## **T-Labs Series in Telecommunication Services**

#### **Series Editors**

Sebastian Möller, Quality and Usability Lab, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Axel Küpper, Telekom Innovation Laboratories, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Alexander Raake, Audiovisual Technology Group, Technische Universität Ilmenau, Ilmenau, Germany

It is the aim of the Springer Series in Telecommunication Services to foster an interdisciplinary exchange of knowledge addressing all topics which are essential for developing high-quality and highly usable telecommunication services. This includes basic concepts of underlying technologies, distribution networks, architectures and platforms for service design, deployment and adaptation, as well as the users' perception of telecommunication services. By taking a vertical perspective over all these steps, we aim to provide the scientific bases for the development and continuous evaluation of innovative services which provide a better value for their users. In fact, the human-centric design of high-quality telecommunication services – the so called "quality engineering" – forms an essential topic of this series, as it will ultimately lead to better user experience and acceptance. The series is directed towards both scientists and practitioners from all related disciplines and industries.

\*\* Indexing: books in this series are indexing in Scopus \*\*

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/10013

# Stefan Uhrig

# Human Information Processing in Speech Quality Assessment





Stefan Uhrig Quality and Usability Lab Technische Universität Berlin Berlin, Germany

ISSN 2192-2810 ISSN 2192-2829 (electronic)
T-Labs Series in Telecommunication Services
ISBN 978-3-030-71388-1 ISBN 978-3-030-71389-8 (eBook)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71389-8

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland



#### **Preface**

The present book titled *Human Information Processing in Speech Quality Assessment* covers the main outcomes of the author's doctoral research project, accomplished in the time period from 2017 to 2020. This monograph is a revised and extended version of the original dissertation approved by the doctoral committee at Technische Universität (TU) Berlin in December 2020. Two-thirds of the entire research work were initially conducted at Quality and Usability Lab of TU Berlin, the final third accrued during a one year research stay of the author at the Department of Electronic Systems of the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in 2019 and early 2020. Over those different project phases, the topic of human perceptual and cognitive processing of transmitted speech had to be attacked from multiple angles, thereby crossing disciplinary borders between quality engineering, acoustics, and psychology.

Previous publications in the *T-Labs Series in Telecommunication Services* have already been concerned with subjective quality assessment and prediction, as well as identifying neural correlates of speech and audio-visual quality perception. The present book contributes to this existing knowledge base in two ways: First, it closes a theoretical gap by specifying the functional structure of human information processing for listening-only test scenarios and tasks. Second, it propagates a new multi-method, "process-oriented" approach towards speech quality assessment, allowing to systematically analyze effects of varying transmission quality on specific internal processes. This approach is exemplified by three experimental studies demonstrating interactions between speech quality, stimulus context, and semantic speech content.

Besides the purpose of documenting the three studies (Chaps. 5, 6, and 7), this book opens with an introduction of fundamental concepts and methodologies (Chaps. 2 and 3), further describing a functional model of quality perception (Chap. 4) before finally attempting to theoretically integrate the studies' empirical results (Chap. 8).

viii Preface

The conceptual and methodological ideas together with the empirical findings elaborated in this book should specially interest researchers working in the fields of quality and audio engineering, psychoacoustics, audiology, and psychophysiology.

Berlin, Germany January 2021 Stefan Uhrig

## Acknowledgments

First of all, I am thankful to Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sebastian Möller, head of Quality and Usability (QU) Lab, Technische Universität (TU) Berlin, for his supervision, detailed feedback, and impetus that greatly shaped the research work presented in this book.

Also, I am grateful to Prof. Dr. Andrew Perkis, Department of Electronic Systems, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), for his guidance and supervision, which provided the foundation to follow my research goals during a one year stay at NTNU from March 2019 to February 2020.

I want to give special thanks to Prof. Dr. Dawn Behne and Prof. Dr. Peter Svensson, Department of Psychology and Department of Electronic Systems, NTNU, for their trust and commitment during this one year research visit abroad.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Dietrich Manzey, Department of Psychology and Ergonomics, TU Berlin, for reviewing the present research work.

Many thanks go to Irene Hube-Achter, Yasmin Hillebrenner, and Tobias Jettkowski for their administrative and technical support during my years at QU Lab.

I am reminded of numerous conversations and collaborations with my colleagues at QU Lab. Thank you for the memorable times we spent together! In particular, I want to mention Gabriel Mittag, Falk Schiffner, Steven Schmidt, and Saman Zadtootaghaj, who were always on hand with help and advice.

Likewise, I want to thank my colleagues at NTNU—including members of three research groups: the Signal Processing and Acoustics groups in the Department of Electronic Systems, as well as the Speech, Cognition and Language Research (SCaLa) group in the Department of Psychology—for their valuable feedback in various colloquium talks. Especially with Asim Hameed and Marzieh Sorati, I shared many insightful discussions during my stay in Trondheim and beyond.

Last but not least, I wish to express my gratitude to both TU Berlin—namely Senta Maltschew and Evelina Skurski, from the International Scientific Cooperation Team of the International Affairs Office—and NTNU, whose strategic partnership program funded the scholarship that enabled this research work in the first place.

# **Contents**

1		roductionerences	1
2	Spe	ech Quality Fundamentals	5
	2.1	Conceptual Approaches	5
		2.1.1 Quality of Service (QoS)	5
		2.1.2 Quality of Experience (QoE)	6
	2.2	Explanatory Approaches	12
		2.2.1 Psychophysical Models	13
		2.2.2 Functional Process Models	15
	Refe	erences	18
3	Spe	ech Quality Assessment	21
	3.1	Levels of Analysis	21
		3.1.1 Subjective and Behavioral Levels	22
		3.1.2 Primer: Event-Related Brain Potential (ERP) Technique	26
		3.1.3 Neurophysiological Level	34
	3.2	Measuring Criteria	37
		3.2.1 Objectivity, Reliability, and Validity	38
		3.2.2 Sensitivity, Diagnosticity, and Intrusiveness	40
	Refe	erences	41
4	Fun	actional Model of Quality Perception (Research Questions)	47
	Refe	erences	51
5		crimination of Speech Quality Change Along Perceptual	
	Dim	nensions (Study I)	55
	5.1	Motivation	55
	5.2	Pre-test	57
		5.2.1 Methods	58
		5.2.2 Results	60
		5.2.3 Discussion	60

xii Contents

		Main Experiment. 5.3.1 Methods 5.3.2 Hypotheses 5.3.3 Results. 5.3.4 Discussion Conclusion endix rences	61 68 69 71 76 78 86
6		rimination of Speech Quality Change Under Varying	
	Sem	antic Content (Study II)	89
	6.1	Motivation	89
	6.2	Pre-test	91
		6.2.1 Methods	92
		6.2.2 Results	93
		6.2.3 Discussion	93
	6.3	Main Experiment	93
		6.3.1 Methods	93
		6.3.2 Hypotheses	98
		6.3.3 Results	100
		6.3.4 Discussion	102
	6.4	Conclusion	107
		endix	109
	Refe	rences	118
7		er Identification Under Varying Speech Quality and	
	_	ialization (Study III)	121
	7.1	Mativation	101
		Motivation	121
	7.2	Main Experiment	123
	7.2	Main Experiment	123 124
	7.2	Main Experiment	123 124 130
	7.2	Main Experiment. 7.2.1 Methods 7.2.2 Hypotheses 7.2.3 Results.	123 124 130 131
		Main Experiment. 7.2.1 Methods 7.2.2 Hypotheses 7.2.3 Results. 7.2.4 Discussion.	123 124 130 131 133
	7.2	Main Experiment 7.2.1 Methods 7.2.2 Hypotheses 7.2.3 Results 7.2.4 Discussion Post-test.	123 124 130 131 133 138
		Main Experiment 7.2.1 Methods 7.2.2 Hypotheses 7.2.3 Results 7.2.4 Discussion Post-test 7.3.1 Methods	123 124 130 131 133 138 138
		Main Experiment  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results  7.2.4 Discussion  Post-test  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses	123 124 130 131 133 138 138 140
		Main Experiment  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results  7.2.4 Discussion  Post-test  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses  7.3.3 Results	123 124 130 131 133 138 138 140 140
	7.3	Main Experiment.  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results.  7.2.4 Discussion  Post-test.  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses  7.3.3 Results  7.3.4 Discussion	123 124 130 131 133 138 140 140 142
	7.3 7.4	Main Experiment.  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results  7.2.4 Discussion  Post-test.  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses  7.3.3 Results  7.3.4 Discussion  Conclusion	123 124 130 131 133 138 140 140 142 144
	7.3 7.4 Refe	Main Experiment 7.2.1 Methods 7.2.2 Hypotheses 7.2.3 Results 7.2.4 Discussion Post-test 7.3.1 Methods 7.3.2 Hypotheses 7.3.3 Results 7.3.4 Discussion Conclusion Conclusion	123 124 130 131 133 138 140 140 142 144 145
8	7.3 7.4 Refe	Main Experiment.  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results.  7.2.4 Discussion.  Post-test.  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses  7.3.3 Results.  7.3.4 Discussion.  Conclusion  rences  eral Discussion	123 124 130 131 133 138 140 140 142 144 145
8	7.3 7.4 Refe	Main Experiment.  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results  7.2.4 Discussion  Post-test.  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses  7.3.3 Results  7.3.4 Discussion  Conclusion  rences  eral Discussion  Attentional Resource Allocation in Quality Perception	123 124 130 131 133 138 140 140 142 144 145 149
8	7.3  7.4 Refe  Gen  8.1 8.2	Main Experiment.  7.2.1 Methods  7.2.2 Hypotheses  7.2.3 Results.  7.2.4 Discussion.  Post-test.  7.3.1 Methods  7.3.2 Hypotheses  7.3.3 Results.  7.3.4 Discussion.  Conclusion  rences  eral Discussion	123 124 130 131 133 138 140 140 142 144 145

Contents	xiii
----------	------

	General Conclusion and Outlook	
In	dex	167

### Acronyms

ACR Absolute category rating
AEP Auditory evoked potential
AMR-WB Adaptive multi-rate wideband

ANOVA Analysis of variance

ANS Autonomic nervous system

ASL Active speech level

BAEP Brainstem auditory evoked potential

BAQ Basic audio quality

CAEP Cortical auditory evoked potential CCR Comparison category rating CMOS Comparison mean opinion score

CNS Central nervous system
Col Coloration-impaired
cRT Correct response time
DCR Degradation category rating
Dis Discontinuity-impaired

DMOS Degradation mean opinion score

ECG Electrocardiography
EDA Electrodermal activity
EEG Electroencephalography
EMG Electromyography

ERP Event-related brain potential

FA Factor analysis
FER Frame erasure rate
FIR Finite impulse response

fMRI Functional magnetic resonance imaging

HQ High-quality

HRTF Head-related transfer function ICA Independent component analysis

IFCN International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology

IP Internet protocol

xvi Acronyms

ITU International Telecommunication Union

ITU-T International Telecommunication Union—Telecommunication Stan-

dardization Sector

LMEM Linear mixed-effects model LPC Late positive component LPP Late positive potential

LQ Low-quality

MCN Modified combinatorial nomenclature

MDS Multidimensional scaling MEG Magnetoencephalography MMN Mismatch negativity

MNRU Modulated noise reference unit

MOS Mean opinion score Noi Noisiness-impaired

OLE Overall listening experience PCA Principal component analysis

PS Pairwise similarity
QoE Quality of experience
QoS Quality of service
RFE Random frame erasure
RIR Room impulse response

RT Reaction time
S-R Stimulus-response
SD Semantic differential
SNR Signal-to-noise ratio
TI Talker identification
TTS Text-to-speech
WI Word intelligibility

WMA World Medical Association