FROM A GEOMETRICAL POINT OF VIEW

LOGIC, EPISTEMOLOGY, AND THE UNITY OF SCIENCE

VOLUME 14

Logic, Epistemology, and the Unity of Science aims to reconsider the question of the unity of science in light of recent developments in logic. At present, no single logical, semantical or methodological framework dominates the philosophy of science. However, the editors of this series believe that formal techniques like, for example, independence friendly logic, dialogical logics, multimodal logics, game theoretic semantics and linear logics, have the potential to cast new light on basic issues in the discussion of the unity of science.

This series provides a venue where philosophers and logicians can apply specific technical insights to fundamental philosophical problems. While the series is open to a wide variety of perspectives, including the study and analysis of argumentation and the critical discussion of the relationship between logic and the philosophy of science, the aim is to provide an integrated picture of the scientific enterprise in all its diversity.

For further volumes: http://www.springer.com/series/6936

From a Geometrical Point of View

A Study of the History and Philosophy of Category Theory

by

Jean-Pierre Marquis
University of Montreal, QC, Canada

Springer

Dr. Jean-Pierre Marquis
University of Montreal
Department of Philosophy
C.P. 6218, succ. Centre-ville
Montreal QC
Canada H3C 3J7
jean-pierre.marquis@umontreal.ca

Cover image: Adaptation of a Persian astrolabe (brass, 1712–13), from the collection of the Museum of the History of Science, Oxford. Reproduced by permission.

ISBN 978-1-4020-9383-8 e-ISBN 978-1-4020-9384-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2008938359

Printed on acid-free paper

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

springer.com

À Marie,
pour tout,
tout simplement.

Acknowledgements

I thank Mike Barr, Marta Bunge, André Joyal, Jim Lambek, Michael Makkai, Gonzalo Reyes, Robert Seely who have, in one way or another, taught me about category theory, its content, significance and history. I want to acknowledge indispensable help from Steve Awodey, Luc Bélair, Peter Freyd, Daniel Kan, Bill Lawvere and Colin McLarty. I also want to thank an anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions. Needless to say, I am solely responsible for all mistakes and misrepresentations left in the book. I owe gratitude to Lawrence Deck who has read the entire manuscript and made numerous corrections and suggestions. Special thanks go to my student Mathieu Bélanger who has translated my manuscript into LaTeX, corrected numerous mistakes and helped me improve the whole work tremendously. The first draft of this book, a mere 100 pages, was written while I was a Humboldt Fellow at the University of Konstanz and the final version was completed while I was on sabbatical at Stanford University Mathematics Research Center. I thank all these institutions for their help and for providing me extraordinary working conditions. Finally, I thank the SSHRC and the FQRSC for their financial support.

Contents