets:

$$A(f,T) = \{ \alpha_{tt'} = M_{t'} - M_t : t < t' \text{ from } T \},$$
 
$$B(f,T) = \{ \beta_{tt'} = M_t + M_{t'} - 2M_{T_t(t,t')} : t < t' \text{ from } T \}.$$

According to one of non-classical separation theorems ( see [4], theorem 9 ) and some properties derived from proof of theorem I of the preceding paragraph it is possible to demonstrate the validity of the following statements:

Theorem 2. Whatever a function  $f \in W_c(G)$  and an interval  $T = [\alpha, \beta]$  from  $T_f$  are, (\*)-closure of the cone K(f, T) coincides with closure of this cone in the topology of normed space  $\Phi_r(2^T)$  and, hence, also with its closure in the strongest local-convex topology.

Theorem 3. The interior point  $t^*$  of the range  $T_f$  of the function  $f \in W_c(G)$  is regular if and only if for some  $\alpha < t^* < \beta$  from  $T_f$  the point  $-\alpha_{\alpha t^*}$  does not belong to the closure of the cone  $K(f, [\omega, \beta])$  in one of the three topologies of Theorem 2 (and, therefore, in all the topologies).

Corollary I. The necessary and sufficient regularity condition of inner point  $t^*$  of the range  $T_f$  of function  $f \in W_c(G)$  is the existence of some  $a < t^* < b$  such that set T = [a, b] satisfies the condition

$$\rho_v\left(-a_{\alpha t^*}, K\left(f, T\right)\right) = \inf_{a \in K\left(f, T\right)} \|a + a_{\alpha t^*}\|_v > 0.$$

Corollary 2. If  $f \in W_c(G)$  and all points of an interval  $[\alpha_0, \beta_0]$  are regular, then for any  $t^* \in (\alpha_0, \beta_c)$  inductively defined points

$$\alpha_{i} = \tau_{f}(\alpha_{i-1}, t^{*}), \quad \beta_{i} = \tau_{f}(t^{*}, \beta_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, ...$$

are such that for some natural number m inequalities

$$\tau_f(\alpha_i, \beta_{i+m}) < t^*$$

holds for all i = 0, 1, 2, ...

With the help of the last corollary we can easily verify, for example, that for  $\mathcal{G}=(-3,1)$  function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -x-2 & \text{if } -3 < x \le -1 \\ x^3 & \text{if } -1 < x < 1 \end{cases}$$

from  $W_c(G)$  is not equivalent to any convex function, because the point  $\theta \in \mathring{T}_i$  is not regular.

In conclusion let us mention one circumstance which is often useful for the practical solution of poblems of transformation of quasi-convex functions into the convex ones.

First of all it's clear that if functions  $f \in W_c(G_1)$  and  $g \in W_c(G_2)$  have the same range and  $T_f(t,t') \leq T_g(t,t')$  is true for any t < t' from  $T_f = T_g$ , then the function  $u \in U(T_g)$  for which  $u \circ g \in V(G_2)$ , satisfies also the condition  $u \circ f \in V(G_1)$ . Specifically, it covers the case when  $G_2 \subset R$  and function  $g \in W_c(G_2)$  is increasing. Then the function  $u = g^{-1} \in U(T_g) = U(T_f)$  can be taken as the transforming one for f.

Let us note here that if T < R is a connected set without the largest element and a function  $\tau: T \times T \to R$  is such that for any t < t' from T

$$\tau(t,t) = t$$
,  $t < \tau(t,t') < t'$ ,

then for the existence of an increasing function g satisfying condition  $\tau_g$  =  $\tau$ , it's necessary and sufficient that for any  $t_4, t_2, t_3$  and  $t_4$  from  $\tau$  the following equality takes place

$$\tau(\tau(t_1,t_2),\tau(t_3,t_4)) = \tau(\tau(t_1,t_3),\tau(t_2,t_4)).$$

Besides, the function  ${m g}$  we are interested in can be effectively constructed with the help of the function  ${m \tau}$  .

#### References.

- I. W.Fenchel. Convex cones, set and functions. Princeton, 1953.
- 2. Б.А.Вертгейм и Г.Ш.Рубинштейн. К определению квазивыпуклых функций. Сборник "Математическое программирование", Москва, 1966, с. I2I-I34.
- 3. Г.Ш.Рубинштейн. Характеристика насыщения класса выпуклых функций. Сборник "Оптимизация", вып.9, Новосибирск, 1973, с. 165-180.
- 4. Г.Ш. Рубинштейн. Теоремы отделимости выпуклых множеств. Сибирский математический журнал, т.5, № 5, I964,с. I098-II24.