Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Edited by G. Goos and J. Hartmanis

110

Waltraud Dehning Heidrun Essig Susanne Maass

The Adaptation of Virtual Man-Computer Interfaces to User Requirements in Dialogs



Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1981

Editorial Board

W. Brauer P. Brinch Hansen D. Gries C. Moler G. Seegmüller J. Stoer N. Wirth

Authors

Waltraud Dehning Heidrun Essig Susanne Maass FB Informatik der Universität Schlüterstr. 70, 2000 Hamburg 13, Germany

AMS Subject Classifications (1980): 68 A 05 CR Subject Classifications (1979): 2.1

ISBN 3-540-10826-2 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0-387-10826-2 Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

© by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1981 Printed in Germany

Printing and binding: Beltz Offsetdruck, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 2145/3140-543210

PREFACE

This is a shortened English version of the German report titled

"Zur Anpassung virtueller Mensch-Rechner-Schnittstellen an Benutzererfordernisse im Dialog"

by the same authors, which was first published in July 1978. It contains the results of a one year project that was carried through to get a 'diploma' in computer science.

Why do we, two years later, present this English version, though everybody might think the results are out of date by now? There are four reasons:

- In its first part our report contains a survey of English and German literature in the area of user-oriented system design (state of 1978). We introduced a systematic representation for a variety of items which has been lacking before. For our present studies we also reviewed the newer literature and realized, that objectives and ideas have remained the same until now.
- The second part consists of studies of man-computer dialog and discusses dialog techniques from a very basic point of view. Our analysis on three levels of abstraction may help the designer to improve man-computer dialog from its technological side.
- The report in its whole represents a certain style of system design: Coming from the human side and its requirements we develop system objectives; these are to be implemented by software techniques which are thoroughly examined in respect to their impact on the user. The necessity of this design strategy is becoming more and more obvious, because systems should suit human requirements and, additionally, frustrated and reluctant users are a cost factor as important as an inefficient system.

- Since most of the relevant literature has been in English, we assume that our report should be interesting for non-German-speaking people, too. This was confirmed by several explicit demands for an English version.

So finally we undertook the effort of rearranging, shortening and translating the German report without actualizing it.

Nevertheless there is one thing to be mentioned: The part of the user is a rather <u>passive</u> one in this report. We gathered a large number of rather 'objective' items characterizing his needs and behaviour. We then suggested to realize a flexible system with many different types of dialog available to the user and expected the user to select those dialog features appropriate to his needs.

In this kind of conception the user is already less constrained than before: he can adjust the existing system facilities to his requirements.

But recently it became obvious that a better way of designing user-friendly systems is to have the user participate actively already during the design phase. He should state his requirements before the first technical decisions have been made and not only make the best of the existing facilities.

Our studies on user characteristics and man-computer dialog can help the designer to see the variety of possible user requirements and system features. However they cannot replace user participation in the process of developing a system for some special application and user community.

W.D.

H.E.

S.M.

Hamburg, July 1980

ABSTRACT

In the beginning of the computer era only specialists worked with computer systems. Today there exists a large variety of computer users, which raises a lot of problems at the computeruser interface. Therefore it has become necessary to establish more research activities in the field of man-computer interaction. The authors of this report tried to cover some problems related to this area: they studied the characteristics of 'naive' users and their dialog with a computer in order to propose design criteria for man-computer dialog systems. To achieve this goal research was done in two directions: part one consists of an extensive list of statements about user needs and abilities at a man-computer interface which were extracted from literature in German and English. Part two gives a formal description of man-computer dialog and its content, especially for database systems. Three levels of abstraction are introduced each of which allows a different viewpoint and a different characterization of dialog and its elements. In the third part both, user characteristics and dialog description, are combined to make design suggestions for user-oriented dialog systems. They are based on a detailed discussion of what user-orientation should mean for man-computer dialog.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Immer neue Benutzergruppen arbeiten heute mit Computersystemen; dabei treten verstärkt Probleme auf der Seite der Benutzer auf. Es ergibt sich somit die Notwendigkeit einer Auseinandersetzung mit den Möglichkeiten und Bedingungen, die die Schnittstelle zwischen Mensch und Rechner auszeichnen.

Die vorliegende Arbeit dokumentiert Forschungsbemühungen, die einen Teil dieser Problematik abdecken: Betrachtet werden überwiegend ungeschulte, sog. naive Benutzer und ihre speziellen Fähigkeiten und Bedürfnisse in einem Mensch-Rechner-Dialog mit dem Ziel, Gestaltungsempfehlungen für eine derartige Schnittstelle zu entwickeln.

In einem ersten Teil wird ein ausführlicher Überblick gegeben über in der Literatur existierende Aussagen zu Benutzermerkmalen und benutzerorientierten Mensch-Rechner-Schnittstellen. Der zweite Teil bringt formale und inhaltliche Beschreibungsmittel für den Mensch-Rechner-Dialog am Beispiel von Datenbanken. Zu diesem Zweck werden drei Betrachtungsebenen des Dialogs unterschieden, auf denen sich die verschiedenen Elemente des Dialogs formal charakterisieren lassen. Im dritten Teil der Arbeit werden Gestaltungsempfehlungen zur Konzeption einer benutzerfreundlichen dialogfähigen Mensch-Rechner-Schnittstelle gegeben. Diese bauen auf den Ergebnissen der ersten beiden Teile und auf einer ausführlichen Bestimmung

des Begriffs 'Benutzerfreundlichkeit' auf.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1. Background	1
	2. The Importance of Research on the Impact of	
	Computerization for Our Approach	5
	3. The Approach	6
	4. Proceeding of the Study	8
PAF	RT I: THE USER AND THE CONCEPT OF USER-FRIENDLINESS	10
2.	THE USER	11
	1. User Research in Computer Science	11
	1. The Present Situation	11
	2. User Types	12
	3. Some User Research Projects	14
	2. Factors Influencing the User Behaviour	16
3.	USER-FRIENDLINESS	22
	1. The Concept of User-Friendliness in the Literature	22
	1. Definitions	23
	2. User-Oriented Objectives	24
	1. The User as a Human Being	24
	1. Needs	24
	2. Skills	27
	3. Properties	29
	2. The User in Face of the System	31

4.	SPI	ECIAL ASPECTS OF USER BEHAVIOUR	35
	1.	Communication	35
		1. Axioms	35
		2. General Assumptions in Communication	37
	2.	Learning	38
		1. The Psychological View	38
		X	
11.	SY	STEM OBJECTIVES	93
	1.	How the Objectives Were Obtained	93
	2.	A Hierarchy of Objectives	94
		1. Dialog Flexibility	95
		2. Transparency	96
		3. Ease of Use	96
		4. Ease of Learning	98
		5. Reliability	99
	3.	Problems of Realization	99
12.		ONCEPTUAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MAN-COMPUTER	101
	1.	Basic Concepts	101
		1. Dialog Contents	102
		1. Functions	102
		2. Help Functions	103
		2. Dialog Modes	105
		1. Abstract Dialog Types	105
		2. Concretization and Realization of Abstract	107
	_	Dialog Types	107
	2.	The Realization of the System Objectives	109
		1. Dialog Flexibility	109
		2. Transparency	
		3. Ease of Use	111 112
		 Ease of Learning Reliability 	114
13	. C	ONCLUDING REMARKS	115
	1	. Discussion of the Methodology of the Approach	115

8.	TF	HE THREE LEVELS OF ABSTRACTION	6 3
	1.	Proceeding	63
	2.	Basic Structure (Level I)	63
		 Initiator and Kind of Initiative Selection Possibilities Unambiguous Task Specification Examples 	6 4 6 5 6 6
	3.	Representation (Level II)	67
		 Vocabulary The Structure of Representation The Structure of Contents 	6 8 6 9 7 0
	4.	Technical Realization (Level III)	7 1
		 The Dialog Medium Input Facilities Output Facilities 	7 1 7 2 7 3
9.	DI.	ALOG TYPES	74
		Abstract Dialog Types Concrete Dialog Types (Examples)	74 78
	T I:		82
10.	INT	TRODUCTION TO PART III	83
	1.	Our Approach to Interface Design	83
		 What Is Meant by 'Man-Computer Interface' Definition of User-Friendliness Design Philosophy 	83 87 88
	2.	The User in Our Conception	89
	3.	Proceeding in Part III	92

11.	SYSTEM OBJECTIVES	93
	1. How the Objectives Were Obtained	93
	2. A Hierarchy of Objectives	94
	1. Dialog Flexibility	95
	2. Transparency	96
	3. Ease of Use	96
	4. Ease of Learning	98
	5. Reliability	99
	3. Problems of Realization	99
12.	CONCEPTUAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MAN-COMPUTER	
	INTERFACES	101
	1. Basic Concepts	101
	1. Dialog Contents	102
	1. Functions	102
	2. Help Functions	103
	2. Dialog Modes	105
	1. Abstract Dialog Types	105
	2. Concretization and Realization of Abstract	
	Dialog Types	107
	2. The Realization of the System Objectives	109
	1. Dialog Flexibility	109
	2. Transparency	110
	3. Ease of Use	111
	4. Ease of Learning	112
	5. Reliability	114
13.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	115
	1. Discussion of the Methodology of the Approach	115
	2. A New Taylorism ?	116
	3. Problems of the Acceptance of the Results	118
REF	ERENCES	121
IND	÷χ	137