

Springer Tracts in Advanced Robotics

Volume 131

Series Editors

Bruno Siciliano, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e Tecnologie dell'Informazione, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy
Oussama Khatib, Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Advisory Editors

Nancy Amato, Computer Science & Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA
Oliver Brock, Fakultät IV, TU Berlin, Berlin, Germany
Herman Bruyninckx, KU Leuven, Heverlee, Belgium
Wolfram Burgard, Institute of Computer Science, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Baden-Württemberg, Germany
Raja Chatila, ISIR, Paris cedex 05, France
Francois Chaumette, IRISA/INRIA, Rennes, Ardenne, France
Wan Kyun Chung, Robotics Laboratory, Mechanical Engineering, POSTECH, Pohang, Korea (Republic of)
Peter Corke, Science and Engineering Faculty, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
Paolo Dario, LEM, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa, Italy
Alessandro De Luca, DIAGAR, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
Rüdiger Dillmann, Humanoids and Intelligence Systems Lab, KIT - Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany
Ken Goldberg, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
John Hollerbach, School of Computing, University of Utah, Salt Lake, UT, USA
Lydia E. Kavraki, Department of Computer Science, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA
Vijay Kumar, School of Engineering and Applied Mechanics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA
Bradley J. Nelson, Institute of Robotics and Intelligent Systems, ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland
Frank Chongwoo Park, Mechanical Engineering Department, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea (Republic of)
S. E. Salcudean, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
Roland Siegwart, LEE J205, ETH Zürich, Institute of Robotics & Autonomous Systems Lab, Zürich, Switzerland
Gaurav S. Sukhatme, Department of Computer Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/5208>

Frank Bonnet · Francesco Mondada

Shoaling with Fish: Using
Miniature Robotic Agents
to Close the Interaction Loop
with Groups of Zebrafish
Danio rerio

Frank Bonnet
Biorobotics Laboratory, Ecole
Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
Lausanne, Vaud, Switzerland

Francesco Mondada
Biorobotics Laboratory, Ecole
Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
Lausanne, Vaud, Switzerland

ISSN 1610-7438 ISSN 1610-742X (electronic)
Springer Tracts in Advanced Robotics
ISBN 978-3-030-16780-6 ISBN 978-3-030-16781-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16781-3>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2019936278

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*Many men go fishing all of their lives without
knowing that it is not fish they are after.*

—Henry David Thoreau

Foreword

Robotics is undergoing a major transformation in scope and dimension. From a largely dominant industrial focus, robotics is rapidly expanding into human environments and vigorously engaging in its new challenges. Interacting with, assisting, serving, and exploring with humans, the emerging robots will increasingly touch people and their lives.

Beyond its impact on physical robots, the body of knowledge robotics has produced is revealing a much wider range of applications reaching across diverse research areas and scientific disciplines, such as: biomechanics, haptics, neurosciences, virtual simulation, animation, surgery, and sensor networks among others. In return, the challenges of the new emerging areas are proving an abundant source of stimulation and insights for the field of robotics. It is indeed at the intersection of disciplines that the most striking advances happen.

The *Springer Tracts in Advanced Robotics (STAR)* is devoted to bringing to the research community the latest advances in the robotics field on the basis of their significance and quality. Through a wide and timely dissemination of critical research developments in robotics, our objective with this series is to promote more exchanges and collaborations among the researchers in the community and contribute to further advancements in this rapidly growing field.

The monograph by Frank Bonnet and Francesco Mondada is based on the first author's doctoral thesis. It effectively brings together concepts from robotics and biomimetics. Novel tools are introduced that can integrate shoals of zebrafish and characterise their collective behaviours. Bio-inspired controllers are designed for the robots to mimic fish locomotion underwater.

Rich of examples developed by means of extensive experimentation on mixed groups of fish and robots, this volume was the co-winner of the 2018 Georges Giralt Ph.D. Award for the best doctoral thesis in Europe. A very fine addition to the STAR series!

Naples, Italy
February 2019

Bruno Siciliano
STAR Editor

Acknowledgements

This work was part of a multidisciplinary project involving many people from different fields for several years, and its achievement would not have been possible without their contributions.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Francesco Mondada. Francesco taught me how to manage such a multidisciplinary project with many partners from different backgrounds. He offered me the freedom in my work, while orienting me during the harder times. He gave me the opportunity to teach at the university level during the time of this thesis, and provided me with a great research environment, with dynamic people and enriching discussions, which improved a lot the quality of this work.

This thesis would also not have been possible without the work, help and friendship of Alexey Gribovskiy. Alexey is the person who first hired me for a semester project that involved the first design of one of the robots that is presented in this thesis, and he is the one who first convinced me of doing a Ph.D. He also contributed to the project proposal that financed my thesis, and, finally, advised and contributed largely to this work. Alexey was always a great motivator and his expertise in this field was very precious.

Then, I would like to thank the members of my jury: Alcherio Martinoli, Auke Ijspeert, Jean-Louis Deneubourg and José Halloy for taking the time and effort to read my thesis, travel to Lausanne to assist to my thesis defense, and for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped me to improve the final version of this manuscript. I thank also José for his crazy ideas that made this project possible and for his constructive remarks during the project.

I am grateful to the EPFL and the doctoral school program for providing a great studying and working environment, and to the European Commission whose funding made this research possible.

Among the different colleagues that worked with me on this project, I would like to thank first my colleagues in the Mobots group. I want to give a special thanks to Daniel Burnier and Norbert Crot, who shared their experience with me and helped me a lot to improve my work on many aspects during the project. Dany and Norbert

also invested a lot of energy to design various parts of the robots and experimental setup, and for that I am very grateful. Dany and Norbert also brought a lot of positive atmosphere in the open space, which made every work day a pleasure to be there. I also would like to thank Philippe Rétonnaz for his precious advices and coding capacities that accelerated the development of the project in its early phase. Thanks go to Christophe Barraud who replaced me during the first phase of the project and realized progress on the robotic design, and to Marcelo Elias de Oliveira for his contribution on the software side. I am grateful to Manon, Léa and Maria for their designer expertise that improved the presentation of this work. I thank also the rest of the group with which it was a pleasure to work with: Anne, Claudia, Vincent, Fanny, Florian, Stefan, Gordana, Morgane, Yui, Olguta, Hadi, Mariza, Michael, Ehsan, Stéphane and Martin.

I would like to express my gratitude to all my colleagues in the consortium of the ASSISIBf project. I would like to especially thank our colleagues from the University Paris Diderot, in particular, Bertrand and Leo for their work on the biological and coding aspects respectively, but also Axel and Yohann for their patience in performing the experiments with the robotic infrastructure. I thank also Bertrand who took the time to read and make precious comments on the final version of this manuscript. I am also grateful to Thomas Schmickl and his team at the University of Graz, for coordinating the project, and for bringing positive and constructive remarks on my work during the project meetings.

Several students and interns also contributed to this work. I would like to thank especially Yuta for his work on the design of the RiBot, and Matthieu for his design of the biased circular arena and for his contribution in the production of the FishBots. I also thank Cynthia, Samuel, Patrick, Shujie and Laila for their hard work that contributed to this thesis.

I also want to thank Marcel Gyger and Xavier Warot for helping me getting the authorization to perform the experiments with zebrafish at the EPFL. I also thank the cantonal veterinary for authorizing me to perform these experiments that were necessary to qualify the robotic system.

This work would not have been possible without the support of my family and friends. I am deeply grateful to my parents, Michèle and Jean-Luc, for their support and encouragements during my life, and especially throughout my studies. Thanks also to my sister Sandra for helping me sorting my Legos during my childhood, and to my grandparents, Janine and Robert for their continuous support and lifestyle example. I have some gratitude also to my family-in-law, especially to Anna and Sergei, for always welcoming me well during my trips to Moscow and for interesting conversations.

I also have been able to count on many friends for unforgettable memories and making my mind escape from my thesis. I would like to give a special thanks to Philipp with whom we faced the challenge of the thesis together during courses and coffee breaks in Sat. I thank also Alex, Thy, Marc and Orane, and, last but not least, the Champions' team: Hannah, Ludwig, Tristan and Julien.

I would like to thank deeply my wife, Jenia, for her patience, support and love during this work, and for making my life a paradise every day. Jenia is the best thing that ever happened to me, and it is not a hazard that I could achieve and obtain some great results during the time of the thesis, and I am endlessly grateful to Jenia for that.

Finally, I would like to thank all the zebrafish that participated in my experiments and with which we have shared some difficult moments. Making experiments with animals taught me also lessons on how to respect and admire more the animal world that surround us, and that, most of the time, the capacities and intelligences of these organisms are neglected by us. I hope that these fish also enjoyed their time in our laboratory and the company of our robotic fish.

Lausanne, Switzerland
March 2017

Frank Bonnet

About This Book

Robotic animals are nowadays developed for various types of research, such as bio-inspired robotics, biomimetics and animal behavior studies. The miniaturization of technologies and the increase in performance of embedded systems allowed engineers to develop more powerful, sophisticated and miniature devices. The case of robotic fish is a typical example of such challenging design: the fish locomotion and body movements are difficult to reproduce and the device has to move autonomously underwater. More specifically, in the case of collective animal behavior research, the robotic device has to interact with animals by generating and exploiting signals relevant for social behavior. Once perceived by the animal society as conspecific, these robots can become powerful tools to study the animal behaviors, as they can at the same time monitor the changes in behavior and influence the collective choices of the animal society.

In this work, we present novel robotized tools that can integrate shoals of fish in order to study their collective behaviors. This robotic platform is composed of two subsystems: a miniature wheeled mobile robot that can achieve dynamic movements and multi-robot long-duration experiments, and a robotic fish lure that is able to beat its tail to generate fish-like body movements. The two subsystems are coupled with magnets which allow the wheeled mobile robot to steer the robotic fish lure so that it reaches very high speeds and accelerations while achieving shoaling. An experimental setup to conduct studies on mixed societies of artificial and living fish was designed to facilitate the experiments for biologists. A software framework was also implemented to control the robots in a closed-loop using data extracted from visual tracking that retrieved the position of the robots and the fish. We selected the zebrafish *Danio rerio* as a model to perform experiments to qualify our system. We used the current state of the art on the zebrafish social behavior to define the specifications of the robots, and we performed stimuli analysis to improve their developments. Bio-inspired controllers were designed based on data extracted from experiments with zebrafish for the robots to mimic the zebrafish locomotion underwater.

Experiments involving a robot with a shoal of fish in a constrained environment showed that the locomotion of the robot was one of the main factors to affect the collective behavior of zebrafish. We have also shown that the body movements and the biomimetic appearance of the lure could increase its acceptance by fish. Finally, an experiment involving a mixed society of fish and robots qualified the robotic system to be integrated among a zebrafish shoal and to be able to influence the collective decisions of the fish. These results are very promising for the field of fish-robot interaction studies, as we showed the effect of the robots in long-duration experiments and repetitively, with the same order of response from the animals.

Keywords Animal-robot interaction • Collective behavior • Mobile robotics • Biomimetic robots • Underwater robotics • Visual tracking • Multi-agent system • Zebrafish

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Motivation and Challenges	1
1.1.1	The Study of Collective Animal Behaviors	1
1.1.2	Fish as a Model of a Gregarious Animal	2
1.1.3	Methods of Studying the Collective Behavior of Animals	3
1.1.4	A Benefit for Farming and Ecology	4
1.2	The Field of Animal-Robot Interaction	5
1.2.1	The Use of Robots to Study Collective Animal Behaviors	5
1.2.2	The ASSISlbf Project	6
1.2.3	The Methodology Used in This Work	8
1.3	Main Contributions of the Thesis	9
1.3.1	Contributions to the Field of Robotics	10
1.3.2	Contributions to the Field of Biology	10
1.4	Organization of the Thesis	11
2	State of the Art in Fish Behavioral Studies Using Robots and Robotic-Fish Design	13
2.1	Summary	13
2.2	Introduction	13
2.3	Robotized Fish Lures for Behavioral Studies	14
2.4	Bio-inspired Miniature Robotic Fish	17
2.5	Our Contribution to the State of the Art	18
3	The Zebrafish <i>Danio rerio</i> as a Model Animal for Animal-Robot Interaction Studies	21
3.1	Summary	21
3.2	The Zebrafish Biology	21
3.3	The Zebrafish as a Model Vertebrate	22

3.4	Stimuli Perceived by the Zebrafish	22
3.5	The Advantage of Using Zebrafish Compared to Other Animals	23
3.6	Zebrafish Collective Behavior Under Laboratory Condition	24
3.7	Zebrafish at the EPFL	25
3.8	License	26
4	FishBot, the Fast Miniature Wheeled Mobile Robot	27
4.1	Summary	27
4.2	Requirements and General Descriptions	27
4.2.1	Biological Specifications	28
4.2.2	Solutions Summary	29
4.3	Actuation of the First FishBot Version	30
4.4	Design and Tests of the First FishBot Version	32
4.5	Design History	33
4.6	Detailed Technical Description of FishBot V.4	34
4.6.1	Mechanical Design	35
4.6.2	Electronic Design	37
4.6.3	Firmware	39
4.7	Local Obstacle Avoidance Methods	40
4.7.1	Turn and Avoid	41
4.7.2	Braitenberg	42
4.8	Performance Evaluation of the Fourth FishBot Version	43
4.9	Contribution to the State of the Art	44
4.10	People Who Contributed to This Work	45
5	RiBot, the Actuated Robotic Fish Lure	47
5.1	Summary	47
5.2	Requirements and General Descriptions	47
5.3	First Version of the RiBot Based on a Rigid PCB	49
5.3.1	Actuation Choice	49
5.3.2	Powering	51
5.3.3	Communication	53
5.3.4	Electronic Design	53
5.3.5	Firmware	54
5.3.6	Mechanical Design	54
5.3.7	System Qualifications	55
5.4	Second Version Based on a Rigid-Flex PCB	56
5.4.1	Rigid-Flex PCB Design	56
5.4.2	LED	58
5.4.3	Mechanical Design	58
5.4.4	Assembly	58
5.4.5	Performances Evaluation	59

5.5	RiBot Battery Charger	63
5.6	Contribution to the State of the Art	64
5.6.1	Robotic Fish Lures for Animal-Robot Interaction Studies	64
5.6.2	Bio-Inspired Miniature Robotic Fish	65
5.7	People Who Contributed to This Work	65
6	Automated Setup to Conduct Experiments with Mixed Societies of Fish and Robots	67
6.1	Summary	67
6.2	Experimental Setup	67
6.2.1	The Experimental Tank and Surroundings	67
6.2.2	Cameras	68
6.2.3	Computer	69
6.2.4	Lightening	70
6.2.5	Continuous Powering System of the FishBot	70
6.3	Arenas	71
6.3.1	Open Arena	72
6.3.2	Two Rooms with a Corridor	73
6.3.3	Circular Corridor	73
6.3.4	Open Circular Arena	73
6.4	Experimental Procedure	74
7	CATS, the Control and Tracking Software	75
7.1	Summary	75
7.2	Overview of Existing Software Solutions for Animal-Robot Experiments	75
7.3	CATS Global Description	76
7.3.1	Software Versions	76
7.3.2	CATS Architecture Overview	77
7.4	GUI	78
7.5	Video Capture and Streaming	78
7.6	Tracking of the Fish	79
7.6.1	Online Tracking of the Fish	79
7.6.2	Offline Tracking of the Fish	80
7.7	Tracking of the Robots	81
7.7.1	Kalman Filtering Approach for Improving the Tracking of Agents	82
7.7.2	FishBot Tracking from Below	85
7.8	Control of the FishBots	87
7.8.1	Overview of the Control Architecture	87
7.8.2	Obstacle Avoidance Mechanisms	87
7.8.3	Target Generators	89

7.8.4	Locomotion Patterns	91
7.8.5	Path Planning	92
7.9	Control of the RiBots Lures	93
7.10	Long-Distance Infrastructure	93
7.11	Conclusion and Contribution to the State of the Art	93
7.12	People Who Contributed to This Work	94
8	Biomimetic Behavior Models for Controlling a Robotic Fish	95
8.1	Summary	95
8.2	The Need of Biomimetic Controllers	95
8.3	Locomotion Pattern Embodiment	96
8.3.1	Already Existing Controllers	96
8.3.2	Zebrafish Locomotion Analysis	96
8.3.3	Implementation on the FishBot	98
8.3.4	Experimental Validation Using the FishBot Coupled with the RiBot	99
8.3.5	Results and Discussion	100
8.3.6	Conclusion	101
8.4	Integration of High-Level Controllers to Reproduce the Shoaling Behavior of Zebrafish	102
8.5	Demonstration of the Coupling of High and Low-Level Controllers	104
8.5.1	Arena	104
8.5.2	Mixed Group	104
8.5.3	Results	105
8.6	Contributions to the State of the Art	106
8.7	People Who Contributed to This Work	107
9	Application of a Fractional Factorial Design to Model the Attractiveness of a Robotic Fish to a Shoal of Zebrafish	109
9.1	Summary	109
9.2	Description of the Experiment	109
9.2.1	Experimental Setup	110
9.2.2	Lure Module	110
9.2.3	Experimental Procedure	111
9.2.4	Factors and Measurements	111
9.3	Design of Experiment	112
9.4	Results and Discussion	114
9.5	Conclusion	117
9.6	People Who Contributed to This Work	117

10	Using a Circular Corridor to Characterize the Attractive Cues of Lures for a Shoal of Zebrafish	119
10.1	Summary	119
10.2	Methods	119
10.2.1	Experimental Setup	119
10.2.2	Lures	121
10.2.3	Tracking	121
10.2.4	Zebrafish	122
10.2.5	Hypothesis Tested in Our Experiment	122
10.3	Experiments 0: Testing the Influence of a Constant Water Flux Inside the Circular Corridor	123
10.3.1	Experimental Design	123
10.3.2	Results	124
10.4	Experiments 1: Testing the Appearance and Shape of Individual Lures	124
10.4.1	Experimental Design	125
10.4.2	Results and Discussion	126
10.4.3	Tests Using idTracker	127
10.5	Experiments 2: Testing the Number of Lures	128
10.5.1	Experimental Design	128
10.5.2	Results and Discussion	129
10.6	Experiments 3: Lures Moving in Opposite Directions	130
10.6.1	Description of Experiments	130
10.6.2	Results and Discussion	131
10.7	Experiments 4: The Effect of a Biomimetic Actuated Lure	132
10.7.1	Experiments Description	132
10.7.2	Results	133
10.7.3	Tests Using idTracker	134
10.8	Conclusion	136
11	Towards Mixed Societies of Fish and Robots	139
11.1	Summary	139
11.2	Methods	139
11.2.1	Binary Choice Setup	139
11.2.2	Arena Selected to Conduce Mixed Society Experiments	141
11.2.3	Mixed Society Size	142
11.2.4	FishBot Control	142
11.2.5	Lures	143
11.2.6	Experiment Design	143
11.2.7	Measurements	144

11.3	Results and Discussion	144
11.3.1	Collective Decision	144
11.3.2	Collective Decision Over Time	147
11.3.3	Linear Speed	148
11.3.4	Inter-individual Distance	149
11.4	Conclusion	150
11.5	People Who Contributed to This Work	151
12	Conclusion	153
12.1	Summary	153
12.2	Main Accomplishments	153
12.3	Lessons Learned in Robotic Design for Animal-Robot Interaction Studies	155
12.4	Lessons Learned from Zebrafish Social Behavior	156
12.5	The Fish, an Appropriate Subject for Behavioral Studies?	157
12.6	Potential Applications	158
12.6.1	Deeper Behavioral Research on Fish	158
12.6.2	Ecology	158
12.6.3	Pharmaceutical	159
12.6.4	Research Involving Collective Behavior Studies	159
12.6.5	Benefits for the ASSISibf Project	159
12.7	Final Words	160
	References	163

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Robots designed for studies of animal behaviors	7
Fig. 1.2	General methodology used for the build-up of an animal-robot mixed society	8
Fig. 2.1	Stickleback lures used to study the stickleback behavior	14
Fig. 2.2	Robots designed for behavioral studies of small species of fish	16
Fig. 2.3	Robotic fish designs	18
Fig. 3.1	Wild-type zebrafish <i>Danio rerio</i>	22
Fig. 3.2	Cell traceability using the zebrafish during embryonic development	23
Fig. 3.3	Fish facility in our research laboratory	25
Fig. 4.1	The FishBot, a miniature wheeled mobile robot	28
Fig. 4.2	Diagram of forces acting upon the mobile robot FishBot	30
Fig. 4.3	Design of the first version of the FishBot	31
Fig. 4.4	Speed and acceleration profiles of the first version of the FishBot	32
Fig. 4.5	Design history of the FishBot mobile robot's versions	33
Fig. 4.6	Structure of the FishBot	35
Fig. 4.7	Chassis of the FishBot	36
Fig. 4.8	Electronics of the FishBot	37
Fig. 4.9	Electronic architecture of the FishBot	38
Fig. 4.10	Firmware architecture of the FishBot	39
Fig. 4.11	Control architecture of the FishBot motors	40
Fig. 4.12	Top view of the FishBot IR sensors	41
Fig. 4.13	Pseudo code of the obstacle avoidance strategy turn and avoid	42
Fig. 4.14	Pseudo code of the obstacle avoidance strategy Braitenberg	42
Fig. 4.15	The FishBot speed and acceleration characteristics	43
Fig. 4.16	Exploded view of the FishBot	44
Fig. 5.1	The actuated robotic fish lure RiBot	48

Fig. 5.2	Passively actuated fish lures	48
Fig. 5.3	The model of the RiBot tail	50
Fig. 5.4	Battery and motor of the RiBot	51
Fig. 5.5	Hardware schematic of the first prototype of the RiBot	52
Fig. 5.6	Schematic of the switch system for the tail.	53
Fig. 5.7	Mold used for molding the first version of the RiBot.	54
Fig. 5.8	Prototype of the first RiBot version	55
Fig. 5.9	RiBot tail beating	56
Fig. 5.10	Rigid-flex PCB design of the RiBot	57
Fig. 5.11	3D scan of a male zebrafish	58
Fig. 5.12	The mechanical design of the RiBot	59
Fig. 5.13	Folding process of the PCB	60
Fig. 5.14	Assembly steps of the RiBot V2.	61
Fig. 5.15	Second version of the RiBot fish lure compared with one of our zebrafish	61
Fig. 5.16	Top view of the RiBot with the tail beating	62
Fig. 5.17	Problematic of the Rigid-Flex PCB folding	62
Fig. 5.18	RiBot charger	63
Fig. 5.19	External shape of lures comparison.	64
Fig. 5.20	Average linear speed of RiBot underwater	66
Fig. 6.1	Automated experimental setup	68
Fig. 6.2	Schematic of the experimental setup.	69
Fig. 6.3	View inside the experimental setup.	70
Fig. 6.4	View of the experimental setup implemented at the EPFL	71
Fig. 6.5	Zebrafish arenas	72
Fig. 7.1	The logo of the Control And Tracking Software (CATS).	76
Fig. 7.2	The two versions of the software tools	77
Fig. 7.3	Overview of the software architecture.	78
Fig. 7.4	Overview of the tracking sub-system of CATS.	79
Fig. 7.5	Result obtained using idTracker for the identification of the fish	81
Fig. 7.6	Tracking with an External Kalman Filter	82
Fig. 7.7	Flow chart of the EKF process model	83
Fig. 7.8	Flow chart of the measurement process of the EKF	84
Fig. 7.9	Tracking of the FishBots from below	86
Fig. 7.10	The merge of the tracking results from both cameras	86
Fig. 7.11	Overview of the robot control sub-system of CATS	88
Fig. 7.12	Overview of the potential field algorithm principle.	89
Fig. 7.13	Simulation of the potential field algorithm	90
Fig. 7.14	Schematic of the long distance software infrastructure support of CATS	94
Fig. 8.1	Top view of a lure moving with four zebrafish.	96
Fig. 8.2	Trajectory of zebrafish and zone delimitation of the aquarium.	97

Fig. 8.3	Decomposition of zebrafish movement underwater	98
Fig. 8.4	Speed density for the motion of an agent	100
Fig. 8.5	Typical speed and acceleration of an agent	101
Fig. 8.6	Average agents movement sequence	101
Fig. 8.7	Description of the vision-based model	103
Fig. 8.8	Control architecture to mimic the trajectories and locomotion of zebrafish	103
Fig. 8.9	Examples of individual trajectories from fish and robots in a circular arena	105
Fig. 8.10	Agents' speed in the mixed group experiment in an open circular arena	106
Fig. 8.11	Inter-individual distance between agents in the mixed group experiment	106
Fig. 9.1	The FishBot coupled with a fishing lure	110
Fig. 9.2	Lures that were used to perform the experiments involving the FishBot V3	111
Fig. 9.3	The two trajectories followed by the robotic fish	112
Fig. 9.4	Relative effects of the factors on the first measure	115
Fig. 9.5	Relative effects of the factors on the second measure	115
Fig. 10.1	The Ribot facing a zebrafish	120
Fig. 10.2	Experimental setup for experiments performed with the circular corridor	120
Fig. 10.3	Setup used to test the effect of water currents on the zebrafish behavior	123
Fig. 10.4	Fish shoal swimming direction when a water current is created	124
Fig. 10.5	The four lures that were tested in Experiment 1	125
Fig. 10.6	Fish swimming direction preference for experiment 1	126
Fig. 10.7	Linear speed of the zebrafish for experiments with and without the lure	128
Fig. 10.8	Relation between the fish-robot distance and their swimming direction	128
Fig. 10.9	Lures that were used in Experiment 2	129
Fig. 10.10	Fish swimming direction preference for experiment 2	130
Fig. 10.11	Result for experiment 3	131
Fig. 10.12	Actuated biomimetic RiBot used in experiment 3	132
Fig. 10.13	Effect of motor noise on the swimming preference of the zebrafish	133
Fig. 10.14	Fish swimming direction preference for experiment 4	134
Fig. 10.15	Individual fish-RiBot distance versus the fish swimming direction for RiBot ON	135
Fig. 10.16	Individual fish-RiBot distance versus the fish swimming direction for RiBot OFF	135
Fig. 11.1	Top view of the mixed society experiment	140

Fig. 11.2 Binary choice for LEURRE project and the mixed society
of fish and robots 140

Fig. 11.3 System designed to rotate black and white stripes
in the circular arena 142

Fig. 11.4 Mixed society swimming direction preference 145

Fig. 11.5 Mixed society swimming direction preference
for each trial 146

Fig. 11.6 Mixed society swimming direction preference over time 147

Fig. 11.7 Mean speed of the mixed society during the three
conditions 148

Fig. 11.8 Mean inter-individual distances during the three conditions ... 149

Fig. 12.1 The FishBot coupled with the RiBot 154

Fig. 12.2 Fish and bee mixed society setup at the ARS Electronica
festival 2016..... 160

Fig. 12.3 Fish and bees interacting at the ARS Electronica
festival 2016..... 161

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Recent works using biomimetic robots to study behavior of fish	15
Table 5.1	Characteristics of the micro step gear motor MF03G	51
Table 5.2	Comparison between the first and second design version of the robotic fish lure	63
Table 5.3	Size and linear speed of fish robots	65
Table 7.1	List of the FishBots' behaviors	87
Table 8.1	Parameters of the FishBot locomotion	99
Table 9.1	Factors of the experiment involving the first version of the FishBot	112
Table 9.2	Results obtained from the eight experiments performed	114
Table 9.3	ANOVA table for response T	116
Table 9.4	ANOVA table for response T with only three factors included in the residual	116
Table 10.1	Zebrafish shoal size for behavioral experiments	122
Table 10.2	Relative effect of different factors on the swimming direction of the fish	134
Table 10.3	ANOVA obtained for experiment 4	135