

Founding Editors

Gerhard Goos

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Juris Hartmanis

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Editorial Board Members

Elisa Bertino

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Wen Gao

Peking University, Beijing, China

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Gerhard Woeginger 

RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Moti Yung

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA


More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7412>

Kilian M. Pohl · Wesley K. Thompson ·
Ehsan Adeli · Marius George Linguraru (Eds.)

Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Neurocognitive Prediction


First Challenge, ABCD-NP 2019
Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2019
Shenzhen, China, October 13, 2019
Proceedings

Editors

Kilian M. Pohl 
Stanford University
Stanford, CA, USA

Ehsan Adeli 
Stanford University
Stanford, CA, USA

Wesley K. Thompson
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA, USA

Marius George Linguraru 
Children's National Health System
Washington, DC, USA

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Computer Science
ISBN 978-3-030-31900-7 ISBN 978-3-030-31901-4 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31901-4>

LNCS Sublibrary: SL6 – Image Processing, Computer Vision, Pattern Recognition, and Graphics

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

The ABCD Neurocognitive Prediction Challenge (2019 ABCD-NP-Challenge; <https://sibis.sri.com/abcd-np-challenge>) invited researchers to submit methods for predicting fluid intelligence from T1-weighted MRI of 8669 children (age 9–10 years) recruited by the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study (ABCD) study—the largest long-term study of brain development and child health in the United States to date. The first ABCD-NP-Challenge was organized in conjunction with the 22nd International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention (MICCAI) held in October 2019 in Shenzhen, China. In total, 124 teams registered for the challenge. There were no limits or restrictions on team members as long as the team complied with the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Data Archive Data Use Certification of the ABCD project and members of the team were not from labs associated with the ABCD study (<https://abcdstudy.org/>). Each team was instructed to use the NIMH Data Archive (NDA) portal to download the T1-weighted MRI, which the organizers had skull-stripped and affinely aligned to the SRI 24 atlas. In addition, the challenge organizers provided brain parcellations defined by the atlas and a CSV file with the corresponding volume measurements of each region of interest (ROI). Information about the ABCD Data Repository can be found at <https://nda.nih.gov/abcd/about>.

The NDA portal also provided the residual fluid intelligence scores of 4154 subjects for training (3739 samples) and validation (415 samples). Fluid intelligence is a major component in determining general intelligence. Determining the neural mechanisms underlying general intelligence is fundamental to understanding cognitive development, how this relates to real-world health outcomes, and how interventions (education, environment) might improve outcomes through adolescence and into adulthood. The fluid intelligence scores recorded by the ABCD study were measured via the NIH Toolbox Neurocognition battery. The scores provided by the challenge organizers were pre-residualized on data collection site, sociodemographic variables, and brain volume. The R code for computing the residual scores was accessible through the challenge website. The residual fluid intelligence scores of the 4515 subjects used to test each method were not released but had to be predicted based on the provided T1-weighted MRI. The corresponding raw fluid intelligence scores and demographic factors were first made accessible to the public via the ABCD Data Release 2.0, which was released after the submission deadline (March 24, 2019) of the challenge.

There were 29 submissions, of which 24 were accepted to the challenge after passing a single-blinded review. An eligible submission consisted of a CSV file containing the predictions of the fluid intelligence based only on the provided T1-weighted MRIs of at least 99% of the 4402 test subjects, the source code generating those predictions, and a manuscript describing the method and findings. The document needed to clearly describe the data used for prediction, the method, and findings including the prediction error during training and validation. Authors submitting

multiple manuscripts needed to describe methods and results that were different from each other and from previously published material. With these criteria, manuscripts of some submissions were merged resulting in a total of 21 papers, which are included in this book regardless of the ranking in the challenge. Each paper is described in one chapter and includes detailed implementations steps, analysis of the results, and comparison with baseline methods.

Contestants were ranked separately on the validation data set and on the test data sets. For each data set, the organizers computed the mean squared error (MSE) between their predicted scores and the pre-residual fluid intelligence scores according to publicly available R code. The error of missing predictions was the largest MSE from among the set of values produced by the same algorithm on the subjects in the dataset. Overall, 19 submissions (out of 24) were better than a naïve predictor, i.e., the mean intelligence score based on the training data. These results revealed that the 2019 ABCD-NP-Challenge was grand. Structural T1-weighted MRI should contain more information about fluid intelligence as at that age intelligence is not yet considered a result of education and thus mostly associated with family history, including genetics. Of the MRI modalities acquired by ABCD, T1-weighted MRI modalities most closely linked to genetics.

August 2019

Kilian M. Pohl
Wesley K. Thompson
Ehsan Adeli
Marius George Linguraru

Organization

Chairs

Kilian M. Pohl
Wesley K. Thompson

Stanford University and SRI International, USA
University of California San Diego, USA

Co-chairs

Ehsan Adeli
Bennett K. Landman
Marius George Linguraru

Susan Tapert

Stanford University, USA
Vanderbilt University, USA
Children's National Health System and George
Washington University, USA
University of California San Diego, USA

Contents

A Combined Deep Learning-Gradient Boosting Machine Framework for Fluid Intelligence Prediction	1
<i>Yeeleng S. Vang, Yingxin Cao, and Xiaohui Xie</i>	
Predicting Fluid Intelligence of Children Using T1-Weighted MR Images and a StackNet	9
<i>Po-Yu Kao, Angela Zhang, Michael Goebel, Jefferson W. Chen, and B. S. Manjunath</i>	
Deep Learning vs. Classical Machine Learning: A Comparison of Methods for Fluid Intelligence Prediction	17
<i>Luke Guerdan, Peng Sun, Connor Rowland, Logan Harrison, Zhicheng Tang, Nickolas Wergeles, and Yi Shang</i>	
Surface-Based Brain Morphometry for the Prediction of Fluid Intelligence in the Neurocognitive Prediction Challenge 2019	26
<i>Michael Rebsamen, Christian Rummel, Ines Mürner-Lavanchy, Mauricio Reyes, Roland Wiest, and Richard McKinley</i>	
Prediction of Fluid Intelligence from T1-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Images	35
<i>Sebastian Pölsterl, Benjamín Gutiérrez-Becker, Ignacio Sarasua, Abhijit Guha Roy, and Christian Wachinger</i>	
Ensemble of SVM, Random-Forest and the BSWiMS Method to Predict and Describe Structural Associations with Fluid Intelligence Scores from T1-Weighed MRI	47
<i>Jose Tamez-Pena, Jorge Orozco, Patricia Sosa, Alejandro Valdes, and Fahimeh Nezhadmoghadam</i>	
Predicting Intelligence Based on Cortical WM/GM Contrast, Cortical Thickness and Volumetry	57
<i>Juan Miguel Valverde, Vandad Imani, John D. Lewis, and Jussi Tohka</i>	
Predict Fluid Intelligence of Adolescent Using Ensemble Learning	66
<i>Huijing Ren, Xuelin Wang, Sheng Wang, and Zhengwu Zhang</i>	
Predicting Fluid Intelligence in Adolescent Brain MRI Data: An Ensemble Approach	74
<i>Shikhar Srivastava, Fabian Eitel, and Kerstin Ritter</i>	

Predicting Fluid Intelligence from Structural MRI Using Random Forest regression	83
<i>Agata Wlaszczyk, Agnieszka Kaminska, Agnieszka Pietraszek, Jakub Dabrowski, Mikolaj A. Pawlak, and Hanna Nowicka</i>	
Nu Support Vector Machine in Prediction of Fluid Intelligence Using MRI Data	92
<i>Yanli Zhang-James, Stephen J. Glatt, and Stephen V. Faraone</i>	
An AutoML Approach for the Prediction of Fluid Intelligence from MRI-Derived Features	99
<i>Sebastian Pölsterl, Benjamín Gutiérrez-Becker, Ignacio Sarasua, Abhijit Guha Roy, and Christian Wachinger</i>	
Predicting Fluid Intelligence from MRI Images with Encoder-Decoder Regularization	108
<i>Lihao Liu, Lequan Yu, Shujun Wang, and Pheng-Ann Heng</i>	
ABCD Neurocognitive Prediction Challenge 2019: Predicting Individual Residual Fluid Intelligence Scores from Cortical Grey Matter Morphology	114
<i>Neil P. Oxtoby, Fabio S. Ferreira, Agoston Mihalik, Tong Wu, Mikael Brudfors, Hongxiang Lin, Anita Rau, Stefano B. Blumberg, Maria Robu, Cemre Zor, Maira Tariq, Mar Estarellas Garcia, Baris Kanber, Daniil I. Nikitichev, and Janaina Mourão-Miranda</i>	
Ensemble Modeling of Neurocognitive Performance Using MRI-Derived Brain Structure Volumes	124
<i>Leo Brueggeman, Tanner Koomar, Yongchao Huang, Brady Hoskins, Tien Tong, James Kent, Ethan Bahl, Charles E. Johnson, Alexander Powers, Douglas Langbehn, Jatin Vaidya, Hans Johnson, and Jacob J. Michaelson</i>	
ABCD Neurocognitive Prediction Challenge 2019: Predicting Individual Fluid Intelligence Scores from Structural MRI Using Probabilistic Segmentation and Kernel Ridge Regression	133
<i>Agoston Mihalik, Mikael Brudfors, Maria Robu, Fabio S. Ferreira, Hongxiang Lin, Anita Rau, Tong Wu, Stefano B. Blumberg, Baris Kanber, Maira Tariq, Mar Estarellas Garcia, Cemre Zor, Daniil I. Nikitichev, Janaina Mourão-Miranda, and Neil P. Oxtoby</i>	
Predicting Fluid Intelligence Using Anatomical Measures Within Functionally Defined Brain Networks	143
<i>Jeffrey N. Chiang, Nicco Reggente, John Dell’Italia, Zhong Sheng Zheng, and Evan S. Lutkenhoff</i>	

Sex Differences in Predicting Fluid Intelligence of Adolescent Brain from T1-Weighted MRIs	150
<i>Sara Ranjbar, Kyle W. Singleton, Lee Curtin, Susan Christine Massey, Andrea Hawkins-Daarud, Pamela R. Jackson, and Kristin R. Swanson</i>	
Ensemble of 3D CNN Regressors with Data Fusion for Fluid Intelligence Prediction	158
<i>Marina Pominova, Anna Kuzina, Ekaterina Kondrateva, Svetlana Sushchinskaya, Evgeny Burnaev, Vyacheslav Yarkin, and Maxim Sharaev</i>	
Adolescent Fluid Intelligence Prediction from Regional Brain Volumes and Cortical Curvatures Using BlockPC-XGBoost.	167
<i>Tengfei Li, Xifeng Wang, Tianyou Luo, Yue Yang, Bingxin Zhao, Liuqing Yang, Ziliang Zhu, and Hongtu Zhu</i>	
Cortical and Subcortical Contributions to Predicting Intelligence Using 3D ConvNets.	176
<i>Yukai Zou, Ikbeom Jang, Timothy G. Reese, Jinxia Yao, Wenbin Zhu, and Joseph V. Rispoli</i>	
Author Index	187