



Transition Property for α -Power Free Languages with $\alpha \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ Letters

Josef Rukavicka^(✉)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering,
Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
josef.rukavicka@seznam.cz

Abstract. In 1985, Restivo and Salemi presented a list of five problems concerning power free languages. Problem 4 states: Given α -power-free words u and v , decide whether there is a transition from u to v . Problem 5 states: Given α -power-free words u and v , find a transition word w , if it exists.

Let Σ_k denote an alphabet with k letters. Let $L_{k,\alpha}$ denote the α -power free language over the alphabet Σ_k , where α is a rational number or a rational “number with +”. If α is a “number with +” then suppose $k \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 2$. If α is “only” a number then suppose $k = 3$ and $\alpha > 2$ or $k > 3$ and $\alpha \geq 2$. We show that: If $u \in L_{k,\alpha}$ is a right extendable word in $L_{k,\alpha}$ and $v \in L_{k,\alpha}$ is a left extendable word in $L_{k,\alpha}$ then there is a (transition) word w such that $uwv \in L_{k,\alpha}$. We also show a construction of the word w .

Keywords: Power free languages · Transition property · Dejean’s conjecture

1 Introduction

The power free words are one of the major themes in the area of combinatorics on words. An α -power of a word r is the word $r^\alpha = rr \dots rt$ such that $\frac{|r^\alpha|}{|r|} = \alpha$ and t is a prefix of r , where $\alpha \geq 1$ is a rational number. For example $(1234)^3 = 123412341234$ and $(1234)^{\frac{7}{4}} = 1234123$. We say that a finite or infinite word w is α -power free if w has no factors that are β -powers for $\beta \geq \alpha$ and we say that a finite or infinite word w is α^+ -power free if w has no factors that are β -powers for $\beta > \alpha$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ are rational numbers. In the following, when we write “ α -power free” then α denotes a number or a “number with +”. The power free words, also called repetitions free words, include well known square free (2-power free), overlap free (2^+ -power free), and cube free words (3-power free). Two surveys on the topic of power free words can be found in [8] and [13].

One of the questions being researched is the construction of infinite power free words. We define the *repetition threshold* $RT(k)$ to be the infimum of all rational numbers α such that there exists an infinite α -power-free word over an alphabet with k letters. Dejean’s conjecture states that $RT(2) = 2$, $RT(3) = \frac{7}{4}$,

$RT(4) = \frac{7}{5}$, and $RT(k) = \frac{k}{k-1}$ for each $k > 4$ [3]. Dejean’s conjecture has been proved with the aid of several articles [1-3, 5, 6, 9].

It is easy to see that α -power free words form a factorial language [13]; it means that all factors of a α -power free word are also α -power free words. Then Dejean’s conjecture implies that there are infinitely many finite α -power free words over Σ_k , where $\alpha > RT(k)$.

In [10], Restivo and Salemi presented a list of five problems that deal with the question of extendability of power free words. In the current paper we investigate Problem 4 and Problem 5:

- Problem 4: Given α -power-free words u and v , decide whether there is a transition word w , such that uwu is α -power free.
- Problem 5: Given α -power-free words u and v , find a transition word w , if it exists.

A recent survey on the progress of solving all the five problems can be found in [7]; in particular, the problems 4 and 5 are solved for some overlap free (2^+ -power free) binary words. In addition, in [7] the authors prove that: For every pair (u, v) of cube free words (3-power free) over an alphabet with k letters, if u can be infinitely extended to the right and v can be infinitely extended to the left respecting the cube-freeness property, then there exists a “transition” word w over the same alphabet such that uwv is cube free.

In 2009, a conjecture related to Problems 4 and Problem 5 of Restivo and Salemi appeared in [12]:

Conjecture 1. [12, Conjecture 1] Let L be a power-free language and let $e(L) \subseteq L$ be the set of words of L that can be extended to a bi-infinite word respecting the given power-freeness. If $u, v \in e(L)$ then $uwv \in e(L)$ for some word w .

In 2018, Conjecture 1 was presented also in [11] in a slightly different form.

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of natural numbers and let \mathbb{Q} denote the set of rational numbers.

Definition 1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} = & \{(k, \alpha) \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } k = 3 \text{ and } \alpha > 2\} \\ & \cup \{(k, \alpha) \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } k > 3 \text{ and } \alpha \geq 2\} \\ & \cup \{(k, \alpha^+) \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } k \geq 3 \text{ and } \alpha \geq 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. The definition of \mathcal{Y} says that: If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and α is a “number with +” then $k \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 2$. If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and α is “just” a number then $k = 3$ and $\alpha > 2$ or $k > 3$ and $\alpha \geq 2$.

Let L be a language. A finite word $w \in L$ is called *left extendable* (resp., *right extendable*) in L if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a word $u \in L$ with $|u| = n$ such that $uw \in L$ (resp., $wu \in L$).

In the current article we improve the results addressing Problems 4 and Problem 5 of Restivo and Salemi from [7] as follows. Let Σ_k denote an alphabet

with k letters. Let $L_{k,\alpha}$ denote the α -power free language over the alphabet Σ_k . We show that if $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $u \in L_{k,\alpha}$ is a right extendable word in $L_{k,\alpha}$, and $v \in L_{k,\alpha}$ is a left extendable word in $L_{k,\alpha}$ then there is a word w such that $uwv \in L_{k,\alpha}$. We also show a construction of the word w .

We sketch briefly our construction of a “transition” word. Let u be a right extendable α -power free word and let v be a left extendable α -power free word over Σ_k with $k > 2$ letters. Let \bar{u} be a right infinite α -power free word having u as a prefix and let \bar{v} be a left infinite α -power free word having v as a suffix. Let x be a letter that is recurrent in both \bar{u} and \bar{v} . We show that we may suppose that \bar{u} and \bar{v} have a common recurrent letter. Let t be a right infinite α -power free word over $\Sigma_k \setminus \{x\}$. Let \bar{t} be a left infinite α -power free word such that the set of factors of \bar{t} is a subset of the set of recurrent factors of t . We show that such \bar{t} exists. We identify a prefix $\bar{u}xg$ of \bar{u} such that g is a prefix of t and $\bar{u}xt$ is a right infinite α -power free word. Analogously we identify a suffix $\bar{g}x\bar{v}$ of \bar{v} such that \bar{g} is a suffix of \bar{t} and $\bar{t}x\bar{v}$ is a left infinite α -power free word. Moreover our construction guarantees that u is a prefix of $\bar{u}xt$ and v is a suffix of $\bar{t}x\bar{v}$. Then we find a prefix hpt of t such that $px\bar{v}$ is a suffix of $\bar{t}x\bar{v}$ and such that both h and p are “sufficiently long”. Then we show that $\bar{u}xhpx\bar{v}$ is an α -power free word having u as a prefix and v as a suffix.

The very basic idea of our proof is that if u, v are α -power free words and x is a letter such that x is not a factor of both u and v , then clearly uxv is α -power free on condition that $\alpha \geq 2$. Just note that there cannot be a factor in uxv which is an α -power and contains x , because x has only one occurrence in uxv . Our constructed words $\bar{u}xt$, $\bar{t}x\bar{v}$, and $\bar{u}xhpx\bar{v}$ have “long” factors which does not contain a letter x . This will allow us to apply a similar approach to show that the constructed words do not contain square factor rr such that r contains the letter x .

Another key observation is that if $k \geq 3$ and $\alpha > \text{RT}(k - 1)$ then there is an infinite α -power free word \bar{w} over $\Sigma_k \setminus \{x\}$, where $x \in \Sigma_k$. This is an implication of Dejean’s conjecture. Less formally said, if u, v are α -power free words over an alphabet with k letters, then we construct a “transition” word w over an alphabet with $k - 1$ letters such that uwv is α -power free.

Dejean’s conjecture imposes also the limit to possible improvement of our construction. The construction cannot be used for $\text{RT}(k) \leq \alpha < \text{RT}(k - 1)$, where $k \geq 3$, because every infinite (or “sufficiently long”) word w over an alphabet with $k - 1$ letters contains a factor which is an α -power. Also for $k = 2$ and $\alpha \geq 1$ our technique fails. On the other hand, based on our research, it seems that our technique, with some adjustments, could be applied also for $\text{RT}(k - 1) \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $k \geq 3$. Moreover it seems to be possible to generalize our technique to bi-infinite words and consequently to prove Conjecture 1 for $k \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq \text{RT}(k - 1)$.

2 Preliminaries

Recall that Σ_k denotes an alphabet with k letters. Let ϵ denote the empty word. Let Σ_k^* denote the set of all finite words over Σ_k including the empty word ϵ , let

$\Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$ denote the set of all right infinite words over Σ_k , and let $\Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$ denote the set of all left infinite words over Σ_k . Let $\Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}} = \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L} \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$. We call $w \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ an infinite word.

Let $\text{occur}(w, t)$ denote the number of occurrences of the nonempty factor $t \in \Sigma_k^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ in the word $w \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $w \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\text{occur}(w, t) = \infty$, then we call t a *recurrent factor* in w .

Let $F(w)$ denote the set of all finite factors of a finite or infinite word $w \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$. The set $F(w)$ contains the empty word and if w is finite then also $w \in F(w)$. Let $F_\tau(w) \subseteq F(w)$ denote the set of all recurrent nonempty factors of $w \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let $\text{Prf}(w) \subseteq F(w)$ denote the set of all prefixes of $w \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$ and let $\text{Suf}(w) \subseteq F(w)$ denote the set of all suffixes of $w \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$. We define that $\epsilon \in \text{Prf}(w) \cap \text{Suf}(w)$ and if w is finite then also $w \in \text{Prf}(w) \cap \text{Suf}(w)$.

We have that $L_{k,\alpha} \subseteq \Sigma_k^*$. Let $L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the set of all infinite α -power free words over Σ_k . Obviously $L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{w \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}} \mid F(w) \subseteq L_{k,\alpha}\}$. In addition we define $L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R} = L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$ and $L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L} = L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$; it means the sets of right infinite and left infinite α -power free words.

3 Power Free Languages

Let $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and let u, v be α -power free words. The first lemma says that uv is α -power free if there are no word r and no nonempty prefix \bar{v} of v such that rr is a suffix of $u\bar{v}$ and rr is longer than \bar{v} .

Lemma 1. *Suppose $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $u \in L_{k,\alpha}$, and $v \in L_{k,\alpha} \cup L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$. Let*

$$\Pi = \{(r, \bar{v}) \mid r \in \Sigma_k^* \setminus \{\epsilon\} \text{ and } \bar{v} \in \text{Prf}(v) \setminus \{\epsilon\} \text{ and } rr \in \text{Suf}(u\bar{v}) \text{ and } |rr| > |\bar{v}|\}.$$

If $\Pi = \emptyset$ then $uv \in L_{k,\alpha} \cup L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$.

Proof. Suppose that uv is not α -power free. Since u is α -power free, then there are $t \in \Sigma_k^*$ and $x \in \Sigma_k$ such that $tx \in \text{Prf}(v)$, $ut \in L_{k,\alpha}$ and $utx \notin L_{k,\alpha}$. It means that there is $r \in \text{Suf}(utx)$ such that $r^\beta \in \text{Suf}(utx)$ for some $\beta \geq \alpha$ or $\beta > \alpha$ if α is a ‘‘number with +’’; recall Definition 1 of \mathcal{Y} . Because $\alpha \geq 2$, this implies that $rr \in \text{Suf}(r^\beta)$. It follows that $(tx, r) \in \Pi$. We proved that $uv \notin L_{k,\alpha} \cup L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$ implies that $\Pi \neq \emptyset$. The lemma follows. \square

The following technical set $\Gamma(k, \alpha)$ of 5-tuples (w_1, w_2, x, g, t) will simplify our propositions.

Definition 2. *Given $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, we define that $(w_1, w_2, x, g, t) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$ if*

1. $w_1, w_2, g \in \Sigma_k^*$,
2. $x \in \Sigma_k$,
3. $w_1 w_2 x g \in L_{k,\alpha}$,

4. $t \in L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$,
5. $\text{occur}(t, x) = 0$,
6. $g \in \text{Prf}(t)$,
7. $\text{occur}(w_2xgy, xgy) = 1$, where $y \in \Sigma_k$ is such that $gy \in \text{Prf}(t)$, and
8. $\text{occur}(w_2, x) \geq \text{occur}(w_1, x)$.

Remark 2. Less formally said, the 5-tuple (w_1, w_2, x, g, t) is in $\Gamma(k, \alpha)$ if w_1w_2xg is α -power free word over Σ_k , t is a right infinite α -power free word over Σ_k , t has no occurrence of x (thus t is a word over $\Sigma_k \setminus \{x\}$), g is a prefix of t , xgy has only one occurrence in w_2xgy , where y is a letter such that gy is a prefix of t , and the number of occurrences of x in w_2 is bigger than the number of occurrences of x in w_1 , where w_1, w_2, g are finite words and x is a letter.

The next proposition shows that if (w_1, w_2, x, g, t) is from the set $\Gamma(k, \alpha)$ then w_1w_2xt is a right infinite α -power free word, where (k, α) is from the set \mathcal{Y} .

Proposition 1. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $(w_1, w_2, x, g, t) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$ then $w_1w_2xt \in L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$.*

Proof. Lemma 1 implies that it suffices to show that there are no $u \in \text{Prf}(t)$ with $|u| > |g|$ and no $r \in \Sigma_k^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ such that $rr \in \text{Suf}(w_1w_2xu)$ and $|rr| > |u|$. Recall that w_1w_2xg is an α -power free word, hence we consider $|u| > |g|$. To get a contradiction, suppose that such r, u exist. We distinguish the following distinct cases.

- If $|r| \leq |u|$ then: Since $u \in \text{Prf}(t) \subseteq L_{k,\alpha}$ it follows that $xu \in \text{Suf}(r^2)$ and hence $x \in F(r^2)$. It is clear that $\text{occur}(r^2, x) \geq 1$ if and only if $\text{occur}(r, x) \geq 1$. Since $x \notin F(u)$ and thus $x \notin F(r)$, this is a contradiction.
- If $|r| > |u|$ and $rr \in \text{Suf}(w_2xu)$ then: Let $y \in \Sigma_k$ be such that $gy \in \text{Prf}(t)$. Since $|u| > |g|$ we have that $gy \in \text{Prf}(u)$ and $xgy \in \text{Prf}(xu)$. Since $|r| > |u|$ we have that $xgy \in F(r)$. In consequence $\text{occur}(rr, xgy) \geq 2$. But Property 7 of Definition 2 states that $\text{occur}(w_2xgy, xgy) = 1$. Since $rr \in \text{Suf}(w_2xu)$, this is a contradiction.
- If $|r| > |u|$ and $rr \notin \text{Suf}(w_2xu)$ and $r \in \text{Suf}(w_2xu)$ then:
Let $w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{13}, w_{21}, w_{22} \in \Sigma_k^*$ be such that $w_1 = w_{11}w_{12}w_{13}$, $w_2 = w_{21}w_{22}$, $w_{12}w_{13}w_{21} = r$, $w_{12}w_{13}w_2xu = rr$, and $w_{13}w_{21} = xu$; see Figure below.

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-----|--|
| | | xu | | | | | |
| w_{11} | w_{12} | w_{13} | w_{21} | w_{22} | x | u | |
| | | r | | r | | | |

It follows that $w_{22}xu = r$ and $w_{22} = w_{12}$. It is easy to see that $w_{13}w_{21} = xu$. From $\text{occur}(u, x) = 0$ we have that $\text{occur}(w_2, x) = \text{occur}(w_{22}, x)$ and $\text{occur}(w_{13}, x) = 1$. From $w_{22} = w_{12}$ it follows that $\text{occur}(w_1, x) > \text{occur}(w_2, x)$. This is a contradiction to Property 8 of Definition 2.

- If $|r| > |u|$ and $rr \notin \text{Suf}(w_2xu)$ and $r \notin \text{Suf}(w_2xu)$ then: Let $w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{13} \in \Sigma_k^*$ be such that $w_1 = w_{11}w_{12}w_{13}$, $w_{12} = r$ and $w_{13}w_2xu = r$; see Figure below.

| | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|-------|-----|-----|
| w_{11} | w_{12} | w_{13} | w_2 | x | u |
| | r | | r | | |

It follows that

$$\text{occur}(w_{12}, x) = \text{occur}(w_{13}, x) + \text{occur}(w_2, x) + \text{occur}(xu, x).$$

This is a contradiction to Property 8 of Definition 2.

We proved that the assumption of existence of r, u leads to a contradiction. Thus we proved that for each prefix $u \in \text{Prf}(t)$ we have that $w_1w_2xu \in L_{k,\alpha}$. The proposition follows. \square

We prove that if $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$ then there is a right infinite α -power free word over Σ_{k-1} . In the introduction we showed that this observation could be deduced from Dejean’s conjecture. Here additionally, to be able to address Problem 5 from the list of Restivo and Salemi, we present in the proof also examples of such words.

Lemma 2. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$ then the set $L_{k-1,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$ is not empty.*

Proof. If $k = 3$ then $|\Sigma_{k-1}| = 2$. It is well known that the Thue Morse word is a right infinite 2^+ -power free word over an alphabet with 2 letters [11]. It follows that the Thue Morse word is α -power free for each $\alpha > 2$.

If $k > 3$ then $|\Sigma_{k-1}| \geq 3$. It is well known that there are infinite 2-power free words over an alphabet with 3 letters [11]. Suppose $0, 1, 2 \in \Sigma_k$. An example is the fixed point of the morphism θ defined by $\theta(0) = 012$, $\theta(1) = 02$, and $\theta(2) = 1$ [11]. If an infinite word t is 2-power free then obviously t is α -power free and α^+ -power free for each $\alpha \geq 2$.

This completes the proof. \square

We define the sets of extendable words.

Definition 3. *Let $L \subseteq \Sigma_k^*$. We define*

$$\text{lext}(L) = \{w \in L \mid w \text{ is left extendable in } L\}$$

and

$$\text{rext}(L) = \{w \in L \mid w \text{ is right extendable in } L\}.$$

If $u \in \text{lext}(L)$ then let $\text{lext}(u, L)$ be the set of all left infinite words \bar{u} such that $\text{Suf}(\bar{u}) \subseteq L$ and $u \in \text{Suf}(\bar{u})$. Analogously if $u \in \text{rext}(L)$ then let $\text{rext}(u, L)$ be the set of all right infinite words \bar{u} such that $\text{Prf}(\bar{u}) \subseteq L$ and $u \in \text{Prf}(\bar{u})$.

We show the sets $\text{lex}(u, L)$ and $\text{rex}(v, L)$ are nonempty for left extendable and right extendable words.

Lemma 3. *If $L \subseteq \Sigma_k^*$ and $u \in \text{lex}(L)$ (resp., $v \in \text{rex}(L)$) then $\text{lex}(u, L) \neq \emptyset$ (resp., $\text{rex}(v, L) \neq \emptyset$).*

Proof. Realize that $u \in \text{lex}(L)$ (resp., $v \in \text{rex}(L)$) implies that there are infinitely many finite words in L having u as a suffix (resp., v as a prefix). Then the lemma follows from König’s Infinity Lemma [4, 8]. \square

The next proposition proves that if $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, w is a right extendable α -power free word, \bar{w} is a right infinite α -power free word having the letter x as a recurrent factor and having w as a prefix, and t is a right infinite α -power free word over $\Sigma_k \setminus \{x\}$, then there are finite words w_1, w_2, g such that the 5-tuple (w_1, w_2, x, g, t) is in the set $\Gamma(k, \alpha)$ and w is a prefix of $w_1 w_2 x g$.

Proposition 2. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $w \in \text{rex}(L_{k,\alpha})$, $\bar{w} \in \text{rex}(w, L_{k,\alpha})$, $x \in F_r(\bar{w}) \cap \Sigma_k$, $t \in L_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$, and $\text{occur}(t, x) = 0$ then there are finite words w_1, w_2, g such that $(w_1, w_2, x, g, t) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$ and $w \in \text{Prf}(w_1 w_2 x g)$.*

Proof. Let $\omega = F(\bar{w}) \cap \text{Prf}(xt)$ be the set of factors of \bar{w} that are also prefixes of the word xt . Based on the size of the set ω we construct the words w_1, w_2, g and we show that $(w_1, w_2, x, g, t) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$ and $w_1 w_2 x g \in \text{Prf}(\bar{w}) \subseteq L_{k,\alpha}$. The Properties 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of Definition 2 are easy to verify. Hence we explicitly prove only properties 7 and 8 and that $w \in \text{Prf}(w_1 w_2 x g)$.

- If ω is an infinite set. It follows that $\text{Prf}(xt) = \omega$. Let $g \in \text{Prf}(t)$ be such that $|g| = |w|$; recall that t is infinite and hence such g exists. Let $w_2 \in \text{Prf}(\bar{w})$ be such that $w_2 x g \in \text{Prf}(\bar{w})$ and $\text{occur}(w_2 x g, x g) = 1$. Let $w_1 = \epsilon$. Property 7 of Definition 2 follows from $\text{occur}(w_2 x g, x g) = 1$. Property 8 of Definition 2 is obvious, because w_1 is the empty word. Since $|g| = |w|$ and $w \in \text{Prf}(\bar{w})$ we have that $w \in \text{Prf}(w_1 w_2 x g)$.
- If ω is a finite set. Let $\bar{\omega} = \omega \cap F_r(\bar{w})$ be the set of prefixes of xt that are recurrent in \bar{w} . Since x is recurrent in \bar{w} we have that $x \in \bar{\omega}$ and thus $\bar{\omega}$ is not empty. Let $g \in \text{Prf}(t)$ be such that $x g$ is the longest element in $\bar{\omega}$. Let $w_1 \in \text{Prf}(w)$ be the shortest prefix of \bar{w} such that if $u \in \omega \setminus \bar{\omega}$ is a non-recurrent prefix of xt in \bar{w} then $\text{occur}(w_1, u) = \text{occur}(\bar{w}, u)$. Such w_1 obviously exists, because ω is a finite set and non-recurrent factors have only a finite number of occurrences. Let w_2 be the shortest factor of \bar{w} such that $w_1 w_2 x g \in \text{Prf}(\bar{w})$, $\text{occur}(w_1, x) < \text{occur}(w_2, x)$, and $w \in \text{Prf}(w_1 w_2 x g)$. Since $x g$ is recurrent in \bar{w} and $w \in \text{Prf}(\bar{w})$ it is clear such w_2 exists.

We show that Property 7 of Definition 2 holds. Let $y \in \Sigma_k$ be such that $g y \in \text{Prf}(t)$. Suppose that $\text{occur}(w_2 x g, x g y) > 0$. It would imply that $x g y$ is recurrent in \bar{w} , since all occurrences of non-recurrent words from ω are in w_1 . But we defined $x g$ to be the longest recurrent word ω . Hence it is contradiction to our assumption that $\text{occur}(w_2 x g, x g y) > 0$.

Property 8 of Definition 2 and $w \in \text{Prf}(w_1 w_2 x g)$ are obvious from the construction of w_2 .

This completes the proof. □

We define the *reversal* w^R of a finite or infinite word $w = \Sigma_k^* \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows: If $w \in \Sigma_k^*$ and $w = w_1w_2 \dots w_m$, where $w_i \in \Sigma_k$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $w^R = w_mw_{m-1} \dots w_2w_1$. If $w \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$ and $w = \dots w_2w_1$, where $w_i \in \Sigma_k$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $w^R = w_1w_2 \dots \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$. Analogously if $w \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$ and $w = w_1w_2 \dots$, where $w_i \in \Sigma_k$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $w^R = \dots w_2w_1 \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$.

Proposition 1 allows one to construct a right infinite α -power free word with a given prefix. The next simple corollary shows that in the same way we can construct a left infinite α -power free word with a given suffix.

Corollary 1. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $w \in \text{lex}(\mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$, $\bar{w} \in \text{lex}(w, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$, $x \in \text{F}_r(\bar{w}) \cap \Sigma_k$, $t \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L}$, and $\text{occur}(t, x) = 0$ then there are finite words w_1, w_2, g such that $(w_1^R, w_2^R, x, g^R, t^R) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$, $w \in \text{Suf}(gxw_2w_1)$, and $txw_2w_1 \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L}$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N}}$. Realize that $u \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha} \cup \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N}}$ if and only if $u^R \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha} \cup \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the corollary follows from Proposition 1 and Proposition 2. □

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a right infinite word $t \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$, let $\Phi(t)$ be the set of all left infinite words $\bar{t} \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$ such that $\text{F}(\bar{t}) \subseteq \text{F}_r(t)$. It means that all factors of $\bar{t} \in \Phi(t)$ are recurrent factors of t . We show that the set $\Phi(t)$ is not empty.

Lemma 4. *If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$ then $\Phi(t) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since t is an infinite word, the set of recurrent factors of t is not empty. Let g be a recurrent nonempty factor of t ; g may be a letter. Obviously there is $x \in \Sigma_k$ such that xg is also recurrent in t . This implies that the set $\{h \mid hg \in \text{F}_r(t)\}$ is infinite. The lemma follows from König’s Infinity Lemma [4, 8]. □

The next lemma shows that if u is a right extendable α -power free word then for each letter x there is a right infinite α -power free word \bar{u} such that x is recurrent in \bar{u} and u is a prefix of \bar{u} .

Lemma 5. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $u \in \text{rext}(\mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$, and $x \in \Sigma_k$ then there is $\bar{u} \in \text{rext}(u, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ such that $x \in \text{F}_r(\bar{u})$.*

Proof. Let $w \in \text{rext}(u, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$; Lemma 3 implies that $\text{rext}(u, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ is not empty. If $x \in \text{F}_r(w)$ then we are done. Suppose that $x \notin \text{F}_r(w)$. Let $y \in \text{F}_r(w) \cap \Sigma_k$. Clearly $x \neq y$. Proposition 2 implies that there is $(w_1, w_2, y, g, t) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$ such that $u \in \text{Prf}(w_1w_2yg)$. The proof of Lemma 2 implies that we can choose t in such a way that x is recurrent in t . Then $w_1w_2yt \in \text{rext}(u, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ and $x \in \text{F}_r(w_1w_2yt)$. This completes the proof. □

The next proposition shows that if u is left extendable and v is right extendable then there are finite words \bar{u}, \bar{v} , a letter x , a right infinite word t , and a left infinite word \bar{t} such that $\bar{u}xt, \bar{t}x\bar{v}$ are infinite α -power free words, t has no occurrence of x , every factor of \bar{t} is a recurrent factor in t , u is a prefix of $\bar{u}xt$, and v is a suffix of $\bar{t}x\bar{v}$.

Proposition 3. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $u \in \text{rext}(\mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$, and $v \in \text{lex}(\mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ then there are $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \Sigma_k^*$, $x \in \Sigma_k$, $t \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},R}$, and $\bar{t} \in \Sigma_k^{\mathbb{N},L}$ such that $\tilde{u}xt \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$, $\bar{t}x\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L}$, $\text{occur}(t, x) = 0$, $F(\bar{t}) \subseteq F_r(t)$, $u \in \text{Prf}(\tilde{u}xt)$, and $v \in \text{Suf}(\bar{t}x\tilde{v})$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{u} \in \text{rext}(u, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ and $\bar{v} \in \text{lex}(v, \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ be such that $F_r(\bar{u}) \cap F_r(\bar{v}) \cap \Sigma_k \neq \emptyset$. Lemma 5 implies that such \bar{u}, \bar{v} exist. Let $x \in F_r(\bar{u}) \cap F_r(\bar{v}) \cap \Sigma_k$. It means that the letter x is recurrent in both \bar{u} and \bar{v} .

Let t be a right infinite α -power free word over $\Sigma_k \setminus \{x\}$. Lemma 2 asserts that such t exists. Let $\bar{t} \in \Phi(t)$; Lemma 4 shows that $\Phi(t) \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L}$, because $F(\bar{t}) \subseteq F_r(t)$ and $t \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$.

Proposition 2 and Corollary 1 imply that there are $u_1, u_2, g, v_1, v_2, \bar{g} \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}$ such that

- $(u_1, u_2, x, g, t) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$,
- $(v_1^R, v_2^R, x, \bar{g}^R, \bar{t}^R) \in \Gamma(k, \alpha)$,
- $u \in \text{Prf}(u_1u_2xg)$, and
- $v^R \in \text{Prf}(v_1^Rv_2^Rx\bar{g}^R)$; it follows that $v \in \text{Suf}(\bar{g}xv_2v_1)$.

Proposition 1 implies that $u_1u_2xt, v_1^Rv_2^Rx\bar{t}^R \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$. It follows that $\bar{t}xv_2v_1 \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L}$. Let $\tilde{u} = u_1u_2$ and $\tilde{v} = v_2v_1$. This completes the proof. □

The main theorem of the article shows that if u is a right extendable α -power free word and v is a left extendable α -power free word then there is a word w such that uwv is α -power free. The proof of the theorem shows also a construction of the word w .

Theorem 1. *If $(k, \alpha) \in \mathcal{Y}$, $u \in \text{rext}(\mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$, and $v \in \text{lex}(\mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha})$ then there is $w \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}$ such that $uwv \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, x, t, \bar{t}$ be as in Proposition 3. Let $p \in \text{Suf}(\bar{t})$ be the shortest suffix such that $|p| > \max\{|\tilde{u}x|, |x\tilde{v}|, |u|, |v|\}$. Let $h \in \text{Prf}(t)$ be the shortest prefix such that $hp \in \text{Prf}(t)$ and $|h| > |p|$; such h exists, because p is a recurrent factor of t ; see Proposition 3. We show that $\tilde{u}hpx\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}$.

We have that $\tilde{u}xhp \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}$, since $hp \in \text{Prf}(t)$ and Proposition 3 states that $\tilde{u}xt \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},R}$. Lemma 1 implies that it suffices to show that there are no $g \in \text{Prf}(\tilde{v})$ and no $r \in \Sigma_k^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ such that $rr \in \text{Suf}(\tilde{u}hpxg)$ and $|rr| > |xg|$. To get a contradiction, suppose there are such r, g . We distinguish the following cases.

- If $|r| \leq |xg|$ then $rr \in \text{Suf}(pxg)$, because $|p| > |x\tilde{v}|$ and $xg \in \text{Prf}(x\tilde{v})$. This is a contradiction, since $px\tilde{v} \in \text{Suf}(\bar{t}x\tilde{v})$ and $\bar{t}x\tilde{v} \in \mathbb{L}_{k,\alpha}^{\mathbb{N},L}$; see Proposition 3.
- If $|r| > |xg|$ then $|r| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{u}hpxg|$, otherwise rr cannot be a suffix of $\tilde{u}hpxg$. Because $|h| > |p| > \max\{|\tilde{u}x|, |x\tilde{v}|\}$ we have that $r \in \text{Suf}(hpxg)$. Since $\text{occur}(hp, x) = 0$, $|h| > |p| > |x\tilde{v}|$, and $xg \in \text{Suf}(r)$ it follows that there are words h_1, h_2 such that $\tilde{u}hpxg = \tilde{u}xh_1h_2pxg$, $r = h_2pxg$ and $r \in \text{Suf}(\tilde{u}xh_1)$. It follows that $xg \in \text{Suf}(\tilde{u}xh_1)$ and because $\text{occur}(h_1, x) = 0$ we have that $|h_1| \leq |g|$. Since $|p| > |\tilde{u}x|$ we get that $|h_2pxg| > |\tilde{u}xg| \geq |\tilde{u}xh_1|$; hence $|r| > |\tilde{u}xh_1|$. This is a contradiction.

We conclude that there is no word r and no prefix $g \in \text{Prf}(\tilde{v})$ such that $rr \in \text{Suf}(\tilde{u}xhpxg)$. Hence $\tilde{u}xhpx\tilde{v} \in L_{k,\alpha}$. Due to the construction of p and h we have that $u \in \text{Prf}(\tilde{u}xhpx\tilde{v})$ and $v \in \text{Suf}(\tilde{u}xhpx\tilde{v})$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. The author acknowledges support by the Czech Science Foundation grant GAČR 13-03538S and by the Grant Agency of the Czech Technical University in Prague, grant No. SGS14/205/OHK4/3T/14.

References

1. Carpi, A.: On Dejean's conjecture over large alphabets. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **385**, 137–151 (2007)
2. Currie, J., Rampersad, N.: A proof of Dejean's conjecture. *Math. Comp.* **80**, 1063–1070 (2011)
3. Dejean, F.: Sur un théorème de Thue. *J. Comb. Theor. Series A* **13**, 90–99 (1972)
4. König, D.: Sur les correspondances multivoques des ensembles. *Fundamenta Math.* **8**, 114–134 (1926)
5. Ollagnier, J.M.: Proof of Dejean's conjecture for alphabets with 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 letters. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **95**, 187–205 (1992)
6. Pansiot, J.-J.: A propos d'une conjecture de F. Dejean sur les répétitions dans les mots. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **7**, 297–311 (1984)
7. Petrova, E.A., Shur, A.M.: Transition property for cube-free words. In: van Bevern, R., Kucherov, G. (eds.) CSR 2019. LNCS, vol. 11532, pp. 311–324. Springer, Cham (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19955-5_27
8. Rampersad, N.: Overlap-free words and generalizations. A thesis, University of Waterloo (2007)
9. Rao, M.: Last cases of Dejean's conjecture. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **412**, 3010–3018 (2011). *Combinatorics on Words (WORDS 2009)*
10. Restivo, A., Salemi, S.: Some decision results on nonrepetitive words. In: Apostolico, A., Galil, Z. (eds.) *Combinatorial Algorithms on Words*, pp. 289–295. Springer, Heidelberg (1985). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82456-2_20
11. Shallit, J., Shur, A.: Subword complexity and power avoidance. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **792**, 96–116 (2019). Special issue in honor of the 70th birthday of Prof. Wojciech Rytter
12. Shur, A.M.: Two-sided bounds for the growth rates of power-free languages. In: Diekert, V., Nowotka, D. (eds.) DLT 2009. LNCS, vol. 5583, pp. 466–477. Springer, Heidelberg (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-02737-6_38
13. Shur, A.M.: Growth properties of power-free languages. In: Mauri, G., Leporati, A. (eds.) DLT 2011. LNCS, vol. 6795, pp. 28–43. Springer, Heidelberg (2011). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-22321-1_3