Lecture Notes in Computer Science

10611

Commenced Publication in 1973
Founding and Former Series Editors:
Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/7408

Michael Felderer · Daniel Méndez Fernández Burak Turhan · Marcos Kalinowski Federica Sarro · Dietmar Winkler (Eds.)

Product-Focused Software Process Improvement

18th International Conference, PROFES 2017 Innsbruck, Austria, November 29 – December 1, 2017 Proceedings



Editors

Michael Felderer

University of Innsbruck

University of Innsbruck Innsbruck

Austria

Daniel Méndez Fernández Daniel University Munich

Garching Germany

Burak Turhan D
Brunel University London

Uxbridge UK Marcos Kalinowski

Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de

Rio de Janeiro

Brazil

Federica Sarro (D)

University College London

London UK

Dietmar Winkler

Vienna University of Technology

Vienna Austria

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Computer Science ISBN 978-3-319-69925-7 ISBN 978-3-319-69926-4 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69926-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017957552

LNCS Sublibrary: SL2 - Programming and Software Engineering

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017, corrected publication 2017, 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

The 18th International Conference on Product-Focused Software Process Improvement (PROFES 2017) brought together software researchers and industrial practitioners to Innsbruck in Austria, from November 29 to December 1, 2017. The hosting institution was the University of Innsbruck (UIBK) in Austria. In the spirit of the PROFES conference series, PROFES 2017 provided a premier forum for practitioners, researchers, and educators to present and discuss experiences, ideas, innovations, as well as concerns related to professional software process improvement motivated by product and service quality needs.

PROFES 2017 established an international committee of well-known experts in software quality and process improvement to peer review the scientific submissions. This year, we received 72 submissions of which 17 were selected as full papers and ten as short papers. The scientific contributions were strictly scrutinized by members of our international Program Committee. Scientific papers in the PROFES conference received three reviews each and passed through an additional quality assurance via a fourth meta-review.

As a novelty for conferences in our field, we have committed ourselves to making the first steps in establishing an open science policy for all accepted papers. This way, we support increasing the accessibility, reproducibility, and replicability of the research outcomes in PROFES. The steering principle is that all research output should be accessible to the public and that empirical studies should be reproducible. This open science initiative encompassed two key aspects: open access, and open data and open source. The first was achieved by supporting authors of accepted papers in making their preprint copies available to the public. The latter concerned papers that relied on empirical data. In such cases, we asked the authors to – and supported them in doing so – disclose the anonymized and curated data within the limits of existing non-disclosure agreements to increase the reproducibility and the replicability of their studies. To support the authors in such, often complicated, endeavors - sharing data comes with great effort and often with legal concerns and questions - we have established an open science chair to support the authors. Given that the open science initiative was newly introduced to our community, the conformance to the policy was not a mandatory prerequisite for paper acceptance, but overall the initiative was very well perceived and can serve as a model for other (empirical) software engineering conferences.

A further observation from this year's PROFES conference is that certain topics seem to manifest themselves as constantly relevant in the community, reflecting also the needs of the industrial community. This became evident in discussions and presentations, workshops, and tutorials. The topics included (1) software process models in general and agile software development in particular, (2) quality and quality assurance, (3) human factors and user-centric engineering, and (4) data analytics topics. Besides the open space events, there were three workshops and four tutorials given in

these areas, many by researchers from industry or industry-close institutions. The tutorials in particular attracted additional participants from local industry and fostered discussion and knowledge exchange between industry and academia.

The keynote talks this year were once again of high quality. Marcus Ciolkowski is a principal IT consultant at QAWare in Munich, Germany, and has an excellent reputation in the field of software quality management and empirical software engineering. Barbara Weber is full professor at the Technical University of Denmark. Moreover, she holds an associate professor position at the University of Innsbruck (Austria), where she leads the research cluster on business processes and workflows. Her research is on, inter alia, human and cognitive aspects of software and information systems engineering.

We are thankful for having had the opportunity to organize PROFES 2017 in Innsbruck. The Program Committee members and additional reviewers provided excellent support in reviewing the papers. We are also grateful to all speakers, authors, and session chairs for their time and effort that made PROFES 2017 a success. We are especially thankful to our sponsors, the Economic Chamber of Tyrol, the Province of the Tyrol, and the University of Innsbruck. We would also like to thank the PROFES Steering Committee members for the guidance and support in the organization process.

Finally, we would like to thank everyone in the organization team as well as the UIBK's student and staff volunteers for making PROFES 2017 an experience that will live in the memory of the participants for years to come.

November/December 2017

Michael Felderer Daniel Méndez Fernández Burak Turhan Marcos Kalinowski Federica Sarro Dietmar Winkler

Organization

Organizing Committee

General Chair

Michael Felderer University of Innsbruck, Austria

Program Co-chairs

Daniel Mendez Technical University of Munich, Germany

Burak Turhan Brunel University London, UK

Short Paper Co-chairs

Federica Sarro University College London, UK

Marcos Kalinowski Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Workshop and Tutorial Co-chairs

Dietmar Pfahl University of Tartu, Estonia

Rudolf Ramler Software Competence Center Hagenberg, Austria

Posters and Tool Demos Co-chairs

Ayse Tosun Istanbul Technical University, Turkey Sousuke Amasaki Okayama Prefectural University, Japan

Proceedings Chair

Dietmar Winkler Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Open Science Chair

Daniel Graziotin University of Stuttgart, Germany

Social Media and Publicity Chairs

Martin Solari Universidad ORT, Uruguay
Davide Fucci University of Hamburg, Germany

Masateru Tsunoda Kindai University, Japan

Local Organization Chairs/Web Chairs

Ilona Zaremba University of Innsbruck, Austria Boban Celebic University of Innsbruck, Austria

Program Committee

Silvia Abrahão Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain Sousuke Amasaki Okayama Prefectural University, Japan David Ameller Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain

Maria Teresa University of Bari, Italy

Baldassarre

Monalessa Barcellos UFES, Brazil Kristian Beckers Siemens, Germany

Sarah Beecham Lero - the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre,

Ireland

Stefan Biffl Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Andreas Birk SWPM, Germany

David Bowes Science and Technology Research Institute,

University of Hertfordshire, UK

Luigi Buglione Engineering IT/ETS, Italy
Andrea Burattin University of Innsbruck, Austria
Marcus Ciolkowski QAware GmbH, Germany
Steve Counsell Brunel University, UK

Maya Daneva University of Twente, The Netherlands
Jose Luis de La Vara Carlos III University of Madrid, Spain
University of Naples Federico II, Italy
Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, Spain
Michal Dolezel MSD IT Global Innovation Center, Prague,

Czech Republic

Christof Ebert Vector, Germany

Fabian Fagerholm University of Helsinki, Finland

Davide Falessi Cal Poly, USA

Michael Felderer University of Innsbruck, Austria Filomena Ferrucci Università di Salerno, Italy

Xavier Franch Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain

Davide Fucci University of Oulu, Finland

Vahid Garousi University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

Carmine Gravino

Daniel Graziotin

Noriko Hanakawa

Jens Heidrich

Yoshiki Higo

Frank Houdek

University of Salerno, Italy

University of Stuttgart, Germany

Hannan University, Japan

Fraunhofer IESE, Germany

Osaka University, Japan

Daimler AG, Germany

Andrea Janes Free University of Bolzano, Italy

Janne Järvinen F-Secure, Finland

Andreas Jedlitschka Fraunhofer IESE, Germany
Petri Kettunen University of Helsinki, Finland

Martin Kropp University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland,

Switzerland

Marco Kuhrmann Clausthal University of Technology, Germany

Jingyue Li Norwegian University of Science and Technology

(NTNU), Trondheim, Norway

Stephen MacDonell University of Otago, New Zealand

Lech Madeyski Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Poland

Ivano Malavolta Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Tomi Männistö University of Helsinki, Finland Mika Mäntylä University of Oulu, Finland Beatriz Marín Universidad Diego Portales, Chile Jouni Markkula University of Oulu, Finland

Kenichi Matsumoto Nara Institute of Science and Technology (NAIST), Japan

Maurizio Morisio Politecnico di Torino, Italy Jürgen Münch Reutlingen University, Germany

Risto Nevalainen Spinet Oy, Finland Anh Nguyen Duc NTNU, Norway

John Noll Lero, the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre,

Ireland

Renato Novais Instituto Federal da Bahia, Brazil Markku Oivo University of Oulu, Finland

Paolo Panaroni INTECS, Italy

Oscar Pastor Lopez Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain Birgit Penzenstadler California State University Long Beach, USA

Dietmar Pfahl University of Tartu, Estonia

Rudolf Ramler Software Competence Center Hagenberg, Austria

Michele Risi University of Salerno, Italy
Daniel Rodriguez The University of Alcalá, Spain
Bruno Rossi Masaryk University, Czech Republic

Gleison Santos UNIRIO, Brazil

Giuseppe Scanniello University of Basilicata, Italy
Klaus Schmid University of Hildesheim, Germany
Kurt Schneider Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany

Kari Smolander Aalto University, Finland

Martin Solari Universidad ORT Uruguay, Uruguay

Rodrigo Spinola Unifacs, Brazil

Klaas-Jan Stol Lero, University College Cork, Ireland

Michael Stupperich Daimler, Germany

Marco Torchiano Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Ayse Tosun Istanbul Technical University, Turkey

Guilherme Travassos COPPE/UFRJ, Brazil

Rini Van Solingen Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Antonio Vetrò Nexa Center for Internet and Society (DAUIN, Politecnico

di Torino), Italy

Andreas Vogelsang Technische Universität Berlin, Germany

Stefan Wagner University of Stuttgart, Germany

Xiaofeng Wang Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy

Hironori Washizaki Waseda University, Japan

Dietmar Winkler Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Contents

Agile Software Development	
Is Task Board Customization Beneficial?: An Eye Tracking Study Oliver Karras, Jil Klünder, and Kurt Schneider	3
Influence of Software Product Management Maturity on Usage of Artefacts in Agile Software Development	19
Real-Life Challenges on Agile Software Product Lines in Automotive Philipp Hohl, Jürgen Münch, Kurt Schneider, and Michael Stupperich	28
Measuring Team Innovativeness: A Multiple Case Study of Agile and Lean Software Developing Companies	37
Data Science and Analytics	
What Can Be Learnt from Experienced Data Scientists? A Case Study Leah Riungu-Kalliosaari, Marjo Kauppinen, and Tomi Männistö	55
A Virtual Study of Moving Windows for Software Effort Estimation Using Finnish Datasets	71
A Survival Analysis of Source Files Modified by New Developers	80
Top Management Support for Software Cost Estimation: A Case Study of the Current Practice and Impacts	89
Software Engineering Processes and Frameworks	
The Choice of Code Review Process: A Survey on the State of the Practice Tobias Raum Hendrik Leßmann, and Kurt Schneider	111

Unwasted DASE: Lean Architecture Evaluation	128
Towards a Usability Model for Software Development Process and Practice	137
Diego Fontdevila, Marcela Genero, and Alejandro Oliveros	137
More for Less: Automated Experimentation in Software-Intensive Systems David Issa Mattos, Jan Bosch, and Helena Holmström Olsson	146
Industry Relevant Qualitative Research	
The Evolution of Design Pattern Grime: An Industrial Case Study Daniel Feitosa, Paris Avgeriou, Apostolos Ampatzoglou, and Elisa Yumi Nakagawa	165
Should I Stay or Should I Go? On Forces that Drive and Prevent MBSE Adoption in the Embedded Systems Industry	182
How Accountability is Implemented and Understood in Research Tools: A Systematic Mapping Study	199
User and Value Centric Approaches	
Differentiating Feature Realization in Software Product Development Aleksander Fabijan, Helena Holmström Olsson, and Jan Bosch	221
A Method to Transform Automatically Extracted Product Features into Inputs for Kano-Like Models	237
Feedback Gathering for Truck Parking Europe: A Pilot Study with the AppEcho Feedback Tool	255
Software Startups	
Towards Understanding Startup Product Development as Effectual Entrepreneurial Behaviors	265

Contents	XIII
Little Big Team: Acquiring Human Capital in Software Startups Pertti Seppänen, Kari Liukkunen, and Markku Oivo	280
How Do Software Startups Approach Experimentation? Empirical Results from a Qualitative Interview Study	297
Scrum	
A Study of the Scrum Master's Role	307
An Exploratory Study on Applying a Scrum Development Process for Safety-Critical Systems	324
Exploring the Individual Project Progress of Scrum Software Developers Ezequiel Scott and Dietmar Pfahl	341
Software Testing	
Is 100% Test Coverage a Reasonable Requirement? Lessons Learned from a Space Software Project	351
Exploratory Testing of Large-Scale Systems – Testing in the Continuous Integration and Delivery Pipeline	368
Process and Tool Support for Internationalization and Localization Testing in Software Product Development	385
Workshop: HELENA 2017	
2nd Workshop on Hybrid Development Approaches in Software Systems Development	397
Initial Results of the HELENA Survey Conducted in Estonia with Comparison to Results from Sweden and Worldwide	404

Hybrid Software and Systems Development in Practice: Perspectives from Sweden and Uganda	413
Rogardt Heldal, and Eric Knauss	
HELENA Stage 2—Danish Overview	420
HELENA Study: Reasons for Combining Agile and Traditional Software Development Approaches in German Companies	428
Hybrid Software and System Development in Practice: Initial Results from Austria	435
HELENA Study: Initial Observations of Software Development Practices in Argentina	443
Workshop: HuFo 2017	
3rd International Workshop on Human Factors in Software Development Processes (HuFo): Measuring System Quality	453
Don't Underestimate the Human Factors! Exploring Team Communication Effects	457
Applying Extreme Engineering and Personality Factors to Improve Software Development Under a Heavyweight Methodology	470
A Systematic Literature Review of Social Network Systems for Older Adults	482
Different Views on Project Success: When Communication Is Not the Same	497

Workshop: QuASD 2017	
1st QuASD Workshop: Managing Quality in Agile and Rapid Software Development Processes	511
Non-functional Requirements Documentation in Agile Software Development: Challenges and Solution Proposal	515
Lessons Learned from the ProDebt Research Project on Planning Technical Debt Strategically	523
Rapid Lean UX Development Through User Feedback Revelation Frank Elberzhager, Konstantin Holl, Britta Karn, and Thomas Immich	535
Managing Development Using Active Data Collection	543
Agile Quality Requirements Management Best Practices Portfolio: A Situational Method Engineering Approach	548
MultiRefactor: Automated Refactoring to Improve Software Quality Michael Mohan and Des Greer	556
Transition from Plan Driven to SAFe [®] : Periodic Team Self-Assessment <i>Mohammad Abdur Razzak, John Noll, Ita Richardson, Clodagh Nic Canna, and Sarah Beecham</i>	573
Beneficial and Harmful Agile Practices for Product Quality	586
Posters and Tool Demonstration Papers	
Visual Programming Language for Model Checkers Based on Google Blockly	597
Improving Communication in Scrum Teams	602

XVI Contents

Tool Support for Consistency Verification of UML Diagrams	606
Tutorials	
Analyzing the Potential of Big Data: A Tutorial for Business and IT Experts	613
Automatic Requirements Reviews - Potentials, Limitations and Practical Tool Support	617
Need for Speed – Towards Real-Time Business	621
From Zero to Hero: A Process Mining Tutorial	625
Erratum to: How Accountability is Implemented and Understood in Research Tools: A Systematic Mapping Study	E1
Erratum to: Should I Stay or Should I Go? On Forces that Drive and Prevent MBSE Adoption in the Embedded Systems Industry	Е3
Author Index	631