

THE PURSUIT GAME WITH THE INFORMATION LACK OF THE EVADING PLAYER

L.A. Petrosjan
Leningrad State University,
Leningrad, USSR

We consider zero-sum differential game with perscribed duration T . The kinematic equations have the form

$$\begin{aligned} P : \dot{x} &= f(x, u) \quad , \quad u \in U \subset \text{Comp } R^k, \\ E : \dot{y} &= g(y, v) \quad , \quad v \in V \subset \text{Comp } R^e, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in R^n$, $y \in R^n$, $x(0) = x_0$, $y(0) = y_0$. We suppose that for every starting positions x_0 , y_0 and every pair of measurable open-loop controls $u(t)$, $v(t)$ there exists a unique solution of the system (1) with the initial conditions x_0 , y_0 .

The state of information is defined in the following way. The player E (evader) at each instant $t \in [0, T]$ knows the time t , the initial position of P (pursuer), x_0 , and his own position $y(t)$. When $t \in [0, \ell]$, P at each moment t knows his position $x(t)$, the time t and the initial state of the player E at $t=0$, y_0 ; when $t \in [\ell, T]$, P at each moment t knows his position $x(t)$, the time t and the position of player E at moment $t-\ell$, $y(t-\ell)$, ($\ell > 0$).

The payoff of the player E is defined as

$$M(x(T), y(T)),$$

where $M(x, y)$ is a given continuous function on $R^n \times R^n$. The game is supposed to be zero-sum.

Pure strategies. Under pure strategy of the player in the considered game we shall understand the so called piecewise control strategies (PCS). Under the (PCS) of the player E , $v(\cdot)$, we shall understand the pair $\{\tau, b\}$, where τ is a finite decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$, $0 = t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_s = T$ and b -mapping which assigns to every state of information at the moment $t_k \in \tau$, t_k , x_0 , $y(t_k)$, a measurable open-loop

control $v(t)$ defined on the time interval $[t_k, t_{k+1})$. Under the (PCS) of the player $P, u(\cdot)$, we shall understand the pair $\{\sigma, a\}$ where σ is a finite decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$, $0 = t'_1 \leq t'_2 \leq \dots \leq t'_q = T$ and a -mapping which assigns to every state of information at the moments $0 \leq t'_k \leq \ell$ ($t'_k \in \sigma$), t'_k , y_0 , $x(t'_k)$, a measurable open-loop control $u(t)$ defined on the time interval $[t'_k, t'_{k+1})$; and at moments $\ell < t'_k \leq T$ ($t'_k \in \sigma$), it assigns to the t'_k , $y(t'_k - \ell)$, $x(t'_k)$ a measurable open-loop control $u(t)$ defined on the time interval $[t'_k, t'_{k+1})$.

Every pair of (PCS) $u(\cdot)$, $v(\cdot)$ and the initial conditions x_0 , y_0 uniquely determine the trajectories $x(t), y(t)$ as solutions of (1), $t \in [0, T]$, and the payoff $M(x(T), y(T))$.

Thus we can define the pay-off function, as functional of pure strategies (PCS) in the following way

$$K(x_0, y_0; u(\cdot), v(\cdot)) = M(x(T), y(T)), \quad (3)$$

where $x(t)$, $y(t)$ are the trajectories corresponding to the strategy pair $u(\cdot)$, $v(\cdot)$ and initial conditions x_0 , y_0 .

The game under consideration is one with incomplete information for both players, as we well know from the general game theory, usually

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{v(\cdot)} \inf_{u(\cdot)} K(x_0, y_0; u(\cdot), v(\cdot)) \neq \\ & \neq \inf_{u(\cdot)} \sup_{v(\cdot)} K(x_0, y_0; u(\cdot), v(\cdot)). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In case (4) holds it is difficult to speak about the solution of the game in any sense. So we have to follow the von Neumann's approach (see [1]) and introduce the mixed strategies in hope of finding the saddle point in an enlarged class.

Mixed behaviour piecewise control strategies (MB PCS). Under the (MB PCS) of the player E , $\nu(\cdot)$, we shall understand the pair $\{\tau, d\}$, where τ is a finite decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$, $0 = t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_s = T$, and d is a mapping which assigns to every state of information at moments $t_k \in \tau$, $t_k, x_0, y(t_k)$, a probability measure ν concentrated on a finite set of open-loop measurable controls $v(t), t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$. Under the (MB PCS) of the player P , $\mu(\cdot)$, we shall understand the pair $\{\sigma, c\}$, where σ is a finite decomposition of the time interval $[0, T]$, $0 = t'_1 \leq t'_2 \leq \dots \leq t'_q = T$, and c is a mapping which assigns to every state of information at the moments $0 \leq t'_k \leq \ell$ ($t'_k \in \sigma$), $t'_k, y_0, x(t'_k)$ a probability measure μ concentrated on a finite set of open-loop measurable controls $u(t), t \in [t'_k, t'_{k+1})$; and at the moments $\ell \leq t'_k \leq T$ ($t'_k \in \sigma$) it assigns to the $t'_k, y(t'_k - \ell), x(t'_k)$ a probability measure μ concentrated on a finite set of open-loop measurable controls $u(t), t \in [t'_k, t'_{k+1})$.

Every strategy pair of (MB PCS) $\mu(\cdot), \nu(\cdot)$ defines random trajectories $x(t), y(t)$ from the initial position x_0, y_0 . Thus the payoff $M(x(T), y(T))$ becomes random reachable and we have to consider its mathematical expectation. The latter is uniquely determined by the initial conditions x_0, y_0 and (MB PCS) strategy pair $\mu(\cdot), \nu(\cdot)$. We shall write it as a functional of $\mu(\cdot), \nu(\cdot)$

$$E(x_0, y_0; \mu(\cdot), \nu(\cdot)) = E_{xP} M(x(T), y(T)), \quad (5)$$

when the expectation is taken by the probability measure over the trajectories $x(t), y(t)$ ($x(0) = x_0, y(0) = y_0$) corresponding to the (MB PCS) strategy pair $\mu(\cdot), \nu(\cdot)$.

We shall derive later some sufficient condition under which the equation (4) holds in the class of (MB PCS) strategies.

An auxiliary zero-sum game Γ_y . Let $C_P^t(x)$, $C_E^t(y)$ be reachable sets of positions for the players P and E from the starting positions x, y by the moment t . We shall consider a simultaneous game Γ_y , $y \in C_E^{T-\epsilon}(y_0)$ over the sets of strategies $C_P^T(x_0)$, $C_E^e(y)$. The game proceeds as follows. The players P and E choose simultaneously and independently of each other the points $\xi \in C_P^T(x_0)$ and corresponding

ly $\gamma \in C_E^e(\gamma)$. The payoff of player E is defined as $M(\xi, \gamma)$. If we suppose the compactness of the sets $C_P^T(x_0)$ and $C_E^e(\gamma)$, the game Γ_γ for every $\gamma \in C_E^{T-e}(\gamma_0)$ (see [1]) has the saddle point in mixed strategies, that means in the class of probability measures over the sets $C_P^T(x_0)$, $C_E^e(\gamma)$ (the payoff $M(\xi, \gamma)$ is assumed to be continuous).

We shall pose the following conditions on the class of games

$$\Gamma_\gamma, \gamma \in C_E^{T-e}(\gamma_0).$$

1. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists such N , that in the game Γ_γ , P has an ε -optimal mixed strategy μ_ε , which prescribes equal probabilities $1/N$, to N points $\xi_i(\gamma) \in C_P^T(x_0)$ and the number of the points N does not depend on γ , when $\gamma \in C_E^{T-e}(\gamma_0)$.

2. Let $\gamma(t)$ be any motion of E on the time interval $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, then there exists such N nonintersecting trajectories $\xi_i[\gamma(t-e)] = \xi_i(t)$, that $\xi_i(\gamma(t-e)) \in C_P^T(x_0)$, where every $\xi_i[\gamma(t-e)]$ is a spectrum point of the strategy μ_ε , which is ε -optimal in the game $\Gamma_{\gamma(t-e)}$.

Let $V(\gamma)$ be the value of the game Γ_γ and

$$V(\bar{\gamma}) = \max_{\gamma \in C_E^{T-e}(\gamma_0)} V(\gamma).$$

Now we can describe the construction of the ε -optimal (MB PCS) for both players in the previous game.

Theorem. Let the sets $C_P^T(x_0)$, $C_E^e(\gamma)$, be compact for each $\gamma \in C_E^{T-e}(\gamma_0)$ and the conditions 1, 2 be satisfied. Suppose that for every $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ player P can guarantee

ε_1 -capture at the moment T with any of the points ξ_i moving along the trajectories $\xi_i[\gamma(t-e)] = \xi_i(t)$, when E moves along $\gamma(t)$.

Then the value of the game is equal to $V(\bar{\gamma})$ (see (6)). The ε -optimal (MB PCS) for E includes the open-loop control transition to $\bar{\gamma}$ on the time interval $t \in [0, T-e]$, and

further transfer to any point $y(T) \in C_\varepsilon^\varepsilon(\bar{y})$, which occurs after the realization of the random device according to the $\varepsilon/3$ - optimal mixed strategy of the player E in the game $\Gamma_{\bar{y}}$ at the moment $t = T - \ell$.

The ε -optimal (MB PCS) for P randomly chooses at $t=0$ with the probability $1/N$ any of the points ξ_i contained in the spectrum of his $\varepsilon/3$ optimal strategy in the game Γ_y and prescribes the pursuit of this point to guarantee the $\varepsilon/3$ capture with it at the terminal moment T .

When $M(\xi, \eta) = \rho(\xi, \eta)$, where ρ is an euclidean distance the value of the game, strategies mentioned in the theorem have an interesting geometric interpretation. The value $V(y)$ of Γ_y is equal to the radius $R(y)$ of the minimal sphere $S(y)$ which contains the set $C_\varepsilon^\varepsilon(y)$. The value of the previous game $V(\bar{y})$ is equal to the maximal radius $R(\bar{y}) = \max_{y \in C_\varepsilon^{\tau-\ell}(y_0)} R(y)$.

The optimal (NB PCS) of player P is pure and consists in the pursuing of the centre $O(y)$ of the minimal sphere $S(y)$.

The optimal strategy of the player E is (MB PCS). On the time interval $[0, T-\ell)$ he moves to the point \bar{y} , for which the radius $R(y)$ of minimal sphere containing the set $C_\varepsilon^\varepsilon(y)$ reaches its maximal value. Let us consider now the auxiliary game

$\Gamma_{\bar{y}}$. The payoff function in this game is convex, so the maximizing player has an optimal mixed strategy which prescribes positive probabilities to no more than $n+1$ points of the set $C_\varepsilon^\varepsilon(\bar{y})$, where n is a dimension of the space R^n . One can prove that these points lie on the boundary of the minimal sphere containing $C_\varepsilon^\varepsilon(\bar{y})$. We denote them $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n+1}$. Let $O(\bar{y})$ be the center of this minimal sphere, then there exist such

$$\lambda_i, \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = 1,$$

that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i \eta_i = O(\bar{y})$$

At the moment $t = T - \ell$, E chooses with the probability $\lambda_i, i=1, \dots, n+1$, the direction to one of the points $\eta_i, i=1, \dots, n+1$ and on the interval $(T - \ell, T]$ moves to reach it at moment T .

REFERENCES

- 1 . Karlin S. Mathematical methods and theory of games, programming and economics, Pergamon Press, 1953.