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#### Abstract

Heath and Pemmaraju [9] conjectured that the queuenumber of a poset is bounded by its width and if the poset is planar then also by its height. We show that there are planar posets whose queue-number is larger than their height, refuting the second conjecture. On the other hand, we show that any poset of width 2 has queue-number at most 2 , thus confirming the first conjecture in the first non-trivial case. Moreover, we improve the previously best known bounds and show that planar posets of width $w$ have queue-number at most $3 w-2$ while any planar poset with 0 and 1 has queue-number at most its width.


## 1 Introduction

A queue layout of a graph consists of a total ordering on its vertices and an assignment of its edges to queues, such that no two edges in a single queue are nested. The minimum number of queues needed in a queue layout of a graph $G$ is called its queue-number and denoted by $\mathrm{qn}(G)$.

To be more precise, let $G$ be a graph and let $L$ be a linear order on the vertices of $G$. We say that the edges $u v, u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in E(G)$ are nested with respect to $L$ if $u<u^{\prime}<v^{\prime}<v$ or $u^{\prime}<u<v<v^{\prime}$ in $L$. Given a linear order $L$ of the vertices of $G$, the edges $u_{1} v_{1}, \ldots, u_{k} v_{k}$ of $G$ form a rainbow of size $k$ if $u_{1}<\cdots<u_{k}<v_{k}<\cdots<v_{1}$ in $L$. Given $G$ and $L$, the edges of $G$ can be partitioned into $k$ queues if and only if there is no rainbow of size $k+1$ in $L$, see [10].

The queue-number was introduced by Heath and Rosenberg in 1992 [10] as an analogy to book embeddings. Queue layouts were implicitly used before and have applications in fault-tolerant processing, sorting with parallel queues, matrix computations, scheduling parallel processes, and communication management in distributed algorithm (see [8|10|12]).

[^0]Perhaps the most intriguing question concerning queue-numbers is whether planar graphs have bounded queue-number.

## Conjecture 1 (Heath and Rosenberg [10]).

The queue-number of planar graphs is bounded by a constant.
In this paper we study queue-numbers of posets. The parameter was introduced in 1997 by Heath and Pemmaraju 9 and the main idea is that given a poset one should lay it out respecting its relation. Two elements $a, b$ of a poset are called comparable if $a<b$ or $b<a$, and incomparable, denoted by $a \| b$, otherwise. Posets are visualized by their diagrams: Elements are placed as points in the plane and whenever $a<b$ in the poset, and there is no element $c$ with $a<c<b$, there is a curve from $a$ to $b$ going upwards (that is $y$-monotone). We denote this case as $a \prec b$. The diagram represents those relations which are essential in the sense that they are not implied by transitivity, also known as cover relations. The undirected graph implicitly defined by such a diagram is the cover graph of the poset. Given a poset $P$, a linear extension $L$ of $P$ is a linear order on the elements of $P$ such that $x<_{L} y$, whenever $x<_{P} y$. (Throughout the paper we use a subscript on the symbol $<$, if we want to emphasize which order it represents.) Finally, the queue-number of a poset $P$, denoted by $\mathrm{qn}(P)$, is the smallest $k$ such that there is a linear extension $L$ of $P$ for which the resulting linear layout of $G_{P}$ contains no $(k+1)$-rainbow. Clearly we have $\mathrm{qn}\left(G_{P}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{qn}(P)$, i.e., the queue-number of a poset is at least the queue-number of its cover graph. It is shown in [9] that even for planar posets, that is posets admitting crossing-free diagrams, there is no function $f$ such that $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant f\left(\mathrm{qn}\left(G_{P}\right)\right)$.


Fig. 1. A poset and a layout with two queues (gray and black). Note that the order of the elements on the spine is a linear extension of the poset.

Heath and Pemmaraju [9] investigated the maximum queue-number of several classes of posets, in particular with respect to bounded width (the maximum number of pairwise incomparable elements) and height (the maximum number of pairwise comparable elements). A set with every two elements being comparable is a chain. A set with every two distinct elements being incomparable is an antichain. They proved that if $\operatorname{width}(P) \leqslant w$, then $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant w^{2}$. The lower bound is attained by weak orders, i.e., chains of antichains and is conjectured to be the upper bound as well:

Conjecture 2 (Heath and Pemmaraju [9]).
Every poset of width $w$ has queue-number at most $w$.

Furthermore, they made a step towards this conjecture for planar posets: if a planar poset $P$ has width $(P) \leqslant w$, then $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant 4 w-1$. For the lower bound side they provided planar posets of width $w$ and queue-number $\lceil\sqrt{w}\rceil$.

We improve the bounds for planar posets and get the following:
Theorem 1. Every planar poset of width $w$ has queue-number at most $3 w-2$. Moreover, there are planar posets of width $w$ and queue-number $w$.

As an ingredient of the proof we show that posets without certain subdivided crowns satisfy Conjecture 2 (c.f. Theorem 5). This implies the conjecture for interval orders and planar posets with (unique minimum) 0 and (unique maximum) 1 (c.f. Corollary 22. Moreover, we confirm Conjecture 2 for the first non-trivial case $w=2$ :

Theorem 2. Every poset of width 2 has queue-number at most 2.
An easy corollary of this is that all posets of width $w$ have queue-number at most $w^{2}-w+1$ (c.f. Corollary 1 .

Another conjecture of Heath and Pemmaraju concerns planar posets of bounded height:

Conjecture 3 (Heath and Pemmaraju [9]).
Every planar poset of height $h$ has queue-number at most $h$.
We show that Conjecture 3 is false for the first non-trivial case $h=2$ :

Theorem 3. There is a planar poset of height 2 with queue-number at least 4.
Furthermore, we establish a link between a relaxed version of Conjecture 3 and Conjecture 1, namely we show that the latter is equivalent to planar posets of height 2 having bounded queue-number (c.f. Theorem 6). On the other hand, we show that Conjecture 3 holds for planar posets with 0 and 1 :

Theorem 4. Every planar poset of height $h$ with 0 and 1 has queue-number at most $h-1$.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we consider general (not necessarily planar) posets and give upper bounds on their queue-number in terms of their width, such as Theorem 2, In Section 3 we consider planar posets and bound the queue-number in terms of the width, both from above and below, i.e., we prove Theorem 1. In Section 4 we give a counterexample to Conjecture 3 by constructing a planar poset with height 2 and queue-number at least 4 . Here we also argue that proving any upper bound on the queue-number of such posets is equivalent to proving Conjecture 1. Finally, we show that Conjecture 3 holds for planar posets with 0 and 1 and that for every $h$ there is a planar poset of height $h$ and queue-number $h-1$ (c.f. Proposition 3).

## 2 General Posets of Bounded Width

By Dilworth's Theorem [3], the width of a poset $P$ coincides with the smallest integer $w$ such that $P$ can be decomposed into $w$ chains of $P$. Let us derive Proposition 1 of Heath and Pemmaraju [9] from such a chain partition.

Proposition 1. For every poset $P$, if $\operatorname{width}(P) \leqslant w$ then $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant w^{2}$.
Proof. Let $P$ be a poset of width $w$ and $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{w}$ be a chain partition of $P$. Let $L$ be any linear extension of $P$ and $a<_{L} b<_{L} c<_{L} d$ with $a \prec d$ and $b \prec c$. Note that we must have either $a \| b$ or $c \| d$. If follows that if $a \in C_{i}, b \in C_{j}$, $c \in C_{k}$, and $d \in C_{\ell}$, then $(i, \ell) \neq(j, k)$. As there are only $w^{2}$ ordered pairs $(x, y)$ with $x, y \in[w]$, we can conclude that every nesting set of covers has cardinality at most $w^{2}$.

Note that in the above proof $L$ is any linear extension and that without choosing the linear extension $L$ carefully, upper bound $w^{2}$ is best-possible. Namely, if $P=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ with comparabilities $a_{i}<b_{j}$ for all $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant k$, then $P$ has width $k$ and the linear extension $a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k}<b_{k}<\ldots<b_{1}$ creates a rainbow of size $k^{2}$.

We continue by showing that every poset of width 2 has queue-number at most 2 , that is, we prove Theorem 2 .

Proof (Theorem 2). Let $P$ be a poset of width 2 and minimum element 0 and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be a chain partition of $P$. Note that the assumption of the minimum causes no loss of generality, since a 0 can be added without increasing the width nor decreasing the queue-number. Any linear extension $L$ of $P$ partitions the ground set $X$ naturally into inclusion-maximal sets of elements, called blocks, from the same chain in $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\}$ that appear consecutively along $L$, see Figure 2 . We denote the blocks by $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ according to their appearance along $L$. We say that $L$ is lazy if for each $i=2, \ldots, k$, each element $x \in B_{i}$ has a relation to some element $y \in B_{i-1}$. A linear extension $L$ can be obtained by picking any minimal element $m \in P$, put it into $L$, and recurse on $P \backslash\{m\}$. Lazy linear extensions (with respect to $C_{1}, C_{2}$ ) can be constructed by the same process where additionally the next element is chosen from the same chain as the element before, if possible. Note that the existence of a 0 is needed in order to ensure the property of laziness with respect to $B_{2}$.

Now we shall prove that in a lazy linear extension no three covers are pairwise nesting. So assume that $a \prec b$ is any cover and that $a \in B_{i}$ and $b \in B_{j}$. As $L$ is lazy, $b$ is comparable to some element in $B_{j-1}($ if $j \geqslant 2$ ) and all elements in $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{j-2}$ (if $j \geqslant 3$ ). With $a \prec b$ being a cover, it follows from $L$ being lazy that $i \in\{j-2, j-1, j\}$. If $i=j$, then no cover is nested under $a \prec b$. If $i=j-1$, then no cover $c \prec d$ is nested above $a \prec b$ : either $c \in B_{i}$ and $d \in B_{j}$ and hence $c \prec d$ is not a cover, or both endpoints would be inside the same chain, i.e., $c, d$ are the last and first element of $B_{j-2}$ and $B_{j}$ or $B_{i}$ and $B_{i+2}$, respectively. This implies $c<_{L} a<_{L} d<_{L} b$ or $a<_{L} c<_{L} b<_{L} r$, respectively, and $c \prec d$ cannot nest above $a \prec b$. If $i=j-2$, then no cover is nested above $a \prec b$. Thus, either


Fig. 2. A poset of width 2 with a 0 and a chain partition $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and the blocks $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{5}$ induced by a lazy linear extension with respect to $C_{1}, C_{2}$.
no cover is nested below $a \prec b$, or no cover is nested above $a \prec b$, or both. In particular, there is no three nesting covers and $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant 2$.

Corollary 1. Every poset of width $w$ has queue-number at most $w^{2}-2\lfloor w / 2\rfloor$.
Proof. We take any chain partition of size $w$ and pair up chains to obtain a set $S$ of $\lfloor w / 2\rfloor$ disjoint pairs. Each pair from $S$ induces a poset of width at most 2, which by Theorem 2 admits a linear order with at most two nesting covers. Let $L$ be a linear extension of $P$ respecting all these partial linear extensions.

Now, following the proof of Proposition 1 any cover can be labeled by a pair $(i, j)$ corresponding to the chains containing its endpoint. Thus, in a set of nesting covers any pair appears at most once, but for each $i, j$ such that $(i, j) \in S$ only two of the four possible pairs can appear simultaneously in a nesting. This yields the upper bound.

For an integer $k \geqslant 2$ we define a subdivided $k$-crown as the poset $P_{k}$ as follows. The elements of $P_{k}$ are $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}$ and the cover relations are given by $a_{i} \prec b_{i}$ and $b_{i} \prec c_{i}$ for $i=2, \ldots, k, a_{i} \prec c_{i-1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, and $a_{1} \prec c_{k}$; see the left of Figure 3 We refer to the covers of the form $a_{i} \prec c_{j}$ as the diagonal covers and we say that a poset $P$ has an embedded $P_{k}$ if $P$ contains $3 k$ elements that induce a copy of $P_{k}$ in $P$ with all diagonal covers of that copy being covers of $P$.


Fig. 3. Left: The posets $P_{2}, P_{3}$, and $P_{4}$. Right: The existence of an element $z$ with cover relation $z \prec x$ and non-cover relation $z<y$ gives rise to a gray edge from $x$ to $y$.

Theorem 5. If $P$ is a poset that for no $k \geqslant 2$ has an embedded $P_{k}$, then the queue-number of $P$ is at most the width of $P$.

Proof. Let $P$ be any poset. For this proof we consider the cover graph $G_{P}$ of $P$ as a directed graph with each edge $x y$ directed from $x$ to $y$ if $x \prec y$ in $P$. We call these edges the cover edges. Now we augment $G_{P}$ to a directed graph $G$ by introducing for some incomparable pairs $x \| y$ a directed edge. Specifically, we add a directed edge from $x$ to $y$ if there exists a $z$ with $z<x, y$ in $P$ where $z \prec x$ is a cover relation and $z<y$ is not a cover relation; see the right of Figure 3. We call these edges the gray edges of $G$.

Now we claim that if $G$ has a directed cycle, then $P$ has an embedded subdivided crown. Clearly, every directed cycle in $G$ has at least one gray edge. We consider the directed cycles with the fewest gray edges and among those let $C=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right]$ be one with the fewest cover edges.s First assume that $C$ has a cover edge (hence $\ell \geqslant 3$ ), say $c_{1} c_{2}$ is a gray edge followed by a cover edge $c_{2} c_{3}$. Consider the element $z$ with cover relation $z \prec c_{1}$ and non-cover relation $z<c_{2}$ in $P$. By $z<c_{2} \prec c_{3}$ we have a non-cover relation $z<c_{3}$ in $P$. Now if $c_{1} \| c_{3}$ in $P$, then $G$ contains the gray edge $c_{1} c_{3}$ (see Figure 4(a)) and $\left[c_{1}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right]$ is a directed cycle with the same number of gray edges as $C$ but fewer cover edges, a contradiction. On the other hand, if $c_{1}<c_{3}$ in $P$ (note that $c_{3}<c_{1}$ is impossible as $z \prec c_{1}$ is a cover), then there is a directed path $Q$ of cover edges from $c_{1}$ to $c_{3}$ (see Figure $4(\mathrm{~b})$ ) and $C+Q-\left\{c_{1} c_{2}, c_{2} c_{3}\right\}$ contains a directed cycle with fewer gray edges than $C$, again a contradiction.


Fig. 4. Illustrations for the proof of Theorem 5

Hence $C=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right]$ is a directed cycle consisting solely of gray edges. Note that by the first paragraph $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right\}$ is an antichain in $P$. For $i=2, \ldots, \ell$ let $a_{i}$ be the element of $P$ with cover relation $a_{i} \prec c_{i-1}$ and non-cover relation $a_{i}<c_{i}$, as well as $a_{1}$ with cover relation $a_{1} \prec c_{\ell}$ and non-cover relation $a_{1}<$ $c_{1}$. As $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right\}$ is an antichain and $a_{i}<c_{i}$ holds for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, we have $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right\} \cap\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right\}=\emptyset$. Let us assume that $a_{1}<c_{j}$ in $P$ for some $j \neq 1, \ell$. If $a_{1} \prec c_{j}$ is a cover relation, then there is a gray edge $c_{j} c_{1}$ in $G$ (see Figure 4 (c)) and the cycle $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{j}\right]$ is shorter than $C$, a contradiction. If $a_{1}<c_{j}$ is a noncover relation, then there is a gray edge $c_{\ell} c_{j}$ in $G$ (see Figure 4(d)) and the cycle [ $c_{j}, \ldots, c_{\ell}$ ] is shorter than $C$, again a contradiction.

Hence, the only relations between $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}$ are cover relations $a_{1} \prec c_{\ell}$ and $a_{i} \prec c_{i-1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, \ell$ and the non-cover relations $a_{i}<c_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. Hence $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}$ are pairwise distinct. Moreover, $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right\}$ is an antichain in $P$ since the only possible relations among these elements are of the
form $a_{1}<a_{\ell}$ or $a_{i}<a_{i-1}$, which would contradict that $a_{1} \prec c_{\ell}$ and $a_{i} \prec c_{i-1}$ are cover relations. Finally, we pick for every $i=1, \ldots, \ell$ an element $b_{i}$ with $a_{i}<b_{i}<c_{i}$, which exists as $a_{i}<c_{i}$ is a non-cover relation. Together with the above relations between $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}$ and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}$ we conclude that $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{\ell}$ are pairwise distinct and these $3 \ell$ elements induce a copy of $P_{\ell}$ in $P$ with all diagonal covers in that copy being covers of $P$.

Thus, if $P$ has no embedded $P_{k}$, then the graph $G$ we constructed has no directed cycles, and we can pick $L$ to be any topological ordering of $G$. As $G_{P} \subseteq$ $G, L$ is a linear extension of $P$. For any two nesting covers $x_{2}<_{L} x_{1}<_{L} y_{1}<_{L} y_{2}$ we have $x_{1} \| x_{2}$ or $y_{1} \| y_{2}$ or both, since $x_{2} \prec y_{2}$ is a cover. However, if $x_{2}<x_{1}$ in $P$, then there would be a gray edge from $y_{2}$ to $y_{1}$ in $G$, contradicting $y_{1}<_{L} y_{2}$ and $L$ being a topological ordering of $G$. We conclude that $x_{1} \| x_{2}$ and the left endpoints of any rainbow form an antichain, proving $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant$ width $(P)$.

Let us remark that several classes of posets have no embedded subdivided crowns, e.g., graded posets, interval orders (since these are $2+2$-free, see [6]), or (quasi-)series-parallel orders (since these are N-free, see [7]). Here, $2+2$ and N are the four-element posets defined by $a<b, c<d$ and $a<b, c<d, c<b$, respectively. Also note that while subdivided crowns are planar posets, no planar poset with 0 and 1 has an embedded $k$-crown. Indeed, already looking at the subposet induced by the $k$-crown and the 0 and the 1 , it is easy to see that there must be a crossing in any diagram. Thus, we obtain:

Corollary 2. For any interval order, series-parallel order, and planar poset with 0 and 1, $P$ we have $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant$ width $(P)$.

## 3 Planar Posets of Bounded Width

Heath and Pemmaraju [9] show that the largest queue-number among planar posets of width $w$ lies between $\lceil\sqrt{w}\rceil$ and $4 w-1$. Here we improve the lower bound to $w$ and the upper bound to $3 w-2$.

Proposition 2. For each $w$ there exists a planar poset $Q_{w}$ with 0 and 1 of width $w$ and queue-number $w$.

Proof. We shall define $Q_{w}$ recursively, starting with $Q_{1}$ being any chain. For $w \geqslant 2, Q_{w}$ consists of a lower copy $P$ and a disjoint upper copy $P^{\prime}$ of $Q_{w-1}$, three additional elements $a, b, c$, and the following cover relations in between:
$-a \prec x$, where $x$ is the 0 of $P$
$-y \prec x^{\prime}$, where $y$ is the 1 of $P$ and $x^{\prime}$ is the 0 of $P^{\prime}$
$-y^{\prime} \prec c$, where $y^{\prime}$ is the 1 of $P^{\prime}$
$-a \prec b \prec c$
It is easily seen that all cover relations of $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ remain cover relations in $Q_{w}$, and that $Q_{w}$ is planar, has width $w, a$ is the 0 of $Q_{w}$, and $c$ is the 1 of $Q_{w}$. See Figure 5 for an illustration.


Fig. 5. Recursively constructing planar posets $Q_{w}$ of width $w$ and queue-number $w$. Left: $Q_{1}$ is a two-element chain. Middle: $Q_{w}$ is defined from two copies $P, P^{\prime}$ of $Q_{w-1}$. Right: The general situation for a linear extension of $Q_{w}$.

To prove that $\mathrm{qn}\left(Q_{w}\right)=w$ we argue by induction on $w$, with the case $w=1$ being immediate. Let $L$ be any linear extension of $Q_{w}$. Then $a$ is the first element in $L$ and $c$ is the last. Since $y \prec x^{\prime}$, all elements in $P$ come before all elements of $P^{\prime}$. Now if in $L$ the element $b$ comes after all elements of $P$, then $P$ is nested under cover $a \prec b$, and if $b$ comes before all elements of $P^{\prime}$, then $P^{\prime}$ is nested under cover $b \prec c$. We obtain $w$ nesting covers by induction on $P$ in the former case, and by induction on $P^{\prime}$ in the latter case. This concludes the proof.

Next we prove Theorem 1, namely that the maximum queue-number of planar posets of width $w$ lies between $w$ and $3 w-2$.

Proof (Theorem 1). By Proposition 2 some planar posets of width $w$ have queuenumber $w$. So it remains to consider an arbitrary planar poset $P$ of width $w$ and show that $P$ has queue-number at most $3 w-2$. To this end, we shall add some relations to $P$, obtaining another planar poset $Q$ of width $w$ that has a 0 and 1 , with the property that $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant \mathrm{qn}(Q)+2 w-2$. Note that this will conclude the proof, as by Corollary 2 we have $\mathrm{qn}(Q) \leqslant w$.

Given a planar poset $P$ of width $w$, there are at most $w$ minima and at most $w$ maxima. Hence there are at most $2 w-2$ extrema that are not on the outer face. For each such extremum $x$-say $x$ is a minimum- consider the unique face $f$ with an obtuse angle at $x$. We introduce a new relation $y<x$, where $y$ is a smallest element at face $f$, see Figure 6. Note that this way we introduce at most $2 w-2$ new relations, and that these can be drawn y-monotone and crossing-free by carefully choosing the other element in each new relation. Furthermore, every inner face has a unique source and unique sink.

Now consider a cover relation $a \prec_{P} b$ that is not a cover relation in the new poset $Q$. For the corresponding edge $e$ from $a$ to $b$ in $Q$ there is one face $f$ with unique source $a$ and unique $\operatorname{sink} b$. Now either way the other edge in $f$ incident to $a$ or to $b$ must be one of the $2 w-2$ newly inserted edges, see again Figure 6 . This way we assign $a \prec b$ to one of $2 w-2$ queues, one for each newly inserted edge. Every such queue contains either at most one edge or two incident edges, i.e., a nesting is impossible, no matter what linear ordering is chosen later.

We create at most $2 w-2$ queues to deal with the cover relations of $P$ that are not cover relations of $Q$ and spend another $w$ queues for $Q$ dealing with the remaining cover relations of $P$. Thus, $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant \mathrm{qn}(Q)+2 w-2 \leqslant 3 w-2$.


Fig. 6. Inserting new relations (dashed) into a face of a plane diagram. Note that relation $a<b$ is a cover relation in $P$ but not in $Q$.

## 4 Planar Posets of Bounded Height

Recall Conjecture 3, which states that every planar poset of height $h$ has queuenumber at most $h$. In the following, we give a counterexample to this conjecture:

Proof (Theorem 3). Consider the graph $G$ that is constructed as follows: Start with $K_{2,10}$ with bipartition classes $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{10}\right\}$. For every $i=$ $1, \ldots, 9$ add four new vertices $c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, 4}$, each connected to $b_{i}$ and $b_{i+1}$. The resulting graph $G$ has 46 vertices, is planar and bipartite with bipartition classes $X=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{10}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{i, j} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 9,1 \leqslant j \leqslant 4\right\}$. See Figure 7 .


Fig. 7. A planar poset $P$ of height 2 and queue-number at least 4. Left: The cover graph $G_{P}$ of $P$. Right: A part of a planar diagram of $P$.

Let $P$ be the poset arising from $G$ by introducing the relation $x<y$ for every edge $x y$ in $G$ with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Clearly, $P$ has height 2 and hence the cover relations of $P$ are exactly the edges of $G$. Moreover, by a result of Moore 11 ] (see also [2]) $P$ is planar because $G$ is planar, also see the right of Figure 7

We shall argue that $\mathrm{qn}(P) \geqslant 4$. To this end, let $L$ be any linear extension of $P$. Without loss of generality we have $a_{1}<_{L} a_{2}$. Note that since in $P$ one bipartition
class of $G$ is entirely below the other, any 4 -cycle in $G$ gives a 2-rainbow. Let $b_{i_{1}}, b_{i_{2}}$ be the first two elements of $X$ in $L, b_{j_{1}}, b_{j_{2}}$ be the last two such elements. As $|X|=10$ there exists $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 9$ such that $\{i, i+1\} \cap\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$, i.e., we have $b_{i_{1}}, b_{i_{2}}<_{L} b_{i}, b_{i+1}<_{L} b_{j_{1}}, b_{j_{2}}<_{L} a_{1}<_{L} a_{2}$, where we use that $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are above all elements of $X$ in $P$.

Now consider the elements $C=\left\{c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, 4}\right\}$ that are above $b_{i}$ and $b_{i+1}$ in $P$. As $|C| \geqslant 4$, there are two elements $c_{1}, c_{2}$ of $C$ that are both below $a_{1}, a_{2}$ in $L$, or both between $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in $L$, or both above $a_{1}, a_{2}$ in $L$. Consider the 2-rainbow $R$ in the 4 -cycle $\left[c_{1}, b_{i}, c_{2}, b_{i+1}\right]$. In the first case $R$ is nested below the 4 -cycle $\left[a_{1}, b_{i_{1}}, a_{2}, b_{i_{2}}\right.$ ], in the second case the cover $b_{j_{1}} \prec a_{1}$ is nested below $R$ and $R$ is nested below the cover $b_{i_{1}} \prec a_{2}$, and in the third case 4 -cycle $\left[a_{1}, b_{j_{1}}, a_{2}, b_{j_{2}}\right]$ is nested below $R$. As each case results in a 4 -rainbow, we have $\mathrm{qn}(P) \geqslant 4$.

Even though Conjecture 3 has to be refuted in its strongest meaning, it might hold that planar posets of height $h$ have queue-number $O(h)$, or at least bounded by some function $f(h)$ in terms of $h$, or at least that planar posets of height 2 have bounded queue-number. As it turns out, all these statements are equivalent, and in turn equivalent to Conjecture 1 .

Theorem 6. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) Planar graphs have queue-number $O$ (1) (Conjecture 1).
(ii) Planar posets of height $h$ have queue-number $O(h)$.
(iii) Planar posets of height $h$ have queue-number at most $f(h)$ for a function $f$.
(iv) Planar posets of height 2 have queue-number $O(1)$.
(v) Planar bipartite graphs have queue-number $O(1)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Pemmaraju proves in his thesis [13] (see also [4]) that if $G$ is a graph, $\pi$ is a vertex ordering of $G$ with no $(k+1)$-rainbow, $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}$ are color classes of any proper $m$-coloring of $G$, and $\pi^{\prime}$ is the vertex ordering with $V_{1}<_{\pi^{\prime}} \cdots<_{\pi^{\prime}} V_{m}$, where within each $V_{i}$ the ordering of $\pi$ is inherited, then $\pi^{\prime}$ has no $(2(m-1) k+1)$-rainbow. So if $P$ is any poset of height $h$, its cover graph $G_{P}$ has $q n\left(G_{P}\right) \leqslant c$ by (i) for some global constant $c>0$. Splitting $P$ into $h$ antichains $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{h}$ by iteratively removing all minimal elements induces a proper $h$-coloring of $G_{P}$ with color classes $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{h}$. As every vertex ordering $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G$ with $A_{1}<_{\pi^{\prime}} \cdots<_{\pi^{\prime}} A_{h}$ is a linear extension of $P$, it follows by Pemmaraju's result that $\mathrm{qn}(P) \leqslant 2(h-1) \mathrm{qn}\left(G_{P}\right) \leqslant 2 c h$, i.e., $\mathrm{qn}(P) \in O(h)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow($ iii $\Rightarrow$ (iv) These implications are immediate.
$($ (iv $) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ Moore proves in his thesis [11] (see also [2]) that if $G$ is a planar and bipartite graph with bipartition classes $A$ and $B$, and $P_{G}$ is the poset on element set $A \cup B=V(G)$ where $x<y$ if and only if $x \in A, y \in B, x y \in$ $E(G)$, then $P_{G}$ is a planar poset of height 2 . As $G$ is the cover graph of $P_{G}$, we have $\mathrm{qn}(G) \leqslant \mathrm{qn}\left(P_{G}\right) \leqslant c$ for some constant $c>0$ by (iv), i.e., $\mathrm{qn}(G) \in O(1)$.
$(\mathrm{v}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$ This is a result of Dujmović and Wood [5].
Finally, we show that Conjecture 3 holds for planar posets with 0 and 1.

Proof (Theorem 4). Let $P$ be a planar poset with 0 and 1 . Then $P$ has dimension at most two [1], i.e., it can be written as the intersection of two linear extensions of $P$. A particular consequence of this is, that there is a well-defined dual poset $P^{\star}$ in which two distinct elements $x, y$ are comparable in $P$ if and only if they are incomparable in $P^{\star}$. Poset $P^{\star}$ reflects a "left of"-relation for each incomparable pair $x \| y$ in $P$ in the following sense: Any maximal chain $C$ in $P$ corresponds to a 0-1-path $Q$ in $G_{P}$, which splits the elements of $P \backslash C$ into those left of $Q$ and those right of $Q$. Now $x<_{P^{*}} y$ if and only if $x$ is left of the path for every maximal chain containing $y$ (equivalently $y$ is right of the path for every maximal chain containing $x$ ). Due to planarity, if $a \prec b$ is a cover in $P$ and $C$ is a maximal chain containing neither $a$ nor $b$, then $a$ and $b$ are on the same side of the path $Q$ corresponding to $C$. In particular, if for $x, y \in C$ we have $a<_{P^{\star}} x$ and $b \| y$, then $b$ and $y$ are comparable in $P^{\star}$, but if $y<_{P^{\star}} b$ we would get a crossing of $C$ and $a \prec b$. Also see the left of Figure 8 , We summarize:
(夫) If $a \prec b, a<_{P^{\star}} x$ for some $x \in C$ and $b \| y$ for some $y \in C$, then $b<_{P^{\star}} y$.


Fig. 8. Left: Illustration of $(\star)$ If $a<_{P^{\star}} x, b \| y, x<y$, and $a \prec b$ is a cover, then $b<_{P^{\star}} y$ due to planarity. Right: If $a_{3}<_{L} a_{2}<_{L} a_{1}<_{L} b_{1}<_{L} b_{2}<_{L} b_{3}$ is a 3-rainbow with $a_{2}, a_{3}<a_{1}$, then $a_{3}<a_{2}$.

Now let $L$ be the leftmost linear extension of $P$, i.e., the unique linear extension $L$ with the property that for any $x \| y$ in $P$ we have $x<_{L} y$ if and only if $x<y$ in $P^{\star}$. Assume that $a_{2}<_{L} a_{1}<_{L} b_{1}<_{L} b_{2}$ is a pair of nesting covers $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ below $a_{2} \prec b_{2}$. Then $a_{1} \| a_{2}$ (hence $a_{2}<_{P^{*}} a_{1}$ ) or $b_{1} \| b_{2}$ (hence $b_{1}<_{P^{*}} b_{2}$ ) or both. Observe that the latter case is impossible, as for any maximal chain $C$ containing $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ we would have $a_{2}<_{P^{\star}} a_{1}$ with $a_{1} \in C$ and $b_{1}<_{P^{\star}} b_{2}$ with $b_{1} \in C$, contradicting (*) So the nesting of $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ below $a_{2} \prec b_{2}$ is either of type A with $a_{2}<a_{1}$, or of type B with $b_{1}<b_{2}$. See Figure 9 .

Now consider the case that cover $a_{2} \prec b_{2}$ is nested below another cover $a_{3} \prec b_{3}$, see the right of Figure 8, Then also $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ is nested below $a_{3} \prec b_{3}$ and we claim that if both, the nesting of $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ below $a_{2} \prec b_{2}$ as well as the nesting of $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ below $a_{3} \prec b_{3}$, are of type A (respectively type B), then also the nesting of $a_{2} \prec b_{2}$ below $a_{3} \prec b_{3}$ is of type A (respectively type B). Indeed, assuming type B, we would get $a_{3}<_{P^{\star}} a_{2}$ and $b_{1}<_{p^{\star}} b_{3}$, which together with any maximal chain $C$ containing $a_{2}<a_{1}<b_{1}$ contradicts ( $($ )


Fig. 9. A nesting of $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ below $a_{2} \prec b_{2}$ of type A (left) and type B (right).

Finally, let $a_{k}<_{L} \cdots<_{L} a_{1}<_{L} b_{1}<_{L} \cdots<_{L} b_{k}$ be any $k$-rainbow and let $I=\left\{i \in[k] \mid a_{i}<a_{1}\right\}$, i.e., for each $i \in I$ the nesting of $a_{1} \prec b_{1}$ below $a_{i} \prec b_{i}$ is of type A. Then we have just shown that the nesting of $a_{j} \prec b_{j}$ below $a_{i} \prec b_{i}$ is of type A whenever $i, j \in I$ and of type B whenever $i, j \notin I$. Hence, the set $\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\} \cup\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{i} \mid i \notin I\right\}$ is a chain in $P$ of size $k+1$, and thus $k \leqslant h-1$. It follows that $P$ has queue-number at most $h-1$, as desired.

The proof of the following can be found in the appendix.
Proposition 3. For each $h$ there exists a planar poset $Q_{h}$ of height $h$ and queuenumber $h-1$.

## 5 Conclusions

We studied the queue-number of (planar) posets of bounded height and width. Two main problems remain open: bounding the queue-number by the width and bounding it by a function of the height in the planar case, where the latter is equivalent to the central conjecture in the area of queue-numbers of graphs. For the first problem the biggest class known to satisfy it are posets without the embedded the subdivided $k$-crowns for $k \geqslant 2$ as defined in Section 2. Note, that proving it for $k \geqslant 3$ would imply that Conjecture 2 holds for all 2-dimensional posets, which seems to be a natural next step.

Let us close the paper by recalling another interesting conjecture from 9, which we would like to see progress in:

Conjecture 4 (Heath and Pemmaraju [9]).
Every planar poset on $n$ elements has queue-number at most $\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$.
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## 6 Appendix

Proof (Proposition 3). We shall recursively define a planar poset $Q_{h}$ of height $h$ and queue-number $h-1$, together with a certain set of marked subposets in $Q_{h}$. Each marked subposet consists of three elements $x, y, z$ forming a $V$ subposet in $Q_{h}$, i.e., $y<x, z$ but $x \| z$, with both relations $y<x$ and $y<z$ being cover relations of $Q_{h}$, and $y$ being a minimal element of $Q_{h}$. We call such a marked subposet in $Q_{h}$ a V-poset. Finally, we ensure that the V-posets are pairwise incomparable, namely that any two elements in distinct V-posets are incomparable in $Q_{h}$.

For $h=2$ let $Q_{2}$ be the three-element poset as shown in left of Figure 10 , which also forms the only V-poset of $Q_{2}$. Clearly $Q_{2}$ has height 2 and queuenumber 1. For $h \geqslant 3$ assume that we already constructed $Q_{h-1}$ with a number of V-posets in it. Then $Q_{h}$ is obtained from $Q_{h-1}$ by replacing each V-poset by the eight-element poset shown in the right of Figure 10, which introduces (for each V-poset) five new elements. Moreover, two new V-posets are identified in $Q_{h}$ as illustrated in Figure 10 .

It is easy to check that $Q_{h}$ is planar and has height $h$, since $Q_{h-1}$ has height $h-1$ and the V-posets in $Q_{h-1}$ are pairwise incomparable. Moreover, every V-poset in $Q_{h}$ contains a minimal element of $Q_{h}$ and all V-posets in $Q_{h}$ are pairwise incomparable. Finally, observe that, as long as $h \geqslant 3$, for every V-poset $V$ in $Q_{h}$ there is a unique smallest element $w=w(V)$ that is larger than all elements in $V$, see the right of Figure 10 .


Fig. 10. Constructing planar posets of height $h$ and queue-number $h-1$. Left: $Q_{2}$ is a three-element poset and its only V-poset. Right: $Q_{h}$ is recursively defined from $Q_{h-1}$ by replacing each V-poset by an eight-element poset and identifying two new V-posets.

In order to show that $\mathrm{qn}\left(Q_{h}\right) \geqslant h-1$, we shall show by induction on $h$ that for every linear extension $L$ of $Q_{h}$ there exists a $(h-1)$-rainbow in $Q_{h}$ with respect to $L$ whose innermost cover is contained in a V-poset $V$ of $Q_{h}$, and, if $h \geqslant 3$, whose second innermost cover has the element $w(V)$ as its upper end. This clearly holds for $h=2$. For $h \geqslant 3$, consider any linear extension $L$ of $Q_{h}$. This induces a linear extension $L^{\prime}$ of $Q_{h-1}$ as follows: The set $X$ of elements in $Q_{h}$ not contained in any V-poset is also a subset of the elements in $Q_{h-1}$. The remaining elements of $Q_{h-1}$ are the minimal elements of the V-posets in $Q_{h-1}$. For each minimal element $y$ of $Q_{h-1}$ consider the two corresponding V-posets in $Q_{h}$ with its two corresponding minimal elements $y_{1}, y_{2}$. Let $\hat{y} \in\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$ be the element that comes first in $L$, i.e., $\hat{y}=y_{1}$ if and only if $y_{1}<_{L} y_{2}$. Then we define $L^{\prime}$ to be the ordering of $Q_{h-1}$ induced by the ordering of $X \cup\left\{\hat{y} \mid y \in Q_{h-1}-X\right\}$ in $L$. Note that $L^{\prime}$ is a linear extension of $Q_{h-1}$, even though $X \cup\left\{\hat{y} \mid y \in Q_{h-1}-X\right\}$ does not necessarily induce a copy of $Q_{h-1}$ in $Q_{h}$.

By induction on $Q_{h-1}$ there exists a ( $h-2$ )-rainbow $R$ with respect to $L^{\prime}$ whose innermost cover is contained in a $V$-poset $V$ and, provided that $h-1 \geqslant 3$, its second innermost cover has $w=w(V)$ as its upper end. Consider the elements $x, y, z$ of $V$ with $y$ being the minimal element, and the two corresponding V posets $V_{1}, V_{2}$ with minimal elements $y_{1}, y_{2}$ of $Q_{h}$, where $y_{1} x$ and $y_{2} z$ are covers; see Figure 10. By definition of $\hat{y}$ and $L^{\prime}$, all elements of $\{x, y\} \cup V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ lie between $\hat{y}$ (included) and $w$ (excluded, if $h-1 \geqslant 3$ ) with respect to $L$.

Assume without loss of generality that $x<_{L} z$. If $y_{2}<_{L} y_{1}\left(\hat{y}=y_{2}\right)$, then the V-poset with $y_{1}$ is nested completely under the cover $y_{2} z$ and replacing in $R$ the innermost cover by the cover $y_{2} z$ and any cover with $y_{1}$ gives a $(h-1)$-rainbow with the desired properties. If $y_{1}<_{L} y_{2}\left(\hat{y}=y_{1}\right)$, then the V -poset with $y_{2}$ is nested completely under the cover $y_{1} x$ and replacing in $R$ the innermost cover by the cover $y_{1} x$ and any cover with $y_{w}$ gives a $(h-1)$-rainbow with the desired properties, which concludes the proof.
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