

*Commenced Publication in 1973*

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

## Editorial Board

David Hutchison

*Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK*

Takeo Kanade

*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA*

Josef Kittler

*University of Surrey, Guildford, UK*

Jon M. Kleinberg

*Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA*

Friedemann Mattern

*ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland*

John C. Mitchell

*Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA*

Moni Naor

*Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*

C. Pandu Rangan

*Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India*

Bernhard Steffen

*TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany*

Demetri Terzopoulos

*University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*

Doug Tygar

*University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA*

Gerhard Weikum

*Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany*

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7410>

Orr Dunkelman · Somitra Kumar Sanadhya (Eds.)

# Progress in Cryptology – INDOCRYPT 2016

17th International Conference on Cryptology in India  
Kolkata, India, December 11–14, 2016  
Proceedings

*Editors*

Orr Dunkelman  
University of Haifa  
Haifa  
Israel

Somitra Kumar Sanadhya  
Indraprastha Institute of Information  
Technology (IIIT-D)  
New Delhi  
India

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)  
Lecture Notes in Computer Science  
ISBN 978-3-319-49889-8 ISBN 978-3-319-49890-4 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-49890-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016957382

LNCS Sublibrary: SL4 – Security and Cryptology

© Springer International Publishing AG 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Preface

Since its introduction in 2000, INDOCRYPT has been widely acknowledged as the leading Indian venue for cryptography. As part of this tradition, INDOCRYPT 2016 was held during December 11–14, in Kolkata. This was the fourth time the conference was hosted Kolkata since its introduction by Prof. Bimal Roy. Past venues were held throughout India: Kolkata (2000, 2006, 2012, 2016), Chennai (2001, 2004, 2007, 2011), Hyderabad (2002, 2010), New Delhi (2003, 2009, 2014), Bangalore (2005, 2015), Kharagpur (2008), and Mumbai (2013).

INDOCRYPT 2016 attracted 84 submissions from 20 different countries, out of which 23 were selected at the end of a long review process: Most papers were reviewed by at least three committee members, whereas papers co-authored by Program Committee members were reviewed by at least five reviewers. In addition to the 283 reviews (produced with the aid of 91 additional reviewers), the Program Committee generated 223 comments during the discussion phase. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all the members of the Program Committee, as well as all the external reviewers who helped in the challenging reviewing process.

The submission and review process was done using the iChair software package. We wish to express our sincere gratitude to Thomas Baignères and Matthieu Finiasz for the iChair software, which facilitated a smooth and easy submission and review process.

In addition to the 23 presentations of accepted papers, the attendees of INDOCRYPT also enjoyed three invited talks given by leading experts. Claudio Orlandi (Denmark) spoke about “Faster Zero-Knowledge Protocols for General Circuits and Applications”; the talk by François-Xavier Standaert (Belgium) covered “Leakage-Resilient Symmetric Cryptography”; and Tetsu Iwata (Japan) discussed “Breaking and Repairing Security Proofs of Authenticated Encryption Schemes.”

Finally, we would like to thank the general chair, Prof. Bimal Roy, and the local organizing team comprising members from the Applied Statistics Unit, the R.C. Bose Center for Cryptology and Security at ISI Kolkata, and the Cryptology Research Society of India.

December 2016

Orr Dunkelman  
Somitra Sanadhya

# Organization

## General Chair

Bimal Roy

Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, India

## Program Chairs

Orr Dunkelman

University of Haifa, Israel

Somitra Sanadhya

Indraprastha Institute of Information Technology  
Delhi, India

## Program Committee

Diego Aranha

University of Campinas, Brazil

Jean-Philippe Aumasson

Kudelski Security, Switzerland

Steve Babbage

Vodafone Group, UK

Begül Bilgin

KU Leuven, Belgium

Rishiraj Bhattacharya

Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, India

Céline Blondeau

Aalto University, Finland

Andrey Bogdanov

Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

Itai Dinur

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel

Helena Handschuh

Cryptography Research, USA and KU Leuven,  
Belgium

Carmit Hazay

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Takanori Isobe

Sony Corporation, Japan

Nathan Keller

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Tanja Lange

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Gaëtan Leurent

Inria, France

Atefeh Mashatan

Ryerson University, Canada

Florian Mendel

Graz University of Technology, Austria

Katerina Mitrokotsa

Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden

Amir Moradi

Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany

Debdeep Mukhopadhyay

IIT Kharagpur, India

David Naccache

ENS, France

Michael Naehrig

Microsoft Research, USA

Elisabeth Oswald

University of Bristol, UK

Arpita Patra

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

Thomas Peyrin

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Axel Poschmann

NXP Semiconductors, Germany

Vanishree Rao

PARC, USA

Francisco Rodríguez-Henríquez	CINVESTAV-IPN, Mexico
Bimal Roy	Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, India
Santanu Sarkar	IIT Madras, India
Jean-Pierre Seifert	Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Sourav Sen Gupta	Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata, India
François-Xavier Standaert	UCL, Belgium
Muthuramakrishnan Venkitasubramaniam	University of Rochester, USA
Xiaoyun Wang	Tsinghua University, China

## Additional Reviewers

Gora Adj	Hannes Gross	Elena Pagnin
Shashank Agarwal	Mike Hamburg	Sumit Kumar Pandey
Gilad Asharov	Shoichi Hirose	Tapas Pandit
Josep Balasch	Harunaga Hiwatari	Sikhar Patranabis
Subhadeep Banik	Mike Hutter	Oxana Poburinnaya
Paulo S.L.M. Barreto	Dirmanto Jap	Antigoni Polychroniadou
Rana Barua	Mahabir Jhawar	Somindu Ramanna
Srimanta Bhattacharya	Bhavana Kanukurthi	Guillaume Rambaud
Johannes Blömer	Mikko Kiviharju	Shantanu Rane
Debrup Chakraborty	Ilya Kizhvatov	Joost Renes
Suvradip Chakraborty	François Koeune	Bastian Richter
Ayantika Chatterjee	Kim Laine	Lil Rodríguez-Henríquez
Amit Kumar Chauhan	Bei Liang	Sushmita Ruj
Chien-Ning Chen	Patrick Longa	Debapriya Basu Roy
Ran Cohen	Atul Luykx	Vishal Saraswat
Deirdre Connolly	Monosij Maitra	Pascal Sasdrich
Somindu C.R.	Subhamoy Maitra	Tobias Schneider
Abhijit Das	Daniel Malinowski	Kyoji Shibutani
Poulami Das	Mark Marson	Igor Shparlinski
Thomas De Cnudde	Takahiro Matsuda	Danilo Šijačić
David Derler	Siang Meng Sim	Deng Tang
Sandra Díaz-Santiago	Santos Merino del Pozo	Mehdi Tibouchi
Ning Ding	Guillermo Morales-Luna	Ayineedi Venkateswarlu
Christoph Dobraunig	Pratyay Mukherjee	Vincent Verneuil
Luis J. Dominguez Perez	Sayantan Mukherjee	Qingju Wang
Tuyet Duong	Mridul Nandi	Benjamin Wesolowski
Ratna Dutta	Khoa Nguyen	Alexander Wild
Romain Gay	Ruben Niederhagen	Bo-Yin Yang
Satrajit Ghosh	Eduardo Ochoa-Jiménez	Hong-Sheng Zhou
Siyao Gou	Tobias Oder	
Lorenzo Grassi	Claudio Orlandi	

## **Invited Talks**



# Leakage-Resilient Symmetric Cryptography - Overview of the ERC Project CRASH, Part II

François-Xavier Standaert

ICTEAM Institute, Crypto Group, Université catholique de Louvain,  
Ottignies-Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium  
fstandae@uclouvain.be

**Abstract.** Side-channel analysis is an important concern for the security of cryptographic implementations, and may lead to powerful key recovery attacks if no countermeasures are deployed. Therefore, various types of protection mechanisms have been proposed over the last 20 year. The first solutions in this direction were typically aiming at reducing the amount of information leakage directly at the hardware level, and independent of the algorithm implemented. Over the years, a complementary approach (next denoted as leakage-resilience) emerged, trying to exploit the formalism of modern cryptography in order to design new constructions and security models in which the guarantees of provable security can be extended from mathematical objects towards physical ones. This naturally raises the question whether the formal results obtained in these models are practically relevant (both in terms of performance and security)?

The development of sound connections between the formal models of leakage-resilient (symmetric) cryptography and the practice of side-channel attacks was one of the main objectives of the CRASH project funded by the European Research Council. In this talk, I will survey a number of results we obtained in this direction. For this purpose, I will start with a separation result for the security of stateful and stateless primitives. I will then follow with a discussion of (i) pseudorandom building blocks together with the theoretical challenges they raise, and (ii) authentication, encryption and authenticated encryption schemes together with the practical challenges they raise. I will finally conclude by discussing emerging trends in the field of physically secure implementations.

The extended version of this abstract is available from [1].

## Reference

1. <http://perso.uclouvain.be/fstandae/PUBLIS/184.pdf>

# Faster Zero-Knowledge Protocols for General Circuits and Applications

Claudio Orlandi

Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

**Abstract.** *Zero-knowledge protocols (ZKP)* [GMR85] are one of the cornerstones of modern cryptography. In a nutshell, a ZKP allows a prover  $P$  (with a secret input  $x$ ) to persuade a verifier  $V$  that  $f(x) = 1$  for some public function  $f$ , without the  $V$  learning any other information about  $x$ .

A large body of literature has investigated the efficiency of ZKP for statements with a rich algebraic structure, starting from Schnorr’s classic ZKP for discrete logarithm [Sch89]. However, the lack of efficient ZKP for interesting, non-algebraic statements (such as “*I know  $x$  such that  $SHA - 256(x) = y$* ” for a public  $y$ ), has arguably prevented the application of ZKPs to real-world applications.

In this talk I will describe two recent ZKPs for arbitrary circuits, ZKGC [JKO13] and ZKBoo [GMO16], together with their applications.

The first protocol (ZKGC), leveraging on the impressive advances in the field of practically efficient secure two-party computation (2PC), proposes to perform *zero-knowledge from garbled Boolean circuits*. As opposed to general 2PC (where many copies of the circuit must be garbled to achieve active security), when constructing ZKP it is enough to garble and evaluate *a single circuit*. Moreover, due to the nature of the application (since the verifier has no secret input), more efficient special purpose *privacy-free garbling schemes* [FNO15] can be used instead.

The second protocol instead (ZKBoo) follows a more classic “commit-challenge-response” structure (i.e., is a  $\Sigma$ -protocol). In ZKBoo the prover decomposes the computation of the function  $f$  in such a way that subsets of the computation can be checked by the verifier without revealing any information about the input to the computation, following the approach proposed by [IKOS07].

ZKGC and ZKBoo both have interesting properties: ZKGC leads to *smaller proof sizes* and, since it is based on garbled circuits, it can be combined very naturally with pre-existing secure computation tools towards building interesting applications such as: enforcing input validity in secure two-party computation [Bau16, KMW16], attributed-based key exchange with general policies [KKL<sup>+</sup>16], privacy-preserving credentials [CGM16], ZKPs for RAM programs [HMR15], etc.

ZKBoo on the other hand is *faster* and can be used for both Boolean and arithmetic circuits. Perhaps most importantly, ZKBoo can be made *non-interactive* using the Fiat-Shamir [FS86] heuristic. This qualitative advantage allows to use ZKBoo in applications such as (post-quantum) signature schemes from symmetric-key primitives [DOR<sup>+</sup>16], blind certificate authorities [WPaR16], etc.

It is exciting to see the growing number of applications which are enabled (or benefit) by the advances in the realm of ZKPs, and it seems likely that future research will make use of these tools in designing cryptographic solutions to interesting problems.

From a technical point of view, the main bottleneck in ZKGC and ZKBoo is their communication complexity, which in both cases is proportional to the number of non-linear gates in  $f$  times the security parameter (resulting in proof sizes in the order of hundreds of kilobytes for functions like SHA-1/256). Whether and how we can overcome this is a major and very exciting research question.

**Acknowledgements.** Research supported by: the Danish National Research Foundation and The National Science Foundation of China (grant 61361136003) for the Sino-Danish Center for the Theory of Interactive Computation; the European Union Seventh Framework Programme ([FP7/2007-2013]) under grant agreement number ICT-609611 (PRACTICE).

## References

- [Bau16] Baum, C.: On garbling schemes with and without privacy. In: Zikas, V., De Prisco, R. (eds.) *Security and Cryptography for Networks - 10th International Conference, SCN 2016, Amalfi, Italy, 31 August – 2 September 2016, Proceedings*, pp. 468–485. Springer, Switzerland (2016)
- [CGM16] Chase, M., Ganesh, C., Mohassel, P.: Efficient zero-knowledge proof of algebraic and non-algebraic statements with applications to privacy preserving credentials. In: Robshaw, M., Katz, J. (eds.) *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO 2016 - 36th Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, 14–18 August 2016, Proceedings, Part III*, pp. 499–530. Springer, Heidelberg (2016)
- [DOR<sup>+</sup>16] Derler, D., Orlandi, C., Ramacher, S., Rechberger, C., Slamanig, D.: Digital signatures from symmetric-key primitives. In: Manuscript (2016)
- [FNO15] Frederiksen, T.K., Nielsen, J.B., Orlandi, C.: Privacy-free garbled circuits with applications to efficient zero-knowledge. In: Oswald, E., Fischlin, M. (eds.) *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2015 - 34th Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques, Sofia, Bulgaria, 26–30 April 2015, Proceedings, Part II*, pp. 191–219. Springer, Heidelberg (2015)
- [FS86] Fiat, A., Shamir, A.: How to prove yourself: practical solutions to identification and signature problems. In: Odlyzko, A.M. (ed.) *Advances in Cryptology — CRYPTO 1986*, pp. 186–194. Springer, Heidelberg (1986)
- [GMO16] Giacomelli, I., Madsen, J., Orlandi, C.: Zkboo: faster zero-knowledge for boolean circuits. In: 25th USENIX Security Symposium, USENIX Security 16, Austin, TX, USA, 10–12 August 2016, pp. 1069–1083 (2016)
- [GMR85] Goldwasser, S., Micali, S., Rackoff, C.: The knowledge complexity of interactive proof-systems (extended abstract). In: *Proceedings of the 17th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 6–8 May 1985, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, pp. 291–304 (1985)

- [HMR15] Hu, Z., Mohassel, P., Rosulek, M.: Efficient zero-knowledge proofs of non-algebraic statements with sublinear amortized cost. In: Gennaro, R., Robshaw M. (eds.) *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO 2015 - 35th Annual Cryptology Conference*, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, 16–20 August 2015, Proceedings, Part II, pp. 150–169. Springer, Heidelberg (2015)
- [IKOS07] Ishai, Y., Kushilevitz, E., Ostrovsky, R., Sahai, A.: Zero-knowledge from secure multiparty computation. In: *Proceedings of the Thirty-ninth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2007*, pp. 21–30. ACM (2007)
- [JKO13] Jawurek, M., Kerschbaum, F., Orlandi, C.: Zero-knowledge using garbled circuits: how to prove non-algebraic statements efficiently. In: *2013 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, CCS 2013, Berlin, Germany, 4–8 November 2013*, pp. 955–966 (2013)
- [KKL<sup>+</sup>16] Kolesnikov, V., Krawczyk, H., Lindell, Y., Malozemoff, A.J., Rabin, T.: Attribute-based key exchange with general policies. *CCS 2016* (2016). <http://eprint.iacr.org/2016/518>
- [KMW16] Katz, J., Malozemoff, A.J., Wang, X.: Efficiently enforcing input validity in secure two-party computation. *Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2016/184* (2016). <http://eprint.iacr.org/2016/184>
- [Sch89] Schnorr, C.-P.: Efficient identification and signatures for smart cards. In: *CRYPTO*, pp. 239–252 (1989)
- [WPaR16] Wang, L., Pass, R., Shelat, A., Ristenpart, T.: Secure channel injection and anonymous proofs of account ownership. *Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2016/925* (2016) <http://eprint.iacr.org/2016/925>

# Contents

## Public-Key Cryptography

Blending FHE-NTRU Keys – The Excalibur Property . . . . .	3
<i>Louis Goubin and Francisco José Vial Prado</i>	
Approximate-Deterministic Public Key Encryption from Hard Learning Problems . . . . .	25
<i>Yamin Liu, Xianhui Lu, Bao Li, Wenpan Jing, and Fuyang Fang</i>	
Adaptively Secure Strong Designated Signature . . . . .	43
<i>Neetu Sharma, Rajeev Anand Sahu, Vishal Saraswat, and Birendra Kumar Sharma</i>	
The Shortest Signatures Ever . . . . .	61
<i>Mohamed Saied Emam Mohamed and Albrecht Petzoldt</i>	

## Cryptographic Protocols

CRT-Based Outsourcing Algorithms for Modular Exponentiations. . . . .	81
<i>Lakshmi Kuppusamy and Jothi Rangasamy</i>	
Verifiable Computation for Randomized Algorithm. . . . .	99
<i>Muhua Liu, Ying Wu, and Rui Xue</i>	
UC-secure and Contributory Password-Authenticated Group Key Exchange . . .	119
<i>Lin Zhang and Zhenfeng Zhang</i>	

## Side-Channel Attacks

Score-Based vs. Probability-Based Enumeration – A Cautionary Note . . . . .	137
<i>Marios O. Choudary, Romain Poussier, and François-Xavier Standaert</i>	
Analyzing the Shuffling Side-Channel Countermeasure for Lattice-Based Signatures . . . . .	153
<i>Peter Pessl</i>	

## Implementation of Cryptographic Schemes

Atomic-AES: A Compact Implementation of the AES Encryption/Decryption Core . . . . .	173
<i>Subhadeep Banik, Andrey Bogdanov, and Francesco Regazzoni</i>	

Fast Hardware Architectures for Supersingular Isogeny Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange on FPGA . . . . .	191
<i>Brian Koziel, Reza Azarderakhsh, and Mehran Mozaffari-Kermani</i>	
AEZ: Anything-But EaZy in Hardware . . . . .	207
<i>Ekawat Homsirikamol and Kris Gaj</i>	
<b>Functional Encryption</b>	
Private Functional Encryption: Indistinguishability-Based Definitions and Constructions from Obfuscation . . . . .	227
<i>Afonso Arriaga, Manuel Barbosa, and Pooya Farshim</i>	
Revocable Decentralized Multi-Authority Functional Encryption . . . . .	248
<i>Hikaru Tsuchida, Takashi Nishide, Eiji Okamoto, and Kwangjo Kim</i>	
<b>Symmetric-Key Cryptanalysis</b>	
On Linear Hulls and Trails. . . . .	269
<i>Tomer Ashur and Vincent Rijmen</i>	
Related-Key Cryptanalysis of Midori. . . . .	287
<i>David G�rault and Pascal Lafourcade</i>	
Some Proofs of Joint Distributions of Keystream Biases in RC4 . . . . .	305
<i>Sonu Jha, Subhadeep Banik, Takanori Isobe, and Toshihiro Ohigashi</i>	
Practical Low Data-Complexity Subspace-Trail Cryptanalysis of Round-Reduced PRINCE . . . . .	322
<i>Lorenzo Grassi and Christian Rechberger</i>	
<b>Foundations</b>	
On Negation Complexity of Injections, Surjections and Collision-Resistance in Cryptography . . . . .	345
<i>Douglas Miller, Adam Scrivener, Jesse Stern, and Muthuramakrishnan Venkitasubramaniam</i>	
Implicit Quadratic Property of Differentially 4-Uniform Permutations . . . . .	364
<i>Theo Fanuela Prabowo and Chik How Tan</i>	
Secret Sharing for mNP: Completeness Results. . . . .	380
<i>Mahabir Prasad Jhanwar and Kannan Srinathan</i>	
<b>New Cryptographic Constructions</b>	
Receiver Selective Opening Security from Indistinguishability Obfuscation. . .	393
<i>Dingding Jia, Xianhui Lu, and Bao Li</i>	

Format Preserving Sets: On Diffusion Layers of Format Preserving Encryption Schemes . . . . .	411
<i>Kishan Chand Gupta, Sumit Kumar Pandey, and Indranil Ghosh Ray</i>	
<b>Author Index</b> . . . . .	429