

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7408>

Pekka Abrahamsson · Andreas Jedlitschka
Anh Nguyen Duc · Michael Felderer
Sousuke Amasaki · Tommi Mikkonen (Eds.)

Product-Focused Software Process Improvement

17th International Conference, PROFES 2016
Trondheim, Norway, November 22–24, 2016
Proceedings

Editors

Pekka Abrahamsson
Norwegian University of Science
and Technology
Trondheim
Norway

Andreas Jedlitschka
Fraunhofer Institute for Experimental
Software Engineering
Kaiserslautern
Germany

Anh Nguyen Duc
Norwegian University of Science
and Technology
Trondheim
Norway

Michael Felderer
University of Innsbruck
Innsbruck
Austria

Sousuke Amasaki
Okayama Prefectural University
Soja
Japan

Tommi Mikkonen
Tampere University of Technology
Tampere
Finland

ISSN 0302-9743

ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)

Lecture Notes in Computer Science

ISBN 978-3-319-49093-9

ISBN 978-3-319-49094-6 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-49094-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016955998

LNCS Sublibrary: SL2 – Programming and Software Engineering

© Springer International Publishing AG 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

The 17th International Conference on Product-Focused Software Process Improvement (PROFES 2016) brought together software researchers and industrial practitioners to Trondheim in Norway, during November 22–24, 2016. The hosting organization was the Department of Computer and Information Science (IDI) from the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. It is notable that this was the first time the PROFES conference was held in Norway, which is a country known for its advanced IT services and infrastructure. Norway is quickly becoming the hotspot of ICT development and innovations in Scandinavia. In the spirit of the PROFES conference series, PROFES 2016 focused on how the challenges of improving software development within the different practice areas such as requirements, design, construction, testing, maintenance, process, methods, management, etc. The conference has always encouraged submissions of research papers based on empirical evidence ranging from controlled experiments to case studies and from quantitative to qualitative studies.

This year we received 82 submissions of which 24 were selected as full papers and 21 as short papers. The scientific works were strictly scrutinized by international Program Committee members. Scientific papers in the PROFES conference received three or four reviews each.

As a novelty for 2016, we introduced a new track for the conference called “Radical Challenge Track.” The intent was to draw ideas from the scientific and professional software communities who are searching ways to build the next paradigm for software development. The contributions in such a track are less scientific in their nature but are argued in a compelling way. We wanted to provide an opportunity for the community to present ideas that generate discussion and have the capacity to push the field forward in an unexpected way. The submissions were still to be peer-reviewed to ensure the quality and they were to be included in the technical conference proceedings. Both full papers and short papers on radical challenges were welcomed. However, it appears that the community is not ready for such track because we did not receive any submissions under the heading of radical challenges. We were able to attract a few papers dealing with the future of computing and were thus able to conclude the conference with these presentations and a panel dealing with issues of tomorrow. We will continue to motivate the community and especially the more senior scientists to begin undertaking ambitious endeavors dealing with tomorrow’s challenges. We are well aware of the fact the digitalization process, Internet of Things, and big data require a lot of software to run them effectively and such issues should be raised under discussion sooner rather than later.

Another topic in the academic circles in the past years, which also includes the PROFES community, is the participation of the industrial community in the discussions, presentations, and experience sharing. Since 10–15 years ago, the development has actually been heading in the opposite direction. Industrial practitioners appear to organize themselves organically among certain topics quite effectively and are less

keen in participating in classic scientific conferences. PROFES 2016 recognized this and organized tutorials on topics and themes of industrial interest. There were nine tutorials held on topics such as regulated software development, DevOps, lean start-ups, innovation, and software security. Scientific software engineering research needs industrial attention to survive and prosper.

The keynote speakers this year were of high quality. Mikko Terho is the CTO for Mobile Software and the site manager of Huawei's R&D center in Finland. As one of the founding board members of Symbian, at the time the leading open mobile operating system, Mikko Terho has had a significant influence on, and made a substantial contribution to, the development of the mobile industry as a whole. He was one of the few persons who were appointed as Nokia Fellow. Dag Sjøberg is Professor in Software Engineering and works at the Department of Informatics in University of Oslo in Norway. He is one the brightest software researchers around and has had a significant impact in the field. Dag Sjøberg sees that software systems form the foundation of the economic, political, social, cultural, and scientific spheres of modern information society. Such systems are, for example, crucial to solving global humanitarian and environmental problems.

We are thankful for having had the opportunity to organize PROFES 2016 in Trondheim. The Program Committee members and reviewers provided excellent support in reviewing the papers. We are also grateful to the authors, presenters, and session chairs for their time and effort that made PROFES 2016 a success. We are especially thankful to Prof. Letizia Jaccheri, the head of the Department of Computer and Information Science at NTNU, for providing the conference with the financial backing and helping in the organization. We would like to thank the PROFES steering group members and organizations (University of Oulu, VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland and Fraunhofer IESE) for the guidance and support in the organization process. Finally, we would like to thank the NTNU IDI's student and staff volunteers for making PROFES 2016 an experience that will live in the memory of the participants for years to come.

September 2016

Pekka Abrahamsson
Andreas Jedlitschka
Anh Nguyen Duc
Michael Felderer
Sousuke Amasaki
Tommi Mikkonen

Organization



PROFES 2016 was hosted by the Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Computer and Information Science.

General Chair

Tommi Mikkonen Tampere University of Technology, Finland

Program Co-chairs

Pekka Abrahamsson Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Andreas Jedlitschka Fraunhofer IESE, Germany

Organization Chair

Jingyue Li Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

Proceedings Chair

Anh Nguyen Duc Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

Short Paper Co-chairs

Michael Felderer Innsbruck University, Austria
Sousuke Amasaki Okayama University, Japan

Publicity and Social Media Co-chairs

Daniel Méndez Technische Universität München, Germany
Daniel Graziotin University of Stuttgart, Germany

Poster Co-chairs

Tanja Suomalainen VTT Technical Research Center of Finland
Masud Fazal-Baqaie S&N CQM, Germany

Design Chair

Juhani Risku Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

PhD Symposium Co-chairs

Xiaofeng Wang University of Bolzano, Italy
John Noll The Irish Software Research Center, Ireland

Workshop and Tutorial Co-chairs

Daniela S. Cruzes SINTEF, Norway
Sabrina Marczak PUCRS, Porto Alegre, Brazil

Program Committee

Andreas Birk SWPM, Germany
Anh Nguyen Duc Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Andreas Jedlitschka Fraunhofer Institute for Experimental Software Engineering,
Germany
Barbara Russo Free University of Bolzano/Bozen, Italy
Bruno Rossi Masaryk University, Czech Republic
Daniel Rodriguez The University of Alcalá, Spain
Daniel Méndez Technische Universität München, Germany
Fernández
Daniel Graziotin University of Stuttgart, Germany
Davide Falessi Cal Poly, USA
Dietmar Pfahl University of Tartu, Estonia
Dietmar Winkler Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Frank Houdek Ulm University, Germany
Hironori Washizaki Waseda University, Japan
Jens Heidrich Fraunhofer Institute for Experimental Software Engineering,
Germany
Jingyue Li Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU),
Norway
Jonas Eckhardt Technische Universität München, Germany
Jürgen Münch University of Helsinki, Finland
Klaus Schmid University of Hildesheim, Germany
Kurt Schneider Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany
Lech Madeyski Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Luigi Buglione Engineering.IT/ETS, Canada
Marco Kuhrmann University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
Marco Torchiano Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Marcus Ciolkowski QAware GmbH, Germany
Maria Teresa Università degli Studi di Bari A. Moro, Italy
Baldassarre

Maurizio Morisio	Politecnico di Torino, Italy
Masud Fazal-Baqaie	S&N CQM, Germany
Maya Daneva	University of Twente, The Netherlands
Michael Felderer	University of Innsbruck, Austria
Noriko Hanakawa	Hannan University, Japan
Oscar Dieste	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain
Paolo Panaroni	INTECS, Rome, Italy
Reinhold Plösch	Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, Austria
Rini van Solingen	Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands
Risto Nevalainen	FiSMA Association, Finland
Silvia Abrahão	
Sousuke Amasaki	Okayama Prefectural University, Japan
Tomi Mannisto	University of Helsinki, Finland
Yoshiki Higo	Osaka University, Japan

Main Sponsors



NTNU

Norwegian University of
Science and Technology

Department of Computer and Information
Science, Norwegian University of Science and
Technology



**The Research Council
of Norway**

Norwegian Research Council



City of Trondheim

Contents

Keynotes

The Relationship Between Software Process, Context and Outcome	3
<i>Dag I.K. Sjøberg</i>	

Early Phases in Software Engineering

Eight Paths of Innovations in a Lean Startup Manner: A Case Study	15
<i>Mikko Raatikainen, Marko Komssi, Harri Kiljander, Laura Hokkanen, Jukka Märijärvi, and Omar Mohout</i>	
On the Distinction of Functional and Quality Requirements in Practice	31
<i>Jonas Eckhardt, Andreas Vogelsang, and Daniel Méndez Fernández</i>	
A Survey on Software Release Planning Models	48
<i>David Ameller, Carles Farré, Xavier Franch, and Guillem Rufian</i>	

Organizational Models

A Power Perspective on Software Ecosystem Partnerships	69
<i>George Valença, Carina Alves, and Slinger Jansen</i>	
No More Bosses? A Multi-case Study on the Emerging Use of Non-hierarchical Principles in Large-Scale Software Development . . .	86
<i>Helena Holmström Olsson and Jan Bosch</i>	
Supporting Management of Hybrid OSS Communities - A Stakeholder Analysis Approach	102
<i>Hanna Mäenpää, Tero Kojo, Myriam Munezero, Fabian Fagerholm, Terhi Kilamo, Mikko Nurminen, and Tomi Männistö</i>	

Architecture

A Process Framework for Designing Software Reference Architectures for Providing Tools as a Service	111
<i>Muhammad Aufeef Chauhan, Muhammad Ali Babar, and Christian W. Probst</i>	
Should We Adopt a New Version of a Standard? – A Method and Its Evaluation on AUTOSAR	127
<i>Corrado Motta, Darko Durisic, and Miroslaw Staron</i>	

Choreography Modelling Language for the Embedded Systems Domain: Empirical Evaluation and Lessons Learned.	144
<i>Nebojša Taušan, Jari Lehto, Jouni Markkula, Pasi Kuvaja, and Markku Oivo</i>	

Methods and Tools

An ISO 26262 Compliant Design Flow and Tool for Automotive Multicore Systems.	163
<i>Maria Trei, Salome Maro, Jan-Philipp Steghöfer, and Thomas Peikenkamp</i>	
Evaluating a GUI Development Tool for Internet of Things and Android. . . .	181
<i>Björn A. Johnsson, Martin Höst, and Boris Magnusson</i>	
Application of GQM ⁺ Strategies in a Multi-industry State-Owned Company: An Experience Report	198
<i>Gustavo López, Brenda Aymerich, Diana Garbanzo, and Alexia Pacheco</i>	

Verification and Validation

Is Mutation Testing Ready to Be Adopted Industry-Wide?	217
<i>Jakub Mořucha and Bruno Rossi</i>	
An Effective Verification Strategy for Testing Distributed Automotive Embedded Software Functions: A Case Study	233
<i>Annapurna Chunduri, Robert Feldt, and Mikael Adenmark</i>	
Problems and Solutions in Mobile Application Testing	249
<i>Triin Samuel and Dietmar Pfahl</i>	
Cost-Benefit Analysis of Using Dependency Knowledge at Integration Testing.	268
<i>Sahar Tahvili, Markus Bohlin, Mehrdad Saadatmand, Stig Larsson, Wasif Afzal, and Daniel Sundmark</i>	
Using Surveys and Web-Scraping to Select Tools for Software Testing Consultancy	285
<i>Päivi Raulamo-Jurvanen, Kari Kakkonen, and Mika Mäntylä</i>	
On the Need for a New Generation of Code Review Tools	301
<i>Tobias Baum and Kurt Schneider</i>	

Process Improvement

GQM ⁺ Strategies and IDEAL: A Combination of Approaches to Achieve Continuous SPI: An Experience Report in a Large Multi-industry State-Owned Company	311
<i>Gustavo López, Alexia Pacheco, Francisco Coccozza, Diana Garbanzo, Brenda Aymerich, and Gabriela Marín</i>	
On the Role of Software Quality Management in Software Process Improvement	327
<i>Jan Wiedemann Jacobsen, Marco Kuhrmann, Jürgen Münch, Philipp Diebold, and Michael Felderer</i>	
Transitioning Towards Continuous Experimentation in a Large Software Product and Service Development Organisation – A Case Study	344
<i>Sezin Gizem Yaman, Fabian Fagerholm, Myriam Munezero, Jürgen Münch, Mika Aaltola, Christina Palmu, and Tomi Männistö</i>	
Why Do We Do Software Process Improvement? Study on Commonly Used Goals in Practice.	360
<i>Anna Schmitt and Philipp Diebold</i>	
Developing Processes to Increase Technical Debt Visibility and Manageability – An Action Research Study in Industry	368
<i>Jesse Yli-Huumo, Andrey Maglyas, Kari Smolander, Johan Haller, and Hannu Törnroos</i>	
Applying Social Network Analysis and Centrality Measures to Improve Information Flow Analysis	379
<i>Stephan Kiesling, Jil Klünder, Diana Fischer, Kurt Schneider, and Kai Fischbach</i>	
Design of Project Management Capabilities	387
<i>Solvita Berzisa and Jānis Grabis</i>	

Speed and Agility in System Engineering

Relationship of DevOps to Agile, Lean and Continuous Deployment: A Multivocal Literature Review Study	399
<i>Lucy Ellen Lwakatare, Pasi Kuvaja, and Markku Oivo</i>	
Agile Practices, Collaboration and Experience: An Empirical Study About the Effect of Experience in Agile Software Development	416
<i>Martin Kropp, Andreas Meier, and Robert Biddle</i>	
A Multiple Case Study on the Architect's Role in Scrum	432
<i>Matthias Galster, Samuil Angelov, Marcel Meesters, and Philipp Diebold</i>	

Continuous Integration Applied to Software-Intensive Embedded Systems – Problems and Experiences.	448
<i>Torvald Mårtensson, Daniel Ståhl, and Jan Bosch</i>	
Exploring Norms in Agile Software Teams	458
<i>Viktoria Stray, Tor Erlend Fægri, and Nils Brede Moe</i>	
Forces that Prevent Agile Adoption in the Automotive Domain	468
<i>Philipp Hohl, Jürgen Münch, Kurt Schneider, and Michael Stupperich</i>	
Exploring IoT User Dimensions: A Multi-case Study on User Interactions in ‘Internet of Things’ Systems.	477
<i>Helena H. Olsson, Jan Bosch, and Brian Katumba</i>	
Requirements and Quality	
An Industrial Case Study on Measuring the Quality of the Requirements Scoping Process	487
<i>Krzysztof Wnuk, Markus Borg, and Sardar Muhammad Sulaman</i>	
Quality Rule Violations in SharePoint Applications: An Empirical Study in Industry	495
<i>Apostolos Ampatzoglou, Paris Avgeriou, Thom Koenders, Pascal van Alphen, and Ioannis Stamelos</i>	
Quality Assurance of Requirements Artifacts in Practice: A Case Study and a Process Proposal	506
<i>Henning Femmer, Benedikt Hauptmann, Sebastian Eder, and Dagmar Moser</i>	
Commodity Eats Innovation for Breakfast: A Model for Differentiating Feature Realization	517
<i>Aleksander Fabijan, Helena Holmström Olsson, and Jan Bosch</i>	
Process and Repository Mining	
PROMOTE: A Process Mining Tool for Embedded System Development . . .	529
<i>Arttu Leppäkoski and Timo D. Hämäläinen</i>	
Evaluation of Kano-like Models Defined for Using Data Extracted from Online Sources	539
<i>Huishi Yin and Dietmar Pfahl</i>	
Log File Analyzing in Intelligent Transportation Systems Development	550
<i>Esa Heikkinen and Timo D. Hämäläinen</i>	

On the Effectiveness of Vector-Based Approach for Supporting Simultaneous Editing of Software Clones.	560
<i>Seiya Numata, Norihiro Yoshida, Eunjong Choi, and Katsuro Inoue</i>	

Business Value and Benefits

The Developers Dilemma: Perfect Product Development or Fast Business Validation?.	571
<i>Henri Terho, Sampo Suonsyrjä, and Kari Systä</i>	
Workshop-Based Corporate Foresight Process: A Case Study	580
<i>Leila Saari, Tanja Suomalainen, Raija Kuusela, and Tapio Hämeen-Anttila</i>	
DevOps Adoption Benefits and Challenges in Practice: A Case Study	590
<i>Leah Riungu-Kalliosaari, Simo Mäkinen, Lucy Ellen Lwakatare, Juha Tiihonen, and Tomi Männistö</i>	
Towards Continuous Customer Satisfaction and Experience Management: A Measurement Framework Design Case in Wireless B2B Industry	598
<i>Petri Kettunen, Mikko Ämmälä, Tanja Sauvola, Susanna Teppola, Jari Partanen, and Simo Rontti</i>	

Emerging Research Topics

Gamification of Software Testing - An MLR	611
<i>Mika V. Mäntylä and Kari Smolander</i>	
Internationally Distributed Software Development: On the Impact of Distance Based on a Case Study	615
<i>Harri Sten, Hannu Jaakkola, and Kari Systä</i>	
Using Scrum to Develop a Formal Model – An Experience Report	621
<i>Marta Olszewska, Sergey Ostroumov, and Marina Waldén</i>	
Towards Better Selection Between Moving Windows and Growing Portfolio	627
<i>Sousuke Amasaki and Chris Lokan</i>	
Assessing the Behavior of Software Analysis Tools.	631
<i>Lerina Aversano, Carmine Grasso, Pasquale Grasso, and Maria Tortorella</i>	
Driving Academic Spin-off by Software Development Process: A Case Study in Federal Institute of Rio Grande do Norte - Brazil	636
<i>Claudia M.F.A. Ribeiro, Fellipe A. Aleixo, and Marília A. Freire</i>	

Future of Computing

The CRUSOE Framework: A Holistic Approach to Analysing Prerequisites for Continuous Software Engineering.	643
<i>Teemu Karvonen, Tanja Suomalainen, Marko Juntunen, Tanja Sauvola, Pasi Kuvaja, and Markku Oivo</i>	
Software Development in the Post-PC Era: Towards Software Development as a Service	662
<i>Sami Alajrami, Alexander Romanovsky, and Barbara Gallina</i>	

Invited Papers

The Origins of Design Thinking and the Relevance in Software Innovations . . .	675
<i>Matilde Bisballe Jensen, Federico Lozano, and Martin Steinert</i>	
Playing Protection Poker for Practical Software Security	679
<i>Martin Gilje Jaatun and Inger Anne Tøndel</i>	
Exploring Expectations About Risk-Based Testing: Towards Increasing Effectiveness and Efficiency	683
<i>Michael Felderer and Rudolf Ramler</i>	

2nd International Workshop on Human Factors in Software Development Processes

Human Factors in Software Development Processes: Measuring System Quality	691
<i>Silvia Abrahao, Maria Teresa Baldassarre, Danilo Caivano, Yvonne Dittrich, Rosa Lanzilotti, and Antonio Piccinno</i>	
Gamification and Functional Prototyping to Support Motivation Towards Software Process Improvement	697
<i>Mercedes Ruiz, Manuel Trinidad, and Alejandro Calderón</i>	
Exploring Mobile User Experience Through Code Quality Metrics	705
<i>Gerardo Canfora, Andrea Di Sorbo, Francesco Mercaldo, and Corrado Aaron Visaggio</i>	
Early Usability in Model-Driven Game Development	713
<i>Silvia Abrahão, Emilio Insfran, José Ángel Carsí, and Adrián Fernandez</i>	
What Aspects of Context Should Be Described in Case Studies About Software Teams? Preliminary Results from a Mapping Study	723
<i>Maria Teresa Baldassarre, César França, and Fabio Q.B. da Silva</i>	

Miscommunication in Software Projects: Early Recognition Through Tendency Forecasts	731
<i>Fabian Kortum, Jil Klünder, and Kurt Schneider</i>	

Doctoral Symposium

A Research Proposal: Tracking Open Source Software Evolution for the Characterization of Its Evolutionary Behavior.	741
<i>Munish Saini and Kuljit Kaur Chahal</i>	

Transition from Plan-Driven to Agile: An Action Research	746
<i>Mohammad Abdur Razzak</i>	

Software Product Innovation Through Startup Experimentation in Large Companies	751
<i>Henry Edison</i>	

Tutorials

Tutorials at PROFES 2016.	759
<i>Daniela S. Cruzes and Sabrina Markzac</i>	

Continuous Experimentation: Accelerating Innovation Through Highly Effective Experiments	761
<i>Jürgen Münch</i>	

Integrating Agile Development with Process Standards Like ASPICE and ISO 26262	763
<i>Even-André Karlsson</i>	

Architecture Evaluation - Threat or Opportunity?	765
<i>Even-André Karlsson</i>	

SafeScrum Tutorial	767
<i>Geir Kjetil Hanssen, Thor Myklebust, Tor Stålhane, and Børge Haugset</i>	

Creating Champions and Battling Dragons – How to Create a DevOps Culture	770
<i>Pål Thomassen and Ingrid Sorgendal</i>	

Lean Startups in Established Companies: How to Make it Really Happen and How to Avoid Common Pitfalls	772
<i>Nils Brede Moe and Tone Merethe Aasen</i>	

Erratum to: Supporting Management of Hybrid OSS Communities - A Stakeholder Analysis Approach.	E1
<i>Hanna Mäenpää, Tero Kojo, Myriam Munezero, Fabian Fagerholm, Terhi Kilamo, Mikko Nurminen, and Tomi Männistö</i>	

Author Index	775
-------------------------------	------------