

Artificial Intelligence: Foundations, Theory, and Algorithms

Series editors

Barry O'Sullivan, Cork, Ireland

Michael Wooldridge, Oxford, United Kingdom

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13900>

Fabio Cuzzolin

The Geometry of Uncertainty

The Geometry of Imprecise Probabilities

 Springer

Fabio Cuzzolin
Department of Computing & Communication
Oxford Brookes University
Oxford, UK

ISSN 2365-3051 ISSN 2365-306X (electronic)
Artificial Intelligence: Foundations, Theory, and Algorithms
ISBN 978-3-030-63152-9 ISBN 978-3-030-63153-6 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63153-6>

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*To my dearest parents Elsa and Albino, whom I owe so much to, my beloved wife
Natalia and my beautiful son Leonardo*

Preface

Uncertainty

Uncertainty is of paramount importance in artificial intelligence, applied science, and many other areas of human endeavour. Whilst each and every one of us possesses some intuitive grasp of what uncertainty is, providing a formal definition can prove elusive. Uncertainty can be understood as a lack of information about an issue of interest for a certain agent (e.g., a human decision maker or a machine), a condition of limited knowledge in which it is impossible to exactly describe the state of the world or its future evolution.

According to Dennis Lindley [1175]:

“There are some things that you know to be true, and others that you know to be false; yet, despite this extensive knowledge that you have, there remain many things whose truth or falsity is not known to you. We say that you are uncertain about them. You are uncertain, to varying degrees, about everything in the future; much of the past is hidden from you; and there is a lot of the present about which you do not have full information. Uncertainty is everywhere and you cannot escape from it”.

What is sometimes less clear to scientists themselves is the existence of a hiatus between two fundamentally distinct forms of uncertainty. The first level consists of somewhat ‘predictable’ variations, which are typically encoded as probability distributions. For instance, if a person plays a fair roulette wheel they will not, by any means, know the outcome in advance, but they will nevertheless be able to predict the frequency with which each outcome manifests itself ($1/36$), at least in the long run. The second level is about ‘unpredictable’ variations, which reflect a more fundamental uncertainty about the laws themselves which govern the outcome. Continuing with our example, suppose that the player is presented with ten different doors, which lead to rooms each containing a roulette wheel modelled by a different probability distribution. They will then be uncertain about the very game they are supposed to play. How will this affect their betting behaviour, for instance?

Lack of knowledge of the second kind is often called *Knightian* uncertainty [1007, 831], from the Chicago economist Frank Knight. He would famously distinguish ‘risk’ from ‘uncertainty’:

“Uncertainty must be taken in a sense radically distinct from the familiar notion of risk, from which it has never been properly separated . . . The essential fact is that ‘risk’ means in some cases a quantity susceptible of measurement, while at other times it is something distinctly not of this character; and there are far-reaching and crucial differences in the bearings of the phenomena depending on which of the two

is really present and operating ... It will appear that a measurable uncertainty, or 'risk' proper, as we shall use the term, is so far different from an unmeasurable one that it is not in effect an uncertainty at all."

In Knight's terms, 'risk' is what people normally call *probability* or *chance*, while the term 'uncertainty' is reserved for second-order uncertainty. The latter has a measurable consequence on human behaviour: people are demonstrably averse to unpredictable variations (as highlighted by *Ellsberg's paradox* [569]).

This difference between predictable and unpredictable variation is one of the fundamental issues in the philosophy of probability, and is sometimes referred to as the distinction between *common cause* and *special cause* [1739]. Different interpretations of probability treat these two aspects of uncertainty in different ways, as debated by economists such as John Maynard Keynes [961] and G. L. S. Shackle.

Probability

Measure-theoretical probability, due to the Russian mathematician Andrey Kolmogorov [1030], is the mainstream mathematical theory of (first-order) uncertainty. In Kolmogorov's mathematical approach probability is simply an application of measure theory, and uncertainty is modelled using additive measures.

A number of authors, however, have argued that measure-theoretical probability theory is not quite up to the task when it comes to encoding second-order uncertainty. In particular, as we discuss in the Introduction, additive probability measures cannot properly model missing data or data that comes in the form of *sets*. Probability theory's frequentist interpretation is utterly incapable of modelling 'pure' data (without 'designing' the experiment which generates it). In a way, it cannot even properly model continuous data (owing to the fact that, under measure-theoretical probability, every point of a continuous domain has zero probability), and has to resort to the 'tail event' contraption to assess its own hypotheses. Scarce data can only be effectively modelled asymptotically.

Bayesian reasoning is also plagued by many serious limitations: (i) it just cannot model ignorance (absence of data); (ii) it cannot model pure data (without artificially introducing a prior, even when there is no justification for doing so); (iii) it cannot model 'uncertain' data, i.e., information not in the form of propositions of the kind '*A* is true'; and (iv) again, it is able to model scarce data only asymptotically, thanks to the Bernstein–von Mises theorem [1841].

Beyond probability

Similar considerations have led a number of scientists to recognise the need for a coherent mathematical theory of uncertainty able to properly tackle all these issues. Both alternatives to and extensions of classical probability theory have been proposed, starting from de Finetti's pioneering work on subjective probability [403]. Formalisms include possibility-fuzzy set theory [2084, 533], probability intervals

[784], credal sets [1141, 1086], monotone capacities [1911], random sets [1344] and imprecise probability theory [1874]. New original foundations of subjective probability in behavioural terms [1877] or by means of game theory [1615] have been put forward. The following table presents a sketchy timeline of the various existing approaches to the mathematics of uncertainty.

Imprecise-probabilistic theories: a timeline

Approach	Proposer(s)	Seminal paper	Year
Interval probabilities	John Maynard Keynes	A treatise on probability	1921
Subjective probability	Bruno de Finetti	Sul significato soggettivo della probabilità	1931
Theory of previsions	Bruno de Finetti	La prévision: ses lois logiques, ses sources subjectives	1937
Theory of capacities	Gustave Choquet	Theory of capacities	1953
Fuzzy theory	Lotfi Zadeh, Dieter Klaua	Fuzzy sets	1965
Theory of evidence	Arthur Dempster, Glenn Shafer	Upper and lower probabilities induced by a multivalued mapping; A mathematical theory of evidence	1967, 1976
Fuzzy measures	Michio Sugeno	Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications	1974
Credal sets	Isaac Levi	The enterprise of knowledge	1980
Possibility theory	Didier Dubois, Henri Prade	Théorie des possibilités	1985
Imprecise probability	Peter Walley	Statistical reasoning with imprecise probabilities	1991
Game-theoretical probability	Glenn Shafer, Vladimir Vovk	Probability and finance: It's only a game!	2001

Sometimes collectively referred to as *imprecise probabilities* (as most of them comprise classical probabilities as a special case), these theories in fact form, as we will see in more detail in Chapter 6, an entire hierarchy of encapsulated formalisms.

Belief functions

One of the most popular formalisms for a mathematics of uncertainty, the *theory of evidence* [1583] was introduced in the 1970s by Glenn Shafer as a way of representing epistemic knowledge, starting from a sequence of seminal papers [415, 417, 418] by Arthur Dempster [416]. In this formalism, the best representation of chance is a

belief function rather than a traditional probability distribution. Belief functions assign probability values to *sets* of outcomes, rather than single events. In this sense, belief functions are closely related to *random sets* [1268, 1857, 826]. Important work on the mathematics of random set theory has been conducted in recent years by Ilya Molchanov [1302, 1304].

In its original formulation by Dempster and Shafer, the formalism provides a simple method for merging the evidence carried by a number of distinct sources (called *Dempster's rule of combination* [773]), with no need for any prior distributions [1949]. The existence of different levels of granularity in knowledge representation is formalised via the concept of a 'family of compatible frames'.

The reason for the wide interest the theory of belief functions has attracted over the years is that it addresses most of the issues probability theory has with the handling of second-order uncertainty. It starts from the assumption that observations are indeed set-valued and that evidence is, in general, in support of propositions rather than single outcomes. It can model ignorance by simply assigning mass to the whole sample space or 'frame of discernment'. It copes with missing data in the most natural of ways, and can coherently represent evidence on different but compatible sample spaces. It does not 'need' priors but can make good use of them whenever there is actual prior knowledge to exploit. As a direct generalisation of classical probability, the theory's rationale is relatively easier to grasp. Last but not least, the formalism does not require us to entirely abandon the notion of an event, as is the case for Walley's imprecise probability theory [1874]. In addition, the theory of evidence exhibits links to most other theories of uncertainty, as it includes fuzzy and possibility theory as a special case and it relates to the theory of credal sets and imprecise probability theory (as belief functions can be seen as a special case of convex sets of probability measures). Belief functions are infinitely-monotone capacities, and have natural interpretations in the framework of probabilistic logic, and modal logic in particular.

Since its inception, the formalism has expanded to address issues such as inference (how to map data to a belief function), conditioning, and the generalisation of the notion of entropy and of classical results from probability theory to the more complex case of belief functions. The question of what combination rule is most appropriate under what circumstances has been hotly debated, together with that of mitigating the computational complexity of working with sets of hypotheses. Graphical models, machine learning approaches and decision making frameworks based on belief theory have also been developed.

A number of questions still remain open, for instance on what is the correct epistemic interpretation of belief functions, whether we should actually manipulate intervals of belief functions rather than single quantities, and how to formulate an effective general theory for the case of continuous sample spaces.

Aim(s) of the book

The principal aim of this book is to introduce to the widest possible audience an original view of belief calculus and uncertainty theory which I first developed during my doctoral term in Padua. In this *geometric approach to uncertainty*, uncertainty measures can be seen as points of a suitably complex geometric space, and there manipulated (e.g. combined, conditioned and so on).

The idea first sprang to my mind just after I had been introduced to non-additive probabilities. Where did such objects live, I wondered, when compared to classical, additive probabilities defined on the same sample space? How is their greater complexity reflected in the geometry of their space? Is the latter an expression of the greater degree of freedom these more complex objects can provide?

For the reasons mentioned above, my attention was first drawn to belief functions and their combination rule which, from the point of view of an engineer, appeared to provide a possible principled solution to the sensor fusion problems one encounters in computer vision when making predictions or decisions based on multiple measurements or ‘features’. Using the intuition gathered in the simplest case study of a binary domain, I then proceeded to describe the geometry of belief functions and their combination in fully general terms and to extend, in part, this geometric analysis to other classes of uncertainty measures.

This programme of work is still far from reaching its conclusion – nevertheless, I thought that the idea of consolidating my twenty-year work on the geometry of uncertainty in a monograph had some merit, especially in order to disseminate the notion and encourage a new generation of scientists to develop it further. This is the purpose of the core of the book, Parts II, III and IV.

In the years that it took for this project to materialise, I realised that the manuscript could serve the wider purpose of illustrating the rationale for moving away from probability theory to non-experts and interested practitioners, of which there are many. Incidentally, this forced me to reconsider from the very foundations the reasons for modelling uncertainty in a non-standard way. These reasons, as understood by myself, can be found in the Introduction, which is an extended version of the tutorial I gave on the topic at IJCAI 2016, the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, and the talk I was invited to give at Harvard University in the same year.

The apparent lack of a comprehensive treatise on belief calculus in its current, modern form (and, from a wider perspective, of uncertainty theory) motivated me to make use of this book to provide what turned out to be probably the most complete summary (to the best of my knowledge) of the theory of belief functions. The entire first part of the book is devoted to this purpose. Part I is not quite a ‘manual’ on belief calculus, with easy recipes the interested practitioner can just follow, but does strive to make a serious effort in that direction. Furthermore, the first part of the book concludes with what I believe to be the most complete compendium of the various approaches to uncertainty theory, with a specific focus on how do they relate to the theory of evidence. All major formalisms are described in quite some detail,

but an effort was really made to cover, albeit briefly, all published approaches to a mathematics of uncertainty and variations thereof.

Finally, the last chapter of the book advances a tentative research agenda for the future of the field, inspired by my own reflections and ideas on this. As will become clearer in the remainder of this work, my intuition brings me to favour a random-set view of uncertainty theory, driven by an analysis of the actual issues with data that expose the limitations of probability theory. As a result, the research problems I propose tend to point in this direction. Importantly, I strongly believe that, to break the near-monopoly of probability theory in science, uncertainty theory needs to measure itself with the really challenging issues of our time (climate change, robust artificial intelligence), compete with mainstream approaches and demonstrate its superior expressive power on their own grounds.

Last but not least, the book provides, again to the best of my knowledge, the largest existing bibliography on belief and uncertainty theory.

Structure and topics

Accordingly, as explained, this book is divided into five Parts.

Part I, ‘Theories of uncertainty’, is a rather extensive recapitulation of the current state of the art in the mathematics of uncertainty, with a focus on belief theory. The Introduction provided in Chapter 1 motivates in more detail the need to go beyond classical probability in order to model realistic, second-order uncertainty, introduces the most significant approaches to the mathematics of uncertainty and presents the main principles of the theory of belief functions. Chapter 2 provides a succinct summary of the basic notions of the theory of belief functions as formulated by Shafer. Chapter 3 digs deeper by recalling the multiple semantics of belief functions, discussing the genesis of the approach and the subsequent debate, and illustrating the various original frameworks proposed by a number of authors which use belief theory as a basis, while developing it further in original ways. Chapter 4 can be thought of as a manual for the working scientist keen on applying belief theory. It illustrates in detail all the elements of the evidential reasoning chain, delving into all its aspects, including inference, conditioning and combination, efficient computation, decision making and continuous formulations. Notable advances in the mathematics of belief functions are also briefly described. Chapter 5 surveys the existing array of classification, clustering, regression and estimation tools based on belief function theory. Finally, Chapter 6 is designed to provide the reader with a bigger picture of the whole field of uncertainty theory, by reviewing all major formalisms (the most significant of which are arguably Walley’s imprecise probability, the theory of capacities and fuzzy/possibility theory), with special attention paid to their relationship with belief and random set theory.

Part II, ‘The geometry of uncertainty’, is the core of this book, as it introduces the author’s own geometric approach to uncertainty theory, starting with the geometry of belief functions. First, Chapter 7 studies the geometry of the space of belief functions, or *belief space*, both in terms of a simplex (a higher-dimensional

triangle) and in terms of its recursive bundle structure. Chapter 8 extends the analysis to Dempster's rule of combination, introducing the notion of a conditional subspace and outlining a simple geometric construction for Dempster's sum. Chapter 9 delves into the combinatorial properties of plausibility and commonality functions, as equivalent representations of the evidence carried by a belief function. It shows that the corresponding spaces also behave like simplices, which are congruent to the belief space. The remaining Chapter 10 starts extending the applicability of the geometric approach to other uncertainty measures, focusing in particular on possibility measures (consonant belief functions) and the related notion of a consistent belief function.

Part III, 'Geometric interplays', is concerned with the interplay of uncertainty measures of different kinds, and the geometry of their relationship. Chapters 11 and 12 study the problem of transforming a belief function into a classical probability measure. In particular, Chapter 11 introduces the *affine family* of probability transformations, those which commute with affine combination in the belief space. Chapter 12 focuses instead on the *epistemic* family of transforms, namely 'relative belief' and 'relative plausibility', studies their dual properties with respect to Dempster's sum, and describes their geometry on both the probability simplex and the belief space. Chapter 13 extends the analysis to the consonant approximation problem, the problem of finding the possibility measure which best approximates a given belief function. In particular, approximations induced by classical Minkowski norms are derived, and compared with classical outer consonant approximations. Chapter 14 concludes Part III by describing Minkowski consistent approximations of belief functions in both the mass and the belief space representations.

Part IV, 'Geometric reasoning', examines the application of the geometric approach to the various elements of the reasoning chain illustrated in Chapter 4. Chapter 15 tackles the conditioning problem from a geometric point of view. Conditional belief functions are defined as those which minimise an appropriate distance between the original belief function and the 'conditioning simplex' associated with the conditioning event. Analytical expressions are derived for both the belief and the mass space representations, in the case of classical Minkowski distances. Chapter 16 provides a semantics for the main probability transforms in terms of credal sets, i.e., convex sets of probabilities. Based on this interpretation, decision-making apparatuses similar to Smets's transferable belief model are outlined.

Part V, 'The future of uncertainty', consisting of Chapter 17, concludes the book by outlining an agenda for the future of the discipline. A comprehensive statistical theory of random sets is proposed as the natural destination of this evolving field. A number of open challenges in the current formulation of belief theory are highlighted, and a research programme for the completion of our geometric approach to uncertainty is proposed. Finally, very high-impact applications in fields such as climate change, rare event estimation, machine learning and statistical learning theory are singled out as potential triggers of a much larger diffusion of these techniques and of uncertainty theory in general.

Acknowledgements

This book would not have come to existence had I not, during my doctoral term at the University of Padua, been advised by my then supervisor Ruggero Frezza to attend a seminar by Claudio Sossai at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR). At the time, as every PhD student in their first year, I was struggling to identify a topic of research to focus on, and felt quite overwhelmed by how much I did not know about almost everything. After Claudio's seminar I was completely taken by the idea of non-additive probability, and ended up dedicating my entire doctorate to the study of the mathematics of these beautiful objects. Incidentally, I need to thank Nicola Zingirian and Riccardo Bernardini for enduring my ramblings during our coffee breaks back then.

I would like to acknowledge the encouragement I received from Glenn Shafer over the years, which has greatly helped me in my determination to contribute to belief theory in terms of both understanding and impact. I would also like to thank Art Dempster for concretely supporting me in my career and for the insights he shared with me in our epistolary exchanges (not to mention the amazing hospitality displayed during my visit to Harvard in 2016).

Running the risk of forgetting somebody important, I would like to thank Teddy Seidenfeld, Gert de Cooman, Matthias Troffaes, Robin Gong, Xiao-Li Meng, Frank Coolen, Thierry Denoeux, Paul Snow, Jonathan Lawry, Arnaud Martin, Johan Schu-bert, Anne-Laure Jousselme, Sebastien Destercke, Milan Daniel, Jim Hall, Alberto Bernardini, Thomas Burger and Alessandro Antonucci for the fascinating conversations that all deeply influenced my work. I am also thankful to Ilya Molchanov for his help with better understanding the links between belief function theory and random set theory.

Finally, I am grateful to my Springer editor Ronan Nugent for his patience and support throughout all those years that passed from when we started this project to the moment we could actually cheer its successful outcome.

Oxford, United Kingdom

Fabio Cuzzolin

September 2020

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Mathematical probability	1
1.2	Interpretations of probability	3
1.2.1	Does probability exist at all?	3
1.2.2	Competing interpretations	3
1.2.3	Frequentist probability	4
1.2.4	Propensity	6
1.2.5	Subjective and Bayesian probability	7
1.2.6	Bayesian versus frequentist inference	9
1.3	Beyond probability	9
1.3.1	Something is wrong with probability	9
1.3.2	Pure data: Beware of the prior	10
1.3.3	Pure data: Designing the universe?	10
1.3.4	No data: Modelling ignorance	11
1.3.5	Set-valued observations: The cloaked die	12
1.3.6	Propositional data	13
1.3.7	Scarce data: Beware the size of the sample	14
1.3.8	Unusual data: Rare events	17
1.3.9	Uncertain data	18
1.3.10	Knightian uncertainty	20
1.4	Mathematics (plural) of uncertainty	23
1.4.1	Debate on uncertainty theory	23
1.4.2	Belief, evidence and probability	26

Part I Theories of uncertainty

2	Belief functions	31
2.1	Arthur Dempster's original setting	32
2.2	Belief functions as set functions	34
2.2.1	Basic definitions	34
2.2.2	Plausibility and commonality functions	36
2.2.3	Bayesian belief functions	37
2.3	Dempster's rule of combination	38
2.3.1	Definition	38

2.3.2	Weight of conflict	40
2.3.3	Conditioning belief functions	40
2.4	Simple and separable support functions	41
2.4.1	Heterogeneous and conflicting evidence	42
2.4.2	Separable support functions	42
2.4.3	Internal conflict	43
2.4.4	Inverting Dempster's rule: The canonical decomposition	44
2.5	Families of compatible frames of discernment	45
2.5.1	Refinings	45
2.5.2	Families of frames	46
2.5.3	Consistent and marginal belief functions	48
2.5.4	Independent frames	49
2.5.5	Vacuous extension	50
2.6	Support functions	51
2.6.1	Families of compatible support functions in the evidential language	51
2.6.2	Discerning the relevant interaction of bodies of evidence	52
2.7	Quasi-support functions	53
2.7.1	Limits of separable support functions	53
2.7.2	Bayesian belief functions as quasi-support functions	54
2.7.3	Bayesian case: Bayes' theorem	54
2.7.4	Bayesian case: Incompatible priors	55
2.8	Consonant belief functions	56
3	Understanding belief functions	59
3.1	The multiple semantics of belief functions	61
3.1.1	Dempster's multivalued mappings, compatibility relations	62
3.1.2	Belief functions as generalised (non-additive) probabilities	64
3.1.3	Belief functions as inner measures	65
3.1.4	Belief functions as credal sets	66
3.1.5	Belief functions as random sets	68
3.1.6	Behavioural interpretations	69
3.1.7	Common misconceptions	71
3.2	Genesis and debate	73
3.2.1	Early support	73
3.2.2	Constructive probability and Shafer's canonical examples	74
3.2.3	Bayesian versus belief reasoning	75
3.2.4	Pearl's criticism	76
3.2.5	Issues with multiple interpretations	77
3.2.6	Rebuttals and justifications	78
3.3	Frameworks	79
3.3.1	Frameworks based on multivalued mappings	80
3.3.2	Smets's transferable belief model	90
3.3.3	Dezert-Smarandache theory (DSmT)	93
3.3.4	Gaussian (linear) belief functions	95

3.3.5	Belief functions on generalised domains	97
3.3.6	Qualitative models	98
3.3.7	Intervals and sets of belief measures	101
3.3.8	Other frameworks	103
4	Reasoning with belief functions	109
4.1	Inference	111
4.1.1	From statistical data	112
4.1.2	From qualitative data	121
4.1.3	From partial knowledge	123
4.1.4	A coin toss example	124
4.2	Measuring uncertainty	127
4.2.1	Order relations	127
4.2.2	Measures of entropy	128
4.2.3	Principles of uncertainty	132
4.3	Combination	133
4.3.1	Dempster's rule under fire	134
4.3.2	Alternative combination rules	139
4.3.3	Families of combination rules	147
4.3.4	Combination of dependent evidence	150
4.3.5	Combination of conflicting evidence	151
4.3.6	Combination of (un)reliable sources of evidence: Discounting	154
4.4	Belief versus Bayesian reasoning: A data fusion example	156
4.4.1	Two fusion pipelines	156
4.4.2	Inference under partially reliable data	157
4.5	Conditioning	159
4.5.1	Dempster conditioning	159
4.5.2	Lower and upper conditional envelopes	160
4.5.3	Suppes and Zanotti's geometric conditioning	161
4.5.4	Smets's conjunctive rule of conditioning	161
4.5.5	Disjunctive rule of conditioning	162
4.5.6	Conditional events as equivalence classes: Spies's definition	163
4.5.7	Other work	164
4.5.8	Conditioning: A summary	164
4.6	Manipulating (conditional) belief functions	165
4.6.1	The generalised Bayes theorem	165
4.6.2	Generalising total probability	167
4.6.3	Multivariate belief functions	169
4.6.4	Graphical models	171
4.7	Computing	176
4.7.1	Efficient algorithms	177
4.7.2	Transformation approaches	181
4.7.3	Monte Carlo approaches	188
4.7.4	Local propagation	190

4.8	Making decisions	196
4.8.1	Frameworks based on utilities	197
4.8.2	Frameworks not based on utilities	206
4.8.3	Multicriteria decision making	208
4.9	Continuous formulations	213
4.9.1	Shafer's allocations of probabilities	213
4.9.2	Belief functions on random Borel intervals	216
4.9.3	Random sets	219
4.9.4	Kramosil's belief functions on infinite spaces	222
4.9.5	MV algebras	225
4.9.6	Other approaches	227
4.10	The mathematics of belief functions	227
4.10.1	Distances and dissimilarities	227
4.10.2	Algebra	229
4.10.3	Integration	233
4.10.4	Category theory	233
4.10.5	Other mathematical analyses	234
5	A toolbox for the working scientist	237
5.1	Clustering	238
5.1.1	Fuzzy, evidential and belief C -means	239
5.1.2	EVCLUS and later developments	240
5.1.3	Clustering belief functions	243
5.2	Classification	244
5.2.1	Generalised Bayesian classifier	244
5.2.2	Evidential k -NN	245
5.2.3	TBM model-based classifier	246
5.2.4	SVM classification	247
5.2.5	Classification with partial training data	248
5.2.6	Decision trees	249
5.2.7	Neural networks	251
5.2.8	Other classification approaches	252
5.3	Ensemble classification	254
5.3.1	Distance-based classification fusion	255
5.3.2	Empirical comparison of fusion schemes	258
5.3.3	Other classifier fusion schemes	258
5.4	Ranking aggregation	260
5.5	Regression	261
5.5.1	Fuzzy-belief non-parametric regression	262
5.5.2	Belief-modelling regression	262
5.6	Estimation, prediction and identification	264
5.6.1	State estimation	264
5.6.2	Time series analysis	265
5.6.3	Particle filtering	266
5.6.4	System identification	266

5.7	Optimisation	267
6	The bigger picture	269
6.1	Imprecise probability	271
6.1.1	Lower and upper probabilities	272
6.1.2	Gambles and behavioural interpretation	273
6.1.3	Lower and upper previsions	273
6.1.4	Events as indicator gambles	274
6.1.5	Rules of rational behaviour	275
6.1.6	Natural and marginal extension	275
6.1.7	Belief functions and imprecise probabilities	276
6.2	Capacities (a.k.a. fuzzy measures)	277
6.2.1	Special types of capacities	278
6.3	Probability intervals (2-monotone capacities)	280
6.3.1	Probability intervals and belief measures	281
6.4	Higher-order probabilities	282
6.4.1	Second-order probabilities and belief functions	282
6.4.2	Gaifman's higher-order probability spaces	282
6.4.3	Kyburg's analysis	283
6.4.4	Fung and Chong's metaprobability	283
6.5	Fuzzy theory	283
6.5.1	Possibility theory	284
6.5.2	Belief functions on fuzzy sets	285
6.5.3	Vague sets	287
6.5.4	Other fuzzy extensions of the theory of evidence	288
6.6	Logic	289
6.6.1	Saffiotti's belief function logic	290
6.6.2	Josang's subjective logic	291
6.6.3	Fagin and Halpern's axiomatisation	291
6.6.4	Probabilistic argumentation systems	292
6.6.5	Default logic	292
6.6.6	Ruspini's logical foundations	293
6.6.7	Modal logic interpretation	294
6.6.8	Probability of provability	296
6.6.9	Other logical frameworks	296
6.7	Rough sets	299
6.7.1	Pawlak's algebras of rough sets	299
6.7.2	Belief functions and rough sets	300
6.8	Probability boxes	301
6.8.1	Probability boxes and belief functions	302
6.8.2	Approximate computations for random sets	302
6.8.3	Generalised probability boxes	304
6.9	Spohn's theory of epistemic beliefs	304
6.9.1	Epistemic states	305
6.9.2	Disbelief functions and Spohnian belief functions	306

6.9.3	α -conditionalisation	306
6.10	Zadeh's generalised theory of uncertainty	307
6.11	Baoding Liu's uncertainty theory	308
6.12	Info-gap decision theory	310
6.12.1	Info-gap models	310
6.12.2	Robustness of design	311
6.13	Vovk and Shafer's game-theoretical framework	311
6.13.1	Game-theoretic probability	312
6.13.2	Ville/Vovk game-theoretic testing	313
6.13.3	Upper price and upper probability	315
6.14	Other formalisms	315
6.14.1	Endorsements	315
6.14.2	Fril-fuzzy theory	315
6.14.3	Granular computing	316
6.14.4	Laskey's assumptions	316
6.14.5	Harper's Popperian approach to rational belief change	316
6.14.6	Shastri's evidential reasoning in semantic networks	317
6.14.7	Evidential confirmation theory	317
6.14.8	Groen's extension of Bayesian theory	318
6.14.9	Padovitz's unifying model	319
6.14.10	Similarity-based reasoning	319
6.14.11	Neighbourhoods systems	320
6.14.12	Comparative belief structures	320

Part II The geometry of uncertainty

7	The geometry of belief functions	323
7.1	The space of belief functions	326
7.1.1	The simplex of dominating probabilities	326
7.1.2	Dominating probabilities and L_1 norm	328
7.1.3	Exploiting the Möbius inversion lemma	329
7.1.4	Convexity of the belief space	330
7.2	Simplicial form of the belief space	331
7.2.1	Faces of $\mathcal{B}$ as classes of belief functions	334
7.3	The differential geometry of belief functions	335
7.3.1	A case study: The ternary case	335
7.3.2	Definition of smooth fibre bundles	337
7.3.3	Normalised sum functions	339
7.4	Recursive bundle structure	339
7.4.1	Recursive bundle structure of the space of sum functions	339
7.4.2	Recursive bundle structure of the belief space	340
7.4.3	Bases and fibres as simplices	341
7.5	Research questions	344

8	Geometry of Dempster's rule	351
8.1	Dempster combination of pseudo-belief functions	352
8.2	Dempster sum of affine combinations	353
8.3	Convex formulation of Dempster's rule	356
8.4	Commutativity	357
8.4.1	Affine region of missing points	358
8.4.2	Non-combinable points and missing points: A duality	358
8.4.3	The case of unnormalised belief functions	359
8.5	Conditional subspaces	360
8.5.1	Definition	360
8.5.2	The case of unnormalised belief functions	362
8.5.3	Vertices of conditional subspaces	363
8.6	Constant-mass loci	364
8.6.1	Geometry of Dempster's rule in $\mathcal{B}_2$	364
8.6.2	Affine form of constant-mass loci	369
8.6.3	Action of Dempster's rule on constant-mass loci	370
8.7	Geometric orthogonal sum	372
8.7.1	Foci of conditional subspaces	372
8.7.2	Algorithm	375
8.8	Research questions	376
9	Three equivalent models	389
9.1	Basic plausibility assignment	390
9.1.1	Relation between basic probability and plausibility assignments	391
9.2	Basic commonality assignment	392
9.2.1	Properties of basic commonality assignments	393
9.3	The geometry of plausibility functions	393
9.3.1	Plausibility assignment and simplicial coordinates	394
9.3.2	Plausibility space	395
9.4	The geometry of commonality functions	396
9.5	Equivalence and congruence	399
9.5.1	Congruence of belief and plausibility spaces	399
9.5.2	Congruence of plausibility and commonality spaces	400
9.6	Pointwise rigid transformation	402
9.6.1	Belief and plausibility spaces	402
9.6.2	Commonality and plausibility spaces	403
10	The geometry of possibility	409
10.1	Consonant belief functions as necessity measures	410
10.2	The consonant subspace	411
10.2.1	Chains of subsets as consonant belief functions	412
10.2.2	The consonant subspace as a simplicial complex	414
10.3	Properties of the consonant subspace	416
10.3.1	Congruence of the convex components of $\mathcal{CO}$	416

10.3.2	Decomposition of maximal simplices into right triangles . . .	418
10.4	Consistent belief functions	418
10.4.1	Consistent knowledge bases in classical logic	419
10.4.2	Belief functions as uncertain knowledge bases	419
10.4.3	Consistency in belief logic	420
10.5	The geometry of consistent belief functions	422
10.5.1	The region of consistent belief functions	422
10.5.2	The consistent complex	423
10.5.3	Natural consistent components	424
10.6	Research questions	426

Part III Geometric interplays

11	Probability transforms: The affine family	431
11.1	Affine transforms in the binary case	433
11.2	Geometry of the dual line	435
11.2.1	Orthogonality of the dual line	436
11.2.2	Intersection with the region of Bayesian normalised sum functions	436
11.3	The intersection probability	438
11.3.1	Interpretations of the intersection probability	439
11.3.2	Intersection probability and affine combination	444
11.3.3	Intersection probability and convex closure	447
11.4	Orthogonal projection	447
11.4.1	Orthogonality condition	448
11.4.2	Orthogonality flag	449
11.4.3	Two mass redistribution processes	449
11.4.4	Orthogonal projection and affine combination	451
11.4.5	Orthogonal projection and pignistic function	452
11.5	The case of unnormalised belief functions	453
11.6	Comparisons within the affine family	455
12	Probability transforms: The epistemic family	469
12.1	Rationale for epistemic transforms	471
12.1.1	Semantics within the probability-bound interpretation	472
12.1.2	Semantics within Shafer's interpretation	473
12.2	Dual properties of epistemic transforms	474
12.2.1	Relative plausibility, Dempster's rule and pseudo-belief functions	475
12.2.2	A (broken) symmetry	475
12.2.3	Dual properties of the relative belief operator	476
12.2.4	Representation theorem for relative beliefs	479
12.2.5	Two families of Bayesian approximations	481
12.3	Plausibility transform and convex closure	481

12.4	Generalisations of the relative belief transform	482
12.4.1	Zero mass assigned to singletons as a singular case	482
12.4.2	The family of relative mass probability transformations	484
12.4.3	Approximating the pignistic probability and relative plausibility	485
12.5	Geometry in the space of pseudo-belief functions	489
12.5.1	Plausibility of singletons and relative plausibility	489
12.5.2	Belief of singletons and relative belief	490
12.5.3	A three-plane geometry	491
12.5.4	A geometry of three angles	493
12.5.5	Singular case	496
12.6	Geometry in the probability simplex	497
12.7	Equality conditions for both families of approximations	504
12.7.1	Equal plausibility distribution in the affine family	504
12.7.2	Equal plausibility distribution as a general condition	505
13	Consonant approximation	517
13.1	Geometry of outer consonant approximations in the consonant simplex	522
13.1.1	Geometry in the binary case	522
13.1.2	Convexity	523
13.1.3	Weak inclusion and mass reassignment	523
13.1.4	The polytopes of outer approximations	524
13.1.5	Maximal outer approximations	525
13.1.6	Maximal outer approximations as lower chain measures	526
13.2	Geometric consonant approximation	528
13.2.1	Mass space representation	529
13.2.2	Approximation in the consonant complex	531
13.2.3	Möbius inversion and preservation of norms, induced orderings	533
13.3	Consonant approximation in the mass space	534
13.3.1	Results of Minkowski consonant approximation in the mass space	535
13.3.2	Semantics of partial consonant approximations in the mass space	537
13.3.3	Computability and admissibility of global solutions	540
13.3.4	Relation to other approximations	541
13.4	Consonant approximation in the belief space	545
13.4.1	L_1 approximation	546
13.4.2	(Partial) L_2 approximation	546
13.4.3	L_∞ approximation	547
13.4.4	Approximations in the belief space as generalised maximal outer approximations	549
13.5	Belief versus mass space approximations	553
13.5.1	On the links between approximations in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{B}$	554

13.5.2	Three families of consonant approximations	555
14	Consistent approximation	575
14.1	The Minkowski consistent approximation problem	577
14.2	Consistent approximation in the mass space	578
14.2.1	L_1 approximation	578
14.2.2	L_∞ approximation	579
14.2.3	L_2 approximation	580
14.3	Consistent approximation in the belief space	581
14.3.1	L_1/L_2 approximations	581
14.3.2	L_∞ consistent approximation	585
14.4	Approximations in the belief versus the mass space	586
Part IV	Geometric reasoning	
15	Geometric conditioning	595
15.1	Geometric conditional belief functions	597
15.2	Geometric conditional belief functions in $\mathcal{M}$	598
15.2.1	Conditioning by L_1 norm	598
15.2.2	Conditioning by L_2 norm	599
15.2.3	Conditioning by L_∞ norm	600
15.2.4	Features of geometric conditional belief functions in $\mathcal{M}$	602
15.2.5	Interpretation as general imaging for belief functions	603
15.3	Geometric conditioning in the belief space	604
15.3.1	L_2 conditioning in $\mathcal{B}$	604
15.3.2	L_1 conditioning in $\mathcal{B}$	606
15.3.3	L_∞ conditioning in $\mathcal{B}$	607
15.4	Mass space versus belief space conditioning	610
15.4.1	Geometric conditioning: A summary	610
15.5	An outline of future research	613
16	Decision making with epistemic transforms	627
16.1	The credal set of probability intervals	630
16.1.1	Lower and upper simplices	631
16.1.2	Simplicial form	631
16.1.3	Lower and upper simplices and probability intervals	632
16.2	Intersection probability and probability intervals	632
16.3	Credal interpretation of Bayesian transforms: Ternary case	634
16.4	Credal geometry of probability transformations	636
16.4.1	Focus of a pair of simplices	636
16.4.2	Probability transformations as foci	638
16.4.3	Semantics of foci and a rationality principle	639
16.4.4	Mapping associated with a probability transformation	639
16.4.5	Upper and lower simplices as consistent probabilities	641

16.5	Decision making with epistemic transforms	642
16.5.1	Generalisations of the TBM	642
16.5.2	A game/utility theory interpretation	643

Part V The future of uncertainty

17	An agenda for the future	655
17.1	A statistical random set theory	659
17.1.1	Lower and upper likelihoods	659
17.1.2	Generalised logistic regression	669
17.1.3	The total probability theorem for random sets	671
17.1.4	Limit theorems for random sets	684
17.1.5	Frequentist inference with random sets	689
17.1.6	Random-set random variables	690
17.2	Developing the geometric approach	692
17.2.1	Geometry of general combination	693
17.2.2	Geometry of general conditioning	700
17.2.3	A true geometry of uncertainty	701
17.2.4	Fancier geometries	706
17.2.5	Relation to integral and stochastic geometry	707
17.3	High-impact developments	710
17.3.1	Climate change	710
17.3.2	Machine learning	714
17.3.3	Generalising statistical learning theory	721
References		729