Aalborg Universitet



## A Survey of Evaluation in Music Genre Recognition

Sturm, Bob L.

Published in: Adaptive Multimedia Retrieval

Publication date: 2012

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Sturm, B. L. (2012). A Survey of Evaluation in Music Genre Recognition. Adaptive Multimedia Retrieval. http://amr.dke-research.de/amr2012/

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# A Survey of Evaluation in Music Genre Recognition

Bob L. Sturm

Audio Analysis Lab, AD:MT, Aalborg University Copenhagen A.C. Meyers Vænge 15, DK-2450 Copenhagen SV, Denmark bst@create.aau.dk

**Abstract.** Much work is focused upon music genre recognition (MGR) from audio recordings, symbolic data, and other modalities. While reviews have been written of some of this work before, no survey has been made of the approaches to evaluating approaches to MGR. This paper compiles a bibliography of work in MGR, and analyzes three aspects of evaluation: experimental designs, datasets, and figures of merit.

## 1 Introduction

Despite much work [1-467], music genre recognition (MGR) remains a compelling problem to solve by a machine. In addition to many background chapters of master's theses [39, 79, 113, 132, 153, 154, 188, 193, 239, 361, 367, 371, 418] and doctoral dissertations [9, 141, 146, 280, 284, 290, 320, 341, 342, 381, 427, 447] at least five reviews are devoted specifically to MGR [23, 85, 123, 241, 373], and 19 other reviews discuss related aspects [24, 25, 51, 71, 84, 100, 101, 152, 181, 198, 224, 233, 270, 282, 315, 398, 423, 441, 442]. Many of these reviews compile the variety of feature extraction methods and classification algorithms that have been applied to MGR, and some compare system performance using specific figures of merit (FoM) on particular benchmark datasets. There have also been no fewer than 10 campaigns to formally evaluate and compare state-of-the-art algorithms for MGR [170, 171, 293–299, 316]. However, the variety of approaches used for evaluating performance in MGR has yet to be surveyed. How does one measure the capacity of a system — living or not — to recognize and discriminate between abstract characteristics of the human phenomenon of music?

There currently exists at least eleven works [77, 78, 116, 117, 246, 320, 404, 409, 410, 433, 449] that address the difficult but clearly relevant question of how to evaluate the performance of MGR systems, not to mention how to properly create a dataset from which a machine is to learn an abstract and high-level concept such as genre [468, 469]. A few works critically address evaluation in MGR. For instance, [77, 78, 409, 410] argue for more realistic approaches than having a system apply a single label to music, and comparing against a "ground truth" — which itself can be quite wrong [404, 408]. Furthermore, [77, 78, 246, 449] argue for measuring performance in ways that take into account the natural ambiguity arising from genre.



Fig. 1. Annual numbers of publications in this survey.

In this paper, we take a different direction to answer the question we pose above. We review a significant portion of published research touching upon aspects of evaluation in MGR. We consider all work that is based upon recorded music, and/or symbolic representations of the music, e.g., MIDI, and/or other modalities, e.g., lyrics, album covers, user tags, movie scenes, etc. We do not, however, consider work addressing the more general problem of "tagging," e.g., [470]. While we consider both "genre" and "style," and make no attempt to differentiate them, we do not include "mood" or "emotion," e.g., [471]. We are herein interested only in the ways systems for MGR are evaluated, be they algorithms, humans [79, 169, 201, 258, 261, 262, 278, 290, 366, 367, 370, 381, 383, 460], pigeons [347], sparrows [439, 440], koi [58], primates [278] or rats [317]. To facilitate this survey, we created a spreadsheet summarizing every relevant paper we found in terms of its experimental design, details of the datasets it uses, and the figures of merit it reports. This resource provides a simple means to delimit sets of references sharing particular aspects of evaluation.<sup>1</sup>

Figure 1 shows how the number of the works we reference is distributed since the 1995 work of Matityaho and Furst [271] — before which we have only found the 1984 work of Porter and Neuringer [347]. Many papers allude to the 2002 article of Tzanetakis and Cook [426] as the beginning of research in automatic MGR. We find their manuscript (received Nov. 2001 and growing from [425]) is preceded by seventeen works [44,53,83,89,91,132,148,204,270,271,346,348,350, 401,443,472,473], and is contemporary with nine works [22,79,176,193,202,218, 351,385,448]. The dataset created by Tzanetakis and Cook for [426], however, is the first "benchmark" MGR dataset to have been made publicly available, and as a result continues to be the most used public dataset for MGR.

In our analysis, we do not include [474–479] as they are written in Turkish, and [472] as it is written in German, and we can read neither. We could not obtain [473, 480, 481], and so do not include them in the analysis. Finally, we neither analyze nor cite seven published works because of plagiarism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Upon request we can make available this spreadsheet and bibliography.



Fig. 2. Annual numbers of publications in this survey having an experimental component (top number), and which use any form of statistical testing for making comparisons (bottom number).

## 2 Evaluation Approaches in Music Genre Recognition

We now catalogue approaches to evaluation in MGR along three dimensions — experimental design, datasets, and figures of merit (FoM) — and present summary statistics of each. Experimental design is the method employed to answer a specific hypothesis, e.g., in the case of MGR, "System A recognizes 'Blues'." The dataset is simply the collection of data used in the experiment. A FoM quantifies the performance of a system in the experiment, e.g., accuracy. Figure 2 shows how the number of works having an experimental component is distributed over the years. Compared to Fig. 1, the remaining works are reviews, or primarily concerning evaluation.

## 2.1 Experimental Design

Table 1 describes the ten different experimental designs we find, along with their appearance in the literature. We see that the most common experimental design to test MGR systems is *Classify*. More than 91% (397)<sup>2</sup> of the referenced work having an experimental component (435) uses such a design [1–9, 11–21, 26–43, 45,47–50,52–56,58–60,62–65,68–70,72–76,79–83,86–90,92–99,102–106,108–122, 124–135,137–148,150,151,153–165,167–172,174–180,182–197,199–202,204–217, 219–223,225–232,234,235,238–240,242–261,263–269,271–281,283–287,289–301, 303,305–307,309–313,317,319–333,335–349,352–359,361–364,366–372,375–383, 385–393,395–397,399,401–403,405–407,409–412,414,416,418–422,424–433,435–440,443–445,447,448,450–465,467]. For instance, Matityaho and Furst [271] test a neural network trained to discriminate between classical and pop music. They extract features from the audio, input them to the neural network, and compare the output labels against those they assigned to the excerpts of their dataset.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Numbers in parentheses are the number of works in the references.

**Table 1.** Ten experimental designs of MGR, and the percentage of references having an experimental component (435) in which they appear

Design	Description	%
Classify	To answer the question, "How well does the system predict the genres used by music?" The system applies genre labels to music, which researcher then compares to a "ground truth"	91.3
Features	To answer the question, "At what is the system looking to identify the genres used by music?" The system ranks and/or selects features, which researcher then inspects	32.6
Generalize	To answer the question, "How well does the system identify genre in varied datasets?" <i>Classify</i> with two or more datasets having different genres, and/or various amounts of training data	15.9
Robust	To answer the question, "To what extent is the system invariant to aspects inconsequential for identifying genre?" The system classifies music that researcher modifies or transforms in ways that do not harm its genre identification by a human	6.9
Eyeball	To answer the question, "How well do the parameters make sense with respect to identifying genre?" The system derives parameters from music; researcher visually compares	6.7
Cluster	To answer the question, "How well does the system group together music using the same genres?" The system creates clusters of dataset, which researcher then inspect	6.7
Scale	To answer the question, "How well does the system identify music genre with varying numbers of genres?" <i>Classify</i> with varying numbers of genres	6.7
Retrieve	To answer the question, "How well does the system identify music using the same genres used by the query?" The system retrieves music similar to query, which researcher then inspects	4.4
Rules	To answer the question, "What are the decisions the system is mak- ing to identify genres?" The researcher inspects rules used by a sys- tem to identify genres	3.7
Compose	To answer the question, "What are the internal genre models of the system?" The system creates music in specific genres, which the researcher then inspects	0.7

Almost all of the experimental work that applies *Classify* employ a single-label approach, but at least ten employ a multi-labeling approach [31, 255, 258, 280, 367–369, 373, 415, 437]. For instance, McKay [280] looks at how genres at both root and leaf nodes are applied by his hierarchical approach to classification.

4

200, 209, 214, 218, 222, 223, 225, 226, 231, 238, 240, 249, 262, 268, 269, 285, 287, 289, 290, 302, 303, 307, 312, 313, 319, 320, 327, 335, 341, 347, 349, 361, 364, 374, 378, 426, 427, 435, 439, 440, 461]. For instance, Porter and Neuringer [347] test whether pigeons trained to discriminate between music by J. S. Bach and Stravinsky are able to discriminate between music by composers contemporary with J. S. Bach (Buxtehude and Scarlatti) and Stravinsky (Carter and Piston). Another extension of *Classify* is *Scale* [9, 13, 14, 19, 45, 48, 49, 53, 62, 68, 83, 94, 132, 144, 199, 225, 226, 238, 261, 275, 280, 303, 320, 336, 337, 339–341, 390]. For instance, Chai and Vercoe [53] test their system on various class pairs from their dataset of three folk music genres, as well as on all three classes together.

The second most-used experimental design is *Features* [1, 7, 9, 16, 17, 26, 27, 33-35, 37, 43, 48, 49, 53, 68, 69, 72, 93, 95, 102, 103, 105, 109, 115, 122, 126, 127, 139,281, 283 - 287, 289 - 291, 300 - 303, 307, 309, 320, 327, 333, 337, 340, 341, 345, 361, 362,364, 370, 371, 376, 377, 379, 385, 387, 390, 393, 396, 397, 401, 403, 406, 417, 420, 421,425-427, 430, 432, 434, 436, 438, 443, 447, 451, 454-456, 460, 462, 464, 465, 467]. We do not include in this experimental design work that performs feature selection without an interpretation of the results. For instance, Tzanetakis et al. [425] use *Classify* in comparing rhythmic features (statistics of an autocorrelation of wavelet decomposition) and timbral features (spectral centroid, rolloff, etc.). On the other hand, Yoon et al. [459] explore two different feature selection approaches using *Classify*, but do not discuss or list the selected features. Akin to *Features* is a fifth design, *Rules*, which appears in at least sixteen works [3, 5, 13, 14, 26, 42, 43, 70, 94, 98, 139, 303, 308, 340, 341, 434]. For instance, Bickerstaffe and Makalic [43] look at a decision stump that discriminates "rock" and "classical" music. As another example, Abesser et al. [5] provide the details of a decision tree algorithmically built for discriminating between 13 genres.

Another experimental design is *Cluster* [22, 33, 66, 67, 72, 107, 126, 136, 189, 196, 218, 236, 237, 242, 253, 261, 301, 302, 304, 318, 320, 334, 350, 351, 365, 415, 417, 430, 438]. For instance, Rauber and Frühwirth [350] employ the self-organizing map method with features extracted from 230 music excerpts, and analyze the contents of the resulting groupings. We find that both *Classify* and *Cluster* are used in about 2.6% (12) of the experimental work [33, 72, 126, 189, 196, 242, 253, 261, 301, 320, 430, 438]. A seventh experimental design is *Retrieve*, which appears in at least 19 works [10, 46, 57, 61, 86, 118, 119, 121, 203, 222, 232, 262, 320, 348, 384, 388, 446, 447, 466]. For instance, Kuo and Shan [203] incorporate style recognition into their music retrieval system.

An eighth experimental design is *Eyeball*, which appears in at least 29 works [26, 29, 44, 83, 91, 105, 146, 149, 155, 166, 173, 189, 218, 242, 259, 261, 288, 300, 302, 304, 310, 314, 320, 358, 360, 402, 403, 413, 463]. For instance, Dannenberg et al. [83] visually inspect class separability for a few pairs of features to explore the reason for a discrepancy in performance in identifying style between an expert approach and machine learning approach. Bigerelle and Iost [44] visually compare means of fractal dimensions computed from several musical excerpts of various genres.

A ninth experimental design is *Robust* [3, 10, 21, 27, 38, 48, 49, 52, 55, 58, 75, 79, 131, 142, 200, 235, 247, 267, 268, 290, 313, 320, 333, 347, 387, 388, 401, 409, 428, 439]. For instance, Porter and Neuringer [347] test whether pigeons that have been taught to discriminate between music by J. S. Bach and Hindemith demonstrate their ability regardless of excerpt content and loudness. Soltau et al. [401] investigate the variability of their system using *Classify* by using features computed from excerpts of several durations. Burred and Lerch [48] consider the effect of noise and filtering in feature extraction using *Classify*.

The final experimental design we consider is *Compose*, which appears in only three works [80, 82, 409]. For instance, Cruz and Vidal [80, 82] invert their music style identification system to compose music in the styles it has learned, which the authors then qualitatively evaluate. While Cruz and Vidal do not directly use this as a means to assess the extent to which their system has learned a style, [409] shows by a formal listening test that excerpts composed to be genre-representative by two high-accuracy MGR systems embody little in common with what is commonly held to be characteristic of those genres.

The bias that results from training and testing MGR systems using music data from the same artist and/or excerpted from the same album are well-documented, e.g., [117–119,319]. Among the 435 works that include experimental work, we find that only 8.3% (36) explicitly mention the use of an artist or album filter [30,57,74–76,117–119,153,174,187,194,209,222,225,239,254,262,266,319, 320,349,353,355,367–369,376,378,381,383,384,401,418,447,461], or attempt to apply one to datasets without known artists [382]. The earliest article applying an artist filter is from 1998 by Soltau et al. [401].

We find that at least twelve works use human evaluation in the analysis of the experiment [22, 46, 80, 82, 83, 260, 320, 347, 409, 410, 434, 447]. For instance, Dannenberg et al. [83] discuss the performance of their system in a live-performance context. Cruz and Vidal [80] rate the quality of the melodies composed by their style recognition system. And Pampalk [320] uses a formal listening test to show genre labels are strongly correlated with perceptual similarity.

Figure 1 shows the number of experimental works employing formal statistics over each year. Only 16.5% (72) of the experimental work we survey contains formal statistical testing [9,15,25,27,37,44,46,58,68,75,79,114–117,121,122,124, 131,132,145,146,169,174,201,221,252,258,272,273,275,277,278,283–285,289–291,295–299,304,308–310,314,317,320,333,337,341,349,357,377,384,395,397, 409–412,422,434,439,440,444,447,457,466]. For instance, Flexer [116] provides excellent argumentation for the need for statistical testing in music information research, and provides a demonstration of its use in comparing the performance between two MGR systems. We find half of the work using living subjects (11 of 22) employ formal statistical tests [58,79,131,169,201,258,278,290,317,439,440]. For instance, Chase [58] uses a one-tailed paired t-test of percentages of non-responses of koi fish to test the null hypothesis that the koi are unable to discriminate between music that uses Blues or Classical genres.

Nearly half (213) of the experimental work we survey employs only one experimental design from Table 1. For instance, in several formal MGR chal-

lenges [170, 171, 293–299], performance is evaluated only by *Classify*. We find about 32% (142) of the work we survey employ two experimental designs. For instance, Golub [132] uses *Classify* to test his MGR system for a three-genre problem, and then uses *Scale* to observe how its behavior changes when he augments the dataset with four other genres. More than 18% (80) employ more than two experimental designs. For instance, the only two experimental designs not used by Pampalk [320] are *Rules* and *Compose*.

#### 2.2 Datasets

We find that of the works we survey having experimental components (435) over 58% (253) use private data [1-5, 7-11, 13-16, 18, 19, 22, 26, 28-31, 34, 40, 43-49, 53, 56-58, 62-70, 72, 73, 79-83, 87-89, 91-99, 104, 105, 109-111, 118-120, 125-109, 100-100, 100178-180, 184-188, 190, 191, 193, 196, 197, 199-205, 207, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 217-221, 225, 226, 209-211, 209-210, 209-200, 200-200, 209-200,300-305, 308, 312, 313, 317-320, 327-331, 334-342, 344, 346-348, 350, 358, 360, 361,452, 453, 455, 458, 459, 462-465, 467]. Of those works that use private data, we find over 75% (191) exclusively use private data. Some work provides a detailed description of the composition of the data such that one can recreate it. For instance, Tsatsishvili [418] lists the 210 names of the albums, artists, and songs in his dataset. Schedl et al. [374] provide a URL for obtaining the list of the artists in their dataset, but the resource no longer exists. Mace et al. [258] also provide a list, but since they only list the song and artist name uncertainty arises, e.g., which recording of "The Unanswered Question" by Ives do they use? It is impossible to recreate the dataset used in [48,49] since they only state that they assemble 850 audio examples in 17 different genres. We find that about 51% (224) of the works we survey having experimental components use datasets that are publicly available. Of these, over 79% (177) only use public data.

Table 2 lists 18 publicly available datasets used in the work we survey. GTZAN appears in 23% (100) of the work having an experimental compo-235, 238-240, 243, 244, 249, 263-265, 269, 276, 303, 306, 321-326, 352, 356, 357, 361,450, 451, 454, 456, 457, 461, 466]. This dataset has only recently been analyzed and shown to have faults [408]. The second most-used publicly available dataset is that created for the 2004 Audio Description Contest of ISMIR [170], which appears in 76 works [15,32,45,50,75,94,114,116,117,161,162,167,170,174,189,208, 327, 332, 342, 343, 345, 357, 359, 366, 367, 370, 377, 379, 381, 382, 384, 395-397, 402,403, 412, 417, 424, 436, 450, 457, 460, 461]. Datasets derived from Magnatune, e.g., Magnatagatune [485] but excepting ISMIR2004 [170], appear in at least 5.7% 146, 225, 269, 290, 293, 319, 320, 342, 349, 353, 355, 367 - 369, 414, 446, 447].

**Table 2.** Datasets used in MGR, the type of data they contain, the references in which they are used, and the percentage of experimental work (435) that use them. All datasets listed after *Private* are public.

Dataset	Description	%
Private	Constructed for research but not made available; used in: see text	58.2
GTZAN	Audio; http://marsyas.info/download/data_sets; used in: see text	23.0
ISMIR2004	Audio; http://ismir2004.ismir.net/genre_contest; used in: see text	17.4
Latin [394]	Features; http://www.ppgia.pucpr.br/~silla/lmd/; used in [74-76,97,102,242,254,267,268,295-299,377,391-397]	5.1
Ballroom	Audio; http://mtg.upf.edu/ismir2004/contest/tempoContest/; used in [115,139-141,163,164,333,345,378,381,382,384,419-421]	3.4
Homburg [165]	Audio; http://www-ai.cs.uni-dortmund.de/audio.html; used in [20,21,46,108,165,302,303,307,345,353,355,378,381,382,384]	3.4
Bodhidharma	Symbolic; http://jmir.sourceforge.net/Codaich.html; used in [52,86,128,192,279-281,284,285,293,399]	2.5
USPOP2002 [482]	Audio; http://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/projects/musicsim/ uspop2002.html; used in [38,42,239,262,290,293,349,354]	1.8
1517-artists	Audio; http://www.seyerlehner.info; used in [378,381-384]	1.1
RWC [483]	Audio; http://staff.aist.go.jp/m.goto/RWC-MDB/; used in [106,107,153,353]	0.9
SOMeJB	Features; http://www.ifs.tuwien.ac.at/~andi/somejb/; used in [177,236,237,351]	0.9
SLAC	Audio & symbols; http://jmir.sourceforge.net/Codaich.html; used in [283-286]	0.9
SALAMI [400]	Features; http://ddmal.music.mcgill.ca/research/salami; used in [309,310,400]	0.7
Unique	Features; http://www.seyerlehner.info; used in [381,382,384]	0.7
Million Song [484]	Features; http://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/millionsong/; used in [90,168,376]	0.7
ISMIS2011	Features; http://tunedit.org/challenge/music-retrieval; used in [171,194,375]	0.4

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Over } 79\% \ (344) \ \text{of the experimental work we survey approaches MGR using audio data or features of audio } [1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 15-22, 27-32, 35-39, 42, 44-50, 52, 55-58, 60-64, 68, 73-76, 79, 88-93, 96, 97, 99, 102-119, 121, 122, 124, 127, 129-141, 143-151, 153-155, 161-165, 167-179, 182, 183, 186-191, 193-195, 200, 201, 204-217, \end{array}$ 



Fig. 3. The number of experiments in this survey employing datasets with specific numbers of labels.

 $\begin{aligned} &222, &223, &225-&228, &230-&232, &234-&240, &244, &246-&250, &252, &254-&256, &258, &260-&269, &271, \\ &273-&277, &283, &285-&307, &309-&313, &317-&320, &327-&334, &341-&345, &347-&353, &355-&362, &364-\\ &372, &375, &376, &378-&384, &386-&397, &401-&403, &405-&407, &409-&412, &414, &416-&422, &424-&433, \\ &436-&440, &443-&448, &450-&457, &459-&467 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ The use of symbolic data, e.g., MIDI and humdrum, appears in over 18\% (81) of these references [1-5, 11, 13-&15, &26, &34, \\ &43, &52, &53, &65-&67, &69, &70, &72, &80-&83, &86, &87, &94, &95, &120, &128, &156-&160, &166, &180, &184, &185, \\ &192, &196, &197, &199, &202, &203, &218-&221, &229, &240, &243, &245, &251, &253, &257, &259, &261, &279-\\ &281, &283-&286, &293, &335-&341, &346, &363, &385, &399, &413, &428, &434, &435 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ We find about } 6\% (27) \text{ of the work having an experimental component approaches MGR using other kinds of data, e.g., lyrics, co-occurrances on the WWW, album covers, and so on [25, &40, &62, &98, &125, &142, &272-&277, &283-&286, &308, &309, &354, &374, &381, &415, &438, &448, \\ &458, &464, &465 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$ 

Figure 3 shows the number of experiments in the evaluative work we survey using datasets with specific numbers of labels. We can clearly see the influence of the GTZAN (10 genre labels) and ISMIR2004 (6 genre labels) datasets. We find 16 works using datasets having 25 or more labels [25,30,31,40,106,107,153,199, 228,232,280,309,353,376,434,437], and only two using datasets having more than 100 labels [40,437]. Over 72% (316) of the papers with an experimental component uses only a single dataset, at least 20% (90) use two datasets, and 6.2% (27) use more than two datasets. Three references provide no details about the dataset used [54,145,331].

We find a majority of the works with experimental components involves datasets that consist primarily of Western music. For instance, the label "classical" is part of GTZAN, ISMIR2004, and RWC, and exists in the private datasets used in [22, 125, 144, 146, 173, 209, 225, 246, 266, 313, 341, 342, 344, 360, 363, 387]. The label "blues" is in GTZAN, ISMIR2004, and Homburg, and exists in the private datasets used by [4, 5, 19, 22, 125, 313, 342, 344, 358, 387]. And the label "jazz" is in GTZAN, ISMIR2004, Homburg, and RWC, and exists in the private datasets used by [19, 22, 57, 125, 144, 146, 173, 209, 225, 229, 266, 303, 313, 341, 342, 344, 358, 360, 387, 389]. However, we find that only about 10% (48) of the private

datasets used include music from around the world, such as Asia, Africa, and South America [3-5,11,19,22,57,96-98,125,133-135,137,163,164,173,179,187,202,205,209,225,229,242,243,247-250,255,257,266,303,308,312,313,342,344,358,360,363,385,389,430,462,463]. Finally, we find only 5% (22) of the work with experimental components perform human validation of the "ground truth" labels in the public and/or private datasets used <math>[8,9,34,45,79,246,247,287,289-291,301,346,366,367,370,383,387,394,401,408,434]. For instance, Soltau et al. [401] validate the labels in their private four-class dataset with a human listening experiment.

### 2.3 Figures of Merit (FoMs)

Table 3 defines several FoMs we find in the work we surveyed. The FoMs most often reported in the work we survey here are those that accompany the *Classify* experimental design: *Mean accuracy, Recall, Precision, F-measure, Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC)*, and the *Contingency table*. We find *Mean accuracy* in over 82% (385) of the references. For instance, Fu et al. [123] compare the reported mean accuracies of 16 MGR algorithms using *Classify* in *GTZAN*. This computation can also involve taking into consideration "partial credit" for labelings in the correct hierarchical branch, e.g., [293,294,296]. When it appears, *Mean accuracy* is accompanied by a standard deviation (SD), or standard error of the mean (SEM), about 25% (96) of the time. For instance, [116] uses these statistics to test the null hypothesis that the *Mean accuracy* of two MGR systems are not significantly different.

We find *Recall* in over 25% (119) of the references. For instance, this FoM appears in the MIREX evaluations of MGR algorithms [295, 297–299]. When it appears, *Recall* is accompanied by the standard deviation or standard error of the mean in about 10% (12) of the references. *Precision* appears in over 10% (47) of the references. Together, *Mean accuracy, Recall* and *Precision* appear in over 6% (31) of the work we survey. The *F-measure* can be computed in "Micro form" and "Macro form" [437], but we make no distinction here. This FoM appears in at least 17 works. For instance, Burred and Peeters [50] cite the *F-measure* of their MGR system, as well as its *Mean accuracy, Recall*, and *Precision*. We find the *ROC* in only 7 references [105, 121, 245, 349, 432, 440, 466]. For instance, Watanabe and Sato [440] plot the ROC of their sparrows trained to discriminate Baroque and Modern music.

We find a *Contingency table* reported in over 32% (150) of the work we survey. For instance, Soltau et al. [401] show their MGR system often confuses the music in their private dataset having the labels "rock" or "pop," and rarely confuses music labeled "classic" with music labeled "techno" or "rock." Of those works that present contingency tables, only 52% (78) of them are accompanied by some musical reflection of the results. For instance, in the analysis of their *Contingency table*, Dixon et al. [93] reason that the high number of confusions produced between three of eight classes come from the fact that they are indistinguishable using meter- and tempo-sensitive features employed in their system. When they expand their feature set, the new *Contingency table* confirms this hypothesis.

**Table 3.** Figures of merit (FoMs) of MGR, their description, and the percentage of work (467) that cite them

FoM	Description	%
Mean accuracy	Proportion of the number of correct trials to the total number of trials of the system	82
Contingency table	Counts of labeling outcomes of the system for each labeled input	32
Recall	Proportion of the number of correct trials of the system to the total number of a specific input label	25
Confusions	$\big  {\rm Discussion}$ of confusions of the system in general or with specifics	24
Precision	Proportion of the number of correct trials of the system to the total number of a specific output label	10
<i>F-measure</i>	Twice the product of $Recall$ and $Precision$ divided by their sum	4
Composition	Observations of the composition of clusters created by the system, and distances within and between	4
Precision@k	Proportion of the number of correct items of a specific label in the $k$ items retrieved by the system	3
ROC	Precision vs. Recall for several systems, parameters, etc.	1

General discussions about observed confusions without reference to a *Contingency table* are reported in over 8% (39) of the references. For instance, Matityaho and Furst [271] note that their MGR system trained to discriminate between music labeled "classic" and "pop" classifies as "classic" a signal of complete silence and a "complex tone," and as "pop" a signal of white noise. Using *Eyeball*, Bigerelle and Iost [44] argue that "Music classification becomes very logical [by comparing the fractal dimension]. ... Progressive music has the same fractal dimension as the electronic one: we could explain this fact by the abundance of synthesizers used in progressive music." Only 15 works mention confusions in detail, e.g., a specific piece of misclassified music [3,68,98,210,228,301,342,366, 367,370, 407–410, 412]. For instance, [410] notices that one MGR system persistently misclassifies as Hip hop "Kung Fu Fighting" by Carl Douglas, and as Classical "Why?" by Bronski Beat.

We find over 44% (175) of the 397 works employing *Classify* report only one FoM and over 53% (214) report more than one FoM. Only 21 present four or more FoM [6, 34, 50, 56, 93, 156, 188, 200, 208, 238–240, 333, 353, 355, 367, 368, 410, 412, 418, 433]. For instance, Lidy [239] reports mean accuracies, recalls, precisions, *F*-measures, and contingency tables of the systems he tests.

The FoM most often reported in the case of the *Retrieve* experimental design is *Precision@k*. This FoM is reported in 12 of the 19 works using *Retrieve* [10,57,61,86,203,222,262,320,384,446,447,466]; and [388] reports "normal-

ized precision" and "normalized recall," which takes into account the ranking of retrieved elements. Of the references using *Retrieval*, the *ROC* is reported in [121,466]. For instance, Fu et al. [121] plot the ROC of four systems to show their retrieval approach provides statistically significant improvement.

The FoMs most often reported in the case of *Cluster* experimental design are based on observations of the cluster compositions. The contents of clusters are analyzed in over 62% (18) of the *Clustering* experiments [33,107,136,196,236,237, 253,301,302,304,318,320,334,350,351,365,415,430]. For instance, Rauber and Frühwirth [350] show that one cluster created by the self-organizing map method consists mainly of music labeled "classical." Comparisons of cluster distances, e.g., that within classes to that between classes, are reported in five works [22, 72, 302, 318, 334]. For instance, Aucouturier and Pachet [22] compare average distances between neighbors of the same class to those between neighbors of different classes. Visualizations of the clusters, e.g., using self-organizing maps, are presented in seven works [189, 218, 241, 242, 350, 351, 473]. Both [233, 417] report the "purity" of a collection of clusters, which measures the mean class homogeneity of the clusters.

Human-weighted ratings of classification and/or clustering results are reported in at least six works [22,154,203,246,366,370]. Other FoMs include, "staying time" [278,439] (measuring the time during which the subject stayed in the presence of musical stimuli for particular classes), "stability measure" [161,162] (essentially inter-intra class distance), "Hamming loss" [367–369] (describing instance-label pair misclassifications in a multilabel scenario), and "persistent misclassifications" [65,342,409,410] (noting instances that a system always mislabels).

## 3 Conclusion

While genre is an inevitable condition of human communication in general [469], a way to automatically identify it in music remains elusive. In this paper, we have attempted to present an exhaustive survey of evaluation in MGR, and to organize it along three dimensions: experimental design, datasets, and figures of merit. By the sheer size of this task, it is certain that we have missed some relevant work, misunderstood aspects of evaluation in some of the works we cite, and committed errors in the bibliography. We will thus continue to maintain this bibliography, and expand it when new work is published.

## Acknowledgments

For Pepi [58]. Thank you to Carla Sturm for her bibliographic entry provess. This work is supported in part by: Independent Postdoc Grant 11-105218 from Det Frie Forskningsråd; and the Danish Council for Strategic Research of the Danish Agency for Science Technology and Innovation in project CoSound, case no. 11-115328.

## **Bibliographic Notes**

The following abbreviations appear in the bibliography. *CMMR*: Computer Music Modeling and Retrieval; *DAFx*: Digital Audio Effects; *EUSIPCO*: European Signal Processing Conf.; *ICALIP*: IEEE Int. Conf. on Audio, Language and Image Processing; *ICASSP*: IEEE Int. Conf. on Audio, Speech and Signal Processing; *ICMC*: Int. Computer Music Conf.; *ICME*: IEEE Int. Conf. Multimedia Expo; *ICMLA*: Int. Conf. on Machine Learning and Applications; *ICPR*: Int. Conf. on Pattern Recognition; *ISMIR*: Int. Society for Music Information Retrieval; *SMC*: Sound and Music Computing Conf.

## References

- Abeßer, J., Dittmar, C., Großmann, H.: Automatic genre and artist classification by analyzing improvised solo parts from musical recordings. In: Proc. Audio Mostly Conf., Piteå, Sweden (2008) 127–131
- Abeßer, J., Lukashevich, H.M., Dittmar, C., Schuller, G.: Genre classification using bass-related high-level features and playing styles. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2009) 453–458
- Abeßer, J., Lukashevich, H., Dittmar, C., Bräuer, P., Karuse, F.: Rulebased classification of musical genres from a global cultural background. In: Proc. CMMR. (2010) 317–336
- Abeßer, J., Bräuer, P., Lukashevich, H.M., Schuller, G.: Bass playing style detection based on high-level features and pattern similarity. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 93–98
- Abeßer, J., Lukashevich, H., Bräuer, P.: Classification of music genres based on repetitive basslines. J. New Music Research 41(3) (2012) 239–257
- Ahonen, T.E.: Compressing lists for audio classification. In: Proc. Int. Workshop Machine Learning and Music. MML '10, New York, NY, USA, ACM (2010) 45–48
- Ahrendt, P., Meng, A., Larsen, J.: Decision time horizon for music genre classification using short-time features. In: Proc. EUSIPCO. (2004)
- Ahrendt, P., Larsen, J., Goutte, C.: Co-occurrence models in music genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE Workshop Machine Learning Signal Process. (Sep. 2005)
- Ahrendt, P.: Music genre classification systems A computational approach. PhD thesis, Technical University of Denmark (2006)
- Almoosa, N., Bae, S.H., Juang, B.H.: Feature extraction by incremental parsing for music indexing. In: Proc. ICASSP. (Mar. 2010) 2410 –2413
- Anan, Y., Hatano, K., Bannai, H., Takeda, M.: Music genre classification using similarity functions. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011) 693–698
- Andén, J., Mallat, S.: Multiscale scattering for audio classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011) 657–662
- 13. Anglade, A., Ramirez, R., Dixon, S.: Genre classification using harmony rules induced from automatic chord transcriptions. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2009)

- 14. Anglade, A., Ramirez, R., Dixon, S.: First-order logic classification models of musical genres based on harmony. In: Proc. SMC. (2009)
- Anglade, A., Benetos, E., Mauch, M., Dixon, S.: Improving music genre classification using automatically induced harmony rules. J. New Music Research 39(4) (2010) 349–361
- Annesi, P., Basili, R., Gitto, R., Moschitti, A., Petitti, R.: Audio feature engineering for automatic music genre classification. In: Proc. Recherche d'Information Assistée par Ordinateur, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (2007) 702–711
- Arabi, A.F., Lu, G.: Enhanced polyphonic music genre classification using high level features. In: IEEE Int. Conf. Signal and Image Process. App. (2009)
- 18. Arenas, J., Larsen, J., Hansen, L., Meng, A.: Optimal filtering of dynamics in short-time features for music organization. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006)
- Ariyaratne, H., Zhang, D.: A novel automatic hierachical approach to music genre classification. In: Proc. ICME. (July 2012) 564 –569
- Aryafar, K., Shokoufandeh, A.: Music genre classification using explicit semantic analysis. In: Proc. ACM MIRUM Workshop, Scottsdale, AZ, USA (Nov. 2011) 33–38
- Aryafar, K., Jafarpour, S., Shokoufandeh, A.: Music genre classification using sparsity-eager support vector machines. Technical report, Drexel University (2012)
- 22. Aucouturier, J.J., Pachet, F.: Music similarity measures: What's the use? In: Proc. ISMIR, Paris, France (Oct. 2002)
- Aucouturier, J.J., Pachet, F.: Representing music genre: A state of the art. J. New Music Research 32(1) (2003) 83–93
- Aucouturier, J.J., Pampalk, E.: Introduction from genres to tags: A little epistemology of music information retrieval research. J. New Music Research 37(2) (2008) 87–92
- 25. Aucouturier, J.J.: Sounds like teen spirit: Computational insights into the grounding of everyday musical terms. In Minett, J., Wang, W., eds.: Language, Evolution and the Brain: Frontiers in Linguistic Series. Academia Sinica Press (2009)
- Backer, E., van Kranenburg, P.: On musical stylometry a pattern recognition approach. Patt. Recgn. Lett. 26 (2005) 299–309
- Bağci, U., Erzin, E.: Automatic classification of musical genres using intergenre similarity. IEEE Signal Proc. Letters 14(8) (Aug. 2007) 521–524
- Balkema, W.: Variable-size gaussian mixture models for music similarity measures. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2007) 491–494
- Balkema, W., van der Heijden, F.: Music playlist generation by assimilating GMMs into SOMs. Pattern Recog. Lett. **31**(1) (2010) 1396–1402
- Barbedo, J.G.A., Lopes, A.: Automatic genre classification of musical signals. EURASIP J. Adv. Sig. Process. (2007)
- Barbedo, Jayme Garcia Arnal; Lopes, A.: Automatic musical genre classification using a flexible approach. J. Audio Eng. Soc 56(7/8) (2008) 560–568

- 32. Barbieri, G., Esposti, M.D., Pachet, F., Roy, P.: Is there a relation between the syntax and the fitness of an audio feature? In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010)
- Barreira, L., Cavaco, S., da Silva, J.: Unsupervised music genre classification with a model-based approach. In: Proc. Portugese Conf. Progress in Artificial Intell. (2011) 268–281
- Basili, R., Serafini, A., Stellato, A.: Classification of musical genre: A machine learning approach. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2004)
- 35. Behun, K.: Image features in music style recognition. In: Proc. Central European Seminar on Computer Graphics. (2012)
- 36. Benetos, E., Kotropoulos, C.: A tensor-based approach for automatic music genre classification. In: Proc. EUSIPCO, Lausanne, Switzerland (2008)
- Benetos, E., Kotropoulos, C.: Non-negative tensor factorization applied to music genre classification. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process. 18(8) (Nov. 2010) 1955–1967
- Bergstra, J., Casagrande, N., Erhan, D., Eck, D., Kégl, B.: Aggregate features and AdaBoost for music classification. Machine Learning 65(2-3) (June 2006) 473–484
- Bergstra, J.: Algorithms for classifying recorded music by genre. Master's thesis, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada (Aug. 2006)
- Bergstra, J., Lacoste, A., Eck, D.: Predicting genre labels for artist using FreeDB. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006) 85–88
- 41. Bergstra, J., Mandel, M., Eck, D.: Scalable genre and tag prediction with spectral covariance. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010)
- Bertin-Mahieux, T., Weiss, R.J., Ellis, D.P.W.: Clustering beat-chroma patterns in a large music database. In: Proc. ISMIR, Utrecht, Netherlands (Aug. 2010)
- 43. Bickerstaffe, A., Makalic, E.: MML classification of music genres. In: Proc. Australian Conf. Artificial Intell., Perth, Australia (Dec. 2003) 1063–1071
- Bigerelle, M., Iost, A.: Fractal dimension and classification of music. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 11(14) (2000) 2179 – 2192
- Blume, H., Haller, M., Botteck, M., Theimer, W.: Perceptual feature based music classification – A DSP perspective for a new type of application. In: Int. Conf. Embedded Comp. Sys. (2008)
- Bogdanov, D., Serra, J., Wack, N., Herrera, P., Serra, X.: Unifying low-level and high-level music similarity measures. IEEE Trans. Multimedia 13(4) (Aug. 2011) 687–701
- Brecheisen, S., Kriegel, H.P., Kunath, P., Pryakhin, A.: Hierarchical genre classification for large music collections. In: Proc. ICME. (July 2006) 1385– 1388
- Burred, J., Lerch, A.: A hierarchical approach to automatic musical genre classification. In: Proc. DAFx, London, United Kingdom (Sept. 2003)
- Burred, J.J., Lerch, A.: Hierarchical automatic audio signal classification. J. Audio Engineering Society 52(7) (2004) 724–739
- 50. Burred, J.J., Peeters, G.: An adaptive system for music classification and tagging. In: Int. Workshop Learning Semantics of Audio Signals. (2009)

- Casey, M., Veltkamp, R., Goto, M., Leman, M., Rhodes, C., Slaney, M.: Content-based music information retrieval: Current directions and future challenges. Proc. IEEE 96(4) (Apr. 2008) 668–696
- Cataltepe, Z., Yaslan, Y., Sonmez, A.: Music genre classification using MIDI and audio features. EURASIP J. Adv. Sig. Process. 2007 (2007)
- Chai, W., Vercoe, B.: Folk music classification using hidden Markov models. In: Int. Conf. Artificial Intell. (2001)
- Chang, L., Yu, X., Wan, W., Yao, J.: Research on fast music classification based on SVM in compressed domain. In: Proc. ICALIP. (July 2008) 638 -642
- Chang, K., Jang, J.S.R., Iliopoulos, C.S.: Music genre classification via compressive sampling. In: Proc. ISMIR, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Aug. 2010) 387–392
- Charami, M., Halloush, R., Tsekeridou, S.: Performance evaluation of TreeQ and LVQ classifiers for music information retrieval. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Art. Intell. Apps. Innov. (2007) 331–338
- 57. Charbuillet, C., Tardieu, D., Peeters, G.: GMM supervector for content based music similarity. In: DAFx, Paris, France (Sept. 2011)
- Chase, A.: Music discriminations by carp "Cyprinus carpio". Learning & Behavior 29 (2001) 336–353
- Chathuranga, D., Jayaratne, L.: Musical genre classification using ensemble of classifiers. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Computational Intelligence, Modelling and Simulation. (Sep. 2012) 237–242
- Chen, K., Gao, S., Zhu, Y., Sun, Q.: Music genres classification using text categorization method. In: Proc. IEEE Workshop Multimedia Signal Process. (Oct. 2006) 221–224
- Chen, G., Wang, T., Herrera, P.: Relevance feedback in an adaptive space with one-class SVM for content-based music retrieval. In: Proc. ICALIP. (July 2008) 1153–1158
- Chen, L., Wright, P., Nejdl, W.: Improving music genre classification using collaborative tagging data. In: Int. Conf. Web Search Data Mining, Barcelona, Spain (Feb. 2009)
- Chen, S.H., Chen, S.H.: Content-based music genre classification using timbral feature vectors and support vector machine. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Interaction Sciences. (Nov. 2009) 1095–1101
- Chen, S.H., Chen, S.H., Guido, R.C.: Music genre classification algorithm based on dynamic frame analysis and support vector machine. In: IEEE Int. Symp. Multimedia. (2010)
- Chew, E., Volk, A., Lee, C.Y.: Dance music classification using inner metric analysis. In Golden, B., Raghavan, S., Wasil, E., eds.: The Next Wave in Computing, Optimization, and Decision Technologies. Proc. INFORMS Computer Soc. Conf. Kluwer (2005) 355–370
- Cilibrasi, R., Vitányi, P., de Wolf, R.: Algorithmic clustering of music based on string compression. Computer Music J. 28(4) (Winter 2004) 49–67
- Cilibrasi, R., Vitanyi, P.: Clustering by compression. IEEE Trans. Info. Theory 51(4) (Apr. 2005) 1523–1545

- 68. Collins, N.: Influence in early electronic dance music: An audio content analysis investigation. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2012)
- Conklin, D.: Melodic analysis with segment classes. Machine Learning 65 (2006) 349–360
- Conklin, D.: Melody classification using patterns. In: Proc. Int. Workshop Machine Learning and Music. (2009) 37–41
- Cornelis, O., Lesaffre, M., Moelants, D., Leman, M.: Access to ethnic music: Advances and perspectives in content-based music information retrieval. Signal Process. 90(4) (Apr. 2010) 1008–1031
- 72. Correa, D.C., Saito, J.H., da F Costa, L.: Musical genres: beating to the rhythms of different drums. New J. Physics **12**(5) (2010) 053030
- Costa, C.H.L., Jr., J.D.V., Koerich, A.L.: Automatic classification of audio data. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man, Cybernetics. (2004) 562–567
- Costa, Y.M.G., Oliveira, L.S., Koerich, A.L., Gouyon, F.: Music genre recognition using spectrograms. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Systems, Signals and Image Process. (2011)
- Costa, Y., Oliveira, L., Koerich, A., Gouyon, F., Martins, J.: Music genre classification using lbp textural features. Signal Process. 92(11) (Nov. 2012) 2723–2737
- Costa, Y.M.G., Oliveira, L.S., Koerich, A.L., Gouyon, F.: Comparing textural features for music genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE World Cong. Comp. Intell. (June 2012)
- 77. Craft, A., Wiggins, G.A., Crawform, T.: How many beans make five? The consensus problem in music-genre classification and a new evaluation method for single-genre categorisation systems. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2007)
- Craft, A.: The role of culture in the music genre classification task: human behaviour and its effect on methodology and evaluation. Technical report, Queen Mary University of London (Nov. 2007)
- 79. Crump, M.: A principal components approach to the perception of musical style. Master's thesis, University of Lethbridge (2002)
- Cruz, P., Vidal-Ruiz, E.: Modeling musical style using grammatical inference techniques: a tool for classifying and generating melodies. In: Proc. WEDELMUSIC. (Sep. 2003) 77–84
- Cruz, P.P., Vidal-Ruiz, E., C., P.J.: Musical style identification using grammatical inference: The encoding problem. In: Proc. Ibero-American Cong. Patt. Recog., Havana, Cuba (Nov. 2003) 375–382
- Cruz, P.P., Vidal, E.: Two grammatical inference applications in music processing. Applied Artificial Intell. 22(1/2) (2008) 53–76
- 83. Dannenberg, R.B., Thom, B., Watson, D.: A machine learning approach to musical style recognition. In: Proc. ICMC. (1997) 344–347
- 84. Dannenberg, R., Foote, J., Tzanetakis, G., Weare, C.: Panel: New directions in music information retrieval. In: Proc. ICMC. (2001)
- Dannenberg, R.B.: Style in music. In Argamon, S., Burns, K., Dubnov, S., eds.: The Structure of Style. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2010) 45–57
- DeCoro, C., Barutcuoglu, S., Fiebrink, R.: Bayesian aggregation for hierarchical genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2007)

- 87. Dehghani, M., Lovett, A.M.: Efficient genre classification using qualitative representations. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006) 353–354
- Dellandrea, E., Harb, H., Chen, L.: Zipf, neural networks and SVM for musical genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Signal Process. Info. Tech. (Dec. 2005) 57–62
- 89. Deshpande, H., Singh, R., Nam, U.: Classification of music signals in the visual domain. In: Proc. DAFx, Limerick, Ireland (Dec. 2001)
- Dieleman, S., Brakel, P., Schrauwen, B.: Audio-based music classification with a pretrained convolutional network. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011)
- 91. Diodati, P., Piazza, S.: Different amplitude and time distribution of the sound of light and classical music. The European Physical Journal B -Condensed Matter and Complex Systems 17 (2000) 143–145
- Dixon, S., Pampalk, E., Widmer, G.: Classification of dance music by periodicity patterns. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2003)
- Dixon, S., Gouyon, F., Widmer, G.: Towards characterisation of music via rhythmic patterns. In: Proc. ISMIR, Barcelona, Spain (2004) 509–517
- Dixon, S., Mauch, M., Anglade, A.: Probabilistic and logic-based modelling of harmony. In: Proc. CMMR. (2010)
- Dor, O., Reich, Y.: An evaluation of musical score characteristics for automatic classification of composers. Computer Music J. 35(3) (Fall 2011) 86–97
- Doraisamy, S., Golzari, S., Norowi, N.M., Sulaiman, M.N.B., Udzir, N.I.: A study on feature selection and classification techniques for automatic genre classification of traditional Malay music. In: Proc. ISMIR, Philadelphia, PA (2008)
- 97. Doraisamy, S., Golzari, S.: Automatic musical genre classification and artificial immune recognition system. In Ras, Z.W., Wieczorkowska, A.A., eds.: Advances in Music Information Retrieval. Springer (2010) 390–402
- Doudpota, S.M., Guha, S.: Mining movies for song sequences with video based music genre identification system. Nuclear Physics B (submitted 2012)
- Downie, J., Ehmann, A., Tcheng, D.: Real-time genre classification for music digital libraries. In: Proc. Joint ACM/IEEE Conf. Digital Libraries. (June 2005) 377
- 100. Downie, J.S.: The music information retrieval evaluation exchange (2005–2007): a window into music information retrieval research. Acoustical Science and Tech. 29(4) (2008) 247–255
- 101. Downie, J., Ehmann, A., Bay, M., Jones, M.: The music information retrieval evaluation exchange: Some observations and insights. In Ras, Z., Wieczorkowska, A., eds.: Advances in Music Information Retrieval. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2010) 93–115
- Draman, N.A., Wilson, C., Ling, S.: Modified AIS-based classifier for music genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 369–374
- 103. Draman, N.A., Ahmad, S., Muda, A.K.: Recognizing patterns of music signals to songs classification using modified AIS-based classifier. In Zain, J.M., Wan Mohd, W.M.b., El-Qawasmeh, E., eds.: Software Engineering and Computer Systems. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2011) 724–737

- 104. Dunker, P., Dittmar, C., Begau, A., Nowak, S., Gruhne, M.: Semantic highlevel features for automated cross-modal slideshow generation. In: Proc. Content-based Multimedia Indexing. (2009) 144–149
- 105. Esmaili, S., Krishnan, S., Raahemifar, K.: Content based audio classification and retrieval using joint time-frequency analysis. In: Proc. ICASSP. Volume 5. (May 2004) 665–668
- 106. Ezzaidi, H., Rouat, J.: Comparison of the statistical and information theory measures: Application to automatic musical genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE Workshop Mach. Learning for Signal Process. (Aug. 2007) 241 –246
- 107. Ezzaidi, H., Bahoura, M., Rouat, J.: Taxonomy of musical genres. In: Int. Conf. Signal Image Tech. and Internet Based Systems. (2009)
- 108. Fadeev, A., Missaoui, O., Frigui, H.: Dominant audio descriptors for audio classification and retrieval. In: Proc. ICMLA, Louisville, KY, USA (Dec. 2009) 75–78
- 109. Feng, Y., Dou, H., Qian, Y.: A study of audio classification on using different feature schemes with three classifiers. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Info., Networking, Automation. (2010) 298–302
- 110. Fernandez, F., Chavez, F., Alcala, R., Herrera, F.: Musical genre classification by means of fuzzy rule-based systems: A preliminary approach. In: IEEE Cong. Evolutionary Comp. (2011)
- 111. Fernández, F., Chávez, F.: Fuzzy rule based system ensemble for music genre classification. In Machado, P., Romero, J., Carballal, A., eds.: Evolutionary and Biologically Inspired Music, Sound, Art and Design. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2012) 84–95
- Fiebrink, R., Fujinaga, I.: Feature selection pitfalls and music classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, Victoria, BC, Canada (2006) 340–341
- 113. Fiebrink, R.: An exploration of feature selection as a tool for optimizing musical genre classification. Master's thesis, McGill University (June 2006)
- 114. Flexer, A., Pampalk, E., Widmer, G.: Hidden markov models for spectral similarity of songs. In: Proc. DAFx, Madrid, Spain (Sept. 2005)
- 115. Flexer, A., Gouyon, F., Dixon, S., Widmer, G.: Probabilistic combination of features for music classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, Victoria, BC, Canda (Oct. 2006) 111–114
- 116. Flexer, A.: Statistical evaluation of music information retrieval experiments. J. New Music Research 35(2) (2006) 113–120
- 117. Flexer, A.: A closer look on artist filters for musical genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, Vienna, Austria (Sep. 2007)
- 118. Flexer, A., Schnitzer, D.: Album and artist effects for audio similarity at the scale of the web. In: Proc. SMC, Porto, Portugal (July 2009) 59–64
- 119. Flexer, A., Schnitzer, D.: Effects of album and artist filters in audio similarity computed for very large music databases. Computer Music J. 34(3) (2010) 20–28
- 120. Frederico, G.: Classification into musical genres using a rhythmic kernel. In: Proc. SMC. (2004)
- 121. Fu, Z., Lu, G., Ting, K.M., Zhang, D.: Learning naive Bayes classifiers for music classification and retrieval. In: Proc. ICPR. (2010) 4589–4592

- 122. Fu, Z., Lu, G., Ting, K.M., Zhang, D.: On feature combination for music classification. In: Proc. Int. Workshop Structural and Syntactic Patt. Recog. (2010) 453–462
- 123. Fu, Z., Lu, G., Ting, K.M., Zhang, D.: A survey of audio-based music classification and annotation. IEEE Trans. Multimedia 13(2) (Apr. 2011) 303–319
- 124. Fu, Z., Lu, G., Ting, K.M., Zhang, D.: Music classification via the bag-offeatures approach. Patt. Recgn. Lett. 32(14) (Oct. 2011) 1768–1777
- 125. García, J., Hernández, E., Meng, A., Hansen, L.K., Larsen, J.: Discovering music structure via similarity fusion. In: Proc. Music, Brain and Cognition Workshop. (2007)
- 126. Garcia-Garcia, D., Arenas-Garcia, J., Parrado-Hernandez, E., de Maria, F.D.: Music genre classification using the temporal structure of songs. In: IEEE Int. Workshop Mach. Learning for Signal Process., Kittila, Finland (Aug.-Sept. 2010)
- 127. García, A., Arenas, J., García, D., Parrado, E.: Music genre classification based on dynamical models. In: Int. Conf. Patt. Recog. App. and Methods. (2012) 250–256
- 128. Gedik, A., Alpkocak, A.: Instrument independent musical genre classification using random 3000 ms segment. In Savaci, F., ed.: Artificial Intelligence and Neural Networks. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2006) 149–157
- 129. Genussov, M., Cohen, I.: Musical genre classification of audio signals using geometric methods. In: Proc. EUSIPCO, Aalborg, Denmark (Aug. 2010) 497–501
- Ghosal, A., Chakraborty, R., Dhara, B., Saha, S.: Instrumental/song classification of music signal using ransac. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Elect. Computer Tech. (Apr. 2011) 269 –272
- Gjerdingen, R.O., Perrott, D.: Scanning the dial: The rapid recognition of music genres. J. New Music Research 37(2) (Spring 2008) 93–100
- 132. Golub, S.: Classifying recorded music. Master's thesis, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, U.K. (2000)
- 133. Golzari, S., Doraisamy, S., Sulaiman, N., Udzir, N.I.: A hybrid approach to traditional Malay music genre classification: Combining feature selection and artificial immune recognition system. In: Proc. Int. Symp. Info. Tech. (Aug. 2008)
- 134. Golzari, S., Doraisamy, S., Sulaiman, M.N., Udzir, N.I., Norowi, N.M.: Artificial immune recognition system with nonlinear resource allocation method and application to traditional Malay music genre classification. In: Proc. Int. Conf. on Artificial Immune Syst. (2008) 132–141
- 135. Golzari, S., Doraisamy, S., Norowi, N.M., Sulaiman, M.N., Udzir, N.I.: A comprehensive study in benchmarking feature selection and classification approaches for traditional Malay music genre classification. In: Proc. Data Mining. (July 2008) 71–77
- 136. González, A., Granados, A., Camacho, D., de Borja Rodríguez, F.: Influence of music representation on compression-based clustering. In: Proc. IEEE Cong. Evolutionary Comp. (2010)

- 137. Goulart, A.J.H., Maciel, C.D., Guido, R.C., Paulo, K.C.S., da Silva, I.N.: Music genre classification based on entropy and fractal lacunarity. In: IEEE Int. Symp. Multimedia. (2011)
- Goulart, A., Guido, R., Maciel, C.: Exploring different approaches for music genre classification. Egyptian Informatics J. 13(2) (July 2012) 59–63
- 139. Gouyon, F., Dixon, S., Pampalk, E., Widmer, G.: Evaluating rhythmic descriptors for musical genre classification. In: Proc. Int. Audio Eng. Soc. Conf. (2004) 196–204
- 140. Gouyon, F., Dixon, S.: Dance music classification: A tempo-based approach. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2004) 501–504
- 141. Gouyon, F.: A computational approach to rhythm description Audio features for the computation of rhythm periodicity functions and their use in tempo induction and music content processing. PhD thesis, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (2005)
- 142. Govaerts, S., Corthaut, N., Duval, E.: Using search engine for classification: Does it still work? In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Multimedia. (dec. 2009) 483– 488
- 143. Grimaldi, M., Cunningham, P., Kokaram, A.: A wavelet packet representation of audio signals for music genre classification using different ensemble and feature selection techniques. In: Proc. ACM Multimedia. (2003) 102– 108
- 144. Grimaldi, M., Cunningham, P., Kokaram, A.: Discrete wavelet packet transform and ensembles of lazy and eager learners for music genre classification. Multimedia Systems 11 (2006) 422–437
- 145. Grosse, R., Raina, R., Kwong, H., Ng, A.Y.: Shift-invariant sparse coding for audio classification. In: Proc. Ann. Conf. Uncertainty in Artificial Intell. (2007)
- 146. Guaus, E.: Audio content processing for automatic music genre classification: descriptors, databases, and classifiers. PhD thesis, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain (2009)
- 147. Hamel, P., Eck, D.: Learning features from music audio with deep belief networks. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010)
- 148. Han, K.P., Park, Y.S., Jeon, S.G., Lee, G.C., Ha, Y.H.: Genre classification system of tv sound signals based on a spectrogram analysis. IEEE Trans. Consumer Elect. 44(1) (Feb. 1998) 33–42
- 149. Hansen, L.K., Ahrendt, P., Larsen, J.: Towards cognitive component analysis. In: Proc. Int. Interdisc. Conf. Adaptive Knowl. Rep. Reasoning, Espoo, Finland (June 2005) 148–153
- 150. Harb, H., Chen, L., Auloge, J.Y.: Mixture of experts for audio classification: an application to male female classification and musical genre recognition. In: Proc. ICME. (2004)
- 151. Harb, H., Chen, L.: A general audio classifier based on human perception motivated model. Multimedia Tools and Applications 34 (2007) 375–395
- 152. Hartmann, K., Büchner, D., Berndt, A., Nürnberger, A., Lange, C.: Interactive data mining and machine learning techniques for musicology. In: Proc. Conf. Interdisciplinary Musicology. (2007) 1–8

- 153. Hartmann, M.A.: Testing a spectral-based feature set for audio genre classification. Master's thesis, University of Jyväskylä (June 2011)
- 154. Heittola, T.: Automatic classification of music signals. Master's thesis, Tampere University of Tech. (Feb. 2003)
- 155. Henaff, M., Jarrett, K., Kavukcuoglu, K., LeCun, Y.: Unsupervised learning of sparse features for scalable audio classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, Miami, FL (Oct. 2011)
- 156. de la Higuera, C., Piat, F., Tantini, F.: Learning stochastic finite automata for musical style recognition. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Implmentation and Application of Automata. (2005) 345–346
- 157. Hillewaere, R., Manderick, B., Conklin, D.: Global feature versus event models for folk song classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2009) 729–733
- Hillewaere, R., Manderick, B., Conklin, D.: Melodic models for polyphonic music classification. In: Proc. Int. Workshop Machine Learning and Music. (2009)
- Hillewaere, R., Manderick, B., Conklin, D.: String quartet classification with monophonic models. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 537–542
- Hillewaere, R., Manderick, B., Conklin, D.: String methods for folk tune genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2012)
- Holzapfel, A., Stylianou, Y.: A statistical approach to musical genre classification using non-negative matrix factorization. In: Proc. ICASSP. (Apr. 2007) 693–696
- 162. Holzapfel, A., Stylianou, Y.: Musical genre classification using nonnegative matrix factorization-based features. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech and Lang. Process. 16(2) (Feb. 2008) 424–434
- Holzapfel, A., Stylianou, Y.: Rhythmic similarity of music based on dynamic periodicity warping. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2008) 2217–2220
- 164. Holzapfel, A., Stylianou, Y.: A scale based method for rhythmic similarity of music. In: Proc. ICASSP, Taipei, Taiwan (Apr. 2009) 317–320
- 165. Homburg, H., Mierswa, I., Möller, B., Morik, K., Wurst, M.: A benchmark dataset for audio classification and clustering. In: Proc. ISMIR, London, U.K. (2005)
- 166. Honingh, A.K., Bod, R.: Clustering and classification of music by interval categories. In: Int. Conf. Math. and Comp. in Music. (2011) 346–349
- 167. Hsieh, C.T., Han, C.C., Lee, C.H., Fan, K.C.: Pattern classification using eigenspace projection. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Info. Hiding and Multimedia Signal Process. (July 2012) 154 –157
- 168. Hu, Y., Ogihara, M.: Genre classification for million song dataset using confidence-based classifiers combination. In: Proc.ACM SIGIR, New York, USA, ACM (2012) 1083–1084
- 169. Iñesta, J.M., Ponce de León, P.J., Heredia, J.L.: A ground-truth experiment on melody genre recognition in absence of timbre. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Music Perception and Cog. (2009) 758–761
- 170. ISMIR: Genre results. http://ismir2004.ismir.net/genre\_contest/ index.htm (2004)

171. ISMIS: Genre results. music-retrieval (Mar. 2011) http://tunedit.org/challenge/

- 172. Jang, D., Jin, M., Yoo, C.D.: Music genre classification using novel features and a weighted voting method. In: Proc. ICME. (2008) 1377–1380
- 173. Jennings, H., Ivanov, P., Martins, A., da Silva, P., Viswanathan, G.: Variance fluctuations in nonstationary time series: a comparative study of music genres. Physica A: Statistical and Theoretical Physics 336(3-4) (May 2004) 585–594
- 174. Jensen, J., Christensen, M., Murthi, M., Jensen, S.: Evaluation of mfcc estimation techniques for music similarity. In: Proc. EUSIPCO. (2006)
- 175. Jensen, K.: Music genre classification using an auditory memory model. In: Proc. CMMR. (2012) 79–88
- 176. Jiang, D.N., L.-Lu, Zhang, H.J., Tao, J.H., Cai, L.H.: Music type classification by spectral contrast features. In: Proc. ICME. (2002)
- 177. Jin, X., Bie, R.: Random forest and pca for self-organizing maps based automatic music genre discrimination. In: Proc. Data Mining. (2006) 414– 417
- 178. Lu, J., Wan, W., Yu, X., Li, C.: Music style classification using support vector machine. In: Proc. Int. Comm. Conf. Wireless Mobile and Computing. (dec. 2009) 452 –455
- 179. Jothilakshmi, S., Kathiresan, N.: Automatic music genre classification for indian music. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Software Computer App. (2012)
- 180. Ju, H., Xu, J.X., VanDongen, A.M.J.: Classification of musical styles using liquid state machines. In: Proc. Int. Joint Conf. on Neural Networks. (2010) 1–7
- Kaminskas, M., Ricci, F.: Contextual music information retrieval and recommendation: state of the art and challenges. Computer Science Review 6(2-3) (May 2012) 89–119
- 182. Karkavitsas, G.V., Tsihrintzis, G.A.: Automatic music genre classification using hybrid genetic algorithms. In Tsihrintzis, G.A., Virvou, M., Jain, L.C., Howlett, R.J., eds.: Intelligent Interactive Multimedia Systems and Services. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2011) 323–335
- 183. Karkavitsas, G.V., Tsihrintzis, G.A.: Optimization of an automatic music genre classification system via hyper-entities. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Info. Hiding and Multimedia Signal Process. (2012) 449–452
- 184. Karydis, I.: Symbolic music genre classification based on note pitch and duration. In: Proc. East European Conf. Advances in Databases and Info. Syst. (2006) 329–338
- 185. Karydis, I., Nanopoulos, A., Manolopoulos, Y.: Symbolic musical genre classification based on repeating patterns. In: Proc. ACM Workshop on Audio and Music Comp. Multimedia. (2006) 53–58
- 186. Kim, H.G., Cho, J.M.: Car audio equalizer system using music classification and loudness compensation. In: Proc. Int. Conf. on ICT Convergence. (2011)
- 187. Kini, S., Gulati, S., Rao, P.: Automatic genre classification of north indian devotional music. In: Nat. Conf. Communication. (2011)

- 188. Kirss, P.: Audio based genre classification of electronic music. Master's thesis, University of Jyväskylä (June 2007)
- 189. Kitahara, T., Tsuchihashi, Y., Katayose, H.: Music genre classification and similarity calculation using bass-line features. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Mulitmedia. (Dec. 2008) 574–579
- 190. Kobayakawa, M., Hoshi, M.: Musical genre classification of MPEG-4 Twin VQ audio data. In: Proc. ICME. (2011)
- 191. Koerich, A., Poitevin, C.: Combination of homogeneous classifiers for musical genre classification. In: IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man and Cybernetics. (Oct. 2005)
- 192. Kofod, C., Ortiz-Arroyo, D.: Exploring the design space of symbolic music genre classification using data mining techniques. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Comp. Intell. for Modelling Control Auto. (Dec. 2008) 432–48
- 193. Kosina, K.: Music genre recognition. Master's thesis, Hagenberg Technical University, Hagenberg, Germany (June 2002)
- 194. Kostek, B., Kupryjanow, A., Zwan, P., Jiang, W., Raś, Z., Wojnarski, M., Swietlicka, J.: Report of the ISMIS 2011 contest: Music information retrieval. In Kryszkiewicz, M., Rybinski, H., Skowron, A., Ras, Z., eds.: Foundations of Intelligent Systems. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2011) 715–724
- 195. Kotropoulos, C., Arce, G.R., Panagakis, Y.: Ensemble discriminant sparse projections applied to music genre classification. In: Proc. ICPR. (Aug. 2010) 823–825
- 196. van Kranenburg, P., Baker, W.: Musical style recognition a quantitative approach. In: Proc. Conf. Interdisciplinary Musicology. (2004)
- 197. van Kranenburg, P.: On measuring musical style the case of some disputed organ fugues in the J.S. Bach (BWV)catalogue. Computing In Musicology (2007-8)
- 198. van Kranenburg, P., Garbers, J., Volk, A., Wiering, F., Grijp, L., Veltkamp, R.: Collaboration perspectives for folk song research and music information retrieval: The indispensable role of computational musicology. J. Interdisciplinary Music Studies (1) (2010) 17–43
- 199. van Kranenburg, P., Volk, A., Wiering, F.: A comparison between global and local features for computational classification of folk song melodies. J. New Music Research  $\mathbf{0}(0)$  (2012) 1–18
- 200. Krasser, J., Abeßer, J., Großmann, H., Dittmar, C., Cano, E.: Improved music similarity computation based on tone objects. In: Proc. Audio Mostly Conf. (2012) 47–54
- 201. Krumhansl, C.L.: Plink: "thin slices" of music. Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal **27**(5) (2010) 337–354
- 202. Kuo, F.F., Shan, M.K.: A personalized music filtering system based on melody style classification. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Data Mining. (2002) 649–652
- 203. Kuo, F.F., Shan, M.K.: Looking for new, not known music only: music retrieval by melody style. In: Proc. Joint ACM/IEEE Conf. Digital Libraries. (June 2004) 243–251

- 204. Lambrou, T., Kudumakis, P., Speller, R., Sandler, M., Linney, A.: Classification of audio signals using statistical features on time and wavelet transform domains. In: Proc. ICASSP. (May 1998) 3621–3624
- 205. Lampropoulos, A.S., Lampropoulou, P.S., Tsihrintzis, G.A.: Musical genre classification enhanced by improved source separation techniques. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2005)
- 206. Lampropoulos, A.S., Lampropoulou, P.S., Tsihrintzis, G.A.: Music genre classification based on ensemble of signals produced by source separation methods. Intelligent Decision Technologies 4(3) (2010) 229–237
- 207. Lampropoulos, A., Lampropoulou, P., Tsihrintzis, G.: A cascade-hybrid music recommender system for mobile services based on musical genre classification and personality diagnosis. Multimedia Tools and Applications 59 (2012) 241–258
- 208. Langlois, T., Marques, G.: A music classification method based on timbral features. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2009)
- 209. Langlois, T., Marques, G.: Automatic music genre classification using a hierarchical clustering and a language model approach. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Advances in Multimedia. (2009)
- 210. Lee, J.W., Park, S.B., Kim, S.K.: Music genre classification using a timedelay neural network. In Wang, J., Yi, Z., Zurada, J., Lu, B.L., Yin, H., eds.: Advances in Neural Networks. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2006) 178–187
- 211. Lee, C.H., Shih, J.L., Yu, K.M., Su, J.M.: Automatic music genre classification using modulation spectral contrast feature. In: Proc. ICME. (2007)
- 212. Lee, C.H., Shih, J.L., Yu, K.M., Lin, H.S., Wei, M.H.: Fusion of static and transitional information of cepstral and spectral features for music genre classification. In: IEEE Asia-Pacific Service Computing Conf. (2008)
- 213. Lee, C.H., Lin, H.S., Chou, C.H., Shih, J.L.: Modulation spectral analysis of static and transitional information of cepstral and spectral features for music genre classification. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Intelligent Info. Hiding and Multimedia Signal Process. (2009)
- 214. Lee, C., Shih, J., Yu, K., Lin, H.: Automatic music genre classification based on modulation spectral analysis of spectral and cepstral features. IEEE Trans. Multimedia 11(4) (June 2009) 670–682
- 215. Lee, H., Largman, Y., Pham, P., Ng, A.Y.: Unsupervised feature learning for audio classification using convolutional deep belief networks. In: Proc. Neural Info. Process. Systems, Vancouver, B.C., Canada (Dec. 2009)
- 216. Lee, C.H., Chou, C.H., Lien, C.C., Fang, J.C.: Music genre classification using modulation spectral features and multiple prototype vectors representation. In: Int. Cong. Image and Signal Process. (2011)
- 217. Lehn-Schioler, T., Arenas-Garcia, J., Petersen, K.B., Hansen, L.: A genre classification plug-in for data collection. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006)
- 218. de Leon, P., Inesta, J.: Musical style identification using self-organising maps. In: Proc. WEDELMUSIC. (2002) 82–89
- 219. de León, P., Iñesta, J.: Feature-driven recognition of music styles. In Perales, F., Campilho, A., de la Blanca, N., Sanfeliu, A., eds.: Pattern

Recognition and Image Analysis. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2003) 773–781

- 220. de León, P., Iñesta, J.: Musical style classification from symbolic data: A two-styles case study. In: Proc. CMMR. (2004) 412–447
- 221. de Leon, P.P., Inesta, J.: Pattern recognition approach for music style identification using shallow statistical descriptors. IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, Cybernetics: Part C: Applications and Reviews 37(2) (Mar. 2007) 248–257
- 222. de Leon, F., Martinez, K.: Enhancing timbre model using mfcc and its time derivatives for music similarity estimation. In: Proc. EUSIPCO, Bucharest, Romania (Aug. 2012) 2005–2009
- 223. de Leon, F., Martinez, K.: Towards efficient music genre classification using FastMap. In: Proc. DAFx. (2012)
- 224. Lerch, A.: An Introduction to Audio Content Analysis: Applications in Signal Processing and Music Informatics. Wiley/IEEE Press, Hoboken, New York (2012)
- 225. Levy, M., Sandler, M.: Lightweight measures for timbral similarity of musical audio. In: Proc. ACM Workshop on Audio and Music Computing Multimedia. (2006) 27–36
- 226. Li, T., Ogihara, M., Li, Q.: A comparative study on content-based music genre classification. In: Proc. Int. ACM SIGIR Conf. Research Develop. Info. Retrieval. (2003)
- 227. Li, T., Tzanetakis, G.: Factors in automatic musical genre classification of audio signals. In: Proc. IEEE Workshop Appl. Signal Process. Audio Acoust. (2003)
- 228. Li, T., Ogihara, M.: Music artist style identification by semi-supervised learning from both lyrics and contents. In: Proc. ACM Multimedia. (2004)
- Li, M., Sleep, R.: Melody classification using a similarity metric based on kolmogorov complexity. In: Proc. SMC. (2004)
- Li, M., Sleep, R.: Genre classification via an LZ78-based string kernel. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2005)
- 231. Li, T., Ogihara, M.: Music genre classification with taxonomy. In: Proc. ICASSP, Philadelphia, PA (Mar. 2005) 197–200
- 232. Li, T., Ogihara, M.: Toward intelligent music information retrieval. IEEE Trans. Multimedia 8(3) (June 2006) 564–574
- 233. Li, T., Ogihara, M., Shao, B., Wang, D.: Machine learning approaches for music information retrieval. In: Theory and Novel Applications of Machine Learning. I-Tech, Austria (2009)
- 234. Li, T.L., Chan, A.B., Chun, A.H.: Automatic musical pattern feature extraction using convolutional neural network. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Data Mining and Applications. (2010)
- 235. Li, T., Chan, A.: Genre classification and the invariance of MFCC features to key and tempo. In: Proc. Int. Conf. MultiMedia Modeling, Taipei, China (Jan. 2011)
- 236. Lidy, T., Rauber, A.: Genre-oriented organization of music collections using the SOMeJB system: An analysis of rhythm patterns and other features. In: Proc. DELOS Workshop Multimedia Contents in Digital Libraries. (2003)

- 237. Lidy, T.: Marsyas and rhythm patterns: Evaluation of two music genre classification systems. In: Proc. Workshop Data Anal. (June 2003)
- 238. Lidy, T., Rauber, A.: Evaluation of feature extractors and psycho-acoustic transformations for music genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2005)
- 239. Lidy, T.: Evaluation of new audio features and their utilization in novel music retrieval applications. Master's thesis, Vienna University of Tech. (December 2006)
- 240. Lidy, T., Rauber, A., Pertusa, A., Iñesta, J.M.: Improving genre classification by combination of audio and symbolic descriptors using a transcription system. In: Proc. ISMIR, Vienna, Austria (Sep. 2007) 61–66
- 241. Lidy, T., Rauber, A.: Classification and clustering of music for novel music access applications. In Cord, M., Cunningham, P., eds.: Machine Learning Techniques for Multimedia. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2008) 249–285
- 242. Lidy, T., Silla, C., Cornelis, O., Gouyon, F., Rauber, A., Kaestner, C.A., Koerich, A.L.: On the suitability of state-of-the-art music information retrieval methods for analyzing, categorizing and accessing non-western and ethnic music collections. Signal Process. **90**(4) (2010) 1032–1048
- 243. Lidy, T., Mayer, R., Rauber, A., de Leon, P.P., Pertusa, A., Quereda, J.: A cartesian ensemble of feature subspace classifiers for music categorization. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 279–284
- 244. Lim, S.C., Jang, S.J., Lee, S.P., Kim, M.Y.: Music genre/mood classification using a feature-based modulation spectrum. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Modelling, Identification and Control. (2011)
- 245. Lin, C.R., Liu, N.H., Wu, Y.H., Chen, A.: Music classification using significant repeating patterns. In Lee, Y., Li, J., Whang, K.Y., Lee, D., eds.: Database Systems for Advanced Applications. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2004) 27–29
- 246. Lippens, S., Martens, J., De Mulder, T.: A comparison of human and automatic musical genre classification. In: Proc. ICASSP. (May 2004) 233– 236
- 247. Liu, Y., Xu, J., Wei, L., Tian, Y.: The study of the classification of Chinese folk songs by regional style. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Semantic Computing. (sept. 2007) 657 –662
- 248. Liu, X., Yang, D., Chen, X.: New approach to classification of Chinese folk music based on extension of hmm. In: Proc. ICALIP. (July 2008) 1172 -1179
- 249. Liu, Y., Wei, L., Wang, P.: Regional style automatic identification for Chinese folk songs. In: World Cong. Computer Science and Information Engineering. (2009)
- 250. Liu, Y., Xiang, Q., Wang, Y., Cai, L.: Cultural style based music classification of audio signals. In: Proc. ICASSP, Taipei, Taiwan (Apr. 2009)
- 251. Lo, Y.L., Lin, Y.C.: Content-based music classification. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Comp. Sci. Info. Tech. (2010) 112–116
- 252. Loh, Q.J.B., Emmanuel, S.: ELM for the classification of music genres. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision. (2006) 1–6

- 253. Londei, A., Loreto, V., Belardinelli, M.O.: Musical style and authorship categorization by informative compressors. In: Proc. ESCOM Conf., Hanover, Germany (Sep. 2003) 200–203
- 254. Lopes, M., Gouyon, F., Koerich, A., Oliveira, L.E.S.: Selection of training instances for music genre classification. In: Proc. ICPR, Istanbul, Turkey (2010)
- 255. Lukashevich, H., Abeßer, J., Dittmar, C., Großmann, H.: From multilabeling to multi-domain-labeling: A novel two-dimensional approach to music genre classification. In: ISMIR. (2009)
- 256. Lukashevich, H.: Applying multiple kernel learning to automatic genre classification. In Gaul, W.A., Geyer-Schulz, A., Schmidt-Thieme, L., Kunze, J., eds.: Challenges at the Interface of Data Analysis, Computer Science, and Optimization. Springer Berlin (2012) 393–400
- 257. M., V.C., Kurniawan, F., Tony: Automatic music classification for dangdut and campursari using naive bayes. In: Int. Conf. Electrical Engineering and Informatics. (2011)
- 258. Mace, S.T., Wagoner, C.L., Teachout, D.J., Hodges, D.A.: Genre identification of very brief musical excerpts. Psychology of Music 40(1) (2011) 112–128
- 259. Manaris, B., Romero, J., Machado, P., Krehbiel, D., Hirzel, T., Pharr, W., Davis, R.B.: Zipf's law, music classification, and aesthetics. Computer Music J. 29(1) (2005) 55–69
- Manaris, B., Krehbiel, D., Roos, P., Zalonis, T.: Armonique: Experiments in content-based similarity retrieval using power-law melodic and timbre metrics. In: ISMIR. (2008) 343–348
- 261. Manaris, B., Roos, P., Krehbiel, D., Zalonis, T., Armstrong, J.: Zipf's law, power laws and music aesthetics. In Li, T., Ogihara, M., Tzanetakis, G., eds.: Music Data Mining. CRC Press (2011) 169–216
- 262. Mandel, M.I., Poliner, G.E., Ellis, D.P.W.: Support vector machine active learning for music retrieval. Multimedia Systems 12 (2006) 3–13
- 263. Manzagol, P.A., Bertin-Mahieux, T., Eck, D.: On the use of sparse timerelative auditory codes for music. In: Proc. ISMIR, Philadelphia, PA (Sep. 2008) 603–608
- 264. Markov, K., Matsui, T.: Music genre classification using self-taught learning via sparse coding. In: Proc. ICASSP. (Mar. 2012) 1929 –1932
- 265. Markov, K., Matsui, T.: Nonnegative matrix factorization based self-taught learning with application to music genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Machine Learn. Signal Process. (Sep. 2012) 1–5
- 266. Marques, G., Langlois, T.: A language modeling approach for the classification of music pieces. In: Proc. Data Mining. (2009) 193–198
- 267. Marques, G., Lopes, M., Sordo, M., Langlois, T., Gouyon, F.: Additional evidence that common low-level features of individual audio frames are not representative of music genres. In: Proc. SMC, Barcelona, Spain (July 2010)
- 268. Marques, G., Langlois, T., Gouyon, F., Lopes, M., Sordo, M.: Short-term feature space and music genre classification. J. New Music Research 40(2) (2011) 127–137

- 269. Marques, C., Guiherme, I.R., Nakamura, R.Y.M., Papa, J.P.: New trends in musical genre classification using optimum-path forest. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011)
- 270. Martin, K.D., Scheirer, E.D., Vercoe, B.L.: Music content analysis through models of audition. In: Proc. ACM Multimedia Workshop Content Process Music Multimedia App. (Sep. 1998)
- 271. Matityaho, B., Furst, M.: Neural network based model for classification of music type. In: Proc. Conv. Electrical and Elect. Eng. in Israel. (Mar. 1995) 1–5
- 272. Mayer, R., Neumayer, R., Rauber, A.: Rhyme and style features for musical genre classification by song lyrics. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2008)
- 273. Mayer, R., Neumayer, R., Rauber, A.: Combination of audio and lyrics features for genre classification in digital audio collections. In: Proc. ACM Multimedia. (Oct. 2008) 159–168
- 274. Mayer, R., Rauber, A.: Building ensembles of audio and lyrics features to improve musical genre classification. In: Int. Conf. Distributed Frameworks for Multimedia App. (2010)
- 275. Mayer, R., Rauber, A.: Multimodal aspects of music retrieval: audio, song lyrics - and beyond? Studies in Computational Intelligence 274 (2010) 333–363
- 276. Mayer, R., Rauber, A., Ponce de León, P.J., Pérez-Sancho, C., Iñesta, J.M.: Feature selection in a cartesian ensemble of feature subspace classifiers for music categorisation. In: Proc. ACM Int. Workshop Machine Learning and Music. (2010) 53–56
- 277. Mayer, R., Rauber, A.: Music genre classification by ensembles of audio and lyrics features. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011) 675–680
- 278. McDermott, J., Hauser, M.D.: Nonhuman primates prefer slow tempos but dislike music overall. Cognition 104(3) (2007) 654 – 668
- 279. McKay, C., Fujinaga, I.: Automatic genre classification using large highlevel musical feature sets. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2004)
- 280. McKay, C.: Automatic Genre Classification of MIDI Recordings. PhD thesis, McGill University, Montréal, Canada (June 2004)
- McKay, C., Fujinaga, I.: Automatic music classification and the importance of instrument identification. In: Proc. Conf. Interdisciplinary Musicology. (2005)
- 282. McKay, C., Fujinaga, I.: Music genre classification: Is it worth pursuing and how can it be improved? In: Proc. ISMIR, Victoria, Canada (Oct. 2006)
- 283. McKay, C., Fujinaga, I.: Combining features extracted from audio, symbolic and cultural sources. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2008) 597–602
- 284. McKay, C.: Automatic Music Classification with jMIR. PhD thesis, McGill University, Montréal, Canada (Jan. 2010)
- 285. McKay, C., Fujinaga, I.: Improving automatic music classification performance by extracting features from different types of data. In: Multimedia Information Retrieval. (2010) 257–266

- 286. McKay, C., Burgoyne, J.A., Hockman, J., Smith, J.B.L., Vigliensoni, G., Fujinaga, I.: Evaluating the genre classification performance of lyrical features relative to audio, symbolic and cultural features. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 213–218
- 287. McKinney, M.F., Breebaart, J.: Features for audio and music classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, Baltimore, MD (Oct. 2003)
- 288. Mendes, R.S., Ribeiro, H.V., Freire, F.C.M., Tateishi, A.A., Lenzi, E.K.: Universal patterns in sound amplitudes of songs and music genres. Phys. Rev. E 83 (Jan 2011) 017101
- 289. Meng, A., Ahrendt, P., Larsen, J.: Improving music genre classification by short-time feature integration. In: Proc. ICASSP, Philadelphia, PA (Mar. 2005) 497–500
- 290. Meng, A.: Temporal feature integration for music organization. PhD thesis, Technical University of Denmark (2006)
- 291. Meng, A., Shawe-Taylor, J.: An investigation of feature models for music genre classification using the support vector classifier. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2008)
- Mierswa, I., Morik, K.: Automatic feature extraction for classifying audio data. Machine Learning 58(2-3) (Feb. 2005) 127–149
- 293. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2005: MIREX2005\_Results (2005)
- 294. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2007: MIREX2007\_Results (2007)
- 295. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2008: MIREX2008\_Results (2008)
- 296. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2009: MIREX2009\_Results (2009)
- 297. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2010: MIREX2010\_Results (2010)
- 298. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2011: MIREX2011\_Results (2011)
- 299. MIREX: Genre results. http://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2012: MIREX2012\_Results (2012)
- 300. Mitra, V., Wang, C.J.: Content based audio classification: a neural network approach. Soft Computing - A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications 12 (2008) 639–646
- Mitri, G., Uitdenbogerd, A.L., Ciesielski, V.: Automatic music classification problems. In: Proc. Autralasian Comp. Science Conf. (2004)
- 302. Moerchen, F., Ultsch, A., Nöcker, M., Stamm, C.: Databionic visualization of music collections according to perceptual distance. In: Proc. ISMIR, London, U.K. (Sep. 2005) 396–403
- 303. Moerchen, F., Mierswa, I., Ultsch, A.: Understandable models of music collections based on exhaustive feature generation with temporal statistics. In: Int. Conf. Knowledge Discover and Data Mining. (2006)
- 304. Mostafa, M.M., Billor, N.: Recognition of western style musical genres using machine learning techniques. Expert Systems with Applications 36(8) (2009) 11378 – 11389

- 305. Nagathil, A., Gerkmann, T., Martin, R.: Musical genre classification based on highly-resolved cepstral modulation spectrum. In: Proc. EUSIPCO, Aalborg, Denmark (Aug. 2010) 462–466
- 306. Nagathil, A., Göttel, P., Martin, R.: Hierarchical audio classification using cepstral modulation ratio regressions based on legendre polynomials. In: Proc. ICASSP. (July 2011) 2216–2219
- 307. Nayak, S., Bhutani, A.: Music genre classification using GA-induced minimal feature-set. In: Proc. Nat. Conf. Computer Vision, Pattern Recog., Image Process. and Graphics. (2011)
- 308. Neubarth, K., Goienetxea, I., Johnson, C., Conklin, D.: Association mining of folk music genres and toponyms. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2012)
- 309. Neumayer, R., Rauber, A.: Integration of text and audio features for genre classification in music information retrieval. In Amati, G., Carpineto, C., Romano, G., eds.: Advances in Information Retrieval. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2007) 724–727
- 310. Ni, Y., McVicar, M., Santos, R., Bie, T.D.: Using hyper-genre training to explore genre information for automatic chord estimation. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2012)
- 311. Nie, F., Xiang, S., Song, Y., Zhang, C.: Extracting the optimal dimensionality for local tensor discriminant analysis. Patt. Recog. 42(1) (Jan. 2009) 105–114
- 312. Nopthaisong, C., Hasan, M.M.: Automatic music classification and retreival: Experiments with Thai music collection. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Info. Comm. Tech. (Mar. 2007) 76–81
- 313. Norowi, N.M., Doraisamy, S., Wirza, R.: Factors affecting automatic genre classification: An investigation incorporating non-western musical forms. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2005)
- 314. Novello, A., McKinney, M.F., Kohlrausch, A.: Perceptual evaluation of music similarity. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006) 246–249
- 315. Orio, N.: Music retrieval: A tutorial and review. Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval 1(1) (Nov. 2006) 1–90
- 316. Orio, N., Rizo, D., Miotto, R., Schedl, M., Montecchio, N., Lartillot, O.: Musiclef: a benchmark activity in multimodal music information retrieval. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011) 603–608
- 317. Otsuka, Y., Yanagi, J., Watanabe, S.: Discriminative and reinforcing stimulus properties of music for rats. Behavioural Processes 80(2) (2009) 121 - 127
- 318. Pampalk, E., Dixon, S., Widmer, G.: On the evaluation of perceptual similarity measures for music. In: Proc. DAFx, London, UK (Sep. 2003) 7–12
- 319. Pampalk, E., Flexer, A., Widmer, G.: Improvements of audio-based music similarity and genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, London, U.K. (Sep. 2005) 628–233
- 320. Pampalk, E.: Computational Models of Music Similarity and their Application in Music Information Retrieval. PhD thesis, Vienna University of Tech., Vienna, Austria (Mar. 2006)

- 321. Panagakis, Y., Benetos, E., Kotropoulos, C.: Music genre classification: A multilinear approach. In: Proc. ISMIR, Philadelphia, PA (Sep. 2008) 583–588
- 322. Panagakis, Y., Kotropoulos, C., Arce, G.R.: Music genre classification via sparse representations of auditory temporal modulations. In: Proc. EUSIPCO, Glasgow, Scotland (Aug. 2009)
- 323. Panagakis, Y., Kotropoulos, C., Arce, G.R.: Music genre classification using locality preserving non-negative tensor factorization and sparse representations. In: Proc. ISMIR, Kobe, Japan (Oct. 2009) 249–254
- 324. Panagakis, Y., Kotropoulos, C., Arce, G.R.: Non-negative multilinear principal component analysis of auditory temporal modulations for music genre classification. IEEE Trans. Acoustics, Speech, Lang. Process. 18(3) (Mar. 2010) 576–588
- 325. Panagakis, Y., Kotropoulos, C., Arce, G.R.: Sparse multi-label linear embedding nonnegative tensor factorization for automatic music tagging. In: Proc. EUSIPCO. (Aug. 2010) 492–496
- 326. Panagakis, Y., Kotropoulos, C.: Music genre classification via topology preserving non-negative tensor factorization and sparse representations. In: Proc. ICASSP. (Mar. 2010) 249–252
- 327. Paradzinets, A., Harb, H., Chen, L.: Multiexpert system for automatic music genre classification. Technical report, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Lyon, France (June 2009)
- 328. Park, D.C.: Classification of audio signals using fuzzy c-means with divergence-based kernel. Pattern Recog. Lett. 30(9) (Jul. 2009) 794–798
- 329. Park, D.C.: Partitioned feature-based classifier model. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Signal Process. Info. Tech. (2009) 412–417
- 330. Park, D.C.: Partitioned feature-based classifier model with expertise table. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Bio-Inspired Computing. (2010)
- 331. Park, S., Park, J., Sim, K.: Optimization system of musical expression for the music genre classification. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Control, Auto. Syst. (Oct. 2011) 1644–1648
- 332. Peeters, G.: A generic system for audio indexing: application to speech/music segmentation and music genre recognition. In: DAFx, Bordeaux, France (Sept. 2007)
- 333. Peeters, G.: Spectral and temporal periodicity representations of rhythm for the automatic classification of music audio signal. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process. 19(5) (July 2011) 1242–1252
- 334. Peng, W., Li, T., Ogihara, M.: Music clustering with constraints. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2007) 27–32
- 335. Pérez, C., Iñesta, J., Calera-Rubio, J.: A text categorization approach for music style recognition. In: Proc. Iberian Conf. Patt. Recog. and Image Anal. (2005) 61–114
- 336. Iñesta, T.P.J.M., Rizo, D.: metamidi: a tool for automatic metadata extraction from MIDI files. In: Proc. Workshop Exploring Musical Info. Spaces. (Oct. 2009) 36–40

- 337. Pérez, T., Pérez, C., Iñesta, J.: Harmonic and instrumental information fusion for musical genre classification. In: Proc. ACM Int. Workshop Machine Learning and Music. (2010) 49–52
- 338. Pérez-Sancho, C., nesta, J.M.I., C.-Rubio, J.: Style recognition through statistical event models. J. New Music Research 34(4) (2005) 331–340
- 339. Pérez, C., Rizo, D., Iñesta, J.: Stochastic text models for music categorization. In: Proc. Joint IAPR Int. Workshop Structural, Syntactic, and Statistical Patt. Recog. (2008) 55–64
- 340. Perez, C., Rizo, D., Inesta, J.M.: Genre classification using chords and stochastic language models. Connection Science 21 (June 2009) 145–159
- Pérez, C.: Stochastic language models for music information retrieval. PhD thesis, Universidad de Alicante, Spain (June 2009)
- 342. Pohle, T.: Extraction of audio descriptors and their evaluation in Music Classification Tasks. PhD thesis, Technischen Universität Kaiserslautern (Jan. 2005)
- 343. Pohle, T., Knees, P., Schedl, M., Widmer, G.: Independent component analysis for music similarity computation. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006) 228– 233
- 344. Pohle, T., Pampalk, E., Widmer, G.: Evaluation of frequently used audio features for classification of music into perceptual categories. In: Int. Workshop Content-Based Multimedia Indexing. (2008)
- 345. Pohle, T., Schnitzer, D., Schedl, M., Knees, P., Widmer, G.: On rhythm and general music similarity. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2009)
- 346. Pollastri, E., Simoncelli, G.: Classification of melodies by composer with hidden markov models. In: Proc. WEDELMUSIC. (Nov. 2001) 88–95
- 347. Porter, D., Neuringer, A.: Music discriminations by pigeons. Experimental Psychology: Animal Behavior Processes 10(2) (1984) 138–148
- 348. Pye, D.: Content-based methods for the management of digital music. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2000)
- 349. Rafailidis, D., Nanopoulos, A., Manolopoulos, Y.: Nonlinear dimensionality reduction for efficient and effective audio similarity searching. Multimedia Tools and Applications (Nov. 2009)
- 350. Rauber, A., Frühwirth, M.: Automatically analyzing and organizing music archives. In: Proc. European Conf. Research Advanced Tech. Digital Libraries. (Sep. 2001)
- 351. Rauber, A., Pampalk, E., Merkl, D.: Using psycho-acoustic models and self-organizing maps to create a hierarchical structuring of music by musical styles. In: Proc. ISMIR. (Oct. 2002) 71–80
- 352. Ravelli, E., Richard, G., Daudet, L.: Audio signal representations for indexing in the transform domain. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process. 18(3) (Mar. 2010) 434–446
- 353. Reed, J., Lee, C.H.: A study on music genre classification based on universal acoustic models. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006)
- 354. Reed, J., Lee, C.H.: A study on attribute-based taxonomy for music information retrieval. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2007) 485–490

- 355. Rin, J.M., Chen, Z.S., Jang, J.S.R.: On the use of sequential patterns mining as temporal features for music genre classification. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2010)
- 356. Ren, J.M., Jang, J.S.R.: Time-constrained sequential pattern discovery for music genre classification. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2011) 173–176
- 357. Ren, J.M., Jang, J.S.R.: Discovering time-constrained sequential patterns for music genre classification. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Lang. Process. 20(4) (May 2012) 1134–1144
- 358. Ribeiro, H., Zunino, L., Mendes, R., Lenzi, E.: Complexity-entropy causality plane: A useful approach for distinguishing songs. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Application **391**(7) (Apr. 2012) 2421–2428
- 359. Rizzi, A., Buccino, N.M., Panella, M., Uncini, A.: Genre classification of compressed audio data. In: Proc. Int. Workshop on Multimedia Signal Process. (2008)
- 360. Ro, W., Kwon, Y.: 1/f noise analysis of songs in various genre of music. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 42(4) (2009) 2305 – 2311
- 361. Rocha, B.: Genre classification based on predominant melodic pitch contours. Master's thesis, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain (Sep. 2011)
- 362. Rump, H., Miyabe, S., Tsunoo, E., Ono, N., Sagayama, S.: Autoregressive MFCC models for genre classification improved by harmonic-percussion separation. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 87–92
- 363. Ruppin, A., Yeshurun, H.: Midi music genre classification by invariant features. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006) 397–399
- 364. Salamon, J., Rocha, B., Gomez, E.: Musical genre classification using melody features extracted from polyphonic music signals. In: Proc. ICASSP, Kyoto, Japan (Mar. 2012)
- 365. Sanden, C., Befus, C., Zhang, J.Z.: Clustering-based genre prediction on music data. In: Proc. Int. C\* Conf. Computer Sci. & Software. (2008) 117–119
- 366. Sanden, C., Befus, C.R., Zhang, J.: Perception based multi-label genre classification on music data. In: Proc. ICMC. (2010) 9–15
- 367. Sanden, C.: An empirical evaluation of computational and perceptual multi-label genre classification on music. Master's thesis, University of Lethbridge (2010)
- 368. Sanden, C., Zhang, J.Z.: Enhancing multi-label music genre classification through ensemble techniques. In: Proc. Int. ACM SIGIR Conf. Research Develop. Info. Retrieval. (2011) 705–714
- 369. Sanden, C., Zhang, J.Z.: Algorithmic multi-genre classification of music: An empirical study. In: Proc. ICMC. (2011)
- 370. Sanden, C., Befus, C.R., Zhang, J.Z.: A perceptual study on music segmentation and genre classification. J. New Music Research 41(3) (2012) 277–293
- 371. de los Santos, C.A.: Nonlinear audio recurrence analysis with application to music genre classification. Master's thesis, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain (2010)

- 372. Scaringella, N., Zoia, G.: On the modeling of time information for automatic genre recognition systems in audio signals. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2005) 666–671
- 373. Scaringella, N., Zoia, G., Mlynek, D.: Automatic genre classification of music content: A survey. IEEE Signal Process. Mag. 23(2) (Mar. 2006) 133–141
- 374. Schedl, M., Pohle, T., Knees, P., Widmer, G.: Assigning and visualizing music genres by web-based co-occurrence analysis. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2006)
- 375. Schierz, A., Budka, M.: High-performance music information retrieval system for song genre classification. In Kryszkiewicz, M., Rybinski, H., Skowron, A., Ras, Z., eds.: Foundations of Intelligent Systems. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2011) 725–733
- 376. Schindler, A., Mayer, R., Rauber, A.: Facilitating comprehensive benchmarking experiments on the million song dataset. In: Proc. ISMIR. (Oct. 2012)
- 377. Schindler, A., Rauber, A.: Capturing the temporal domain in echonest features for improved classification effectiveness. In: Proc. Adaptive Multimedia Retrieval. (Oct. 2012)
- 378. Schlüter, J., Osendorfer, C.: Music similarity estimation with the meancovariance restricted boltzmann machine. In: Proc. ICMLA. (2011)
- 379. Seo, J., Lee, S.: Higher-order moments for musical genre classification. Signal Process. 91(8) (2011) 2154–2157
- 380. Serra, J., de los Santos, C.A., Andrzejak, R.G.: Nonlinear audio recurrence analysis with application to genre classification. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2011)
- 381. Seyerlehner, K.: Content-based Music Recommender Systems: Beyond Simple Frame-level Audio Similarity. PhD thesis, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria (Dec. 2010)
- 382. Seyerlehner, K., Widmer, G., Pohle, T.: Fusing block-level features for music similarity estimation. In: DAFx. (2010)
- 383. Seyerlehner, K., Widmer, G., Knees, P.: A comparison of human, automatic and collaborative music genre classification and user centric evaluation of genre classification systems. In Detyniecki, M., Knees, P., Nürnberger, A., Schedl, M., Stober, S., eds.: Adaptive Multimedia Retrieval. Context, Exploration, and Fusion. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2011) 118–131
- 384. Seyerlehner, K., Schedl, M., Sonnleitner, R., Hauger, D., Ionescu, B.: From improved auto-taggers to improved music similarity measures. In: Proc. Adaptive Multimedia Retrieval, Copenhagen, Denmark (Oct. 2012)
- 385. Shan, M.K., Kuo, F.F., Chen, M.F.: Music style mining and classification by melody. In: Proc. ICME. Volume 1. (2002) 97–100
- 386. Shao, X., Xu, C., Kankanhalli, M.S.: Unsupervised classification of music genre using hidden markov model. In: Proc. ICME. (2004) 2023–2026
- 387. Shen, J., Shepherd, J., Ngu, A.: On efficient music genre classification. In Zhou, L., Ooi, B., Meng, X., eds.: Database Systems for Advanced Applications. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2005) 990–990
- 388. Shen, J., Shepherd, J., Ngu, A.H.H.: Towards effective content-based music retrieval with multiple acoustic feature combination. IEEE Trans. Multimedia 8(6) (Dec. 2006) 1179–1189

- 389. Shen, Y., Li, X., Ma, N.W., Krishnan, S.: Parametric time-frequency analysis and its applications in music classification. EURASIP J. Adv. Sig. Process. 2010 (2010)
- 390. Shih, J.L., Lee, C.H., Lin, S.W.: Automatic classification of musical audio signals. J. Info. Tech. and Apps. 1(2) (Sep. 2006) 95–105
- 391. Silla, C., Kaestner, C., Koerich, A.: Time-space ensemble strategies for automatic music genre classification. In Sichman, J., Coelho, H., Rezende, S., eds.: Advances in Artificial Intelligence. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2006) 339–348
- 392. Silla, C.N., Koerich, A., Kaestner, C.: Automatic music genre classification using ensembles of classifiers. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man, Cybernetics. (2007) 1687–1692
- 393. Silla, C.N., Koerich, A.L., Kaestner, C.A.A.: Feature selection in automatic music genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Mulitmedia. (2008) 39–44
- 394. Silla, C.N., Koerich, A.L., Kaestner, C.A.A.: The Latin music database. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2008)
- 395. Silla, C., Freitas, A.: Novel top-down approaches for hierarchical classification and their application to automatic music genre classification. In: IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, San Antonio, USA (Oct. 2009)
- 396. Silla, C.N., Koerich, A.L., Kaestner, C.A.A.: A feature selection approach for automatic music genre classification. Int. J. Semantic Computing 3(2) (2009) 183–208
- 397. Silla, C., Koerich, A., Kaestner, C.: Improving automatic music genre classification with hybrid content-based feature vectors. In: Proc. Symp. Applied Comp., Sierre, Switzerland (Mar. 2010)
- 398. Silla, C.N., Freitas, A.A.: A survey of hierarchical classification across different application domains. Data Mining Knowledge and Discovery 22 (2011) 31–72
- 399. Simsekli, U.: Automatic music genre classification using bass lines. In: Proc. ICPR. (2010)
- 400. Smith, J.B.L., Burgoyne, J.A., Fujinaga, I., Roure, D.D., Downie, J.S.: Design and creation of a large-scale database of structural annotations. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011)
- 401. Soltau, H., Schultz, T., Westphal, M., Waibel, A.: Recognition of music types. In: Proc. ICASSP. (1998)
- 402. Song, Y., Zhang, C., Xiang, S.: Semi-supervised music genre classification. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2007) 729–732
- 403. Song, Y., Zhang, C.: Content-based information fusion for semi-supervised music genre classification. IEEE Trans. Multimedia **10**(1) (Jan. 2008) 145–152
- 404. Sordo, M., Celma, O., Blech, M., Guaus, E.: The quest for musical genres: Do the experts and the wisdom of crowds agree? In: Proc. ISMIR. (2008)
- 405. Sotiropoulos, D., Lampropoulos, A., Tsihrintzis, G.: Artificial immune system-based music genre classification. In Tsihrintzis, G., Virvou, M.,

Howlett, R., Jain, L., eds.: New Directions in Intelligent Interactive Multimedia. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2008) 191–200

- 406. Srinivasan, H., Kankanhalli, M.: Harmonicity and dynamics-based features for audio. In: Proc. ICASSP. Volume 4. (May 2004) 321–324
- 407. Sturm, B.L., Noorzad, P.: On automatic music genre recognition by sparse representation classification using auditory temporal modulations. In: Proc. CMMR, London, UK (June 2012)
- 408. Sturm, B.L.: An analysis of the GTZAN music genre dataset. In: Proc. ACM MIRUM Workshop, Nara, Japan (Nov. 2012)
- 409. Sturm, B.L.: Two systems for automatic music genre recognition: What are they really recognizing? In: Proc. ACM MIRUM Workshop, Nara, Japan (Nov. 2012)
- 410. Sturm, B.L.: Classification accuracy is not enough: On the analysis of music genre recognition systems. J. Intell. Info. Systems (submitted 2012)
- 411. Sturm, B.L.: On music genre classification via compressive sampling. In: Proc. ICME. (submitted 2013)
- 412. Sturm, B.L.: Music genre recognition with risk and rejection. In: Proc. ICME. (submitted 2013)
- 413. Su, Z.Y., Wu, T.: Multifractal analyses of music sequences. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **221**(2) (2006) 188 – 194
- 414. Sundaram, S., Narayanan, S.: Experiments in automatic genre classification of full-length music tracks using audio activity rate. In: Proc. IEEE Workshop Multimedia Signal Process. (2007)
- 415. Tacchini, E., Damiani, E.: What is a "musical world"? an affinity propagation approach. In: Proc. ACM MIRUM Workshop, Scottsdale, AZ, USA (Nov. 2011) 57–62
- 416. Happi Tietche, B., Romain, O., Denby, B., Benaroya, L., Viateur, S.: FPGA-based radio-on-demand broadcast receiver with musical genre identification. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Industrial Elect. (May 2012) 1381– 1385
- 417. Tsai, W.H., Bao, D.F.: Clustering music recordings based on genres. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Info. Sci. App. (2010)
- 418. Tsatsishvili, V.: Automatic subgenre classification of heavy metal music. Master's thesis, University of Jyväskylä (Nov. 2011)
- 419. Tsunoo, E., Tzanetakis, G., Ono, N., Sagayama, S.: Audio genre classification by clustering percussive patterns. In: Proc. Acoustical Society of Japan. (2009)
- 420. Tsunoo, E., Tzanetakis, G., Ono, N., Sagayama, S.: Audio genre classification using percussive pattern clustering combined with timbral features. In: Proc. ICME. (2009)
- 421. Tsunoo, E., Tzanetakis, G., Ono, N., Sagayama, S.: Beyond timbral statistics: Improving music classification using percussive patterns and bass lines. IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Lang. Process. 19(4) (May 2011) 1003– 1014
- 422. Turnbull, D., Elkan, C.: Fast recognition of musical genres using RBF networks. IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng. **17**(4) (Apr. 2005) 580–584

- 423. Typke, R., Wiering, F., Veltkamp, R.C.: A survey of music information retrieval systems. In: Proc. ISMIR, London, U.K. (Sep. 2005)
- 424. Tzagkarakis, C., Mouchtaris, A., Tsakalides, P.: Musical genre classification via generalized gaussian and alpha-stable modeling. In: Proc. ICASSP. (May 2006)
- 425. Tzanetakis, G., Essl, G., Cook, P.: Automatic music genre classification of audio signals. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2001)
- 426. Tzanetakis, G., Cook, P.: Musical genre classification of audio signals. IEEE Trans. Speech Audio Process. **10**(5) (July 2002) 293–302
- 427. Tzanetakis, G.: Manipulation, Analysis and Retrieval Systems for Audio Signals. PhD thesis, Princeton University (June 2002)
- 428. Tzanetakis, G., Ermolinskyi, A., Cook, P.: Pitch histograms in audio and symbolic music information retrieval. J. New Music Research **32**(2) (2003) 143–152
- 429. Umapathy, K., Krishnan, S., Jimaa, S.: Multigroup classification of audio signals using time-frequency parameters. IEEE Trans. Multimedia 7(2) (Apr. 2005) 308–315
- 430. Valdez, N., Guevara, R.: Feature set for philippine gong music classification by indigenous group. In: Proc. IEEE Region 10 Conf. (Nov. 2011) 339–343
- 431. Vatolkin, I., Theimer, W.M., Botteck, M.: Partition based feature processing for improved music classification. In: Proc. Ann. Conf. German Classification Soc. (2010) 411–419
- 432. Vatolkin, I., Preuß, M., Rudolph, G.: Multi-objective feature selection in music genre and style recognition tasks. In: Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conf. (2011)
- 433. Vatolkin, I.: Multi-objective evaluation of music classification. In Gaul, W.A., Geyer-Schulz, A., Schmidt-Thieme, L., Kunze, J., eds.: Challenges at the Interface of Data Analysis, Computer Science, and Optimization. Springer Berlin (2012) 401–410
- 434. Volk, A., van Kranenburg, P.: Melodic similarity among folk songs: An annotation study on similarity-based categorization in music. MusicæScientiæ16(3) (2012) 317–339
- 435. Völkel, T., Abeßer, J., Dittmar, C., Großmann, H.: Automatic genre classification of Latin music using characteristic rhythmic patterns. In: Proc. Audio Mostly Conf., Pitae, Sweden (2010)
- 436. Wang, L., Huang, S., Wang, S., Liang, J., Xu, B.: Music genre classification based on multiple classifier fusion. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Natural Computation. (2008)
- 437. Wang, F., Wang, X., Shao, B., Li, T., Ogihara, M.: Tag integrated multilabel music style classification with hypergraph. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2009)
- 438. Wang, D., Li, T., Ogihara, M.: Are tags better than audio? the effect of joint use of tags and audio content features for artistic style clustering. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2010) 57–62
- 439. Watanabe, S., Nemoto, M.: Reinforcing property of music in java sparrows (padda oryzivora). Behavioural Processes **43**(2) (1998) 211 218

- 440. Watanabe, S., Sato, K.: Discriminative stimulus properties of music in java sparrows. Behavioural processes 47(1) (1999) 53–57
- 441. Watanabe, S.: How animals perceive music?: comparative study of discriminative and reinforcing properties of music for infrahuman animals. CARLS series of advanced study of logic and sensibility **2** (2008) 5–16
- 442. Weihs, C., Ligges, U., Morchen, F., Mullensiefen, D.: Classification in music research. Advances in Data Analysis and Classification 1(3) (Dec. 2007) 255–291
- 443. Welsh, M., Borisov, N., Hill, J., von Behren, R., Woo, A.: Querying large collections of music for similarity. Technical report, University of California, Berkeley (1999)
- 444. West, K., Cox, S.: Features and classifiers for the automatic classification of musical audio signals. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2004)
- 445. West, K., Cox, S.: Finding an optimal segmentation for audio genre classification. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2005) 680–685
- 446. West, K., Lamere, P.: A model-based approach to constructing music similarity functions. EURASIP J. Applied Signal Process. 1(1) (Jan. 2007) 149–149
- 447. West, K.: Novel techniques for Audio Music Classification and Search. PhD thesis, University of East Anglia (2008)
- 448. Whitman, B., Smaragdis, P.: Combining musical and cultural features for intelligent style detection. In: Proc. ISMIR, Paris, France (Oct. 2002)
- 449. Wiggins, G.A.: Semantic gap?? Schemantic schmap!! Methodological considerations in the scientific study of music. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Mulitmedia. (Dec. 2009) 477–482
- 450. Wu, M.J., Chen, Z.S., Jang, J.S.R., Ren, J.M.: Combining visual and acoustic features for music genre classification. In: Int. Conf. Machine Learning and Applications. (2011)
- 451. Wülfing, J., Riedmiller, M.: Unsupervised learning of local features for music classification. In: Proc. ISMIR, Porto, Portugal (Oct. 2012)
- 452. Xu, C., Maddage, M., Shao, X., Cao, F., Tian, Q.: Musical genre classification using support vector machines. In: Proc. ICASSP. (2003)
- 453. Yang, W., Yu, X., Deng, J., Pan, X., Wang, Y.: Audio classification based on fuzzy-rough nearest neighbour clustering. In: Proc. Int. Comm. Conf. Wireless Mobile Comp. (2011) 320–324
- 454. Yang, X., Chen, Q., Zhou, S., Wang, X.: Deep belief networks for automatic music genre classification. In: Proc. INTERSPEECH. (2011) 2433–2436
- 455. Yao, Q., Li, H., Sun, J., Ma, L.: Visualized feature fusion and style evaluation for musical genre analysis. In: Int. Conf. Pervasive Computing, Signal Process. and App. (2010)
- 456. Yaslan, Y., Cataltepe, Z.: Audio music genre classification using different classifiers and feature selection methods. In: Proc. ICPR. (2006) 573–576
- 457. Yeh, C.C.M., Yang, Y.H.: Supervised dictionary learning for music genre classification. In: Proc. ACM Int. Conf. Multimedia Retrieval, Hong Kong, China (Jun. 2012)

- 458. Ying, T.C., Doraisamy, S., Abdullah, L.N.: Genre and mood classification using lyric features. In: Int. Conf. Information Retrieval and Knowledge Management. (2012)
- 459. Yoon, W.J., Lee, K.K., Park, K.S., Yoo, H.Y.: Automatic classification of western music in digital library. In Fox, E., Neuhold, E., Premsmit, P., Wuwongse, V., eds.: Digital Libraries: Implementing Strategies and Sharing Experiences. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg (2005) 293–300
- 460. Zanoni, M., Ciminieri, D., Sarti, A., Tubaro, S.: Searching for dominant high-level features for music information retrieval. In: Proc. EUSIPCO, Bucharest, Romania (Aug. 2012) 2025–2029
- 461. Zeng, Z., Zhang, S., Li, H., Liang, W., Zheng, H.: A novel approach to musical genre classification using probabilistic latent semantic analysis model. In: Proc. ICME. (2009) 486–489
- 462. Zhang, Y., Zhou, J.: A study on content-based music classification. In: Proc. Int. Symp. Signal Process. App. (July 2003) 113–116
- 463. Zhang, Y.B., Zhou, J., Wang, X.: A study on Chinese traditional opera. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Machine Learning and Cybernetics. (July 2008) 2476–2480
- 464. Zhen, C., Xu, J.: Solely tag-based music genre classification. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Web Info. Syst. Mining. (2010)
- 465. Zhen, C., Xu, J.: Multi-modal music genre classification approach. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comp. Sci. and Info. Tech. (2010)
- 466. Zhou, G.T., Ting, K.M., Liu, F.T., Yin, Y.: Relevance feature mapping for content-based multimedia information retrieval. Patt. Recog. 45 (2012) 1707–1720
- 467. Zhu, J., Xue, X., Lu, H.: Musical genre classification by instrumental features. In: Proc. ICMC. (2004)
- 468. Fabbri, F.: A theory of musical genres: Two applications. In: Proc. Int. Conf. Popular Music Studies, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (1980)
- 469. Frow, J.: Genre. Routledge, New York, NY, USA (2005)
- 470. Bertin-Mahieux, T., Eck, D., Mandel, M.: Automatic tagging of audio: The state-of-the-art. In Wang, W., ed.: Machine Audition: Principles, Algorithms and Systems. IGI Publishing (2010)
- 471. Kim, Y., Schmidt, E., Migneco, R., Morton, B., Richardson, P., Scott, J., Speck, J., Turnbull, D.: Music emotion recognition: A state of the art review. In: ISMIR. (2010) 255–266
- 472. Soltau, H.: Erkennung von Musikstilen. PhD thesis, Universität Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany (May 1997)
- 473. Kiernan, F.J.: Score-based style recognition using artificial neural networks. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2000)
- 474. Avcu, N., Kuntalp, D., Alpkocak, v.: Musical genre classification using higher-order statistics. In: Proc. IEEE Signal Process. Comm. Apps. (June 2007) 1–4
- 475. Bagci, U., Erzin, E.: Inter genre similarity modeling for automatic music genre classification. In: Proc. IEEE Signal Process. Comm. Apps. (Apr. 2006) 1–4

- 476. Herkiloglu, K., Gursoy, O., Gunsel, B.: Music genre determination using audio fingerprinting. In: Proc. IEEE Signal Process. and Comm. App. (Apr. 2006) 1–4
- 477. Sonmez, A.: Music genre and composer identification by using kolmogorov distance. Master's thesis, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey (2005)
- 478. Yaslan, Y., Cataltepe, Z.: Music genre classification using audio features, different classifiers and feature selection methods. In: Proc. IEEE Signal Process. Comm. Apps. (Apr. 2006) 1–4
- 479. Yaslan, Y., Cataltepe, Z.: Audio genre classification with co-mrmr. In: Proc. IEEE Signal Process. Comm. Apps. (Apr. 2009) 408–411
- 480. Allamanche, E., Kastner, T., Wistorf, R., Lefebvre, N., Herre, J.: Music genre estimation from low level audio features. In: Proc. Int. Audio Eng. Soc. Conf. (2004)
- 481. Seo, J.S.: An informative feature selection method for music genre classification. Trans. Japanese Eng. Tech. Org. **94-D**(6) (2011) 1362–1365
- 482. Berenzweig, A., Logan, B., Ellis, D.P.W., Whitman, B.: A large-scale evaluation of acoustic and subjective music-similarity measures. Computer Music J. 28(2) (Mar. 2004) 63–76
- 483. Goto, M., Hashiguchi, H., Nishimura, T., Oka, R.: RWC music database: Music genre database and musical instrument sound database. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2003)
- 484. Bertin-Mahieux, T., Ellis, D.P., Whitman, B., Lamere, P.: The million song dataset. In: Proc. ISMIR. (2011)
- 485. Law, E.: Human computation for music classification. In Li, T., Ogihara, M., Tzanetakis, G., eds.: Music Data Mining. CRC Press (2011) 281–301