Mathematical Analysis of Autonomous and Nonautonomous Hepatitis B Virus Transmission Models

Abdallah Alsammani

Department of Mathematics, Jacksonville University, Jacksonville, FL 32211, USA aalsamm@ju.edu

Abstract. This study presents an improved mathematical model for Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) transmission dynamics by investigating autonomous and nonautonomous cases. The novel model incorporates the effects of medical treatment, allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of HBV transmission and potential control measures. Our analysis involves verifying unique solutions' existence, ensuring solutions' positivity over time, and conducting a stability analysis at the equilibrium points. Both local and global stability are discussed; for local stability, we use the Jacobian matrix and the basic reproduction number, R_0 . For global stability, we construct a Lyapunov function and derive necessary and sufficient conditions for stability in our models, establishing a connection between these conditions and R_0 . Numerical simulations substantiate our analytical findings, offering valuable insights into HBV transmission dynamics and the effectiveness of different interventions. This study advances our understanding of Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) transmission dynamics by presenting an enhanced mathematical model that considers both autonomous and nonautonomous cases.

Keywords: HBV model, Nonautonomous, stability analysis, DFE, epidemic equilibrium, numerical simulations

1 Introduction

Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) is a significant global health concern, affecting millions of people worldwide and posing a considerable burden on public health systems [18]. The transmission dynamics of HBV are complex, involving multiple interacting factors such as the rates of infection, recovery, and medical treatment. Understanding these dynamics is essential for devising effective prevention and control strategies [13].

Mathematical models have been widely employed to study the transmission dynamics of infectious diseases, including HBV [7,14]. Early HBV models primarily focused on autonomous systems, assuming constant parameters over time [15,16]. However, more recent models have considered nonautonomous systems, taking into account time-varying parameters and seasonal fluctuations[12,8]. These models provide a more realistic representation of the disease transmission process.

In this study, we present an improved mathematical model for HBV transmission dynamics by investigating both autonomous and nonautonomous cases. The model incorporates the effects of medical treatment, allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of HBV transmission and potential control measures. The analysis includes

verifying the existence of unique solutions, ensuring the positivity of solutions over time, and conducting a stability analysis at the equilibrium points [5,11].

We discuss both local and global stability. For local stability, we use the Jacobian matrix and the basic reproduction number, R_0 [6]. For global stability, we construct a Lyapunov function and derive necessary and sufficient conditions for stability in our models, establishing a connection between these conditions and R_0 [10,3].

Numerical simulations substantiate our analytical findings, offering valuable insights into HBV transmission dynamics and the effectiveness of different interventions. The results of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on HBV mathematical modeling and provide a basis for further research and policy development.

2 Model formulation

A nonlinear differential equation model was developed to study HBV transmission, considering medical treatment effects and various rates [15,14,2,17,1]. The model is defined as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \Lambda - \mu_1 x - (1 - \eta)\beta xz + qy\\ \frac{dy}{dt} = (1 - \eta)\beta xz - \mu_2 y - qy\\ \frac{dz}{dt} = (1 - \epsilon)py - \mu_3 z \end{cases}$$
(1)

Here, the variables and parameters represent the following

- x(t): The number of uninfected cells (target cells) at time t.

- y(t): The number of infected cells at time t.
- z(t): The number of free virus particles at time t.

Table 1 summarizes the description of the parameters in the system (1).Table 1. Parameters descriptions

Parameter	Description
Λ	Production rate of uninfected cells x.
μ_1	Death rate of x-cells.
μ_2	Death rate of y-cells.
μ_3	Free virus cleared rate.
η	Fraction that reduced infected rate after treatment with the antiviral drug.
ϵ	Fraction that reduced free virus rate after treatment with the antiviral drug.
p	Free virus production rate y-cells
β	Infection rate of x-cells by free virus z.
q	Spotaneous cure rate of y-cells by non-cytolytic process.
Notice th	hat n and c are small positive fractions between 0 and 1, then $(1 - n) > 0$

Notice that η and ϵ are small positive fractions between 0 and 1, then $(1 - \eta) > 0$ and $(1 - \epsilon) > 0$, also all other parameters β , q, p, μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_3 are positive.

Notations: Throughout this paper, we will consider the following.

-
$$\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x, y, z) | (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
, and $\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x \ge 0, y \ge 0, z \ge 0\}$.

- If $\mathbf{u} = (x, y, z)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ then the system (1) can be written as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{u}(t)) \tag{2}$$

where

$$f(\mathbf{u}(t)) = f(x(t), y(t), z(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda - \mu_1 x - (1 - \eta)\beta xz + qy \\ (1 - \eta)\beta xz - \mu_2 y - qy \\ (1 - \epsilon)py - \mu_3 z \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

and $u_0 = u(t_0) = (x(t_0), y(t_0), z(t_0)) = (x_0, y_0, z_0).$

2.1 Properties of Solutions

We discuss the basic properties of the HBV model, including solution existence, uniqueness, and positivity. Existence is ensured by Lipschitz continuity, uniqueness through the Picard-Lindelof or Banach's fixed-point theorems, and positivity by analyzing the model equations.

Theorem 1 (Local Existence).

For any given $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ there exists $T_{max} = T_{max}(t_0, u_0)$ such that the system from (1) has a solution $(x(t; u_0), y(t; t_0, u_0), z(t; t_0, u_0))$ on $[t_0, t_0 + T_{max})$. Furthermore, If $T_{max} < \infty$ then the solution will blow up, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{t \to T_{max}} \left(|x(t_0 + t; t_0, u_0)| + |y(t_0 + t; t_0, u_0)| + |z(t_0 + t; t_0, u_0)| \right) = +\infty$$

Proof. It is clear that this function f(u(t)) in equation 3 is continuous, and its derivatives with respect to x, y, and z are also continuous. Therefore, the system (1) has a unique local solution.

It is well known that solutions of ordinary differential equations may blow up in finite time.

Since the system 1 is a population system, it is very important to ensure that the solution is always positive.

Lemma 1. suppose $(x(t_0), y(t_0), z(t_0)) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$ is the initial value of the system 1, then the solution (x(t), y(t), z(t)) is positive for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T_{max})$.

Proof. Notice that z(t) has an explicit solution that depends on y(t). Thus, if y(t) is positive, that implies z(t) is also positive for all $t \ge t_0$.

Then, it is enough to show the positiveness for x and y. By contradiction suppose not, then there exists $\tau \in [t_0, t_0 + T_{max})$ such that x(t) > 0, y(t) > 0 and z(t) > 0 on $[t_0, \tau)$ this implies one of the following cases

- (i) $x(\tau) = 0$ and $y(\tau) > 0$
- (ii) $x(\tau) > 0$ and $y(\tau) = 0$

3

(iii) $x(\tau) = 0$ and $y(\tau) = 0$

Now we will show that none of the above cases is possible.

Claim Case (i) is not possible.

Proof. From the basic definition of the derivative, we have.

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(\tau) = \lim_{t \to \tau} \frac{x(t) - x(\tau)}{t - \tau} = \lim_{t \to \tau} \frac{x(t)}{t - \tau} \le 0 \qquad \to \quad (1)$$

from the first equation in 1 we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(\tau) = \Lambda - \mu_1 x(\tau) - (1 - \eta)\beta x(\tau)v(\tau) + qy(\tau)$$
$$= \Lambda + qy(\tau) \ge py(\tau) > 0 \qquad \to \qquad (2)$$

That is a contradiction. Therefore, case(1) is not possible.

Claim Case (ii) is not possible.

Proof. We know that

$$\frac{dy}{dt}(\tau) = \lim_{t \to \tau} \frac{y(t) - y(\tau)}{t - \tau} = \lim_{t \to \tau} \frac{y(t)}{t - \tau} \le 0 \qquad \to \quad (3)$$

from the second equation in 1 we have

$$\frac{dy}{dt}(\tau) = (1 - \eta)\beta v(\tau)x(\tau) > 0 \qquad \to \quad (4)$$

from (3) and (4) we have a contradiction, thus, case(2) is not possible.

Similarly, case(iii) is also not possible.

Therefore, the statement in the lemma is correct.

Now we show the global existence of the solution, which is enough to show that the solution of the system 1 is bounded.

Theorem 2 (Global Existence "Boundedness"). For given $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+$, the solution (x(t), y(t), z(t)) exists for all $t \ge t_0$ and moreover,

$$0 \le x(t) + y(t) \le M \qquad \text{and} \quad 0 \le z(t) \le e^{\mu_3(t-t_0)} z_0 + (1-\epsilon) M\left(\frac{1 - e^{-\mu_3(t-t_0)}}{\mu_3}\right)$$

where $M = Max\left\{x_0 + y_0, \frac{\Lambda}{\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)}\right\}$

Proof.

It is enough to show that $|x(t)| + |y(t)| < \infty$ on $(t_0, t_0 + T_{max})$. By adding the first two equations in 1 we get

$$\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{dy}{dt} = \Lambda - \mu_1 x - \mu_2 y \tag{4}$$

$$\leq \Lambda - \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}[x(t) + y(t)].$$
(5)

Let v(t) = x(t) + y(t) the equation 4 becomes

$$v(t) \le \Lambda - \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\} v(t).$$

By the ODE comparison principle, we have

$$v(t) \le Max \left\{ v_0 \ , \ \frac{\Lambda}{\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \right\}$$

then 1 implies that $T_{max} = +\infty$.

It is clear that for t large, we have

$$v(t) \le \frac{\Lambda}{\min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}}$$

Which means both x(t) and y(t) are bounded. It is clear that z(t) is also bounded directly by solving the third equation in system 1.

In summary, the system of differential equations (1) has a unique and positive solution for any set of initial values, which is essential for the model's physical interpretation. These properties provide a solid foundation for further analysis of the system's dynamics and stability.

3 Stability Analysis

Stability analysis is an essential aspect of mathematical modeling as it allows us to investigate the behavior of the system of differential equations (1) over time and identify conditions for the system to reach an equilibrium state. In this section, we will perform a stability analysis of the system's equilibrium points.

3.1 Equilibrium Solutions.

The equilibria of the system 1 are all the points in \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\dot{x} = \dot{y} = \dot{z} = 0$. The system 1 has only two two equilibrium points which are

1. Disease-free equilibrium $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0, \bar{z}_0) = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu_1}, 0, 0\right)$ and

2. Endemic equilibrium

$$(\bar{x}\,,\,\bar{y}\,,\,\bar{z}) = \left(\frac{\mu_1\mu_3(\mu_2+p)}{q\beta\mu_2(1-\eta)(1-\epsilon)}\,,\,\frac{\Lambda}{\mu_2} - \frac{\mu_1\mu_3(\mu_2+p)}{q\beta\mu_2(1-\eta)(1-\epsilon)}\,,\,\frac{q\Lambda(1-\epsilon)}{\mu_2\mu_3} - \frac{\mu_1(\mu_2+p)}{\beta\mu_2(1-\eta)}\right)$$

The endemic equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ represents a state in which the infection persists in the population. Analyzing the stability of this equilibrium helps us understand the long-term behavior of the infection dynamics and informs public health interventions to control the disease.

3.2 Local Stability

The Jacobian matrix J(x, y, z) represents the linearization of the system of ODEs around a particular point (x, y, z). It is used to analyze the stability of equilibrium points in the system. The Jacobian matrix J(x, y, z) of the system 1 is a 3×3 matrix containing the partial derivatives of the system's equations with respect to the state variables x, y, and z. It is given by

$$J(x,y,z) = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu_1 - (1-\eta)\beta z & q & -(1-\eta)\beta x\\ (1-\eta)\beta z & -(\mu_2+q) & (1-\eta)\beta x\\ 0 & (1-\epsilon)p & -\mu_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

The Jacobian matrix J(x, y, z) is used to analyze the local stability of the equilibrium points in the system by evaluating it at those points and computing the eigenvalues. The eigenvalues determine the nature of the equilibrium points (stable, unstable, or saddle).

3.2.1 Local Stability of Infection-free Equilibrium The local stability of the equilibrium points can be analyzed using linearization techniques. By evaluating the Jacobian matrix at the equilibrium points and examining its eigenvalues, we can determine the local stability characteristics of the system.

Computing the Jacobian at diseases-free equilibrium gives

$$J(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu_1 & q & 0\\ 0 & -(\mu_2 + q) & 0\\ 0 & (1 - \epsilon)p & -\mu_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

Therefore, the reproduction number R_0 is given by

$$R_0 = \frac{(1-\eta)\beta\frac{\Lambda}{\mu_1}}{\mu_2 + q}$$
(8)

Notice that, $R_0 < 1$ implies both conditions $(Tr(J_1) < 0 \text{ and } Det(J_1) > 0)$. Therefore, if $R_0 < 1$, then the disease-free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable. If $R_0 > 1$, then the disease-free is unstable.

3.3 Global Stability

To investigate the global stability of the equilibrium points, we can use Lyapunov functions or comparison theorems. By constructing an appropriate Lyapunov function and showing that it satisfies certain properties, we can prove the global stability of the system.

Lemma 2. The system 1 is exponentially stable at its equilibrium points $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ if the following conditions hold

$$\begin{cases} 2\mu_{1} + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z} > (1-\eta)\beta\frac{\Lambda}{\min(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})} + q \\ 2\mu_{2} + q > (1-\eta)\beta\left(\bar{z} + \frac{\Lambda}{\min(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})}\right) + (1-\epsilon)p \\ 2\mu_{3} > (1-\epsilon)p + \frac{(1-\eta)\Lambda\beta}{\min(\mu_{1},\mu_{2})} \end{cases}$$
(9)

Proof. In fact, it is enough to show that

$$|x - \bar{x}| \to 0, \quad |y - \bar{y}| \to 0, \quad and \quad |z - \bar{z}| \to 0, \quad as \quad t \to \infty$$
 (10)

Since $x - \bar{x}$, $y - \bar{y}$, and $z - \bar{z}$ satisfies the system 1. From system 1 we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(x-\bar{x}) = -(\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z})(x-\bar{x}) + q(y-\bar{y}) - (1-\eta)\beta x(z-\bar{z}) \\ \frac{d}{dt}(y-\bar{y}) = (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}(x-\bar{x}) - (q+\mu_2)(y-\bar{y}) + (1-\eta)\beta x(z-\bar{z}) \\ \frac{d}{dt}(z-\bar{z}) = (1-\epsilon)p(y-\bar{y}) - \mu_3(z-\bar{z}) \end{cases}$$
(11)

Now, let $X = x - \bar{x}$, $Y = y - \bar{y}$ and $Z = z - \bar{z}$ then system 11 becomes

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -(\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z})X + qY - (1-\eta)\beta xZ$$
(12)

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = (1 - \eta)\beta \bar{z}X - (q + \mu_2)Y + (1 - \eta)\beta xZ$$
(13)

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = (1-\epsilon)pY - \mu_3 Z \tag{14}$$

Now, since $X = X_+ - X_-$, where X_+ and X_- are the positive and negative parts of the function X, and also we have

$$XX_{+} = (X_{+} - X_{-})X_{+} = X_{+}^{2}$$
$$-XX_{-} = -(X_{+} - X_{-})X_{-} = X_{-}^{2}$$
$$(X_{+} \pm X_{-})^{2} = X_{+}^{2} + X_{-}^{2} = |X|^{2}$$

This implies that

$$\dot{X}X_{+} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}X_{+}^{2}$$
 and $-\dot{X}X_{-} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}X_{-}^{2}$

Now multiplying equation 12 by X_+ gives

$$XX_{+} = -[\mu_{1} + (1 - \eta)\beta\bar{z}]XX_{+} + qYX_{+} - (1 - \eta)\beta xZX_{+}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}X_{+}^{2} = -[\mu_{1} + (1 - \eta)\beta\bar{z}]X_{+}^{2} + qYX_{+} - (1 - \eta)\beta xZX_{+}$$
(15)

If we multiply equation 12 by X_{-} we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}X_{-}^{2} = -[\mu_{1} + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}]X_{-}^{2} + qYX_{-} + (1-\eta)\beta xZX_{-}$$
(16)

adding equation 15 and equation 16 we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(X_{+}^{2}+X_{-}^{2}) = -[\mu_{1}+(1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}](X_{+}^{2}+X_{-}^{2}) + qY(X_{+}-X_{-}) + (1-\eta)\beta xZ(X_{+}-X_{-})$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|X|^2 = -[\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}]|X|^2 + q(Y_+ - Y_-)(X_+ - X_-) + (1-\eta)\beta x(Z_+ - Z_-)(X_+ - X_-) \\ &= -[\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}]|X|^2 + q(Y_+X_+ + Y_-X_- - Y_-X_+ - Y_+X_-) \\ &\quad + (1-\eta)\beta x(X_+Z_- + X_-Z_+ - X_+Z_+ - X_-Z_-) \\ &\leq -[\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}]|X|^2 + \frac{1}{2}qY_+^2 + \frac{1}{2}qX_+^2 + \frac{1}{2}qY_-^2 + \frac{1}{2}X_-^2 - q(Y_-X_+ + Y_+X_-) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x(X_+^2 + Z_-^2 + X_-^2 + Z_+^2) - (1-\eta)\beta x(X_+Z_+ + X_-Z_-) \\ &\leq -[\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}]|X|^2 + \frac{1}{2}q|Y|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x|X|^2 + \frac{1}{2}q|X|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x|Z|^2 \\ &\quad -q(Y_-X_+ + Y_+X_-) - (1-\eta)\beta x(X_+Z_+ - X_-Z_-) \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|X|^{2} \leq -\left[\mu_{1} + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}q - \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x\right]|X|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}q|Y|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x|Z|^{2} - q(Y_{-}X_{+} + Y_{+}X_{-}) - (1-\eta)\beta x(X_{+}Z_{+} - X_{-}Z_{-})$$
(17)

Similarly, by using the same computational technique, we got

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|Y|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}|X|^{2} - [(q+\mu_{2}) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta\bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x]|Y|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x|Z|^{2} - (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z}(X_{+}Y_{-} + X_{-}Y_{+}) - (1-\eta)\beta x(Z_{+}Y_{-} + Z_{-}Y_{+})$$
(18)

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|Z|^2 \le -[\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)]|Z|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)p|Y|^2 - (1-\epsilon)p(Y_+Z_- + Y_-Z_+)$$
(19)

Now, by adding 17, 18 and 19 we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|X|^2 + |Y|^2 + |Z|^2 \right) \leq - \left[\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta \bar{z} - \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta x \right] |X|^2
- \left[\mu_2 + \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta \bar{z} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta x - (1 - \epsilon) p \right] |Y|^2
- \left[\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \epsilon) p - (1 - \eta) \beta x \right] |Z|^2 - \left[q(Y_+ X_- + Y_- X_+) \right]
+ (1 - \eta) \beta x(X_+ Z_+ + X_- Z_-) + (1 - \eta)(X_+ Y_- + X_- Y_+)
(1 - \eta) \beta x(Z_+ Y_- Z_- Y_+) + (1 - \epsilon) p(Y_+ Z_- + Y_- Z_+) \right]$$
(20)

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(|X|^2 + |Y|^2 + |Z|^2\right) \le -\nu_1|X|^2 - \nu_2|Y|^2 - \nu_3|Z|^2 - W$$
(21)

where

$$\begin{cases} \nu_1 &= 2\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z} - (1-\eta)\beta x - q \\ \nu_2 &= \mu_2 + q - (1-\eta)\beta\bar{z} - (1-\eta)\beta x - (1-\epsilon)p \\ \nu_3 &= 2\mu_3 - (1-\epsilon)p - (1-\eta)\beta x \\ Wmmm &= q(Y_+X_- + Y_-X_+) + (1-\eta)\beta x(X_+Z_+ + X_-Z_-) + (1-\eta)(X_+Y_- + X_-Y_+) \\ &+ (1-\eta)\beta x(Z_+Y_-Z_-Y_+) + (1-\epsilon)p(Y_+Z_- + Y_-Z_+) \end{cases}$$

Condition 22 guaranteed that ν_1, ν_2 , an ν_3 are always positive. Since $W \ge 0$, then the inequity 21 still holds after removing W.

Now Let $k = \min n \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3\}$ and let $V(t) = |X(t)|^2 + |Y(t)|^2 + |Z(t)|^2$ then the inequality 21 becomes

$$\frac{dV(t)}{dt} \le -kV(t)$$

 $0 \le V(t) \le V_0 e^{-kt} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty$ (23)

3.4 Stability at disease-free equilibrium

Substituting $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (\Lambda/\mu_1, 0, 0)$ in condition 9 we get the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} 2\mu_{1} > (1-\eta)\beta\frac{\Lambda}{\mu^{*}} + q\\ 2\mu_{2} + q > (1-\eta)\beta\frac{\Lambda}{\mu^{*}} + (1-\epsilon)p\\ 2\mu_{3} > (1-\epsilon)p + \frac{(1-\eta)\Lambda\beta}{\mu^{*}} \end{cases}$$
(24)

where $\mu^* = min(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, and basic productive number $R_0 = \frac{\Lambda \beta p(1-\epsilon)(1-\eta)}{\mu_1 \mu_2(\mu_1+(1-\epsilon)p)}$

9

(22)

Theorem 3. The autonomous dynamic systems 1 is exponentially stable if $R_0 < 1$ and conditions 24 are satisfied.

Proof. Consider the Lyapunov function

$$V(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} [(x - \Lambda/\mu_1)^2 + y^2 + z^2]$$

which is clearly positive, and by following some computations in the proof of Lemma 2 we get $V' \leq 0$. That completed the proof.

3.5 Stability at the endemic equilibrium

Substituting the endemic equilibrium $((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}))$ where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} = \frac{\mu_1 \mu_3(\mu_2 + p)}{q\beta\mu_2(1-\eta)(1-\epsilon)}, \\ \bar{y} = \frac{\Lambda}{\mu_2} - \frac{\mu_1 \mu_3(\mu_2 + p)}{q\beta\mu_2(1-\eta)(1-\epsilon)}, \\ \bar{z} = \frac{q\Lambda(1-\epsilon)}{\mu_2\mu_3} - \frac{\mu_1(\mu_2 + p)}{\beta\mu_2(1-\eta)} \end{cases}$$
(25)

in condition 24 we get the following conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 + (1-\epsilon)(1-\eta)A\beta q > \frac{(1-\eta)A\beta\mu_2\mu_3}{\min(\mu_1,\mu_2)} + q\mu_2\mu_3 + p\mu_1\mu_3\\ 2\mu_2^2 + \mu_1\mu_2 + p\mu_1 + q > \frac{(1-\eta)(1-\epsilon)\beta Aq}{\mu_3} + \frac{(1-\eta)A\beta}{\min(\mu_1,\mu_2)} \\ 2\mu_3 > (1-\epsilon)p + \frac{(1-\eta)A\beta}{\min(\mu_1,\mu_2)} \end{cases}$$
(26)

Theorem 4. *The solution of system 1 is exponentially stable at the endemic equilibrium 25 if conditions 26.*

Proof. The proof follows by Lemm 2.

4 Nonautonomous HBV Model

In this section, we will discuss the nonautonomous HBV infection model where the production number Λ is time-dependent. We will provide a brief introduction to nonautonomous dynamical systems, followed by a stability analysis of the nonautonomous HBV model.

4.1 Preliminaries of Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems

Before we start analyzing our nonautonomous model, we provide an overview of the preliminaries of nonautonomous dynamical systems. Nonautonomous systems differ from autonomous systems in that they depend on the actual time t and the initial time t_0 rather than just their difference. We will introduce some basic concepts and theorems that are essential for understanding nonautonomous systems.

1. **Process Formulation:** A common way to represent nonautonomous dynamical systems is through process formulation. In this representation, a process is a continuous mapping $\phi(t, t_0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfies the initial and evolution properties:

(a) $\phi(t_0, t_0, u_0) = u_0$ for all $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (b) $\phi(t_2, t_0, u) = \phi(t_2, t_1, \phi(t_1, t_0, u))$. for all $t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2$ and $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 2. Invariant Families: A family $\mathcal{A} = \{A(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is said to be:
 - (a) Invariant with respect to ϕ , or ϕ -invariant if

$$\phi(t, t_0, A(t_0)) = A(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge t_0$$

(b) Positive Invariant, or ϕ -Positive invariant if

$$\phi(t, t_0, A(t_0)) \subset A(t)$$
 for all $t \ge t_0$.

(c) Negative Invariant, or ϕ - negative

$$\phi(t, t_0, A(t_0)) \supset A(t)$$
 for all $t \ge t_0$.

Nonautonomous Attractivity: A nonempty, compact subset A of ℝⁿ is said to be
 i. Forward attracting if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} dist(\phi(t, t_0, u_0), A(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \,,$$

ii. Pullback attracting if

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} dist(\phi(t, t_0, u_0), A(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t_0 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

4. Uniform Strictly Contracting Property: A nonautonomous dynamical system ϕ satisfies the uniform strictly contracting property if for each R > 0, there exist positive constants K and α such that

$$|\phi(t,t_0,x_0) - \phi(t,t_0,y_0)|^2 \le K e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} |x_0 - y_0|^2$$
(27)

for all $(t, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq}$ and $(x_0, y_0) \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0; R)$, where $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$ is a closed ball centered at the origin with radius R > 0.

Remark: The uniform strictly contracting property, together with the existence of a pullback absorbing, implies the existence of a global attractor that consists of a single entire solution.

These preliminaries provide a foundation for understanding nonautonomous dynamical systems, which is essential when analyzing models such as the nonautonomous HBV infection model. With these concepts in hand, one can analyze the stability of such systems and investigate the behavior of solutions over time [9,4].

4.2 Model Formulation

When the productive number Λ in 1 is time-dependent $\Lambda(t)$, that changes the system from autonomous to a nonautonomous model represented as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \Lambda(t) - \mu_1 x - (1 - \eta)\beta xz + qy\\ \frac{dy}{dt} = (1 - \eta)\beta xz - \mu_2 y - qy\\ \frac{dz}{dt} = (1 - \epsilon)py - \mu_3 z \end{cases}$$
(28)

which can be written as

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = f(t, u(t)), \quad where \quad u(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad and \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

with initial condition $u_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)^T$

4.3 Solution Properties

The existence of a local solution follows from the fact that f(t, u(t)) is continuous, and its derivative is also continuous. The following Lemma proves the positiveness

Lemma 3. Let $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to [\Lambda_m, \Lambda_M]$, then for any $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \ge 0, y \ge 0, z \ge 0\}$ all the solutions of the system (28 - 28) corresponding to the initial point are:

- i. Non-negative for all
- ii. Uniformly bounded.
- *Proof.* i. The proof is similar to the positiveness of the autonomous case that was introduced earlier.
- ii. Set $||X(t)||_1 = x(t) + y(t) + z(t)$, if we combine the three equations in (28 28) we get:

$$\dot{x}(t) + \dot{y}(t) + \dot{z}(t) = \Lambda(t) - \mu_1 x - (\mu_2 - (1 - \epsilon)p)y - \mu_3 z$$
(29)

assume $\mu_2 > (1-\epsilon)p$ and let $\alpha = \min \mu_1, \mu_2 - (1-\epsilon)p, \mu_3$, then we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|X(t)\|_1 \le \Lambda_M - \alpha \|X(t)\|_1 \tag{30}$$

this implies that

$$||X(t)||_1 \le \max\{x_0 + y_0 + z_0, \frac{\Lambda_M}{\alpha}\}$$
(31)

Thus, the set $B_{\epsilon} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : \epsilon \leq x(t) + y(t) + z(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda_M}{\alpha} + \epsilon\}$ is positively invariant and absorbing in \mathbb{R}^3_+ .

4.4 Stability Analysis

This section discusses the stability analysis of the systems 28; first, we show the uniform strictly contracting property and then prove that the system has a positively absorbing set. Then, we provide sufficient conditions that stabilize the system 28.

Theorem 5. The nonautonomous system (28 - 28) satisfies a uniform strictly contracting property, if $\mu_2 > (1 - \epsilon)p$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{cases} (x_1, y_1, z_1) &= (x(t, t_0, x_0^1), y(t, t_0, y_0^1), z(t, t_0, z_0^1)) \\ \text{and} (x_2, y_2, z_2) &= (x(t, t_0, x_0^2), y(t, t_0, y_0^2), z(t, t_0, z_0^2)) \end{cases}$$
(32)

and are two solutions of the system (28 - 28) by similar computational in autonomous case we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(x_1 - x_2) = -(\mu_1 + (1 - \eta)\beta z_1))(x_1 - x_2) + q(y_1 - y_2) - (1 - \eta)\beta x_2(z_1 - z_2) \\ \frac{d}{dt}(y_1 - y_2) = (1 - \eta)\beta z_1(x_1 - x_2) - (q + \mu_2)(y_1 - y_2) + (1 - \eta)\beta x(z_1 - z_2) \\ \frac{d}{dt}(z_1 - z_2) = (1 - \epsilon)p(y_1 - y_2) - \mu_3(z_1 - z_2) \end{cases}$$
(33)

Now, let $X = x_1 - x_2$, $Y = y_1 - y_2$ and $Z = z_1 - z_2$ then system 33 becomes

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -(\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta z_1)X + qY - (1-\eta)\beta x_2Z$$
(34)

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = (1-\eta)\beta z_1 X - (q+\mu_2)Y + (1-\eta)\beta x_2 Z$$
(35)

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = (1 - \epsilon)pY - \mu_3 Z \tag{36}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|X|^{2} \leq -\left[\mu_{1} + (1-\eta)\beta z_{1} - \frac{1}{2}q - \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x_{2}\right]|X|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}q|Y|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x_{2}|Z|^{2} - q(Y_{-}X_{+} + Y_{+}X_{-}) - (1-\eta)\beta x(X_{+}Z_{+} - X_{-}Z_{-})$$
(37)

Similarly, by using the same computational technique, we got

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|Y|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta z_{1}|X|^{2} - [(q+\mu_{2}) - \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta \bar{z} - \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x_{2}]|Y|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\beta x_{2}|Z|^{2} - (1-\eta)\beta z - 1(X_{+}Y_{-} + X_{-}Y_{+}) - (1-\eta)\beta x(Z_{+}Y_{-} + Z_{-}Y_{+})$$
(38)

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|Z|^2 \le -[\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)]|Z|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)p|Y|^2 - (1-\epsilon)p(Y_+Z_- + Y_-Z_+)$$
(39)

Now, by adding 17, 18 and 19 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|X|^2 + |Y|^2 + |Z|^2 \right) &\leq - \left[\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta z_1 - \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta x_2 \right] |X|^2 \\ &- \left[\mu_2 + \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta z_1 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \beta x_2 - (1 - \epsilon) p \right] |Y|^2 \\ &- \left[\mu_3 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \epsilon) p - (1 - \eta) \beta x \right] |Z|^2 - \left[q(Y_+ X_- + Y_- X_+) \right. \\ &+ (1 - \eta) \beta x (X_+ Z_+ + X_- Z_-) + (1 - \eta) (X_+ Y_- + X_- Y_+) \\ &\left. (1 - \eta) \beta x_2 (Z_+ Y_- Z_- Y_+) + (1 - \epsilon) p(Y_+ Z_- + Y_- Z_+) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(40)

Since x_2 and z_1 are bounded, assume that $\gamma_2 = max\{x_2\}$ and $\gamma_1 = max\{z_1\}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(|X|^2 + |Y|^2 + |Z|^2\right) \le -\nu_1|X|^2 - \nu_2|Y|^2 - \nu_3|Z|^2 - W$$
(41)

where

$$\begin{split} \nu_1 &= 2\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta\gamma_1 - (1-\eta)\beta\gamma_2 - q \\ \nu_2 &= \mu_2 + q - (1-\eta)\beta\gamma_1 - (1-\eta)\beta\gamma_2 - (1-\epsilon)p \\ \nu_3 &= 2\mu_3 - (1-\epsilon)p - (1-\eta)\beta\gamma_2 \\ W &= q(Y_+X_- + Y_-X_+) + (1-\eta)\beta x(X_+Z_+ + X_-Z_-) + (1-\eta)(X_+Y_- + X_-Y_+) \\ &+ (1-\eta)\beta x(Z_+Y_-Z_-Y_+) + (1-\epsilon)p(Y_+Z_- + Y_-Z_+) \end{split}$$

Let $\alpha = min\{\nu_1, \nu_2\nu_3\}$, then equation 41 becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(|X|^2 + |Y|^2 + |Z|^2\right) \le -\alpha(|X|^2 + |Y|^2 + |Z|^2) - W$$
(42)

Which has a solution

$$|X|^{2} + |Y|^{2} + |Z|^{2} \le Ke^{-\alpha(t-t_{0})}(|X_{0}|^{2} + |Y_{0}|^{2} + |Z_{0}|^{2})$$
(43)

Notice that, for ν_1 , ν_2 , ν_3 to following positive conditions must hold.

$$2\mu_1 + (1-\eta)\beta b_1 > (1-\eta)\beta \frac{\Lambda_M}{\min(\mu_1,\mu_2)} + q$$
(44)

$$2\mu_2 + q > (1 - \eta)\beta \left(b_1 + \frac{\Lambda_M}{\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)}\right) + (1 - \epsilon)p$$
 (45)

$$2\mu_3 > (1-\epsilon)p + \frac{(1-\eta)\beta\Lambda_M}{\min(\mu_1,\mu_2)}$$
(46)

Theorem 6. Suppose $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \to [\Lambda_m, \Lambda_M]$, where $0 < \Lambda_m < \Lambda - M < \infty$, is continuous, then the system (28 - 28) has a pullback attractor $\mathcal{A} = \{A(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ inside \mathbb{R}^3_+ . Moreover, if $\mu_2 > (1 - \epsilon)p$, and the conditions (44 - 46) hold, then the solution of the system is exponentially stable.

Proof. The proof follows the previous proofs.

5 Numerical Results

To perform numerical simulations, we use numerical solvers to integrate the system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) over time. In this case, we will use MATLAB to perform the simulations.

At the disease-free equilibrium point $(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu_1}, 0, 0)$, parameters have to satisfy condition (22). We will use the parameters from Table 2 that satisfy this condition and present the results as follows:





Fig. 1. The Solution of the model 1 around Diseases-free equilibrium. Table 3. List of parameters that satisfied conditions 26

parameters	Λ	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3	β	η	ϵ	p	q
values	100	5	7	2	0.7	0.2	0.2	2	6

5.1 Nonautonomous Case

Figure 3 shows the solutions of the system 28 using an appropriate set of parameters that satisfied the necessary conditions. We approximate the healthy cells' productive function by $\Lambda(t) = cos(2t + \pi/3) + 10$, which is a positive and bounded function. On the interval [0, 5] for the other parameters in the table 4.



Fig. 2. Numerical simulation of the autonomous HBV infection model at the epidemic equilibrium.





Fig. 3. Numerical simulations of the nonautonomous HBV infection model at the disease-free equilibrium (DFE).

Table 5. This set of parameters satisfy both Auto/nonautonomous conditions





Fig. 4. Numerical simulations of the nonautonomous HBV infection model (Equations 28, 28, and 28) with time-dependent production number $\Lambda(t)$.





Fig. 5. The free virus solution z(t) blowup, for the same set of parameters that is used on the nonautonomous case.

6 Conclusion

This study presents an enhanced model for Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) transmission, including autonomous and nonautonomous cases and medical treatment impacts. It validates unique solutions and assesses their positivity over time, with a detailed stability analysis at the equilibrium points. Local and global stability are explored using the Jacobian matrix, R_0 , and a Lyapunov function, respectively, linking stability conditions to R_0 . Numerical simulations demonstrate the disease-free equilibrium's stability and provide insights into HBV dynamics and intervention effectiveness. Nonautonomous systems can better represent HBV transmission dynamics by including time-dependent factors, while autonomous systems assume constant parameters. Choosing between the

two depends on the research question or application, but nonautonomous models may offer more accurate insights into real-world situations and control strategies.

References

- Abdulrashid, I., Alsammani, A.A., Han, X.: Stability analysis of a chemotherapy model with delays. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series B 24(3) (2019)
- Alsammani, A.A.M.: Dynamical Behavior of Nonautonomous and Stochastic HBV Infection Model. Ph.D. thesis, Auburn University (2020)
- Cao, J., Wang, Y., Alofi, A., Al-Mazrooei, A., Elaiw, A.: Global stability of an epidemic model with carrier state in heterogeneous networks. IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics 80(4), 1025–1048 (2015)
- Caraballo, T., Han, X.: Applied nonautonomous and random dynamical systems: applied dynamical systems. Springer (2017)
- Diekmann, O., Heesterbeek, J.A.P., Metz, J.A.: On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio r 0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. Journal of mathematical biology 28, 365–382 (1990)
- Van den Driessche, P., Watmough, J.: Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. Mathematical biosciences 180(1-2), 29–48 (2002)
- 7. Hethcote, H.W.: The mathematics of infectious diseases. SIAM review **42**(4), 599–653 (2000)
- Khatun, Z., Islam, M.S., Ghosh, U.: Mathematical modeling of hepatitis b virus infection incorporating immune responses. Sensors International 1, 100017 (2020)
- Kloeden, P.E., Rasmussen, M.: Nonautonomous dynamical systems. American Mathematical Soc. (2011)
- Korobeinikov, A., Maini, P.: A lyapunov function and global properties for sir and seir epidemiological models with nonlinear incidence. University of OXFORD (2004)
- 11. Li, M.Y., Muldowney, J.S.: Global stability for the seir model in epidemiology. Mathematical biosciences **125**(2), 155–164 (1995)
- 12. Ma, Z.: Dynamical modeling and analysis of epidemics. World Scientific (2009)
- McMahon, B.J.: The natural history of chronic hepatitis b virus infection. Hepatology 49(S5), S45–S55 (2009)
- Nowak, M., May, R.M.: Virus dynamics: mathematical principles of immunology and virology: mathematical principles of immunology and virology. Oxford University Press, UK (2000)
- Nowak, M.A., Bonhoeffer, S., Hill, A.M., Boehme, R., Thomas, H.C., McDade, H.: Viral dynamics in hepatitis b virus infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 93(9), 4398–4402 (1996)
- Perelson, A.S.: Modelling viral and immune system dynamics. Nature reviews immunology 2(1), 28–36 (2002)
- Perelson, A.S., Neumann, A.U., Markowitz, M., Leonard, J.M., Ho, D.D.: Hiv-1 dynamics in vivo: virion clearance rate, infected cell life-span, and viral generation time. Science 271(5255), 1582–1586 (1996)
- The World Health Organization hepatitis b. https://www.who.int/news-room/ fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b, accessed: 24 June 2022