# Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Edited by G. Goos and J. Hartmanis

## 260

David C. Luckham Friedrich W. von Henke Bernd Krieg-Brückner Olaf Owe

### ANNA A Language for Annotating Ada Programs

**Reference Manual** 



Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York London Paris Tokyo

#### **Editorial Board**

D. Barstow W. Brauer P. Brinch Hansen D. Gries D. Luckham C. Moler A. Pnueli G. Seegmüller J. Stoer N. Wirth

#### Authors

David C. Luckham Computer Systems Laboratory, Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-2192, USA

Friedrich W. von Henke SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

Bernd Krieg-Brückner FB 3 Mathematik-Informatik, Universität Bremen Postfach 330 440, 2800 Bremen 33 Federal Republic of Germany

Olaf Owe Institute of Informatics, University of Oslo P.O. Box 1080, Blindern, 0316 Oslo 3, Norway

CR Subject Classification (1987): D.2.1, D.2.2, D.2.4, D.2.5, D.2.10, D.3.2, I.2.2, I.2.4

ISBN 3-540-17980-1 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0-387-17980-1 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data. ANNA, a language for annotating Ada programs. (Lecture notes in computer science; 260) Bibliography: p. Includes index. 1. ANNA (Computer program language) 2. Ada (Computer program language) I. Luckham, David C. II. Title: ANNA. III. Series. QA76.73.A54A56 1987 005.13 87-13030 ISBN 0-387-17980-1 (U.S.)

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is only permitted under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its version of June 24, 1985, and a copyright fee must always be paid. Violations fall under the prosecution act of the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1987 Printed in Germany

Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 2145/3140-543210

### **Table of Contents**

PREFACE	1
1. BASIC ANNA CONCEPTS	5
1.1 VIRTUAL ADA TEXT	5
1.2 ANNOTATIONS	7
1.3 SEMANTICS OF ANNOTATIONS	8
1.3.1 Program States	8
1.3.2 Assertions and the Anna Kernel	9
1.3.3 Consistency of Anna Programs	9
1.3.4 Definedness of Annotations	10
1.4 CONSISTENCY CHECKING	11
1.5 STRUCTURE OF THE MANUAL	12
1.6 CLASSIFICATION OF ERRORS	13
2. LEXICAL ELEMENTS	15
2.1 CHARACTER SET	15
2.2 LEXICAL ELEMENTS, SEPARATORS, AND DELIMITERS	15
2.7 FORMAL COMMENTS	16
2.9 RESERVED WORDS	16
2.10 ALLOWED REPLACEMENTS OF CHARACTERS	17
3. ANNOTATIONS OF DECLARATIONS AND TYPES	19
3.1 DECLARATIVE ANNOTATIONS	19
3.2 ANNOTATIONS OF OBJECTS	20
3.2.1 Transformation of Object Constraints	23
3.3 ANNOTATIONS OF TYPE AND SUBTYPE DECLARATIONS	24
3.3.3 Operations on All Types	27
3.4 ANNOTATIONS OF DERIVED TYPES	28
3.5 OPERATIONS OF SCALAR TYPES	28
3.6 ANNOTATIONS OF ARRAY TYPES	29
3.6.2 Operations of Array Types	29
3.6.4 Array States	30
3.7 ANNOTATIONS OF RECORD TYPES	31
3.7.4 Operations of Record Types	31
3.7.5 Record States	32
3.8 ANNOTATIONS OF ACCESS TYPES	34
3.8.2 Operations of Access Types	34
3.8.3 Constraints on Access Types	. 35
3.8.4 Collection States	36
3.9 DECLARATIVE PARTS	39
4. NAMES AND EXPRESSIONS IN ANNOTATIONS	41
4.1 NAMES IN ANNOTATIONS	41
4.1.4 Attributes	41
4.4 EXPRESSIONS IN ANNOTATIONS	41
4.5 OPERATORS AND EXPRESSION EVALUATION	42

4.5.1 Logical Operators	43
4.5.2 Relational Operators and Membership Tests	43
4.6 TYPE CONVERSIONS	44
4.7 QUALIFIED EXPRESSIONS	45
4.11 QUANTIFIED EXPRESSIONS	45
4.11.1 Transformation of Quantified Expressions into the Anna Kernel	47
4.12 CONDITIONAL EXPRESSIONS	48
4.13 MODIFIERS	49
4.14 DEFINEDNESS OF EXPRESSIONS	51
5. STATEMENT ANNOTATIONS	55
5.1 ANNOTATIONS OF SIMPLE AND COMPOUND STATEMENTS	55
5.5 ANNOTATIONS OF LOOP STATEMENTS	57
5.8 ANNOTATIONS OF RETURN STATEMENTS	-58
6. ANNOTATION OF SUBPROGRAMS	59
6.1 ANNOTATIONS OF SUBPROGRAM DECLARATIONS	59
6.2 ANNOTATION OF FORMAL PARAMETERS	61
6.3 ANNOTATIONS OF SUBPROGRAM BODIES	62
6.4 ANNOTATIONS OF SUBPROGRAM CALLS	62
6.5 RESULT ANNOTATIONS OF FUNCTION SUBPROGRAMS	63
6.6 OVERLOADING OF SUBPROGRAMS IN ANNOTATIONS	64
6.7 OVERLOADING OF OPERATORS	64
6.8 ATTRIBUTES OF SUBPROGRAMS	64
7. PACKAGE ANNOTATIONS	67
7.1 PACKAGE STRUCTURE	67
7.2 VISIBLE ANNOTATIONS IN PACKAGE SPECIFICATIONS	68
7.2.1 Annotations of a Visible Type	69
7.3 HIDDEN PACKAGE ANNOTATIONS	70
7.4 ANNOTATIONS ON PRIVATE TYPES	72
7.4.1 Use of Private Types in Annotations	74
7.4.2 Operations of a Private Type	74
7.4.4 Redefinition of Equality for Limited Types	75
7.7 PACKAGE STATES	76
7.7.1 State Types	77
7.7.2 Initial and Current States	79
7.7.3 Successor Package States	81
7.7.4 Function Calls Relative to Package States	82
7.7.5 Annotations on State Types	83
7.8 AXIOMATIC ANNOTATIONS	85
7.8.1 Simplified Notation for Axioms	88
7.8.2 Implicit Axioms for Equality	89
7.9 CONSISTENCY OF ANNA PACKAGES	90
7.9.1 Consistency of the Package Body	90
7.9.2 Consistency of Visible Annotations with the Package Body	91
7.10 EXAMPLE OF A PACKAGE WITH ANNOTATIONS	95

8. VISIBILITY RULES IN ANNOTATIONS	99
8.2 SCOPE OF DECLARATIONS AND DECLARATIVE ANNOTATIONS	99
8.3 VISIBILITY	99
8.5 RENAMING DECLARATIONS	100 101
8.7 THE CONTEXT OF OVERLOAD RESOLUTION 9. TASK ANNOTATIONS	101
10. PROGRAM STRUCTURE	105
10.1 ANNOTATIONS OF COMPILATION UNITS	105
10.1.1 Virtual Context Clauses	105
10.1.3 Context Annotations	105
10.2 ANNOTATIONS OF SUBUNITS 11. EXCEPTION ANNOTATIONS	107 109
11.2 ANNOTATION OF EXCEPTION HANDLERS	109
11.3 ANNOTATION OF RAISE STATEMENTS	109
11.4 PROPAGATION ANNOTATIONS	110
11.7 SUPPRESSING CHECKS OF ANNOTATIONS	112
12. ANNOTATION OF GENERIC UNITS	113
12.1 ANNOTATIONS OF GENERIC DECLARATIONS	113
12.1.1 Annotation of Generic Formal Objects	115
12.1.2 Annotation of Generic Formal Types	115
12.1.3 Annotation of Generic Formal Subprograms	116
12.3 INSTANTIATION OF GENERIC ANNOTATIONS	117
12.4 EXAMPLE OF A GENERIC PACKAGE WITH ANNOTATIONS 12.5 CONSISTENCY OF GENERIC UNITS	118 119
	<b>-</b>
13. ANNOTATION OF IMPLEMENTATION-DEPENDENT FEATURES	121
13.8 ANNOTATIONS FOR MACHINE CODE INSERTIONS	121
13.9 ANNOTATIONS OF INTERFACES TO OTHER LANGUAGES	121
13.10 ANNOTATIONS OF UNCHECKED PROGRAMMING	122 122
13.10.1 Annotations of Unchecked Storage Deallocation 13.10.2 Annotations of Unchecked Type Conversions	122
A. PREDEFINED ANNA ATTRIBUTES	122
C. PREDEFINED ANNA ENVIRONMENT	
	125
E. ANNA SYNTAX SUMMARY	127
H. EXAMPLES OF ANNA PROGRAMS	133
1. A SYMBOL TABLE PACKAGE	133
2. DIJKSTRA'S DUTCH NATIONAL FLAG PROGRAM	136
References	139
Index	141

#### PREFACE

Anna is a language extension of Ada to include facilities for formally specifying the intended behavior of Ada programs. It is designed to meet a perceived need to augment Ada with precise machine-processable annotations so that well established formal methods of specification and documentation can be applied to Ada programs.

The current Anna design includes annotations of all Ada constructs except tasking. Similar extensions for formal specification can be made to other Algol-like languages such as Pascal, PL-1, Concurrent Pascal, and Modula; essentially, these extensions would be subsets of Anna.

The design of Anna was initiated in 1980 by Bernd Krieg-Brueckner and David Luckham. An outline of some of the main features of Anna was presented at the Ada Symposium held in Boston, December 1980 [12]. This was based on the preliminary Ada design [11]. The current Anna design is a significant development from the 1980 version and was undertaken by the four present authors over the period 1981 — 1984. This effort proceeded in parallel with the Ada language revisions. It was sponsored by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency as part of the research project of the Program Analysis and Verification Group at Stanford University. The current Anna design corresponds to the latest Ada design, ANSI/MIL-STD 1815A.

#### Design Goals

The design of Anna was undertaken from the beginning with four principal considerations:

- 1. Constructing annotations should be easy for the Ada programmer and should depend as much as possible on notation and concepts of Ada.
- 2. Anna should possess language features that are widely used in the specification and documentation of programs.
- 3. Anna should provide a framework within which the various established theories of formally specifying programs may be applied to Ada.
- 4. Annotations should be equally well suited for different possible applications during the life cycle of a program. Such applications include not only testing, debugging and formal verification of a finished program, but also specification of program parts during the earlier stages of requirements analysis and program design.

#### Adaption to Ada

Goal (1) has had a major influence on both the syntax and semantics of Anna. The Anna syntax is a straightforward extension of the Ada syntax. Formal comments occur within the Ada comment framework. Anna programs are therefore accepted by Ada compilers. Ada concepts such as scope and visibility, elaboration, and generic instantiation apply to annotations. Most new concepts in Anna are extensions of concepts in Ada. For example Boolean expressions are extended to allow quantification. Collections of designated values associated with access types are available in annotations using the attribute notation of Ada. The central specification concept in Anna, the declarative annotation, is a generalization of the constraint concept in Ada and obeys the same Ada scope rules.

#### **Basic Specification Techniques**

Goal (2) requires that the underlying annotation language should be at least as powerful as any first order logic; i.e., it should contain the syntactic category of Ada expressions extended by logical quantifiers and implication operators. This choice is clearly dictated by the fact that most comments (informal or formal) are Boolean relationships between program variables. Also, in practice, annotations will often contain partially defined expressions, so the semantics of Anna must define clearly the meaning of such annotations.

Previous studies in program specification also indicate that inclusion of Ada text as formal comments -- called virtual Ada text -- should be permitted in Anna. This provides a powerful method of constructing executable specifications and syntactically separating them from the subject text.

Based on these decisions, the simplest kinds of annotations in Anna permit previous techniques for specifying Pascal programs to be applied to Ada [7, 10, 15, 17].

#### Established Specification Methods

Goal (3) is concerned with providing facilities for applying various established specification techniques that go beyond the simple comment or assertional techniques. For example, the most successful method of specifying access variable manipulations requires that annotations may refer to objects that are not available in the programming language, i.e., Collections [15]. (See also [Ada83 3.8 (3)].) Therefore access type collections and standard operations on them are provided in Anna as predefined attributes. Similarly, techniques for specifying module constructs by means of sequences of operations have been developed in previous literature, e.g., [16, 4] and [13]. Facilities for specifying packages in Anna include package states and sequences of state transitions. These facilities, together with the package axiom annotation, also enable the Anna user to apply other specification methods, e.g., the various algebraic methods of specifying abstract data types [8, 5], to packages.

To allow the specification of programs that may raise or propagate exceptions, propagation annotations are included in a notation adapted to Ada from [14].

#### **Applications of Formal Annotations**

Goal (4) is concerned with developing new applications of formal annotations. Use of Anna is not intended to be restricted to only verification of existing programs in the conventional proof-theoretic sense although this is clearly a major possible future application. A significant part of this document is devoted to describing a method of transforming annotations into runtime checks. It is applicable to most kinds of annotations. Consequently, an Anna program (or, in the most general case, large parts of an Anna program) can be transformed into an Ada program with runtime checks for consistency with the original annotations. This method can be implemented more easily than a formal verification system, and will provide a useful tool for testing and debugging Ada programs.

Anna is also intended for use during the design of a program, e.g., for formal specification of subprograms and packages prior to implementation of their bodies. Anna specifications may be generated as part of the development process from earlier requirements [3], and may then accompany a program through all stages of its development. (See also [2].)

#### **Future Versions of Anna**

Our philosophy in the current Anna design has been to provide a minimal set of basic kinds of annotations and predefined annotation concepts. It is the user's responsibility to define the special concepts needed for an application. As experience with Ada specifications and annotations accumulates we expect to revise and expand future versions.

It is certainly true that some features of Ada make it difficult to design an adequate annotation language. Any attempt to provide for every possibility, or to overly generalize facilities, will lead to a very complicated design.

The problems are caused to some extent by the basic set of Ada constructs, for example, access types and tasking. Specification of access type manipulations has always been a problem in previous languages such as Pascal, and one wishes that high level languages would progress to a stage where access types were omitted. Previous facilities appear to be the bare minimum for specification but do not seem to make the job easy in any real sense. The current facilities in Anna for specifying access type manipulations in particular, and composite types in general, may be extended in future versions.

Anna does not include any specific annotations for tasking. Some annotation can be provided for tasks by means of the facilities for subprograms. In general we feel that research in the overall area of specification of concurrent computation needs to progress before we make any extensions to Anna for tasking.

Most complications in the Anna design, however, are caused by the generality and permissiveness of Ada visibility rules, naming, overloading, and elaboration. In some cases the complicated Ada rules spill over into Anna; this we cannot avoid. In others, e.g., packages, the specialized annotations will not be useful if the programmer uses Ada in the wildest possible ways. Certainly, part of useful annotation is disciplined use of Ada; this matter will be addressed in one of the forthcoming Anna documents.

Anna can be modified for use as a program design language (PDL) at early stages of the software development process. This possibility is being studied and seems to improve on existing PDLs. It would provide automated analysis for some kinds of design errors during early systems design phases.

#### **Documents and Support Tools**

The preliminary reference manual is the first of a number of documents and planned support tools for Anna. Documents in preparation include: (1) An introduction to the use of Anna. This will include examples of various applications of formal annotations and a rationale for certain parts of the design. (2) Transformations from annotations to Ada runtime checks. (3) An axiomatic semantics of Anna.

Anna support tools currently being developed include : (1) syntax analyzers, structured editors, and tools for detecting simple kinds of errors; (2) a runtime checking system that will translate most annotations into Ada runtime checks. Development of this system as part of an environment of tools for debugging and testing by directly executing an Ada program against its Anna specifications is planned. A formal verification system is regarded as a longer-term undertaking.

#### Acknowledgements

Numerous comments on the November 1982 version of the preliminary Anna manual have been helpful in revising the design and producing this present manual. We owe special thanks to Norman Cohen, Anthony Gargaro, and Alec D. Hill for detailed reviews of that document which were most helpful. We are also greatly indebted to Rosemary Brock for her consistent efforts in preparing the manuscripts of the various versions of this manual over the past two years.

#### Acknowledgement to the first revision

This revision incorporates many of the corrections and suggestions over the past two years arising from the use of Anna and from the implementation of tools to support its applications. Our thanks are due to Doug Bryan, Chris Byrnes, Rob Chang, Geoff Mendal, Randall Neff, David Rosenblum, Sriram Sankar, and Will Tracz. As before, we are again indebted to Rosemary for overseeing the manuscript.

This work was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, Department of Defense, under contracts N00039-82-C-0250 and N00039-84-C-0211.