Lecture Notes in Computer Science

12722

Founding Editors

Gerhard Goos

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Juris Hartmanis

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Editorial Board Members

Elisa Bertino

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Wen Gao

Peking University, Beijing, China

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Gerhard Woeginger

RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Moti Yung

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

More information about this subseries at http://www.springer.com/series/7412

Bartłomiej W. Papież · Mohammad Yaqub · Jianbo Jiao · Ana I. L. Namburete · J. Alison Noble (Eds.)

Medical Image Understanding and Analysis

25th Annual Conference, MIUA 2021 Oxford, United Kingdom, July 12–14, 2021 Proceedings



Editors
Bartłomiej W. Papież D
University of Oxford
Oxford, UK

Jianbo Jiao D University of Oxford Oxford, UK

J. Alison Noble (1) University of Oxford Oxford, UK Mohammad Yaqub D
Mohamed bin Zayed University
of Artificial Intelligence
Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

University of Oxford Oxford, UK

Ana I. L. Namburete D University of Oxford Oxford, UK

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Computer Science ISBN 978-3-030-80431-2 ISBN 978-3-030-80432-9 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80432-9

LNCS Sublibrary: SL6 - Image Processing, Computer Vision, Pattern Recognition, and Graphics

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

We are very pleased to present the proceedings of the 25th Conference on Medical Image Understanding and Analysis (MIUA 2021), a UK-based international conference for the communication of image processing and analysis research and its application to biomedical imaging and biomedicine. The conference was supposed to be held at St Anne's College, Oxford, UK, during July 12–14; however, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the conference was again organized as a fully virtual event. The virtual meeting was held on the same dates as originally planned, and featured presentations from the authors of all accepted papers. The conference also featured two workshops: medical image analysis using the MATLAB Deep Learning tools, organized jointly with MathWorks, and optimising deep learning with PyTorch and TensorFlow, organized with the Nvidia Corporation.

This year's edition was organized at the University of Oxford by academic members from the Medical Sciences Division: Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences (https://www.ndcn.ox.ac.uk/), The Big Data Institute (https://www.bdi.ox.ac.uk/), and the Mathematical Physical and Life Sciences Division: Institute of Biomedical Engineering (http://www.ibme.ox.ac.uk/), representing Oxford's core strategic partners in medical imaging research. One of the organizers is from the Mohamed bin Zayed University of Artificial Intelligence, Abu Dhabi, UAE.

The conference was organized with sponsorship received from MathWorks (https://uk.mathworks.com/), Brainomix (https://www.brainomix.com/), the Journal of Imaging (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/jimaging), Oxford University Innovation (https://innovation.ox.ac.uk/), nVidia (https://www.nvidia.com), the Institute of Engineering and Technology (https://www.theiet.org/), and Novo Nordisk (https://www.novonordisk.co.uk/). The conference proceedings were published in partnership with Springer (https://www.springer.com).

The diverse range of topics covered in these proceedings reflect the growth in development and application of biomedical imaging. The conference proceedings feature the most recent work in the fields of: (i) image segmentation, (ii) image registration and reconstruction, (iii) biomarker detection, (iv) classification, (v) radiomics, predictive models, and quantitative imaging, (vi) biomedical simulation and modelling, and (vii) image enhancement, quality assessment, and data privacy.

Despite the COVID-19 pandemic, this year's edition of MIUA received a large number of high-quality submissions making the review process particularly competitive. In total, 77 submissions were submitted to the Conference Management Toolkit (CMT), and after an initial quality check, the papers were sent out for the peer-review process completed by the Scientific Review Committee consisting of 88 reviewers. To keep the quality of the reviews consistent with the previous editions of MIUA, the majority of the reviewers was selected from (i) a pool of previous MIUA conference reviewers and (ii) authors and co-authors papers presented at past MIUA conferences.

Preface

vi

Each of the submissions was reviewed in a double-blind manner by at least three members of the Scientific Review Committee. Based on their recommendations, a ranking was created and the best 40 papers (52%) were accepted for presentation at the conference. Furthermore, the papers included in the proceedings were revised by the authors following feedback received from the reviewers.

Submissions were received from authors at 129 different institutes from 20 countries across 4 continents, including the UK (44), India (16), Germany (13), France (10), the USA (7), Portugal (5), Taiwan (4), and a few others. Papers were accepted from a total of 214 authors, with an average of 5 co-authors per paper.

We thank all members of the MIUA 2021 Organizing, Steering, and Scientific Review Committees. In particular, we wish to thank all who contributed greatly to the success of MIUA 2021: the authors for submitting their work, the reviewers for insightful comments improving the quality of the proceedings, the sponsors for financial support, and all participants in this year's virtual MIUA conference.

We also thank our invited keynote speakers Prof. Mihaela van der Schaar, Prof. Ben Glocker, and Prof. Aris Papageorghiou for sharing their success, knowledge, and experiences.

July 2021

Bartłomiej W. Papież Mohammad Yaqub Jianbo Jiao Ana I. L. Namburete J. Alison Noble

Organization

Program Committee Chairs

Bartłomiej W. Papież University of Oxford, UK

Mohammad Yaqub Mohamed bin Zayed University of Artificial

Intelligence, United Arab Emirates, and

University of Oxford, UK

Jianbo Jiao University of Oxford, UK
Ana I. L. Namburete University of Oxford, UK
J. Alison Noble University of Oxford, UK

Steering Committee

Ke Chen University of Liverpool, UK Víctor González-Castro University of León, Spain Tryphon Lambrou University of Lincoln, UK University of Southampton, UK Sasan Mahmoodi Stephen McKenna University of Dundee, UK Mark Nixon University of Southampton, UK Nasir Rajpoot University of Warwick, UK Constantino Carlos Reves-Aldasoro City University of London, UK Maria del C. Valdes-Hernandez University of Edinburgh, UK Lancaster University, UK Bryan M. Williams Xianghua Xie Swansea University, UK Xujiong Ye University of Lincoln, UK Yalin Zheng University of Liverpool, UK Reyer Zwiggelaar Aberystwyth University, UK Bartłomiej W. Papież University of Oxford, UK Ana I. L. Namburete University of Oxford, UK

Mohammad Yaqub Mohamed bin Zayed University of Artificial

Intelligence, United Arab Emirates, and

University of Oxford, UK

J. Alison Noble University of Oxford, UK

Scientific Review Committee

Sharib Ali University of Oxford, UK Omar Al-Kadi University of Jordan, Jordan

Ibrahim Almakky Mohamed Bin Zayed University of Artificial

Intelligence, United Arab Emirates

Nantheera Anantrasirichai University of Bristol, UK

Paul Armitage John Ashburner

Angelica I. Aviles-Rivero

Abhirup Banerjee Neslihan Bayramoglu Miguel Bernabeu Michael Brady Joshua T. Bridge Jacob Carse Sevim Cengiz

Tapabrata Chakraborty Michael Chappell

Ke Chen Xu Chen Zezhi Chen

Wing Keung Cheung John Chiverton Matthew J. Clarkson Timothy Cootes Chengliang Dai Mariia Dmitrieva

Oi Dou

Bjoern Eiben Mohamed Elawady

Hui Fang Martin Fergie Alastair Gale Dongxu Gao Alberto Gomez Mara Graziani

Eyjolfur Gudmundsson Aymeric Histace

Yipeng Hu Mark Jenkinson Bishesh Khanal Andrew King

Tryphon Lambrou Toni Lassila Jonas Latz

Lok Hin Lee Cristian Linte Tianrui Liu

Dwarikanath Mahapatra

University of Sheffield, UK University College London, UK University of Cambridge, UK University of Oxford, UK University of Oulu, Finland University of Edinburgh, UK University of Oxford, UK University of Liverpool, UK

University of Dundee, UK

Mohamed Bin Zayed University of Artificial Intelligence, United Arab Emirates

University of Oxford, UK
University of Nottingham, UK
University of Liverpool, UK
University of Liverpool, UK
Intelligent Ultrasound, UK
University College London, UK
University of Portsmouth, UK
University College London, UK
University of Manchester, UK
Imperial College London, UK
University of Oxford, UK

The Chinese University of Hong Kong,

Hong Kong

University College London, UK University of Stirling, UK Loughborough University, UK University of Manchester, UK Loughborough University, UK University of Liverpool, UK King's College London, UK

HES-SO Valais-Wallis, Switzerland University College London, UK ENSEA Cergy-Pontoise, France University College London, UK University of Oxford, UK

NAAMII, Nepal

King's College London, UK University of Lincoln, UK University of Leeds, UK University of Cambridge, UK University of Oxford, UK

Rochester Institute of Technology, USA

Imperial College London, UK

Inception Institute of Artificial Intelligence,

United Arab Emirates

Sasan Mahmoodi University of Southampton, UK Bogdan J. Matuszewski University of Central Lancashire, UK

John McGonigle
Stephen J. McKenna
Martin J. Menten
Yuanhan Mo
Marc Modat
Muthu Rahma Krishnan Mookiah
Carmel Moran
Moran
McGonigle
Perspectum Ltd, UK
University of Dundee, UK
Imperial College London, UK
University of Oxford, UK
King's College London, UK
University of Dundee, UK
University of Edinburgh, UK
University of Southampton, U

Carmel Moran
University of Edinburgh, UK
University of Southampton, UK
University of Oxford, UK
University of Oxford, UK
University of Cambridge, UK
University of Cambridge, UK
University of Cambridge, UK
University of Birmingham, UK
Constantino Carlos Reyes-Aldasoro
University of Birmingham, UK
City, University of London, UK

Andreas Schuh Imperial College London, UK Raghavendra Selvan University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Harshita Sharma
University of Oxford, UK
Ivor J. A. Simpson
University of Sussex, UK
Steven Squires
University of Manchester, UK
Avan Suinesiaputra
University of Leeds, UK
University of Oxford, UK
Vannathi Sundaresan
University of Oxford, UK
University of Oxford, UK

Yang Sun

Vaanathi Sundaresan

Adam Szmul

Emanuele Trucco

María del C. Valdís Hernández

Marta Varela

Catarina Veiga

Dominic Waithe

University of Oxford, UK

University of Oxford, UK

University of Dundee, UK

University of Edinburgh, UK

Imperial College London, UK

University College London, UK

University of Oxford, UK

Dominic Waithe

Bryan M. Williams

Guang Yang

Kujiong Ye

Ozgun Yilmaz

Vi Yin

University of Oxford, UK

Lancaster University, UK

Imperial College London, UK

University of Lincoln, UK

ENSEA Cergy-Pontoise, France

University of Oxford, UK

Hanchao Yu University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

USA

Hongrun Zhang
University of Liverpool, UK
Qiang Zhang
University of Oxford, UK
Yalin Zheng
University of Liverpool, UK
University of Liverpool, UK
University of Leicester, UK
Veronika A. Zimmer
King's College London, UK
Reyer Zwiggelaar
University of Aberystwyth, UK

Contents

Biomarker Detection

Exploring the Correlation Between Deep Learned and Clinical Features	
in Melanoma Detection	3
Jens Rittscher, and Umapada Pal	
An Efficient One-Stage Detector for Real-Time Surgical Tools Detection	
in Robot-Assisted Surgery Yu Yang, Zijian Zhao, Pan Shi, and Sanyuan Hu	18
A Comparison of Computer Vision Methods for the Combined Detection	
of Glaucoma, Diabetic Retinopathy and Cataracts Jarred Orfao and Dustin van der Haar	30
Prostate Cancer Detection Using Image-Based Features in Dynamic	
Contrast Enhanced MRI Liping Wang, Yuanjie Zheng, Andrik Rampun, and Reyer Zwiggelaar	43
Controlling False Positive/Negative Rates for Deep-Learning-Based	
Prostate Cancer Detection on Multiparametric MR Images Zhe Min, Fernando J. Bianco, Qianye Yang, Rachael Rodell, Wen Yan, Dean Barratt, and Yipeng Hu	56
Optimising Knee Injury Detection with Spatial Attention and Validating Localisation Ability	71
Niamh Belton, Ivan Welaratne, Adil Dahlan, Ronan T. Hearne, Misgina Tsighe Hagos, Aonghus Lawlor, and Kathleen M. Curran	, -
Improved Artifact Detection in Endoscopy Imaging Through Profile	0.
Pruning Ziang Xu, Sharib Ali, Soumya Gupta, Numan Celik, and Jens Rittscher	87
Automatic Detection of Extra-Cardiac Findings in Cardiovascular	
Magnetic Resonance	98
Pier Giorgio Masci, Andrew P. King, and Esther Puyol-Antón	

Brain-Connectivity Analysis to Differentiate Phasmophobic and Non-phasmophobic: An EEG Study	108
Image Registration, and Reconstruction	
Virtual Imaging for Patient Information on Radiotherapy Planning and Delivery for Prostate Cancer	125
Data-Driven Speed-of-Sound Reconstruction for Medical Ultrasound: Impacts of Training Data Format and Imperfections on Convergence Farnaz Khun Jush, Peter Michael Dueppenbecker, and Andreas Maier	140
Selective Motion Artefact Reduction via Radiomics and k-space Reconstruction for Improving Perivascular Space Quantification in Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging Jose Bernal, William Xu, Maria d. C. Valdés-Hernández, Javier Escudero, Angela C. C. Jochems, Una Clancy, Fergus N. Doubal, Michael S. Stringer, Michael J. Thrippleton, Rhian M. Touyz, and Joanna M. Wardlaw	151
Mass Univariate Regression Analysis for Three-Dimensional Liver Image-Derived Phenotypes Marjola Thanaj, Nicolas Basty, Yi Liu, Madeleine Cule, Elena P. Sorokin, E. Louise Thomas, Jimmy D. Bell, and Brandon Whitcher	165
Automatic Re-orientation of 3D Echocardiographic Images in Virtual Reality Using Deep Learning Lindsay Munroe, Gina Sajith, Ei Lin, Surjava Bhattacharya, Kuberan Pushparajah, John Simpson, Julia A. Schnabel, Gavin Wheeler, Alberto Gomez, and Shujie Deng	177
A Simulation Study to Estimate Optimum LOR Angular Acceptance for the Image Reconstruction with the Total-Body J-PET	189
Optimised Misalignment Correction from Cine MR Slices Using Statistical Shape Model	201
Abhirup Banerjee, Ernesto Zacur, Robin P. Choudhury, and Vicente Grau	201

HDR-Like Image Generation to Mitigate Adverse Wound Illumination

Songlin Hou, Clifford Lindsay, Emmanuel Agu, Peder Pedersen,

Bengisu Tulu, and Diane Strong

Using Deep Bi-directional Retinex and Exposure Fusion

307

Deep Learning-Based Bias Transfer for Overcoming Laboratory	
Differences of Microscopic Images	322
Lukas Gernhold, Maximilian Lennartz, Christoph Kuppe,	
Rafael Kramann, Tobias B. Huber, Guido Sauter, Victor G. Puelles,	
Marina Zimmermann, and Stefan Bonn	
Dense Depth Estimation from Stereo Endoscopy Videos Using	
Unsupervised Optical Flow Methods	337
Zixin Yang, Richard Simon, Yangming Li, and Cristian A. Linte	
Image Augmentation Using a Task Guided Generative Adversarial	
Network for Age Estimation on Brain MRI	350
Ruizhe Li, Matteo Bastiani, Dorothee Auer, Christian Wagner, and Xin Chen	
First Trimester Gaze Pattern Estimation Using Stochastic Augmentation	
Policy Search for Single Frame Saliency Prediction	361
Elizaveta Savochkina, Lok Hin Lee, Lior Drukker,	301
Aris T. Papageorghiou, and J. Alison Noble	
Classification	
Dopamine Transporter SPECT Image Classification for Neurodegenerative	
Parkinsonism via Diffusion Maps and Machine Learning Classifiers	377
Jun-En Ding, Chi-Hsiang Chu, Mong-Na Lo Huang,	
and Chien-Ching Hsu	
BRAIN2DEPTH: Lightweight CNN Model for Classification of Cognitive	
States from EEG Recordings	394
Pankaj Pandey and Krishna Prasad Miyapuram	
D'OraCa: Deep Learning-Based Classification of Oral Lesions with Mouth	
Landmark Guidance for Early Detection of Oral Cancer	408
Jian Han Lim, Chun Shui Tan, Chee Seng Chan,	
Roshan Alex Welikala, Paolo Remagnino, Senthilmani Rajendran,	
Thomas George Kallarakkal, Rosnah Binti Zain,	
Ruwan Duminda Jayasinghe, Jyotsna Rimal,	
Alexander Ross Kerr, Rahmi Amtha, Karthikeya Patil,	
Wanninayake Mudiyanselage Tilakaratne, John Gibson, Sok Ching Cheong, and Sarah Ann Barman	
Towards Linking CNN Decisions with Cancer Signs for Breast Lesion	
Classification from Ultrasound Images	423
Ali Eskandari, Hongbo Du, and Alaa AlZoubi	3

Improving Generalization of ENAS-Based CNN Models for Breast Lesion Classification from Ultrasound Images Mohammed Ahmed, Alaa AlZoubi, and Hongbo Du	438
Image Enhancement, Quality Assessment, and Data Privacy	
Comparison of Privacy-Preserving Distributed Deep Learning Methods in Healthcare Manish Gawali, C. S. Arvind, Shriya Suryavanshi, Harshit Madaan, Ashrika Gaikwad, K. N. Bhanu Prakash, Viraj Kulkarni, and Aniruddha Pant	457
MAFIA-CT: MAchine Learning Tool for Image Quality Assessment in Computed Tomography Thiago V. M. Lima, Silvan Melchior, Ismail Özden, Egbert Nitzsche, Jörg Binder, and Gerd Lutters	472
Echocardiographic Image Quality Assessment Using Deep Neural Networks Robert B. Labs, Massoud Zolgharni, and Jonathan P. Loo	488
Robust Automatic Montaging of Adaptive Optics Flood Illumination Retinal Images	503
Radiomics, Predictive Models, and Quantitative Imaging	
End-to-End Deep Learning Vector Autoregressive Prognostic Models to Predict Disease Progression with Uneven Time Intervals Joshua Bridge, Simon Harding, and Yalin Zheng	517
Radiomics-Led Monitoring of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Patients During Radiotherapy Roushanak Rahmat, David Harris-Birtill, David Finn, Yang Feng, Dean Montgomery, William H. Nailon, and Stephen McLaughlin	532
Deep Learning Classification of Cardiomegaly Using Combined Imaging and Non-imaging ICU Data	547
Author Index	559