SpringerBriefs in Computer Science

Series Editors

Stan Zdonik

Peng Ning

Shashi Shekhar

Jonathan Katz

Xindong Wu

Lakhmi C. Jain

David Padua

Xuemin (Sherman) Shen

Borko Furht

V.S. Subrahmanian

Martial Hebert

Katsushi Ikeuchi

Bruno Siciliano

For further volumes:

http://www.springer.com/series/10028

Energy-Efficient Area Coverage for Intruder Detection in Sensor Networks



Shibo He
Department of Control
Science and Engineering
Zhejiang University
Hangzhou, Zhejiang
People's Republic of China

Junkun Li
Department of Control
Science and Engineering
Zhejiang University
Hangzhou, Zhejiang
People's Republic of China

Jiming Chen
Department of Control
Science and Engineering
Zhejiang University
Hangzhou
People's Republic of China

Youxian Sun
Department of Control
Science and Engineering
Zhejiang University
Hangzhou
People's Republic of China

ISSN 2191-5768 ISSN 2191-5776 (electronic)
ISBN 978-3-319-04647-1 ISBN 978-3-319-04648-8 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-04648-8
Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014931490

© The Author(s) 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

Due to the rapid development of wireless communication and hardware technologies, Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are expected to be applicable in a large range of applications such as environmental surveillance (e.g., air pollution surveillance) and security monitoring (e.g., intrusion detection). One fundamental problem in WSNs is the coverage problem, which is about the placement and/or scheduling of sensors to maximize the quality of sensing in a deployment area, e.g., detect or capture interesting intruders. As technologies advance, practical sensors such as motes and smartdust may have small form factors and low cost. It is feasible to deploy a large number of these sensors for area monitoring. Carefully controlled placements of the sensors may be difficult, due to their large numbers or challenges of the geography. Instead, a loosely controlled method to place the sensors is more popular, which will result in random placements of the sensors. Moreover, unattended operation of the sensor network is often desirable or required. Therefore, there is a need to maximize the lifetime of the network before the sensors run out of energy, while the coverage performance is guaranteed. This problem is widely recognized as the *energy-efficient coverage* problem.

Traditionally, area coverage in WSNs requires that every point in the surveillance region be covered by the deployed sensor network. This definition can work for general applications where the surveillance region is of great interest, however, it is conservative and non-scalable. The coverage performance would not be impaired by a coverage hole as long as no interesting information is missed during the time of coverage hole. This also indicates that coverage performance hinges closely on the unique requirements of applications. There is thus a great potential to exploit the intrinsic characteristics of the applications to enhance both the energy-efficiency and coverage performance. An interesting and important application scenario is intruder detection, where a sensor network is deployed to detect stochastic intruders occurring at some points in the region. In such a scenario, different requirements of intruders capturing (e.g., detecting or tracing the intruders) can be leveraged to significantly enhance the performance.

In this book, we present some recent results on area coverage for intruder detection from an energy-efficient perspective. In Chap. 1, we first introduce the

vi Preface

background, elaborate on system models such as the formal definition of area coverage and sensing models, and present a range of existing literatures and applications on area coverage. Then we focus on energy-efficient intruder detection under the well-known binary sensing model in Chap. 2, which specifically is devoted to showing how to improve the energy efficiency without impairing coverage performance by exploiting the dynamic nature of stochastic intruders. In Chap. 3, we proceed to investigate the intruder trapping under binary sensing model. Under such a scenario, any moving intruder will be detected by a sensor network when its movement distance is greater than a predefined constant. The network coverage holes act as traps. Once intruders fall into these traps, it can not get out without being detected. We design efficient algorithms to rotate the duty of each sensor to prolong the network lifetime while the intruder trapping performance is ensured. To investigate the impact of different sensing models, we investigate the intruder trapping under the probabilistic sensing model in Chap. 4. We conclude the book in Chap. 5.

Last but not least, we would like to thank Dr. Yu Gu, Dr. Tian He, Dr. Ten H. Lai, and Dr. David K.Y. Yau for their suggestions and contributions. We also would like to appreciate the Series Editor Dr. Xuemin (Sherman) Shen for his work and effort on this book.

Hangzhou, China October, 2013 Shibo He Jiming Chen Junkun Li Youxian Sun

Contents

1	Intr	oduction to Area Coverage in Sensor Networks	1		
	1.1	Background	1		
	1.2	Basic Concepts	2		
		1.2.1 Sensor Deployment	3		
		1.2.2 Sensing Models	3		
		1.2.3 Coverage Classification	4		
	1.3	3 The State-of-the-Art Work on Area Coverage			
		1.3.1 Deterministic Deployment	5		
		1.3.2 Random Deployment	7		
	Refe	rences	8		
2	Ene	rgy-Efficient Capture of Stochastic Events in Sensor Networks	11		
	2.1	Introduction	11		
	2.2	Problem Setup and Performance Metrics	13		
	2.3	Event Capture by Periodic Sensor			
2.4 2.5 2.6		Energy-Aware Optimization of Synchronous Periodic Schedule	16		
		Optimization of Asynchronous Periodic Schedule			
		General Regionally Synchronous Networks			
	2.7	Coordinated Sleep Under Periodic Scheduling			
	2.8	Numerical Results	27		
		2.8.1 Illustration of Analytical Results	27		
		2.8.2 Network Simulations	29		
		2.8.3 Summary of Experiments	32		
	2.9	Conclusions	33		
	Refe	rences	34		
3	Ene	rgy-Efficient Trap Coverage in Sensor Networks	35		
	3.1	Introduction	35		
	3.2	Preliminary and Problem Formulation			
		3.2.1 Network Model	37		
		3.2.2 Trap Coverage Model	38		
		3.2.3 Minimum Weight Trap Cover Problem	39		

viii Contents

	3.3	Algor	ithm Design	40
		3.3.1	Finding the Diameter of a Coverage Hole	40
		3.3.2	Algorithm Overview	41
		3.3.3	Removal Strategy Design	42
		3.3.4	Algorithm Illustration	46
	3.4	Perfor	mance Analysis	47
		3.4.1	Theoretical Analysis	47
		3.4.2	Network Lifetime Analysis	50
		3.4.3	Simulation Performance	51
	3.5	Locali	ized Protocol	52
		3.5.1	Protocol	52
		3.5.2	Analysis	56
		3.5.3	How to Find the Largest Diameter	58
	3.6	Simul	ation Results	60
		3.6.1	Experiment Setup	60
		3.6.2	Energy Balance and Consumption	60
		3.6.3	Lifetime Performance Evaluation	64
		3.6.4	Communication Cost	64
	3.7	Concl	usions	65
	Refe	erences		65
4	Trai	oping N	Mobile Intruders in Sensor Networks	69
•		FF8 -		
•	4.1		uction	69
•		Introd	uctionninary and Problem Statement	69 72
•	4.1	Introd	uction	
•	4.1	Introd Prelim	uctionninary and Problem Statement	72
•	4.1	Introd Prelim 4.2.1	ninary and Problem Statement	72 72
•	4.1	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	ninary and Problem Statement	72 72 72
•	4.1 4.2	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement.	72 72 72 73
•	4.1 4.2	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Proba	ninary and Problem Statement Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage	72 72 72 73 74
•	4.1 4.2	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	ninary and Problem Statement Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77
•	4.1 4.2	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2	uction ninary and Problem Statement Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage	72 72 72 73 74 74 76
•	4.1 4.2	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement. bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77
•	4.1 4.2	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage.	72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph $(D,\epsilon)\text{-Trap Coverage}$ Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage. ized Protocol	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Locali 4.4.1 4.4.2	uction	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84 87
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Locali 4.4.1 4.4.2	uction hinary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage. ized Protocol Probabilistic Trap Coverage Protocol Protocol Analysis	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Locali 4.4.1 4.4.2	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement. bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage. Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage. ized Protocol Probabilistic Trap Coverage Protocol Protocol Analysis mance Evaluation Environment Setup	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84 87
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Locali 4.4.1 4.4.2 Perfor 4.5.1 4.5.2	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage ized Protocol Probabilistic Trap Coverage Protocol Protocol Analysis mance Evaluation Environment Setup Simulation Results	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84 87 90 91
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Locali 4.4.1 4.4.2 Perfor 4.5.1 4.5.2	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement. bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage. Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage. ized Protocol Probabilistic Trap Coverage Protocol Protocol Analysis mance Evaluation Environment Setup	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84 87 90 91 94
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Introd Prelim 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Probal 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 Locali 4.4.1 4.4.2 Perfor 4.5.1 4.5.2 Concl	uction ninary and Problem Statement. Network Model Probabilistic Trap Coverage Model Problem Statement bilistic Trap Coverage Detection Gain Impact of Maximum Speed Circular Graph (D, ϵ) -Trap Coverage Solving an Open Problem in Barrier Coverage ized Protocol Probabilistic Trap Coverage Protocol Protocol Analysis mance Evaluation Environment Setup Simulation Results	72 72 72 73 74 74 76 77 82 83 84 84 87 90 91