Lecture Notes in Computer Science

10145

Commenced Publication in 1973
Founding and Former Series Editors:
Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison, UK

Josef Kittler, UK

Friedemann Mattern, Switzerland

Moni Naor, Israel

Bernhard Steffen, Germany

Doug Tygar, USA

Takeo Kanade, USA

Jon M. Kleinberg, USA

John C. Mitchell, USA

C. Pandu Rangan, India

Demetri Terzopoulos, USA

Gerhard Weikum, Germany

Advanced Research in Computing and Software Science Subline of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Subline Series Editors

Giorgio Ausiello, *University of Rome 'La Sapienza'*, *Italy* Vladimiro Sassone, *University of Southampton, UK*

Subline Advisory Board

Susanne Albers, *TU Munich, Germany*Benjamin C. Pierce, *University of Pennsylvania, USA*Bernhard Steffen, *University of Dortmund, Germany*Deng Xiaotie, *City University of Hong Kong*Jeannette M. Wing, *Microsoft Research, Redmond, WA, USA*

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/7407

Verification, Model Checking, and Abstract Interpretation

18th International Conference, VMCAI 2017 Paris, France, January 15–17, 2017 Proceedings



Editors
Ahmed Bouajjani
IRIF, Université Paris Diderot
Paris
France

David Monniaux VERIMAG, CNRS & Université Grenoble Alpes Grenoble France

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Computer Science ISBN 978-3-319-52233-3 ISBN 978-3-319-52234-0 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-52234-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016963156

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 - Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

This volume contains the papers presented at VMCAI 2017, the 18th International Conference on Verification, Model Checking, and Abstract Interpretation, held during January 15–17, 2017, in Paris, France, co-located with POPL 2017 (the annual ACM SIGPLAN/SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages). Previous meetings were held in Port Jefferson (1997), Pisa (1998), Venice (2002), New York (2003), Venice (2004), Paris (2005), Charleston (2006), Nice (2007), San Francisco (2008), Savannah (2009), Madrid (2010), Austin (2011), Philadelphia (2012), Rome (2013), San Diego (2014), Mumbai (2015), and St. Petersburg, Florida (2016).

VMCAI provides a forum for researchers from the communities of verification, model checking, and abstract interpretation, facilitating interaction, cross-fertilization, and advancement of hybrid methods that combine these and related areas. VMCAI topics include: program verification, model checking, abstract interpretation and abstract domains, program synthesis, static analysis, type systems, deductive methods, program certification, debugging techniques, program transformation, optimization, hybrid and cyber-physical systems.

This year the conference attracted 60 submissions. Each submission was reviewed by at least three Program Committee members. The committee decided to accept 27 papers. The principal selection criteria were relevance, quality, and originality. We are pleased to include in the proceedings the contributions of three invited keynote speakers: Ernie Cohen (Amazon Web Services), Pascal Cuoq (Trust in Soft), and Jasmin Fisher (Microsoft Research). We warmly thank them for their participation and for their contributions.

We would like also to thank the members of the Program Committee and the external reviewers for their excellent work. We also thanks the members of the Steering Committee, and in particular Andreas Podelski and Lenore Zuck, for their helpful advice, assistance, and support. We also thank Laure Gonnord for her invaluable help in all aspects related to the organization of the conference. We thank Annabel Satin for the help in coordinating the events co-located with POPL 2017, and we thank the POPL 2017 Organizing Committee for providing all the logistics for organizing VMCAI. We are also indebted to EasyChair for providing us with an excellent conference management system.

Finally, we would like to thank our generous sponsors: AdaCore, Amazon Web Services, Facebook, and Microsoft Research.

December 2016

Ahmed Bouajjani David Monniaux

Organization

Program Committee

Erika Abraham RWTH Aachen University, Germany

Mohamed Faouzi Atig Uppsala University, Sweden

Roderick Bloem Graz University of Technology, Austria
Ahmed Bouajjani IRIF, Paris Diderot University, France
Wei-Ngan Chin National University of Singapore, Singapore
Deepak D'Souza Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Cezara Drăgoi Inria, ENS, France

Roberto Giacobazzi University of Verona, Italy Laure Gonnord University of Lyon/LIP, France

Orna Grumberg Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel

Dejan Jovanović SRI International, USA Konstantin Korovin Manchester University, UK

Laura Kovacs Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Shuvendu Lahiri Microsoft Research, USA Akash Lal Microsoft Research, India Rupak Majumdar MPI-SWS, Germany

David Monniaux VERIMAG, CNRS & Université Grenoble Alpes, France

Madhavan Mukund Chennai Mathematical Institute, India Corina Pasareanu CMU/NASA Ames Research Center, USA

Andreas Podelski University of Freiburg, Germany
Jean-Francois Raskin Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Sriram Sankaranarayanan University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

Armando Solar-Lezama MIT, USA

Marielle Stoelinga University of Twente, The Netherlands

Boris Yakobowski CEA, LIST, France

Additional Reviewers

Basso-Blandin, Adrien Costea, Andreea Ben-Amram, Amir Coti, Camille Blom, Stefan Darabi, Saeed Bobot, François Dehnert, Christian Brain, Martin Demange, Delphine Braud-Santoni, Nicolas Enea. Constantin Cai, Zhouhong Feret, Jerome Castellan, Simon Forget, Julien Chakarov, Aleksandar Frenkel, Hadar

VIII Organization

Garg, Pranav Ghilardi, Silvio Girault, Alain Gleiss, Bernhard Habermehl, Peter Hadarean, Liana Halbwachs, Nicolas

He, Shaobo

Heußner, Alexander Ho, Hsi-Ming Iusupov, Rinat Jansen, Nils

Jaroschek, Maximilian

Jecker, Ismaël Khalimov, Ayrat Koenighofer, Bettina Konnov, Igor

Korovina, Margarita Kremer, Gereon Kretinsky, Jan Lange, Tim

Le Roux, Stephane Le, Quang Loc Le, Ton Chanh Lee, Benedict Mastroeni, Isabella

Matteplackel, Raj Mohan

Merz, Stephan Mukherjee, Suvam Muoi, Tran Duc Narayan Kumar, K. Navas, Jorge A. Ngo, Tuan Phong Niksic, Filip Petri, Gustavo

Rakamaric, Zvonimir

Rasin, Dan Rensink, Arend Rezine, Othmane Rodriguez, Cesar Roeck, Franz

Rothenberg, Bat-Chen Sangnier, Arnaud Scherer, Gabriel Schilling, Christian

Shi, Jinghao

Sofronie-Stokkermans, Viorica

Suda, Martin Tiwari, Ashish Urban, Caterina van Glabbeek, Rob Vedrine, Franck Verdoolaege, Sven Widder, Josef



Bringing LTL Model Checking to Biologists

Zara Ahmed¹, David Benque², Sergey Berezin³, Anna Caroline E. Dahl⁴, Jasmin Fisher^{1,5}, Benjamin A. Hall⁶, Samin Ishtiaq¹, Jay Nanavati¹, Nir Piterman⁷, Maik Riechert¹, and Nikita Skoblov³

Microsoft Research, Cambridge, UK
 jasmin. fisher@microsoft.com
 ² Royal College of Art, London, UK

 Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
 Center for Technology in Medicine and Health,
 KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Huddinge, Sweden

 Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
 ⁶ MRC Cancer Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

 University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

Abstract. The BioModelAnalyzer (BMA) is a web based tool for the development of discrete models of biological systems. Through a graphical user interface, it allows rapid development of complex models of gene and protein interaction networks and stability analysis without requiring users to be proficient computer programmers. Whilst stability is a useful specification for testing many systems, testing temporal specifications in BMA presently requires the user to perform simulations. Here we describe the LTL module, which includes a graphical and natural language interfaces to testing LTL queries. The graphical interface allows for graphical construction of the queries and presents results visually in keeping with the current style of BMA. The Natural language interface complements the graphical interface by allowing a gentler introduction to formal logic and exposing educational resources.

Verified Concurrent Code: Tricks of the Trade

Ernie Cohen

Amazon Web Services, Wyncote, USA ecohen@amazon.com

Abstract. Modular code verification, suitably extended with shared atomic objects, supports a number of useful verification idioms and semantic models, without further logical extension.

 $\label{lem:keywords: Real-time · Hybrid systems · Probability · Stopping failures · Weak memory · Cryptography · Ownership · Permissions · Simulation · Knowledge · Behavioral polymorphism · Device drivers · Concurrent data structures · Transactions · Linearizability · Deductive verification · VCC$

Detecting Strict Aliasing Violations in the Wild

Pascal Cuoq¹, Loïc Runarvot¹, and Alexander Cherepanov^{2,3}

¹ TrustInSoft, Paris, France
cuoq@trust-in-soft.com
² Openwall, Moscow, Russia
³ National Research University Higher School of Economics,
Moscow, Russia

Abstract. Type-based alias analyses allow C compilers to infer that memory locations of distinct types do not alias. Idiomatic reliance on pointers on the one hand, and separate compilation on the other hand, together make it impossible to get this aliasing information any other way. As a consequence, most modern optimizing C compilers implement some sort of type-based alias analysis. Unfortunately, pointer conversions, another pervasive idiom to achieve code reuse in C, can interact badly with type-based alias analyses. This article investigate the fine line between the allowable uses of low-level constructs (pointer conversions, unions) that should never cause the predictions of a standard-compliant type-based alias analysis to be wrong, and the dangerous uses that can result in bugs in the generated binary. A sound and precise analyzer for strict aliasing violations is briefly described.

Contents

Bringing LTL Model Checking to Biologists	1
Detecting Strict Aliasing Violations in the Wild	14
Effective Bug Finding in C Programs with Shape and Effect Abstractions Iago Abal, Claus Brabrand, and Andrzej Wąsowski	34
Synthesizing Non-Vacuous Systems	55
Static Analysis of Communicating Processes Using Symbolic Transducers Vincent Botbol, Emmanuel Chailloux, and Tristan Le Gall	73
Reduction of Workflow Nets for Generalised Soundness Verification	91
Structuring Abstract Interpreters Through State and Value Abstractions Sandrine Blazy, David Bühler, and Boris Yakobowski	112
Matching Multiplications in Bit-Vector Formulas	131
Independence Abstractions and Models of Concurrency	151
Complete Abstractions and Subclassical Modal Logics	169
Using Abstract Interpretation to Correct Synchronization Faults	187
Property Directed Reachability for Proving Absence of Concurrent Modification Errors	209
Stabilizing Floating-Point Programs Using Provenance Analysis Yijia Gu and Thomas Wahl	228

Dynamic Reductions for Model Checking Concurrent Software	246
Synthesising Strategy Improvement and Recursive Algorithms for Solving 2.5 Player Parity Games	266
Counterexample Validation and Interpolation-Based Refinement for Forest Automata	288
Block-Wise Abstract Interpretation by Combining Abstract Domains with SMT	310
Solving Nonlinear Integer Arithmetic with MCSAT	330
Accuracy of Message Counting Abstraction in Fault-Tolerant Distributed Algorithms	347
Efficient Elimination of Redundancies in Polyhedra by Raytracing	367
Precise Thread-Modular Abstract Interpretation of Concurrent Programs Using Relational Interference Abstractions	386
Detecting All High-Level Dataraces in an RTOS Kernel	405
Reachability for Dynamic Parametric Processes	424
Conjunctive Abstract Interpretation Using Paramodulation	442
Reasoning in the Bernays-Schönfinkel-Ramsey Fragment of Separation Logic	462
Finding Relevant Templates via the Principal Component Analysis Yassamine Seladji	483
Sound Bit-Precise Numerical Domains	500

Contents	XVII
IC3 - Flipping the E in ICE	521
Partitioned Memory Models for Program Analysis	539
Author Index	559