F.F.T. Hashing is not Collision-free

T. BARITAUD * , H. GILBERT * , M. GIRAULT **

(*) CNET   PAA / TSA / SRC
38 - 40, avenue du Général Leclerc
92131 ISSY LES MOULINEAUX (France)

(**) SEPT   PEM
42, rue des Coutures
BP 6243
14066 CAEN (France)

Abstract

The FFT Hashing Function proposed by C.P. Schnorr [1] hashes messages of arbitrary length into a 128-bit hash value. In this paper, we show that this function is not collision free, and we give an example of two distinct 256-bit messages with the same hash value. Finding a collision (in fact a large family of colliding messages) requires approximately 2^{23} partial computations of the hash function, and takes a few hours on a SUN3- workstation, and less than an hour on a SPARC-workstation.

A similar result discovered independently has been announced at the Asiacrypt'91 rump session by Daemen-Bosselaers-Govaerts-Vandewalle [2].

1 The FFT Hashing Function

1.1 The Hash algorithm

Let the message be given as a bit string $m_1 m_2 ... m_t$ of t bit.

The message is first padded so that its length (in bits) becomes a multiple of 128. Let the padded message $M_1 M_2 ... M_n$ consist of n blocks $M_1, ... , M_n$, each of the M_i (i=1, ... ,n) being 128-bit long.

The algorithm uses a constant initial value H_0 given in hexadecimal as

$$H_0 = 0123 \ 4567 \ 89ab \ cdef \ fedc \ ba98 \ 7654 \ 3210 \ \text{in} \ \{0,1\}^{128}.$$

Let p be the prime $65537 = 2^{16} + 1$.

We will use the Fourier transform $\quad FT_8 : \{0, \dots , p\text{-}1\}^8 \longrightarrow \{0, \dots , p\text{-}1\}^8$

$$(a_0, \dots , a_7) \longrightarrow (b_0, \dots , b_7)$$

with $\quad b_i = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{7} 2^{4ij} a_j \mod p$, for $i = 0, \dots , 7$.

Algorithm for the hash function h :

INPUT $\quad$: $\quad M_1 M_2 \dots M_n$ in $\{0,1\}^{n.128}$ $\quad$ (a padded message)

DO $\quad$: $\quad H_i = g(H_{i-1} , M_i)$ $\quad$ for $i = 1 , \dots , n$

OUTPUT : $\quad h(M) := H_n$

Algorithm for $g : Z_p^{16} \longrightarrow \{0,1\}^{8.16}$

INPUT $\quad (c_0, \dots , c_{15})$ in $\{0,1\}^{16.16}$

1. $\quad (c_0, c_2, \dots , c_{14}) := FT_8(c_0, c_2, \dots , c_{14})$

2. $\quad$ FOR $i = 0, \dots , 15$ DO

$$c_i := c_i + c_{i-1} c_{i-2} + c_{c_{i-3}} + 2^i \pmod p$$

(The lower indices i, i-1, i-2, i-3, c_{i-3} are taken modulo 16)

3. $\quad$ REPEAT steps 1 and 2

OUTPUT $\quad \overline{c}_i := c_i \mod 2^{16}$, for $i = 8, \dots , 15$ (an element of $\{0,1\}^{8.16}$)

1.2 Notations

For a better clarity of our explanation, we will denote by c_i^0 ($i=0, \dots , 15$) the initial c_i values, and we will denote by step 3 (resp. step 4) the second pass of step 1 (resp. step2) in the algorithm for g.

When it will be necessary to avoid any kind of slip, we will denote by c_i^k ($i=0, \dots , 15$; $k=0, \dots , 4$) the c_i intermediate value, after step k.

In order to simplify the expressions, we are using the following notations :

- The additions (x+y), multiplications (x.y) and exponentiations (x^y) are implicitly made modulo p, except when the operands are lower indices.
- The = symbol denotes that the right and the left terms are congruent modulo p.
- For lower indices the additions (i+j) and substractions (i-j) are implicitly made modulo 16, and the $\equiv$ symbol denotes that the right and the left terms are congruent modulo 16.

1.3 Preliminary remarks

The difficulty of finding collisions is related to the diffusion properties of the hashing function, i.e. the influence of a modification of an intermediate variable on the subsequent variables of the calculation.

Remark 1 (limitation on the diffusion at steps 1 and 3)

At step 1 and 3, the input values $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{15}$ are kept unchanged.

Remark 2 (limitation on the diffusion at steps 2 and 4)

The diffusion introduced by the $c_{i-1}c_{i-2}$ terms in the recurrence for steps 2 and 4 can sometimes be cancelled (if one of values c_{i-1} and c_{i-2} is 0). More precisely, let $(c_0^1, c_1^1, \dots, c_{15}^1)$ be the input to step 2 :

Proposition 1 : If for a given value i in {1, ... ,14} we have $c_{i-1}^2 = c_{i+1}^2 = 0$ and if $c_{13}^1 \not\equiv i$; $c_{14}^1 \not\equiv i$; $c_{15}^1 \not\equiv i$; $c_j^2 \not\equiv i$ for j in {0, ... ,12}, then the impact of replacing the input value c_i^1 by a new value $c_i^1 + \Delta c_i^1$ such that $c_i^1 + \Delta c_i^1 \equiv c_i^1$, is limited to the output value c_i^2 (that means c_j^2 are not modified for j ≠i).

Proposition 2 : If $c_{14}^1 = c_0^2 = 0$ and if $c_j^2 \not\equiv 15$ for j in {1, ... ,11} then the impact of replacing the input value c_{15}^1 by a new value $c_{15}^1 + \Delta c_{15}^1$ such that $c_{15}^1 + \Delta c_{15}^1 \equiv c_{15}^1$, is limited to the output value c_{15}^2.

Similarly, let $(c_1^3, c_2^3, \dots, c_{15}^3)$ be the input to step 4 :

Proposition 1' : If for a given value i in {1, ... ,14} we have $e_{i-1}^4 = e_{i+1}^4 = 0$ and if $c_{13}^3 \not\equiv i$; $c_{14}^3 \not\equiv i$; $c_{15}^3 \not\equiv i$; $c_j^4 \not\equiv i$ for j in {0, ... ,12}, then the impact of replacing the input value c_i^3 by a new value $c_i^3 + \Delta c_i^3$ such that $c_i^3 + \Delta c_i^3 \equiv c_i^3$, is limited to the output value c_i^4.

<u>Proposition 2'</u> : If $c_{14}^3 = c_0^4 = 0$ and if $c_j^4 \not\equiv 15$ for j in {1, ... ,11} then the impact of replacing the input value c_{15}^3 by a new value $c_{15}^3 + \Delta c_{15}^3$ such that $c_{15}^3 + \Delta c_{15}^3 \equiv c_{15}^3$ is limited to the output value c_{15}^4.

2 Construction of two colliding messages

2.1 Construction of a partial collision

We first find two 128-bit blocks M_1 and M'_1 which hash values $H_1 = (\overline{c}_8^4, ... , \overline{c}_{15}^4)$ and $H'_1 = (\overline{c'}_8^4, ... , \overline{c'}_{15}^4)$ differ only by their right components $\overline{c}_{15}^4$ and $\overline{c'}_{15}^4$. We will later refer to this property in saying that M_1 and M'_1 realize a <u>partial collision</u>.

Our technique for finding M_1 and M'_1 is the following : we search M_1 values such that $c_{14}^1 = 0$; $c_0^2 = 0$; $c_{14}^3 = 0$; $c_0^4 = 0$. The propositions 2 and 2' suggest that for such a message $M_1 = (c_8^0, ... , c_{14}^0, c_{15}^0)$, M_1 and the message $M'_1 = (c_8^0, ... , c_{14}^0, c_{15}^0 + 16)$ realize a partial collision with a significant probability (approximately 1/8).

There are two main steps for finding M_1.

<u>Step1</u> : Selection of $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0$ and c_{14}^0

Arbitrary (e.g. random) values are taken for c_{12}^0 and c_{14}^0. The values of c_8^0 and c_{10}^0 are then deduced from these values by solving the following linear system :

$$\begin{cases} c_{14}^1 = 0 & (1) \\ c_0^1 = -1 & (2) \end{cases}$$

<u>Proposition 3</u> :
If $c_{13}^0 \equiv 14$ then $c_{14}^1 = 0$ and $c_0^2 = 0$ independently of the values of $c_9^0, c_{11}^0, c_{13}^0, c_{15}^0$.

<u>Proof</u> : This is a direct consequence of the definition of the g function.

Step 2 : Selection of $c_9^0, c_{11}^0, c_{13}^0, c_{15}^0$

The values of $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0, c_{14}^0$ are taken from Step 1 .

We fix the values of $c_{11}^0 = 0$ and $c_{15}^0 = 0$. An arbitrary (e.g random) value is taken for c_9^0. We first

calculate the c_{12}^2 and c_{14}^3 values corresponding to the chosen value of c_9^0, c_{11}^0 and c_{15}^0 and to the temporary

value $c_{13}^0 = 14$. Based on these preliminary calculations, we "correct" the temporary value $c_{13}^0 = 14$ by a

quantity Δc_{13}^0, i.e. we replace the value $c_{13}^0 = 14$ by the value $c_{13}^0 = 14 + \Delta c_{13}^0$, and we leave the other

input values unchanged. We denote by Δc_j^i ($0 \le i \le 4$; $0 \le j \le 15$) the corresponding variations of the

intermediate variables in the H_1 calculation. We select Δc_{13}^0 in such a way that the quantity $c_{14}^3 + \Delta c_{14}^3$

(i.e the new value of c_{14}^3) is equal to zero with a good probability.

Proposition 4 : If $c_{12}^2 \neq 0$ and $\dfrac{-c_{14}^3}{2^{4.7.7} c_{12}^2} \equiv 0$ and $c_j^2 \not\equiv 13$ for $1 \le j \le 11$ then the above values of

, c_{15}^1, c_0^2 and the value $\Delta c_{13}^0 = \dfrac{-c_{14}^3}{2^{4.7.7} c_{12}^2}$ lead to the three relations

$$
\begin{cases}
c_{14}^1 + \Delta c_{14}^1 = 0 & \text{(a)} \\[2mm]
c_0^2 + \Delta c_0^2 = 0 & \text{(b)} \\[2mm]
c_{14}^3 + \Delta c_{14}^3 = 0 & \text{(c)}
\end{cases}
$$

Proof : (a) is straightforward; (b) and (c) are direct consequences of the following relations, which result
from the definition of the g function :

$$\Delta c_{j-2}^2 = 0 \text{ for } 0 \le j \le 12 \quad ; \quad \Delta c_{13}^2 = \Delta c_{13}^0 \quad ; \quad \Delta c_{14}^2 = c_{12}^2 . \Delta c_{13}^2 \quad ; \quad \Delta c_{14}^3 = 2^{4.7.7} . \Delta c_{14}^2$$

We performed a large number n_1 of trials of step 1. For each trial of step 1, we made a large

number n_2 of trials of step 2. The success probability of step 2, i.e the probability that the trial of a c_9^0

value leads to a message such that (a), (b) and (c) are realized is slightly less than 1/16 (since the strongest

condition in proposition 2 is : $\dfrac{-c_{14}^{3}}{2^{4.4.7} \, 2 \, c_{12}^{2}} \cong 0$). Therefore the probability that a step 2 trial leads to a message

M_1 such that $c_{14}^{1} = c_{0}^{2} = c_{14}^{3} = c_{0}^{4} = 0$ is slightly less than $1/16 \cdot 2^{-16} = 2^{-20}$.

Moreover, the probability that such a message M_1 leads to a partial collision is basically the

probability that none of the c_{i-3} mod 16 indices occurring in the calculation of c_{0}^{2} to c_{15}^{2} and c_{0}^{4} to c_{15}^{4}

takes the value 15, which is close to $1/8$. So, in summary, approximatively 2^{23} partial computations of the

g function were necessary to obtain a suitable message $M_1 = (c_{8}^{0}, \ldots, c_{14}^{0}, c_{15}^{0})$, such that M_1 and the

message $M'_1 = (c_{8}^{0}, \ldots, c_{14}^{0}, c_{15}^{0} + 16)$ lead to partially colliding hash values $H_1 = (\overline{c}\,_{8}^{4}, \ldots, \overline{c}\,_{15}^{4})$ and

$H'_1 = (\overline{c}\,_{8}^{4}, \ldots, \overline{c}\,_{15}^{4} + 16)$.

2.2 Construction of a full collision using a partial collision

We now show how to find a 128-bit message $M_2 = (c_{8}^{0}, \ldots, c_{15}^{0})$ such that the previously obtained

hash values H_1 and H'_1 (denoted in this section by $(c_{0}^{0}, \ldots, c_{7}^{0})$ and $(c'_{1}^{0}, \ldots, c'_{6}^{0}, c'_{7}^{0}) = (c_{1}^{0}, \ldots, c_{6}^{0}, c_{7}^{0} + 16)$)

respectively lead to the same hash value H_2 (when combined with M_2): $g(H_1, M_2) = g(H'_1, M_2)$.

Our technique for finding M_2 is quite similar to the one used for finding M_1 and M'_1. Let us

denote by c_{j}^{i} (resp c'_{j}^{i}) ($0 \le i \le 4$, $0 \le j \le 15$) the intermediate variables of the calculations of $g(H_1, M_2)$ (resp

$g(H'_1, M_2)$).

We search M_2 values such that $c_{6}^{2} = c_{8}^{2} = c_{6}^{4} = c_{8}^{4} = 0$. The propositions 1 and 1' suggest that the

probability that the 16-uples $(c_{0}^{4}, \ldots, c_{15}^{4})$ and $(c'_{0}^{4}, \ldots, c'_{15}^{4})$ differ only by their components c_{7}^{4} and c'_{7}^{4}

which implies that the probability to have $g(H_1, M_2) = g(H'_1, M_2)$ is quite substantial, approximatively

$1/8$.

There are two main steps for the search of M_2 :

Step 1 : Selection of $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0, c_{14}^0, c_9^0$.

An arbitrary (e.g random) value is taken for c_{14}^0. The values of $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0$ are deduced from c_{14}^0 by solving the following linear system :

$$\begin{cases} c_{14}^1 = 0 & (3) \\ c_0^1 = -1 & (4) \\ c_8^1 = -2^8 & (5) \end{cases}$$

A preliminary calculation, where c_9^0, c_{11}^0 and c_{15}^0 are set to the temporary value 0 and c_{13}^0 is set to the temporary value 14, is made. The obtained value of c_6^2, denoted by δ, is kept.

Proposition 5 : If $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0, c_{14}^0$ are solutions of (3), (4), (5) and if in addition the values $c_9^0 = p-\delta$, $c_{11}^0 = 0$, $c_{13}^0 = 14$, $c_{15}^0 = 0$ lead to intermediate values such that : c_1^2 mod 16 is not in $\{9,11,13,15\}$; c_2^2 mod 16 is not in $\{9,11,13,15\}$; $c_3^2 \equiv 9$ mod 16; c_4^2 mod 16 is not in $\{9,11,13,15\}$; c_5^2 mod 16 is in $\{0,6,14\}$, then if we fix the value $c_9^0 = p-\delta$, for any value of $c_{13}^0 \equiv 14$ and for any value of c_{15}^0 such that $c_{15}^0 \equiv 0$ we have :

$$c_{14}^1 = 0 \ ; \qquad c_0^2 = 0 \ ; \qquad c_6^2 = 0 \ ; \qquad c_8^2 = 0 \ .$$

Proof : The proof of this proposition is easy. Finding the $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0, c_{14}^0$ and c_9^0 values satisfying the conditions of the above proposition is quite easy, and requires the trial of a few hundreds c_{14}^0 values.

Step 2 : Selection of $c_{11}^0, c_{13}^0, c_{15}^0$

The values of $c_8^0, c_{10}^0, c_{12}^0, c_{14}^0, c_9^0$ are taken from Step 1 ; these values are assumed to realize the conditions of the above proposition.

An arbitrary (e.g random) value is taken for c_{11}^0. A preliminary calculation is made, using the selected c_{11}^0 value and the temporary values $c_{13}^0 = 14$; $c_{15}^0 = 0$. The corresponding values of c_{12}^2 and c_8^3 are kept.

Based on these preliminary calculations, we "correct" the temporary value of c_{13}^0 by a quantity Δc_{13}^0 and

we also consider new values $c_{15}^0 + \Delta c_{15}^0$ for c_{15}^0. The variation Δc_{13}^0 is selected in such a way that for any

Δc_{15}^0 value satisfying $\Delta c_{15}^0 \equiv 0$, the new value $e_8^3 + \Delta e_8^3$ of e_8^3 is equal to -2^8 with a substantial probability.

Proposition 6 : If $e_{12}^2 \neq 0$ and $\dfrac{-2^8 - e_8^3}{2^{4.4.7} \, c_{12}^2} \equiv 0$ and $e_j^2 \bmod 16$ is not in $\{13,15\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 11$ then for

any variation $\Delta c_{15}^0 \equiv 0$ on c_{15}^0 such that $c_{15}^2 + \Delta c_{15}^0 < p$ and $c_{15}^4 + \Delta c_{15}^0 < p$, the variation

$\Delta c_{13}^0 = \dfrac{-2^8 - e_8^3}{2^{4.4.7} \, c_{12}^2}$ on the c_{13}^0 value leads to the following new values :

$$c_{14}^1 + \Delta c_{14}^1 = 0 \; ; \; c_0^2 + \Delta c_0^2 = 0 \; ; \; c_6^2 + \Delta c_6^2 = 0 \; ; \; c_8^2 + \Delta c_8^2 = 0 \; ; \; c_8^3 + \Delta c_8^3 = -2^8 \, .$$

We performed a number n_1 of trials of step 1. For each successful trial of step 1, we made a large

number n_2 of trials of c_{11}^0 values at step 2. For those c_{11}^0 values satisfying the conditions of the above

proposition, we made a large number n_3 of trials of new c_{15}^0 values such that $\Delta c_{15}^0 \equiv 0$. The probability

that the trial of a new Δc_{15}^0 value leads to intermediate variables satisfying the four equations $c_6^2 = 0$; $c_8^2 = 0$;

$c_6^4 = 0$; $c_8^4 = 0$ is basically the probability that randomly tried c_6^4 and c_5^4 values satisfy $c_6^4 = 0$ and $c_5^4 \equiv 6$; the

order of magnitude of this probability is therefore 2^{-20}.

Moreover, the probability that a message M_2 satisfying the four equations $c_6^2 = 0$; $c_8^2 = 0$; $c_6^4 = 0$; $c_8^4 = 0$ leads

to a full collision $g(H_1, M_2) = g(H_1', M_2)$ is basically the probability that none of the c_{i-3} mod 16 indices

occurring in the calculation of c_0^2 to e_{15}^2 and of c_0^4 to c_{15}^4 takes the value 15, which is close to 1/8. So in

summary approximatively 2^{23} partial computations of the g function are necessary to obtain a message M_2

giving a full collision.

2.3 Implementation details

The above attack method was implemented using a non-optimized Pascal program. The search for a collision took a few hours on a SUN3 workstation and less than an hour on a SPARC workstation. We provide in annex the detail of the intermediate calculations for two colliding messages $M_1 M_2$ and $M'_1 M_2$, of two 128-bit blocks each.

Note that for many other values M''_1 of the form $(e_0^0, \ldots, e_{15}^0 + k.16)$ (k : an integer) of the first 128-bit block, the message $M''_1 M_2$ leads to the same hash value as $M_1 M_2$: the observed phenomenon is in fact a multiple collision.

3 Conclusions

The attack described in this paper takes advantage of the two following weaknesses of the FFT-Hashing algorithm :

- the influence of the term $e_{c_{i-3}}$ in the recurrence $c_i := c_i + c_{i-1}e_{i-2} + e_{c_{i-3}} + 2^i$ (mod p) on the security of the algorithm is rather negative (see for example the method to obtain $e_6^2 = 0$ (or $e_8^2 = 0$) at step 1 of Section 2.2).

- as mentioned in Section 1.3, the diffusion introduced by the four steps of the algorithm is quite limited. In particular, the FT_8 Fourier transform acts only on half of the intermediate values $(e_0, \ldots, e_{15})$, namely the 8 values $e_0, e_2, \ldots, e_{14}$.

This suggests that quite simple modifications might result in a substantial improvement of the security of the FFT-Hashing algorithm.

4 Acknowledgements

5 References

[1] : C.P. SCHNORR; FFT-Hashing : An Efficient Cryptographic Hash Function; July 15, 1991 (This paper was presented at the rump session of the CRYPTO'91 Conference, Santa Barbara, August, 11-15, 1991)

[2] : DAEMEN - BOSSELAERS - GOVAERTS - VANDEWALLE : Announcement made at the rump session of the ASIACRYPT '91 Conference, Fujiyoshida, Japan, November 11-14, 1991)

44

FIRST MESSAGE M = M1 M2 with

| M1 = | F95A | 807A | 26A | 0 | 440 | 365E | 0 | 0 |
| M2 = | 1537 | 5202 | 3284 | 358 | 5D1C | 959E | 6D6B | 75E0 |

calculation of H1 :

H0 =	123	4567	89AB	CDEF	FEDC	BA98	7654	3210
M1 =	F95A	807A	26A	0	440	365E	0	0
step 1:	10000 FB30	4567 807A	4F72 F62E	CDEF 0	B84C 3677	BA98 365E	D98A 0	3210 0
step 2:	0 ADDC	4569 156	4F76 5AFE	1DD1 CD52	6CEA A692	F49C 158A	1DB9 4626	7D13 B80B
step 1:	CFA9 B305	4569 156	2466 3057	1DD1 CD52	2F1A 5A7	F49C 158A	F3D7 0	7D13 B80B
step 2:	0 7DCA	456B CDE2	F1BC 4508	91E1 3BE5	64F8 8F64	F6D2 E23C	FB99 9BBA	A787 5B06
H1 =	7DCA	CDE2	4508	3BE5	8F64	E23C	9B8A	5BE6

calculation of H2 :

H1 =	7DCA	CDE2	4508	3BE5	8F64	E23C	9B8A	5BE6
M2 =	1537	5202	3284	358	5D1C	959E	6D6B	75E0
step 1:	10000 FF01	CDE2 5202	C5BE 9B04	3BE5 358	3E13 EF0	E23C 959E	418A 0	5BE6 75E0
step 2:	0 0	CDE4 5402	C5C2 F306	17A9 99A5	6501 8BB5	6370 9A6E	0 38EF	2A49 73A9
step 1:	E26B FF01	CDE4 5402	8B79 CD5	17A9 99A5	E6CC 37CB	6370 9A6E	E7C2 7FF2	2A49 73A9
step 2:	5551 0	E84C AB53	4E20 5EF5	EA99 27D8	C82F 9554	9B86 995	0 983F	9E72 89CF
H2 =	0	AB53	5EF5	27D8	9554	995	983F	89CF

HASHED MESSAGE : | 0 | AB53 | 5EF5 | 27D8 | 9554 | 995 | 983F | 89CF |

SECOND MESSAGE M = M1 M2 with

| M1 = | F95A | 807A | 26A | 0 | 440 | 365E | 0 | 10 |
| M2 = | 1537 | 5202 | 3284 | 358 | 5D1C | 959E | 6D6B | 75E0 |

calculation of H1 :

H0 =	123	4567	89AB	CDEF	FEDC	BA90	7654	3210
M1 =	F95A	807A	26A	0	440	365E	0	10
step 1:	10000 FB30	4567 807A	4F72 F62E	CDEF 0	B84C 3677	BA98 365E	D98A 0	3210 10
step 2:	0 ADDC	4569 156	4F76 5AFE	1DD1 CD52	6CEA A692	F49C 158A	1DB9 4626	7D13 B81B
step 1:	CFA9 B305	4569 156	2466 3057	1DD1 CD52	2F1A 5A7	F49C 158A	F3D7 0	7D13 B81B
step 2:	0 7DCA	456B CDE2	F1BC 4508	91E1 3BE5	64F8 8F64	F6D2 E23C	FB99 9B8A	A787 5BF6
H1 =	7DCA	CDE2	4508	3BE5	8F64	E23C	9B8A	5BF6

calculation of H2 :

H1 =	7DCA	CDE2	4508	3BE5	8F64	E23C	9B8A	5BF6
M2 =	1537	5202	3284	358	5D1C	959E	6D6B	75E0
step 1:	10000 FF01	CDE2 5202	C5BE 9B04	3BE5 358	3E13 EF0	E23C 959E	418A 0	5BF6 75E0
step 2:	0 0	CDE4 5402	C5C2 F306	17A9 99A5	6501 8BB5	6370 9A6E	0 38EF	2A59 73A9
step 1:	E26B FF01	CDE4 5402	8B79 CD5	17A9 99A5	E6CC 37CB	6370 9A6E	E7C2 7FF2	2A59 73A9
step 2:	5551 0	E84C AB53	4E20 5EF5	EA99 27D8	C82F 9554	9B86 995	0 983F	9E82 89CF
H2 =	0	AB53	5EF5	27D8	9554	995	983F	89CF

HASHED MESSAGE : | 0 | AB53 | 5EF5 | 27D8 | 9554 | 995 | 983F | 89CF |