

LNAI Series Editors

Randy Goebel

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Yuzuru Tanaka

Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Wolfgang Wahlster

DFKI and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany

LNAI Founding Series Editor

Joerg Siekmann

DFKI and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/1244>

Carolyn Penstein Rosé · Roberto Martínez-Maldonado
H. Ulrich Hoppe · Rose Luckin
Manolis Mavrikis · Kaska Porayska-Pomsta
Bruce McLaren · Benedict du Boulay (Eds.)

Artificial Intelligence in Education

19th International Conference, AIED 2018
London, UK, June 27–30, 2018
Proceedings, Part I

Editors

Carolyn Penstein Rosé
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA
USA

Roberto Martínez-Maldonado
University of Technology
Sydney, NSW
Australia

H. Ulrich Hoppe
University of Duisburg-Essen
Duisburg
Germany

Rose Luckin
UCL Institute of Education
London
UK

Manolis Mavrikis
UCL Institute of Education
London
UK

Kaska Porayska-Pomsta
UCL Institute of Education
London
UK

Bruce McLaren
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA
USA

Benedict du Boulay
University of Sussex
Brighton
UK

ISSN 0302-9743

ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)

Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence

ISBN 978-3-319-93842-4

ISBN 978-3-319-93843-1 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93843-1>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018947319

LNCS Sublibrary: SL7 – Artificial Intelligence

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

The 19th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED 2018) was held during June 27–30, 2018, in London, UK. AIED 2018 was the latest in a longstanding series of (now yearly) international conferences for high-quality research in intelligent systems and cognitive science for educational computing applications. The conference provides opportunities for the cross-fertilization of approaches, techniques, and ideas from the many fields that comprise AIED, including computer science, cognitive and learning sciences, education, game design, psychology, sociology, linguistics, as well as many domain-specific areas. Since the first AIED meeting over 30 years ago, both the breadth of the research and the reach of the technologies have expanded in dramatic ways.

For the 2018 conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education, we were excited to have a co-located event, the “Festival of Learning,” together with the International Conference of the Learning Sciences (ICLS) and “Learning at Scale” (L@S). The festival took place in London (UK) during June 24–30. Since the days of landmark tutoring systems such as SCHOLAR and WHY decades ago, the fields of artificial intelligence, online learning, and the learning sciences have grown up side-by-side, frequently intersecting, synergizing, and challenging one another. As these fields have grown and matured, they have each experienced trends and waves, and each has seen a recent renewal that we celebrate in this year’s conference. In artificial intelligence, the most recent renewal is in the emerging area of deep learning, where advances in computing capacity both in terms of memory and processing speed have facilitated a resurgence of interest in neural network models, with greater capacity than in the last neural network revolution. In the learning sciences, a recent emphasis on scaling up educational opportunities has birthed new areas of interest such as massive open online courses, which are also an important focus for the L@S community. In this year’s conference we considered these recent renewals together and asked how advances in artificial intelligence can impact human learning at a massive scale. More specifically we asked how the fields of artificial intelligence and learning sciences may speak to one another at the confluence, which is the field of artificial intelligence in education. Thus, the theme of this year’s conference was “Bridging the Behavioral and the Computational: Deep Learning in Humans and Machines.”

There were 192 submissions as full papers to AIED 2018, of which 45 were accepted as long papers (12 pages) with oral presentation at the conference (for an acceptance rate of 23%), and 76 were accepted for poster presentation with four pages in the proceedings. Of the 51 papers directly submitted as poster papers, 15 were accepted. Apart from a few exceptions, each submission was reviewed by three Program Committee (PC) members including one senior PC member serving as a meta-reviewer. The program chairs checked the reviews for quality, and where necessary, requesting that reviewers elaborate their review or shift to a more constructive orientation. Our goal was to encourage substantive and constructive reviews without

interfering with the reviewers' judgment in order to enable a fair and responsible process. In addition, submissions underwent a discussion period to ensure that all reviewers' opinions would be considered and leveraged to generate a group recommendation to the program chairs. Final decisions were made by carefully considering both scores and meta-reviews as well as the discussions, checking for consistency, weighing more heavily on the meta-review. We also took the constraints of the program into account and sought to keep the acceptance rate within a relatively typical range for this conference. It was a landmark year in terms of number of submissions, so the acceptance rate this year was lower than it has been in recent years, although the number of accepted papers was substantially higher. We see this as a mark of progress – something to be proud of as a community.

Three distinguished speakers gave plenary invited talks illustrating prospective directions for the field: Tom Mitchell (Carnegie Mellon University, USA), Paulo Blikstein (Stanford University, USA), and Michael Thomas (Birkbeck, University of London, UK). The conference also included:

- A Young Researchers Track that provided doctoral students with the opportunity to present their ongoing doctoral research at the conference and receive invaluable feedback from the research community.
- Interactive Events sessions during which AIED attendees could experience first-hand new and emerging intelligent learning environments via interactive demonstrations.
- An Industry and Innovation Track intended to support connections between industry (both for-profit and non-profit) and the research community.

AIED 2018 hosted one full-day and nine half-day workshops and tutorials on the full gamut of topics related to broad societal issues such as ethics and equity, methodologies such as gamification and personalization, as well as new technologies, tools, frameworks, development methodologies, and much more.

We wish to acknowledge the great effort by our colleagues at the University College London in making this conference possible. Special thanks goes to Springer for sponsoring the AIED 2018 Best Paper Award and the AIED 2018 Best Student Paper Award. We also want to acknowledge the amazing work of the AIED 2018 Organizing Committee, the senior PC members, the PC members, and the reviewers (listed herein), who with their enthusiastic contributions gave us invaluable support in putting this conference together.

April 2018

Carolyn Rosé
Roberto Martínez-Maldonado
Ulrich Hoppe
Rose Luckin
Manolis Mavrikis
Kaska Porayska-Pomsta
Bruce McLaren
Benedict du Boulay

The original version of the frontmatter of this book was revised, the version supplied here includes updated “Organization” information.

Organisation

General Chairs

Benedict du Boulay (Emeritus)	University of Sussex, UK
Bruce McLaren	Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Program Chairs

Carolyn Rose	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Ulrich Hoppe	University Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Roberto Martinez	University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Local Organisation Chairs

Rose Luckin	University College London, UK
Manolis Mavrikis	University College London, UK
Kaska Porayska-Pompsta	University College London, UK

Workshop and Tutorial Chairs

Sridhar Iyer	IIT Bombay, India
Nguyen-Thinh Le	Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany

Industry and Innovation Track Chairs

Tanja Mitrovic	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Vania Dimitrova	University of Leeds, UK
Diego Zapata-Rivera	Educational Testing Service, USA

Young Researcher's Track Chairs

Kalina Yacef	University of Sydney, Australia
Olga C. Santos	UNED, Spain

Poster Chairs

Helen Pain	University of Edinburgh, UK
Mingyu Feng	WestEd, USA

Interactive Events Chairs

Zachary Pardos	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Erin Walker	Arizona State University, USA

Panel Chairs

Ido Roll	University of British Columbia, Canada
Roger Azevedo	NC State University, USA

Publicity and Social Media

H. Chad Lane	University of Illinois, USA
--------------	-----------------------------

Awards Chairs

James Lester	NC State University, USA
Riichiro Mizoguchi	Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan

Sponsorship Chairs

Arthur Graesser	University of Memphis, USA
Tsukasa Hirashima	Hiroshima University, Japan

Program Committee

Lalitha Agnihotri	McGraw Hill Education, USA
Esma Aimeur	University of Montreal, Canada
Patricia Albacete	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Vincent Aleven	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Sagaya Amalathas	UNITAR International University, Malaysia
Ivon Arroyo	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Roger Azevedo	North Carolina State University, USA
Nilufar Baghaei	UNITEC, New Zealand
Ryan Baker	University of Pennsylvania, USA
Nigel Beacham	University of Aberdeen, UK
Gautam Biswas	Vanderbilt University, USA
Ig Ibert Bittencourt	Federal University of Alagoas, Brazil
Nigel Bosch	University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, USA
Jesus G. Boticario	Universidad Nacional de Educacion a Distancia, Spain
Jacqueline Bourdeau	TELUQ, Canada
Kristy Elizabeth Boyer	University of Florida, USA
Bert Bredeweg	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Paul Brna	University of Leeds, UK
Christopher Brooks	University of Michigan, USA

Tak-Wai Chan	National Central University, China
Mohamed Amine Chatti	University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Weiqin Chen	University of Bergen, Norway
Wenli Chen	National Institute of Education Singapore, Singapore
Min Chi	North Carolina State University, USA
Sherice Clarke	University of California San Diego, USA
Andrew Clayphan	The University of Sydney, Australia
Cristina Conati	The University of British Columbia, Canada
Mark G. Core	University of Southern California, USA
Scotty Craig	Arizona State University, Polytechnic, USA
Alexandra Cristea	The University of Warwick, UK
Mutlu Cukurova	UCL Knowledge Lab, UK
Ben Daniel	University of Otago, New Zealand
Chandan Dasgupta	Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India
Barbara Di Eugenio	University of Illinois at Chicago, USA
Vania Dimitrova	University of Leeds, UK
Peter Dolog	Aalborg University, Denmark
Hendrik Drachsler	The Open University, UK
Artur Dubrawski	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Kristy Elizabeth Boyer	University of Florida, USA
Stephen Fancsali	Carnegie Learning, Inc., USA
Mingyu Feng	SRI International, USA
Mark Fenton-O'Creevy	The Open University, UK
Carol Forsyth	Educational Testing Service, USA
Albrecht Fortenbacher	HTW Berlin, Germany
Davide Fossati	Emory University, USA
Reva Freedman	Northern Illinois University, USA
Dragan Gasevic	Monash University, Australia
Elena Gaudio	Universidad Nacional de Educacion a Distancia, Spain
Andrew Gibson	University of Technology, Sydney, Australia
Ashok Goel	Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Ilya Goldin	2U, Inc., USA
Art Graesser	University of Memphis, USA
Joseph Grafsgaard	University of Colorado Boulder, USA
Monique Grandbastien	LORIA, Universite de Lorraine, France
Agneta Gulz	Lund University Cognitive Science, Sweden
Gahgene Gweon	Seoul National University, South Korea
Jason Harley	University of Alberta, USA
Andreas Harrer	University of Applied Sciences and Arts Dortmund, Germany
Peter Hastings	DePaul University, USA
Yuki Hayashi	Osaka Prefecture University, Japan
Tobias Hecking	University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Neil Heffernan	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Tsukasa Hirashima	Hiroshima University, Japan
H. Ulrich Hoppe	University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany

Sharon Hsiao	Arizona State University, USA
Christoph Igel	DFKI Berlin, Germany
Paul Salvador Inventado	California State University Fullerton, USA
Seiji Isotani	University of São Paulo, Brazil
Sridhar Iyer	IIT Bombay, India
G. Tanner Jackson	Educational Testing Service, USA
Pamela Jordan	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Sandra Katz	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Judy Kay	The University of Sydney, Australia
Fazel Keshtkar	St. John's University, USA
Simon Knight	University of Technology, Sydney, Australia
Kenneth Koedinger	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Tomoko Kojiri	Kansai University, Japan
Siu Cheung Kong	The Education University of Hong Kong, China
Amruth Kumar	Ramapo College of New Jersey, USA
Rohit Kumar	Spotify
Tanja Käser	Stanford University, USA
Jean-Marc Labat	Université Paris 6, France
Sébastien Lallé	The University of British Columbia, Canada
Nguyen-Thinh Le	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany
Blair Lehman	Educational Testing Service, USA
James Lester	North Carolina State University, USA
Chee-Kit Looi	NIE Singapore, Singapore
Rose Luckin	The London Knowledge Lab, UK
Vanda Luengo	Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France
Collin Lynch	North Carolina State University, USA
Roberto	University of Technology, Sydney, Australia
Martinez-Maldonado	
Judith Masthoff	University of Aberdeen, UK
Noboru Matsuda	Texas A&M University, USA
Manolis Mavrikis	The London Knowledge Lab, UK
Gordon McCalla	University of Saskatchewan, Canada
Bruce McLaren	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Agathe Merceron	Beuth University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany
Alain Mille	Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France
Marcelo Milrad	Linnaeus University, Sweden
Ritayan Mitra	IIT Bombay, India
Tanja Mitrovic	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Kazuhiisa Miwa	Nagoya University, Japan
Riichiro Mizoguchi	Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan
Kasia Muldner	Carleton University, USA
Kiyoshi Nakabayashi	Chiba Institute of Technology, Japan
Roger Nkambou	Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada
Benjamin Nye	University of Southern California, USA
Amy Ogan	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Hiroaki Ogata	Kyoto University, Japan

Andrew Olney	University of Memphis, Australia
Jennifer Olsen	Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland
Helen Pain	The University of Edinburgh, UK
Ana Paiva	INESC, Portugal
Luc Paquette	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
Abelardo Pardo	University of South Australia, Australia
Zachary Pardos	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Philip I. Pavlik Jr.	University of Memphis, USA
Mykola Pechenizkiy	Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands
Radek Pelánek	Masaryk University Brno, Czech Republic
Niels Pinkwart	Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany
Elvira Popescu	University of Craiova, Romania
Kaska Porayska-Pomsta	The London Knowledge Lab, UK
Alexandra Poulouvassilis	Birkbeck College, University of London, UK
Luis P. Prieto	School of Educational Sciences, Tallinn University, Estonia
Anna Rafferty	Carleton College, USA
Martina Rau	University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
Peter Reimann	The University of Sydney, Australia
Bart Rienties	The Open University, UK
Ma. Mercedes T. Rodrigo	Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines
Ido Roll	The University of British Columbia, Canada
Rod Roscoe	Arizona State University, USA
Carolyn Rose	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Jonathan Rowe	North Carolina State University, USA
Nikol Rummel	Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany
Vasile Rus	The University of Memphis, USA
Demetrios Sampson	Curtin University, Australia
Olga Santos	Universidad Nacional de Educacion a Distancia, Spain
Jan Schneider	Deutsches Institut für Internationale Pädagogische Forschung, Germany
Kazuhisa Seta	Osaka Prefecture University, Japan
Lei Shi	University of Liverpool, UK
Sergey Sosnovsky	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
Robert Sottolare	US Army Research Laboratory, USA
Marcus Specht	Open University of the Netherlands, The Netherlands
Daniel Spikol	Malmö University, Sweden
John Stamper	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Pierre Tchounikine	University of Grenoble, France
Michael Timms	Australian Council for Educational Research, Australia
Maomi Ueno	The University of Electro-Communications, Japan
Carsten Ullrich	DFKI Berlin, Germany
Wouter van Joolingen	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
Kurt Vanlehn	Arizona State University, USA
Julita Vassileva	University of Saskatchewan, Canada

Felisa Verdejo	Universidad Nacional de Educacion a Distancia, Spain
Erin Walker	Arizona State University, USA
Amali Weerasinghe	The University of Adelaide, Australia
Joseph Jay Williams	Harvard University, USA
Beverly Park Woolf	University of Massachusetts, USA
Marcelo Worsley	Northwestern University, USA
Kalina Yacef	The University of Sydney, Australia
Diego Zapata-Rivera	Educational Testing Service, UK
Zdenek Zdrahal	The Open University, UK
Claus Zinn	University of Konstanz, Germany
Gustavo Zurita	Universidad de Chile, Chile

Additional Reviewers

Seth Adjei	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Fabio Akhras	Centro de Tecnologia da Informacao Renato Archer, Brazil
Goekhan Akcapinar	Hacettepe University, Turkey
Mohammed Alzaid	Arizona State University, USA
Antonio R. Anaya	UNED, Spain
Jessica Andrews-Todd	Northwestern School of Education and Social Policy, USA
Miguel Arevalillo-Herraez	University of Valencia, Spain
David Azcona	Dublin City University, Ireland
Beatriz Barros	University of Malaga, Spain
Robert Bates	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Malcolm Bauer	Educational Testing Service, USA
Anthony F. Botelho	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Francois Bouchet	Laboratoire d'informatique de Paris 6 (LIP6), France
Raul Cabestrero	UNED, Spain
Ida Camacho	Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Paulo Carvalho	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Linlin Cheng	University of Western Australia, Australia
Cheng Yu Chung	Wayne State University, USA
Ricardo Conejo	University of Malaga, Spain
Veronica Cucuiat	University College London, UK
Steven Dang	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Darina Dicheva	Winston-Salem State University, USA
Geela Venise Firmalo Fabic	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Bridgid Finn	Educational Testing Service, USA
April Galyardt	University of Georgia, USA
Joshua Gardner	University of Michigan, USA
David Gerritsen	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Jose Gonzalez-Brenes	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Beate Grawemeyer	Coventry University, UK
Julio Guerra	University of Pittsburgh, USA

Andrew Hampton	University of Memphis, USA
Jiangang Hao	Educational Testing Service, USA
Erik Harpstead	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Yusuke Hayashi	Osaka University, Japan
Tomoya Horiguchi	Kobe University, Japan
Iris Howley	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Yi-Ting Huang	Harvard University, USA
Nicole Hutchins	Vanderbilt University, USA
Stephen Hutt	College of Engineering Notre Dame, USA
Oluwabukola Idowu	University of Benin, Nigeria
Victoria Abou Khalil	Kyoto University, Japan
Joshua Killingsworth	Design and Intelligence Lab, USA
Jennifer Klafehn	Princeton University, USA
Severin Klingler	ETH Zurich, Switzerland
Kazuaki Kojima	Nagoya University, Japan
Milos Kravcic	Educational Technology Lab, Germany
Lydia Lau	University of Leeds, UK
David Edgar Lelei	University of Saskatchewan, Canada
Yang Liu	University of Alberta, USA
Alexandra Luccioni	University of Quebec, Canada
Michael Madaio	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Akihiro Maehigashi	Nagoya University, Japan
Rwitajit Majumdar	Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India
Moffat Mathews	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Kulkarni Mayank	University of Florida, USA
Claudia Mazziotti	Ruhr-Universitaet Bochum, Germany
Jessica McBroom	University of Sydney, Australia
Joseph Michaelis	University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
Caitlin Mills	University of British Columbia, Canada
Shitanshu Mishra	Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India
Jung Aa Moon	Educational Testing Service, USA
Junya Morita	University of Shizuoka, Japan
Anabil Munshi	Vanderbilt University, USA
Sahana Murthy	Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India
Nungjin Nam	University of Michigan, USA
Heather Newman	Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Iryna Nikolayeva	University Paris-Sorbonne, France
Korinn Ostrow	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Seoyeon Park	Texas A&M University, USA
Jay Patel	University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
Thanaporn Patikorn	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Luigi Patruno	2U Inc., USA
Sarah Perez	University of British Columbia, Canada
Lydia Pezzullo	University of Florida, USA
Alicja Piotrkowicz	University of Leeds, UK
Valery Psyche	University TELUQ, Canada

Ramkumar Rajendran	Vanderbilt University, USA
Ananya Roy	Amity University, India
Markel Sanz Ausin	North Carolina State University, USA
Shitian Shen	North Carolina State University, USA
Annika Silvervarg	Linnaeus University, Sweden
Angela Stewart	University of Notre Dame, USA
Sebastian Strauss	Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Germany
Hitoshi Teraï	Kindai University, Japan
Craig Thompson	University of British Columbia, Canada
Judith Uchidiuno	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Xu Wang	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Jayakrishnan Warriem	Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, India
Stephanie Wassenburg	Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands
Jacob Whitehill	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Jamieka Wilkinson	University of Florida, USA
Naomi Wixon	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Sally Wu	University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
Ruth Wylie	Arizona State University, USA
Sho Yamamoto	Kindai University, Japan
Xi Yang	North Carolina State University, USA
Amel Yessad	University Paris-Sorbonne, France
Ningyu Zhang	Vanderbilt University, USA
Siyuan Zhao	Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA
Guojing Zhou	North Carolina State University, USA

International Artificial Intelligence in Education Society

Management Board

President

Bruce M. McLaren	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
------------------	---------------------------------

President-Elect

Rose Luckin	University College London, UK
-------------	-------------------------------

Secretary/Treasurer

Benedict du Boulay (Emeritus)	University of Sussex, UK
----------------------------------	--------------------------

Journal Editors

Vincent Aleven	Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Judy Kay	University of Sydney, Australia

Membership Chair

Ben Nye

University of Southern California, USA

Publicity Chair

Erin Walker

Arizona State University, USA

Finance Chair

Vania Dimitrova

University of Leeds, UK

Executive Committee

Vincent Aleven

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Ryan S. J. d. Baker

University of Pennsylvania, USA

Tiffany Barnes

North Carolina State University, USA

Min Chi

North Carolina State University, USA

Cristina Conati

University of British Columbia, Canada

Ricardo Conejo

University of Malaga, Spain

Sidney D'Mello

University of Notre Dame, USA

Vania Dimitrova

University of Leeds, UK

Ben du Boulay (Emeritus)

University of Sussex, UK

Neil Heffernan

Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA

Judy Kay

University of Sydney, Australia

Diane Litman

University of Pittsburgh, USA

Rose Luckin

University College London, UK

Noboru Matsuda

Texas A&M University, USA

Manolis Mavrikis

University College London Knowledge Lab, UK

Bruce M. McLaren

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Tanja Mitrovic

University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Amy Ogan

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Zachary Pardos

University of California, Berkeley, USA

Kaska Porayska-Pomsta

University College London, UK

Ido Roll

University of British Columbia, Canada

Carolyn Penstein Rosé

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Julita Vassileva

University of Saskatchewan, Canada

Erin Walker

Arizona State University, USA

Kalina Yacef

University of Sydney, Australia

Abstracts of Keynotes

What if People Taught Computers?

Tom Mitchell

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

`tom.mitchell@cmu.edu`

Abstract. Whereas AIED focuses primarily on how computers can help teach people, this talk will consider how people might teach computers. Why? There are at least two good reasons: First, we might discover something interesting about instructional strategies by building computers that can be taught. Second, if people could teach computers in the same way that we teach one another, suddenly everybody would be able to program. We present our ongoing research on machine learning by verbal instruction and demonstration. Our prototype Learning by Instruction Agent (LIA) allows people to teach their mobile devices by verbal instruction to perform new actions. Given a verbal command that it does not understand (e.g., “Drop a note to Bill that I’ll be late.”), the system allows the user to teach it by breaking the procedure down into a sequence of more primitive, more understandable steps (e.g., “First create a new email. Put the email address of Bill into the recipient field.”...). As a result, LIA both acquires new linguistic knowledge that enables it to better parse language into its intended meaning, and it learns how to execute the target procedure. In related work with Brad Meyers we are exploring combining verbal instruction with demonstration of procedures on the phone, to achieve “show and tell” instruction. In work with Shashank Srivastava and Igor Labutov, we are extending the approach to general concept learning (e.g., in order to teach “if I receive an important email, then be sure I see it before leaving work.” one must teach the concept “important email.”). This talk will survey progress to date, implications, and open questions. This work involves a variety of collaborations with Igor Labutov, Amos Azaria, Shashank Srivastava, Brad Meyers and Toby Li.

Time to Make Hard Choices for AI in Education

Paulo Blikstein

Stanford University, USA
paulob@stanford.edu

Abstract. The field of AI in education has exploded in the past ten years. Many factors have contributed to this unprecedented growth, such as the ubiquity of digital devices in schools, the rise of online learning, the availability of data and fast growth in related fields such as machine learning and data mining. But with great power comes great responsibility: the flipside of the growth of AIED is that now our technologies can be deployed in large numbers to millions of children. And while there is great potential to transform education, there is also considerable risk to destroy public education as we know it, either directly or via unintended consequences. This is not an exaggeration: in recent months, we have indeed learned that the combination of social media, technological ubiquity, AI, lack of privacy, and under-regulated sectors can go the wrong way, and that AI has today a disproportionate power to shape human activity and society.

On the other hand, most schools of education around the world are not equipped – or not interested – in this debate. They either ignore this conversation, or simply attack the entire enterprise of AI in education—but these attacks are not stopping wide dissemination of various types of AIED projects in schools, mainly driven by corporations and fueled by incentives that might not work in the benefit of students (i.e., massive cost reduction, deprofessionalization of teachers, additional standardization of content and instruction).

In this scenario, the academic AIED community has a crucial responsibility—it could be the only voice capable to steering the debate, and the technology, towards more productive paths. This talk will be about the hard choices that AIED needs to face in the coming years, reviewing the history of AI in education, its promise, and possible futures. For example, should we focus on technologies that promote student agency and curricular flexibility, or on making sure everyone learns the same? How do we tackle new learning environments such as makerspaces and other inquiry-driven spaces? What is the role of physical science labs versus virtual, AI-driven labs? How can AIED impact—positively and negatively—equity in education?

I will review some of these issues, and mention examples of contemporary work on novel fields such as multimodal learning analytics, which is trying to detect patterns in complex learning processes in hands-on activities, and new types of inquiry-driven science environments.

The AIED community is strategically placed at a crucial point in the history of education, with potential to (at last) impact millions of children. But the way forward will require more than technical work—it will require some hard choices that we should be prepared to make.

Has the Potential Role of Neuroscience in Education Been Overstated? Can Computational Approaches Help Build Bridges Between Them?

Michael Thomas

University College London/Birkbeck University of London, UK
m.thomas@bbk.ac.uk

Abstract. In the first part of this talk, I will assess the progress of the field of educational neuroscience in attempting to translate basic neuroscience findings to classroom practice. While much heralded, has educational neuroscience yielded concrete benefits for educators or policymakers? Is it likely to in the future? Or is its main contribution merely to dispel neuromyths? In the second half of the talk, I will assess the role that computational approaches can play in this inter-disciplinary interaction. Is neuroscience best viewed as a source of inspiration to build better algorithms for educational AI? Or can neurocomputational models help us build better theories that link data across behaviour, environment, brain, and genetics into an integrated account of children's learning?

Contents – Part I

Full Papers

Investigating the Impact of a Meaningful Gamification-Based Intervention on Novice Programmers' Achievement	3
<i>Jenilyn L. Agapito and Ma. Mercedes T. Rodrigo</i>	
Automatic Item Generation Unleashed: An Evaluation of a Large-Scale Deployment of Item Models	17
<i>Yigal Attali</i>	
Quantifying Classroom Instructor Dynamics with Computer Vision	30
<i>Nigel Bosch, Caitlin Mills, Jeffrey D. Wammes, and Daniel Smilek</i>	
Learning Cognitive Models Using Neural Networks	43
<i>Devendra Singh Chaplot, Christopher MacLellan, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Kenneth Koedinger</i>	
Measuring the Quality of Assessment Using Questions Generated from the Semantic Web	57
<i>Ricardo Conejo, Beatriz Barros, and Manuel F. Bertoa</i>	
Balancing Human Efforts and Performance of Student Response Analyzer in Dialog-Based Tutors	70
<i>Tejas I. Dhamecha, Smit Marvaniya, Swarnadeep Saha, Renuka Sindhgatta, and Bikram Sengupta</i>	
An Instructional Factors Analysis of an Online Logical Fallacy Tutoring System	86
<i>Nicholas Diana, John Stamper, and Ken Koedinger</i>	
Using Physiological Synchrony as an Indicator of Collaboration Quality, Task Performance and Learning	98
<i>Yong Dich, Joseph Reilly, and Bertrand Schneider</i>	
Towards Combined Network and Text Analytics of Student Discourse in Online Discussions	111
<i>Rafael Ferreira, Vitomir Kovanović, Dragan Gašević, and Vitor Rolim</i>	
How Should Knowledge Composed of Schemas be Represented in Order to Optimize Student Model Accuracy?.	127
<i>Sachin Grover, Jon Wetzel, and Kurt VanLehn</i>	

Active Learning for Improving Machine Learning of Student Explanatory Essays	140
<i>Peter Hastings, Simon Hughes, and M. Anne Britt</i>	
Student Learning Benefits of a Mixed-Reality Teacher Awareness Tool in AI-Enhanced Classrooms	154
<i>Kenneth Holstein, Bruce M. McLaren, and Vincent Aleven</i>	
Opening Up an Intelligent Tutoring System Development Environment for Extensible Student Modeling	169
<i>Kenneth Holstein, Zac Yu, Jonathan Sewall, Octav Popescu, Bruce M. McLaren, and Vincent Aleven</i>	
Better Late Than Never but Never Late Is Better: Towards Reducing the Answer Response Time to Questions in an Online Learning Community.	184
<i>Oluwabukola Mayowa (Ishola) Idowu and Gordon McCalla</i>	
Expert Feature-Engineering vs. Deep Neural Networks: Which Is Better for Sensor-Free Affect Detection?	198
<i>Yang Jiang, Nigel Bosch, Ryan S. Baker, Luc Paquette, Jaclyn Ocumpaugh, Juliana Ma. Alexandra L. Andres, Allison L. Moore, and Gautam Biswas</i>	
A Comparison of Tutoring Strategies for Recovering from a Failed Attempt During Faded Support	212
<i>Pamela Jordan, Patricia Albacete, and Sandra Katz</i>	
Validating Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy Using Deep Knowledge Tracing	225
<i>Amar Lalwani and Sweetey Agrawal</i>	
Communication at Scale in a MOOC Using Predictive Engagement Analytics	239
<i>Christopher V. Le, Zachary A. Pardos, Samuel D. Meyer, and Rachel Thorp</i>	
How to Use Simulation in the Design and Evaluation of Learning Environments with Self-directed Longer-Term Learners	253
<i>David Edgar Kiprop Lelei and Gordon McCalla</i>	
Students’ Academic Language Use When Constructing Scientific Explanations in an Intelligent Tutoring System	267
<i>Haiying Li, Janice Gobert, Rachel Dickler, and Natali Morad</i>	
Automated Pitch Convergence Improves Learning in a Social, Teachable Robot for Middle School Mathematics.	282
<i>Nichola Lubold, Erin Walker, Heather Pon-Barry, and Amy Ogan</i>	

The Influence of Gender, Personality, Cognitive and Affective Student Engagement on Academic Engagement in Educational Virtual Worlds.	297
<i>Anupam Makhija, Deborah Richards, Jesse de Haan, Frank Dignum, and Michael J. Jacobson</i>	
Metacognitive Scaffolding Amplifies the Effect of Learning by Teaching a Teachable Agent	311
<i>Noboru Matsuda, Vishnu Priya Chandra Sekar, and Natalie Wall</i>	
A Data-Driven Method for Helping Teachers Improve Feedback in Computer Programming Automated Tutors.	324
<i>Jessica McBroom, Kalina Yacef, Irena Koprinska, and James R. Curran</i>	
Student Agency and Game-Based Learning: A Study Comparing Low and High Agency	338
<i>Huy Nguyen, Erik Harpstead, Yeyu Wang, and Bruce M. McLaren</i>	
Engaging with the Scenario: Affect and Facial Patterns from a Scenario-Based Intelligent Tutoring System	352
<i>Benjamin D. Nye, Shamyia Karumbaiah, S. Tugba Tokel, Mark G. Core, Giota Stratou, Daniel Auerbach, and Kallirroi Georgila</i>	
Role of Socio-cultural Differences in Labeling Students' Affective States. . . .	367
<i>Eda Okur, Sinem Aslan, Nese Alyuz, Asli Arslan Esme, and Ryan S. Baker</i>	
Testing the Validity and Reliability of Intrinsic Motivation Inventory Subscales Within ASSISTments	381
<i>Korinn S. Ostrow and Neil T. Heffernan</i>	
Correctness- and Confidence-Based Adaptive Feedback of Kit-Build Concept Map with Confidence Tagging	395
<i>Jaruwat Pailai, Warunya Wunnasri, Yusuke Hayashi, and Tsukasa Hirashima</i>	
Bring It on! Challenges Encountered While Building a Comprehensive Tutoring System Using ReaderBench.	409
<i>Marilena Panaite, Mihai Dascalu, Amy Johnson, Renu Balyan, Jianmin Dai, Danielle S. McNamara, and Stefan Trausan-Matu</i>	
Predicting the Temporal and Social Dynamics of Curiosity in Small Group Learning	420
<i>Bhargavi Paranjape, Zhen Bai, and Justine Cassell</i>	
Learning Curve Analysis in a Large-Scale, Drill-and-Practice Serious Math Game: Where Is Learning Support Needed?	436
<i>Zhongxiu Peddycord-Liu, Rachel Harred, Sarah Karamarkovich, Tiffany Barnes, Collin Lynch, and Teomara Rutherford</i>	

Conceptual Issues in Mastery Criteria: Differentiating Uncertainty and Degrees of Knowledge	450
<i>Radek Pelánek</i>	
Reciprocal Content Recommendation for Peer Learning Study Sessions	462
<i>Boyd A. Potts, Hassan Khosravi, and Carl Reidsema</i>	
The Impact of Data Quantity and Source on the Quality of Data-Driven Hints for Programming	476
<i>Thomas W. Price, Rui Zhi, Yihuan Dong, Nicholas Lytle, and Tiffany Barnes</i>	
Predicting Question Quality Using Recurrent Neural Networks	491
<i>Stefan Ruseti, Mihai Dascalu, Amy M. Johnson, Renu Balyan, Kristopher J. Kopp, Danielle S. McNamara, Scott A. Crossley, and Stefan Trausan-Matu</i>	
Sentence Level or Token Level Features for Automatic Short Answer Grading?: Use Both	503
<i>Swarnadeep Saha, Tejas I. Dhamecha, Smit Marvaniya, Renuka Sindhgatta, and Bikram Sengupta</i>	
When Optimal Team Formation Is a Choice - Self-selection Versus Intelligent Team Formation Strategies in a Large Online Project-Based Course.	518
<i>Sreecharan Sankaranarayanan, Cameron Dashti, Chris Bogart, Xu Wang, Majd Sakr, and Carolyn Penstein Rosé</i>	
Perseverance Is Crucial for Learning. “OK! but Can I Take a Break?”.	532
<i>Annika Silvervarg, Magnus Haake, and Agneta Gulz</i>	
Connecting the Dots Towards Collaborative AIED: Linking Group Makeup to Process to Learning	545
<i>Angela Stewart and Sidney K. D’Mello</i>	
Do Preschoolers ‘Game the System’? A Case Study of Children’s Intelligent (Mis)Use of a Teachable Agent Based Play-&-Learn Game in Mathematics	557
<i>Eva-Maria Ternblad, Magnus Haake, Erik Anderberg, and Agneta Gulz</i>	
Vygotsky Meets Backpropagation: Artificial Neural Models and the Development of Higher Forms of Thought	570
<i>Ilkka Tuomi</i>	
Providing Automated Real-Time Technical Feedback for Virtual Reality Based Surgical Training: Is the Simpler the Better?	584
<i>Sudanithi Wijewickrema, Xingjun Ma, Patorn Piromchai, Robert Briggs, James Bailey, Gregor Kennedy, and Stephen O’Leary</i>	

Reciprocal Kit-Building of Concept Map to Share Each Other's Understanding as Preparation for Collaboration.	599
<i>Warunya Wunnasri, Jaruwat Pailai, Yusuke Hayashi, and Tsukasa Hirashima</i>	
Early Identification of At-Risk Students Using Iterative Logistic Regression.	613
<i>Li Zhang and Huzefa Rangwala</i>	
Author Index	627

Contents – Part II

Posters

Ontology-Based Domain Diversity Profiling of User Comments	3
<i>Entisar Abolkasim, Lydia Lau, Antonija Mitrovic, and Vania Dimitrova</i>	
ROBIN: Using a Programmable Robot to Provide Feedback and Encouragement on Programming Tasks	9
<i>Ishrat Ahmed, Nichola Lubold, and Erin Walker</i>	
Conversational Support for Education	14
<i>Damla Ezgi Akcora, Andrea Belli, Marina Berardi, Stella Casola, Nicoletta Di Blas, Stefano Falletta, Alessandro Faraotti, Luca Lodi, Daniela Nossa Diaz, Paolo Paolini, Fabrizio Renzi, and Filippo Vannella</i>	
Providing Proactive Scaffolding During Tutorial Dialogue Using Guidance from Student Model Predictions	20
<i>Patricia Albacete, Pamela Jordan, Dennis Lusetich, Irene Angelica Chounta, Sandra Katz, and Bruce M. McLaren</i>	
Ella Me Ayudó (She Helped Me): Supporting Hispanic and English Language Learners in a Math ITS	26
<i>Danielle Allessio, Beverly Woolf, Naomi Wixon, Florence R. Sullivan, Minghui Tai, and Ivon Arroyo</i>	
VERA: Popularizing Science Through AI	31
<i>Sungeun An, Robert Bates, Jennifer Hammock, Spencer Rugaber, and Ashok Goel</i>	
Modelling Math Learning on an Open Access Intelligent Tutor.	36
<i>David Azcona, I-Han Hsiao, and Alan F. Smeaton</i>	
Learner Behavioral Feature Refinement and Augmentation Using GANs	41
<i>Da Cao, Andrew S. Lan, Weiyu Chen, Christopher G. Brinton, and Mung Chiang</i>	
Learning Content Recommender System for Instructors of Programming Courses	47
<i>Hung Chau, Jordan Barria-Pineda, and Peter Brusilovsky</i>	

Human-Agent Assessment: Interaction and Sub-skills Scoring for Collaborative Problem Solving.	52
<i>Pravin Chopade, Kristin Stoeffler, Saad M Khan, Yigal Rosen, Spencer Swartz, and Alina von Davier</i>	
Investigation of the Influence of Hint Type on Problem Solving Behavior in a Logic Proof Tutor.	58
<i>Christa Cody, Behrooz Mostafavi, and Tiffany Barnes</i>	
Modeling Math Success Using Cohesion Network Analysis	63
<i>Scott A. Crossley, Maria-Dorinela Sirbu, Mihai Dascalu, Tiffany Barnes, Collin F. Lynch, and Danielle S. McNamara</i>	
Amplifying Teachers Intelligence in the Design of Gamified Intelligent Tutoring Systems.	68
<i>Diego Dermeval, Josmário Albuquerque, Ig Ibert Bittencourt, Julita Vassileva, Wansel Lemos, Alan Pedro da Silva, and Ranilson Paiva</i>	
Where Is the Nurse? Towards Automatically Visualising Meaningful Team Movement in Healthcare Education	74
<i>Vanessa Echeverria, Roberto Martinez-Maldonado, Tamara Power, Carolyn Hayes, and Simon Buckingham Shum</i>	
Exploring Gritty Students' Behavior in an Intelligent Tutoring System.	79
<i>Erik Erickson, Ivon Arroyo, and Beverly Woolf</i>	
Characterizing Students Based on Their Participation in the Class	84
<i>Shadi Esnaashari, Lesley Gardner, and Michael Rehm</i>	
Adaptive Learning Goes to China	89
<i>Mingyu Feng, Wei Cui, and Shuai Wang</i>	
Ontology Development for Competence Assessment in Virtual Communities of Practice	94
<i>Alice Barana, Luigi Di Caro, Michele Fioravera, Marina Marchisio, and Sergio Rabellino</i>	
How Gamification Impacts on Vocational Training Students.	99
<i>Miguel García Iruela and Raquel Hijón Neira</i>	
Classifying Educational Questions Based on the Expected Characteristics of Answers	104
<i>Andreea Godea, Dralia Tulley-Patton, Stephanie Barbee, and Rodney Nielsen</i>	
On the Learning Curve Attrition Bias in Additive Factor Modeling	109
<i>Cyril Goutte, Guillaume Durand, and Serge Léger</i>	

The Impact of Affect-Aware Support on Learning Tasks that Differ in Their Cognitive Demands.	114
<i>Beate Grawemeyer, Manolis Mavrikis, Claudia Mazziotti, Anouschka van Leeuwen, and Nikol Rummel</i>	
Mitigating Knowledge Decay from Instruction with Voluntary Use of an Adaptive Learning System.	119
<i>Andrew J. Hampton, Benjamin D. Nye, Philip I. Pavlik, William R. Swartout, Arthur C. Graesser, and Joseph Gunderson</i>	
Computational Thinking Through Game Creation in STEM Classrooms.	134
<i>Avery Harrison, Taylyn Hulse, Daniel Manzo, Matthew Micciolo, Erin Ottmar, and Ivon Arroyo</i>	
Behavioral Explanation versus Structural Explanation in Learning by Model-Building	139
<i>Tomoya Horiguchi, Tetsuhiro Masuda, Takahito Tomoto, and Tsukasa Hirashima</i>	
Temporal Changes in Affiliation and Emotion in MOOC Discussion Forum Discourse.	145
<i>Jing Hu, Nia Dowell, Christopher Brooks, and Wenfei Yan</i>	
Investigating Influence of Demographic Factors on Study Recommenders	150
<i>Michal Huptych, Martin Hlosta, Zdenek Zdrahal, and Jakub Kocvara</i>	
A Design-Based Approach to a Classroom-Centered OELE	155
<i>Nicole Hutchins, Gautam Biswas, Miklos Maroti, Akos Ledezci, and Brian Broll</i>	
On the Value of Answerers in Early Detection of Response Time to Questions for Peer Recommender Systems	160
<i>Oluwabukola Mayowa (Ishola) Idowu and Gordon McCalla</i>	
Intelligent Evaluation and Feedback in Support of a Credit-Bearing MOOC	166
<i>David Joyner</i>	
Boosting Engagement with Educational Software Using Near Wins.	171
<i>Mohammad M. Khajah, Michael C. Mozer, Sean Kelly, and Brent Milne</i>	
“Mind” TS: Testing a Brief Mindfulness Intervention with an Intelligent Tutoring System.	176
<i>Kristina Krasich, Stephen Hutt, Caitlin Mills, Catherine A. Spann, James R. Brockmole, and Sidney K. D’Mello</i>	

A Question Generation Framework for Teachers	182
<i>Nguyen-Thinh Le, Alejex Shabas, and Niels Pinkwart</i>	
Data-Driven Job Capability Profiling	187
<i>Rong Liu, Bhavna Agrawal, Aditya Vempaty, Wanita Sherchan, Sherry Sin, and Michael Tan</i>	
Assessing Free Student Answers in Tutorial Dialogues Using LSTM Models	193
<i>Nabin Maharjan, Dipesh Gautam, and Vasile Rus</i>	
Towards Better Affect Detectors: Detecting Changes Rather Than States	199
<i>Varun Mandalapu and Jiaqi Gong</i>	
Identifying Implicit Links in CSCL Chats Using String Kernels and Neural Networks	204
<i>Mihai Masala, Stefan Ruseti, Gabriel Gutu-Robu, Traian Rebedea, Mihai Dascalu, and Stefan Trausan-Matu</i>	
Fractions Lab Goes East: Learning and Interaction with an Exploratory Learning Environment in China	209
<i>Manolis Mavrikis, Wayne Holmes, Jingjing Zhang, and Ning Ma</i>	
iSTART-E: Reading Comprehension Strategy Training for Spanish Speakers	215
<i>Kathryn S. McCarthy, Christian Soto, Cecilia Malbrán, Liliana Fonseca, Marian Simian, and Danielle S. McNamara</i>	
The Wearable Learning Cloud Platform for the Creation of Embodied Multiplayer Math Games	220
<i>Matthew Micciolo, Ivon Arroyo, Avery Harrison, Taylyn Hulse, and Erin Ottmar</i>	
Autonomous Agent that Provides Automated Feedback Improves Negotiation Skills	225
<i>Shannon Monahan, Emmanuel Johnson, Gale Lucas, James Finch, and Jonathan Gratch</i>	
Efficient Navigation in Learning Materials: An Empirical Study on the Linking Process	230
<i>Pedro Mota, Luisa Coheur, and Maxine Eskenazi</i>	
Syntax-Based Analysis of Programming Concepts in Python	236
<i>Martin Možina and Timotej Lazar</i>	

Teaching Without Learning: Is It OK With Weak AI?	241
<i>Kristian Månsson and Magnus Haake</i>	
Sentiment Analysis of Student Evaluations of Teaching	246
<i>Heather Newman and David Joyner</i>	
Visualizing Learning Analytics and Educational Data Mining Outputs	251
<i>Ranilson Paiva, Ig Ibert Bittencourt, Wansel Lemos, André Vinicius, and Diego Dermeval</i>	
A System-General Model for the Detection of Gaming the System Behavior in CTAT and LearnSphere	257
<i>Luc Paquette, Ryan S. Baker, and Michal Moskal</i>	
Automatic Generation of Multiple-Choice Fill-in-the-Blank Question Using Document Embedding.	261
<i>Junghyuk Park, Hyunsoo Cho, and Sang-goo Lee</i>	
Prediction of Interpersonal Help-Seeking Behavior from Log Files in an In-Service Education Distance Course	266
<i>Bruno Elias Penteado, Seiji Isotani, Paula M. Paiva, Marina Morettin-Zupelari, and Deborah Viviane Ferrari</i>	
Control of Variables Strategy Across Phases of Inquiry in Virtual Labs	271
<i>Sarah Perez, Jonathan Massey-Allard, Joss Ives, Deborah Butler, Doug Bonn, Jeff Bale, and Ido Roll</i>	
Female Robots as Role-Models? - The Influence of Robot Gender and Learning Materials on Learning Success	276
<i>Anne Pfeifer and Birgit Lugin</i>	
Adolescents' Self-regulation During Job Interviews Through an AI Coaching Environment	281
<i>Kaška Porayska-Pomsta and Evi Chryssafidou</i>	
Bandit Assignment for Educational Experiments: Benefits to Students Versus Statistical Power	286
<i>Anna N. Rafferty, Huiji Ying, and Joseph Jay Williams</i>	
Students' Responses to a Humanlike Approach to Elicit Emotion in an Educational Virtual World	291
<i>Hedieh Ranjbar, Deborah Richards, Anupam Makhija, and Michael J. Jacobson</i>	
Active Learning for Efficient Testing of Student Programs	296
<i>Ishan Rastogi, Aditya Kanade, and Shirish Shevade</i>	

Improving Question Generation with the Teacher’s Implicit Feedback	301
<i>Hugo Rodrigues, Luisa Coheur, and Eric Nyberg</i>	
Adaptive Learning Open Source Initiative for MOOC Experimentation	307
<i>Yigal Rosen, Ilia Rushkin, Rob Rubin, Liberty Munson, Andrew Ang, Gregory Weber, Glenn Lopez, and Dustin Tingley</i>	
Impact of Learner-Centered Affective Dynamics on Metacognitive Judgements and Performance in Advanced Learning Technologies	312
<i>Robert Sawyer, Nicholas V. Mudrick, Roger Azevedo, and James Lester</i>	
Combining Difficulty Ranking with Multi-Armed Bandits to Sequence Educational Content	317
<i>Avi Segal, Yossi Ben David, Joseph Jay Williams, Kobi Gal, and Yaar Shalom</i>	
TipsC: Tips and Corrections for programming MOOCs	322
<i>Saksham Sharma, Pallav Agarwal, Parv Mor, and Amey Karkare</i>	
Empirically Evaluating the Effectiveness of POMDP vs. MDP Towards the Pedagogical Strategies Induction	327
<i>Shitian Shen, Behrooz Mostafavi, Collin Lynch, Tiffany Barnes, and Min Chi</i>	
Understanding Revisions in Student Writing Through Revision Graphs	332
<i>Antonette Shibani, Simon Knight, and Simon Buckingham Shum</i>	
Exploring Online Course Sociograms Using Cohesion Network Analysis	337
<i>Maria-Dorinela Sirbu, Mihai Dascalu, Scott A. Crossley, Danielle S. McNamara, Tiffany Barnes, Collin F. Lynch, and Stefan Trausan-Matu</i>	
Gamified Assessment of Collaborative Skills with Chatbots	343
<i>Kristin Stoeffler, Yigal Rosen, Maria Bolsinova, and Alina A. von Davier</i>	
Deep Knowledge Tracing for Free-Form Student Code Progression	348
<i>Vinitra Swamy, Allen Guo, Samuel Lau, Wilton Wu, Madeline Wu, Zachary Pardos, and David Culler</i>	
Time Series Model for Predicting Dropout in Massive Open Online Courses	353
<i>Cui Tang, Yuanxin Ouyang, Wenge Rong, Jingshuai Zhang, and Zhang Xiong</i>	

Machine Learning and Fuzzy Logic Techniques for Personalized Tutoring of Foreign Languages	358
<i>Christos Troussas, Konstantina Chrysafiadi, and Maria Virvou</i>	
Item Response Theory Without Restriction of Equal Interval Scale for Rater's Score	363
<i>Masaki Uto and Maomi Ueno</i>	
The Effect of Digital Versus Traditional Orchestration on Collaboration in Small Groups	369
<i>Kurt VanLehn, Hugh Burkhardt, Salman Cheema, Seokmin Kang, Daniel Pead, Alan Schoenfeld, and Jon Wetzel</i>	
Modeling Student Learning Behaviors in ALEKS: A Two-Layer Hidden Markov Modeling Approach	374
<i>Guoyi Wang, Yun Tang, Junyi Li, and Xiangen Hu</i>	
A Preliminary Evaluation of the Usability of an AI-Infused Orchestration System	379
<i>Jon Wetzel, Hugh Burkhardt, Salman Cheema, Seokmin Kang, Daniel Pead, Alan Schoenfeld, and Kurt VanLehn</i>	
Microscope or Telescope: Whether to Dissect Epistemic Emotions	384
<i>Naomi Wixon, Beverly Woolf, Sarah Schultz, Danielle Allesio, and Ivon Arroyo</i>	
Multimodal Interfaces for Inclusive Learning	389
<i>Marcelo Worsley, David Barel, Lydia Davison, Thomas Large, and Timothy Mwiti</i>	
Supporting Learning Activities with Wearable Devices to Develop Life-Long Skills in a Health Education App	394
<i>Kalina Yacef, Corinne Caillaud, and Olivier Galy</i>	
Automatic Chinese Short Answer Grading with Deep Autoencoder	399
<i>Xi Yang, Yuwei Huang, Fuzhen Zhuang, Lishan Zhang, and Shengquan Yu</i>	
Understanding Students' Problem-Solving Strategies in a Synergistic Learning-by-Modeling Environment	405
<i>Ningyu Zhang and Gautam Biswas</i>	

Industry Papers

Adaptive Visual Dialog for Intelligent Tutoring Systems	413
<i>Jae-wook Ahn, Maria Chang, Patrick Watson, Ravi Tejwani, Sharad Sundararajan, Tamer Abuelsaad, and Srijiith Prabhu</i>	

College Course Name Classification at Scale	419
<i>Irina Borisova</i>	
AUI Story Maker: Animation Generation from Natural Language	424
<i>Nacir Bouali and Violetta Cavalli-Sforza</i>	
Inferring Course Enrollment from Partial Data	429
<i>José P. González-Brenes and Ralph Edezhath</i>	
Validating Mastery Learning: Assessing the Impact of Adaptive Learning Objective Mastery in Knewton Alta	433
<i>Andrew Jones and Illya Bomash</i>	
The Rise of Immersive Cognitive Assessments: Towards Simulation-Based Assessment for Evaluating Applicants	438
<i>Rebecca Kantar, Keith McNulty, Erica L. Snow, Richard Wainess, Sonia D. Doshi, Devon B. Walker, and Matthew A. Emery</i>	
Towards Competence Development for Industry 4.0	442
<i>Milos Kravcik, Xia Wang, Carsten Ullrich, and Christoph Igel</i>	
Smart Learning Partner: An Interactive Robot for Education.	447
<i>Yu Lu, Chen Chen, Penghe Chen, Xiyang Chen, and Zijun Zhuang</i>	
Short-Term Efficacy and Usage Recommendations for a Large-Scale Implementation of the Math-Whizz Tutor.	452
<i>Manolis Mavrikis, David Sebastian Schleppe, and Junaid Mubeen</i>	
Leveraging Non-cognitive Student Self-reports to Predict Learning Outcomes	458
<i>Kaśka Porayska-Pomsta, Manolis Mavrikis, Mutlu Cukurova, Maria Margeti, and Tej Samani</i>	
ITADS: A Real-World Intelligent Tutor to Train Troubleshooting Skills	463
<i>Sowmya Ramachandran, Randy Jensen, Jeremy Ludwig, Eric Domeshek, and Thomas Haines</i>	
Simulated Dialogues with Virtual Agents: Effects of Agent Features in Conversation-Based Assessments.	469
<i>Jesse R. Sparks, Diego Zapata-Rivera, Blair Lehman, Kofi James, and Jonathan Steinberg</i>	
Adaptive Learning Based on Affect Sensing.	475
<i>Dorothea Tsatsou, Andrew Pomazanskyi, Enrique Hortal, Evaggelos Spyrou, Helen C. Leligou, Stylianos Asteriadis, Nicholas Vretos, and Petros Daras</i>	

Preliminary Evaluations of a Dialogue-Based Digital Tutor	480
<i>Matthew Ventura, Maria Chang, Peter Foltz, Nirmal Mukhi, Jessica Yarbro, Anne Pier Salverda, John Behrens, Jae-wook Ahn, Tengfei Ma, Tejas I. Dhamecha, Smit Marvaniya, Patrick Watson, Cassius D'helon, Ravi Tejwani, and Shazia Afzal</i>	

Young Researcher Papers

Investigating Feedback Support to Enhance Collaboration Within Groups in Computer Supported Collaborative Learning	487
<i>Adetunji Adeniran</i>	
Supporting Math Problem Solving Coaching for Young Students: A Case for Weak Learning Companion	493
<i>Lujie Chen</i>	
Improving Self-regulation by Regulating Mind-Wandering Through the Practice of Mindfulness	498
<i>Wai Keung David Cheung</i>	
Metacognitive Experience Modeling Using Eye-Tracking	503
<i>Chou Ching-En</i>	
Exploring the Meaning of Effective Exploratory Learner Behavior in Hands-on, Collaborative Projects Using Physical Computing Kits	508
<i>Veronica Cucuiat</i>	
Multimodal Tutor for CPR	513
<i>Daniele Di Mitri</i>	
Leveraging Educational Technology to Improve the Quality of Civil Discourse	517
<i>Nicholas Diana</i>	
Adapting Learning Activities Selection in an Intelligent Tutoring System to Affect	521
<i>Chinasa Odo</i>	
Investigation of Temporal Dynamics in MOOC Learning Trajectories: A Geocultural Perspective	526
<i>Saman Zehra Rizvi, Bart Rienties, and Jekaterina Rogaten</i>	

Sequence Based Course Recommender for Personalized Curriculum Planning	531
<i>Chris Wong</i>	

Towards Improving Introductory Computer Programming with an ITS for Conceptual Learning.	535
<i>Franceska Xhakaj and Vincent Aleven</i>	

Workshops and Tutorials

Flow Experience in Learning: When Gamification Meets Artificial Intelligence in Education	541
<i>Ig Ibert Bittencourt, Seiji Isotani, Vanessa Wanick, and Ashok Ranchhod</i>	

3rd International Workshop on Intelligent Mentoring Systems (IMS2018) . . .	544
<i>Vania Dimitrova, Art Graesser, Antonija Mitrovic, David Williamson Shaffer, and Amali Weerasinghe</i>	

Hands-on with GIFT: A Tutorial on the Generalized Intelligent Framework for Tutoring.	547
<i>Benjamin Goldberg, Jonathan Rowe, Randall Spain, Brad Mott, James Lester, Bob Pokorny, and Robert Sottolare</i>	

Ethics in AIED: Who Cares?	551
<i>Wayne Holmes, Duygu Bektik, Denise Whitelock, and Beverly Park Woolf</i>	

Workshop for Artificial Intelligence to Promote Quality and Equity in Education.	554
<i>Ronghuai Huang, Kinshuk, Yanyan Li, and TingWen Chang</i>	

8th International Workshop on Personalization Approaches in Learning Environments PALE 2018	558
<i>Milos Kravcik, Olga C. Santos, Jesus G. Boticario, Maria Bielikova, Tomas Horvath, and Ilaria Torre</i>	

Workshop on Authoring and Tutoring Methods for Diverse Task Domains: Psychomotor, Mobile, and Medical	561
<i>Jason D. Moss and Paula J. Durlach</i>	

Assessment and Intervention During Team Tutoring Workshop.	564
<i>Anne M. Sinatra and Jeanine A. DeFalco</i>	

Exploring Opportunities to Standardize Adaptive Instructional Systems (AISs)	567
<i>Robert Sottolare, Robby Robson, Avron Barr, Arthur Graesser, and Xiangen Hu</i>	
Workshop: Design and Application of Collaborative, Dynamic, Personalized Experimentation	570
<i>J. J. Williams, N. Heffernan, and O. Poquet</i>	
Author Index	575