Software Processes and Life Cycle Models

Ralf Kneuper

# Software Processes and Life Cycle Models

An Introduction to Modelling, Using and Managing Agile, Plan-Driven and Hybrid Processes



Ralf Kneuper Dr. Ralf Kneuper Consulting Darmstadt, Germany

#### ISBN 978-3-319-98844-3 ISBN 978-3-319-98845-0 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98845-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018953100

#### © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

### Foreword

A number of books have been written about software process improvement over the years, some of them quite good, some less so. Ralf has written a book that I will be happy to add to my library. Ralf has been involved with software process assessment and improvement for many years, so he has the experience necessary to speak knowledgeably about the topic. He is also addressing two topics that I think are important for systematic process improvement in today's world.

First, he is addressing agile methods. I became involved with agile nearly 20 years ago: I was asked to write a book chapter on Extreme Programming from the perspective of the Capability Maturity Model. I was impressed, surprised, and intrigued by the ideas captured in XP. While I would not agree with everything argued by the XP advocates, for the most part I found the XP practices appealing. I followed up with other agile methods, eventually becoming a Certified ScrumMaster. In my encounters with the agile community, I found a variety of perspectives, ranging from the "responsible center" to "fringe zealots".

I believe that agile methods have a great deal to offer the process community ... although there are those in both communities who downplay the contributions of the other. Process frameworks, such as CMMI, do not address every organizational need.

Software process as captured in the Software CMM, and now CMM Integration, focuses on building the capability of the organization to build systems. The emphasis is on operational excellence—meeting commitments, operating in an effective and efficient manner. There are other priorities that an organization could choose over operational excellence, such as innovation. In the custom software development world, operational excellence is crucial—but innovation cannot be ignored. In commercial software development, innovation may be the more important priority, yet meeting commitments is also useful.

Agile methods are focused on the needs of the software team to build a specific product in a specific context. As the agile experts all admit, you have to tailor the agile method to the unique needs of the project. If you tailor it too far, it may no longer be agile—but still be appropriate for the project's context. There are many good engineering and management practices embedded in the agile methods that

can and should be adopted by the software community, even when "agility" is not a major driver for the project.

Nearly 20 years ago, I listened to Bob Martin tell a story at XP Universe about someone he ran into in the hall. That person thanked Bob, telling him that his company had adopted XP and were delighted with it. Bob asked what he thought about pair programming—we don't do pair programming ... well, what about the planning game—we don't do the planning game ... how's continuous integration working out for you—we don't do continuous integration. Bob then asked, well what do you do? And the answer: we don't document anything! Sitting in the audience I felt a strong sense of schadenfreude (joy in the misfortune of others). More than once I've had someone tell me, we're doing something stupid! Why? The CMM told us to! In following up, it was never something the CMM actually directed, it was what people felt they needed to do to check a box.

People in both the process and agile communities need to approach "the way we build software" with both humility and a sense of inquiry. How can we do a better job of building software? Frameworks such as the Software CMM and now CMMI have many good ideas for the organization that can help in deploying effective methods, such as Scrum, Extreme Programming, and Feature Driven Development. I hope that Ralf's insights into the good ideas ranging from plan-driven to agile will help software professionals come up with good answers to the questions that they ask.

Second, Ralf is addressing the software process from the perspective of the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge. SWEBOK is an attempt to capture the critical ideas fundamental to good software engineering. The IEEE Computer Society solicited inputs from the software engineering community in a transparent, open, consensus process on what we know about building software effectively and efficiently. Integrating these insights into your software process improvement initiatives should be useful and important.

There are many other good sources of insight into software engineering and management that could be cited, but these are two of the most influential and widely known. I will not claim to agree with everything said in the agile community or by the IEEE Computer Society—I'm well known not to agree with many in the process world! Even when we disagree, keeping an open mind to potential insights and integrating those into our thinking, even when it causes us to change our minds, is the highway to continual improvement. Basing our decisions on empirical evidence as to what really works is the core high maturity at levels 4 and 5, but that's a different book.

Dallas, Texas, August 2017

Mark Paulk

## Preface

Since software is of growing importance in today's world, the importance of the processes used to create, maintain and run such software is growing in parallel. These processes may be defined in detail or not at all, but even a software development project or organization that does not explicitly define their software engineering processes will use processes implicitly to do their work.

However, an increasing proportion of software engineering organisations defines their "set of interrelated or interacting activities which transforms inputs into outputs" which is the ISO 9000 definition of processes. Such process definitions may take very different forms, from very traditional, plan-based approaches with lengthy and sequential phases for analysis, design, implementation and test to agile approaches where essentially the same activities are performed within very short and frequent cycles, today usually called sprints. Experience over the last decades has shown that the best way to reliably get excellent products is to ensure that excellent processes are used to create and maintain these products.

Software engineering as a discipline integrates a variety of tasks ranging from "classical" computer science to business-related topics, and can roughly be distinguished into *software technology* that addresses the methodical and technological aspects, and *organisation and management of software development*, which, among other tasks, includes different planning, measurement and controlling tasks. Good software processes help to bring these different tasks together, working towards the common goal of delivering high-quality products on time and in budget.

As software becomes a vital component of many systems and affects many aspects of our day-to-day life, the processes for creating, maintaining and running software become critical as well. To efficiently develop high quality software that addresses their needs, companies have to align software development and software management with their business goals and processes. To achieve this, certain guidelines are needed for development, defining the activities, results and roles needed in more or less detail. This is particularly obvious in the context of regulated and safety-critical environments, such as automotive, medical devices, or financial services, but in different, e.g. more agile environments, alignment of the development processes is similarly important, with the processes possibly taking a very different form, for example focussing on time to market rather than reliability.

Over time, plan-driven development and agile development have been developed as the two basic philosophies for software development. They both address the same objective of creating high-quality software in time and on budget, but with different emphasis. While agile development puts the emphasis on customer satisfaction and user benefit and tries to achieve these by making it easy to adapt work, plandriven development puts more emphasis on requirements that have been identified in advance in order to achieve correctness and predictability. This results in different strengths and weaknesses of both philosophies, and in practice many development organisations use some combination of both.

#### **Book Goals**

Since process engineers and project managers face a diversity of approaches and standards that is hard to manage, defining and enacting appropriate processes constitute a challenging task that is often left to expertise and experience.

The book at hand therefore does not attempt to promote any specific approach, type of process, or model. Instead, it aims at delivering a big picture of the comprehensive field of software processes, covering in particular the essential topics:

- software process modelling
- software process models and life cycle models
- software process management, deployment and governance
- software process improvement (including assessment and measurement)

Furthermore, it can be used as a reference on software process models and notations, providing at least a brief overview of all the main approaches. The book also discusses the fundamental process principles, and presents an overview of current "hot topics" and emerging trends.

In particular, the book addresses the topics described in Chapter 8 "Software Engineering Processes" of the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK<sup>®</sup> v3). To do so, it uses a uniform conceptual and terminological framework to present the software processes, explains the different topics by example, and shares experiences gathered over the years. The present book does not propose any new processes or methods. Its goal is to introduce software engineers into the topic of software processes to support the systematic development of high quality software in different and changing environments.

In discussing software processes and life cycle models, the focus lies on the benefits for development organisations and their customers that can be achieved, and what needs to be done in order to achieve them.

Conformance to relevant international standards is of course important as well, but should not be considered as the primary goal. Experience shows that most standards can be applied in very different ways, and a focus on compliance tends to lead to inefficient processes that are therefore difficult to deploy in the organisation. A focus on the benefits of the processes, on the other hand, using standards as a tool to where useful or required, will in general be far more helpful and, as a result, also easier to deploy.

#### **Target Audience**

This book is aimed at graduate students, researchers, and professionals. It can be used as a textbook for courses and lectures, for self-study, and as a reference. When used as a textbook, it may support courses and lectures devoted to software processes, but also as complementing literature for more basic courses, such as introductory courses on software engineering or project management.

Software engineering processes provide a structure and guidance to the software engineering activities, and it will be difficult to understand the structure without an understanding of the basic activities that are structured by processes. To make effective and efficient use of the different methods and techniques of software engineering, appropriate processes are needed. This book helps to understand and use these processes, to identify which processes are most appropriate in a certain environment, to document them and to introduce them into the organisation or project.

However, the book at hand does not try to be a general software engineering compendium or textbook. Readers should already have some fundamental knowledge about computer science, software engineering, and project management. Specific tasks, such as software development, architecture, and quality assurance, are not part of this book, and readers are expected to bring in basic knowledge of these topics. Furthermore, basic knowledge about software economics is beneficial.

#### Outline

The overall structure of the book can be found in Fig. 0.1. In Chap. 1, the foundations of the topic are introduced, covering the basic concepts, a historical overview, and an introduction to the terminology used.

Next, Chap. 2 covers the various approaches to modelling software processes and life cycle models, before Chap. 3 discusses the contents of these models, addressing plan-driven, agile and hybrid approaches.

The following chapters address different aspects of using software processes and life cycle models in an organisation, looking at the management of these processes (Chap. 4), their assessment and improvement (Chap. 5) and the measurement of both software and software processes (Chap. 6).

Working with software processes is usually supported by different kinds of tools, which is the topic covered in Chap. 7, before a look at current trends in software processes in Chap. 8 concludes the book.



Fig. 0.1 Book outline

**Relationship to SWEBOK**<sup>®</sup>. The book is aligned with the *Software Engineering Body of Knowledge* (SWEBOK<sup>®</sup>) version 3, chapter 8 "Software Engineering Process" and, thus, provides a general introduction to the software process. SWEBOK<sup>®</sup> was created by the IEEE Computer Society, and its current version v.3 was published both as an IEEE guide and as ISO/IEC TR 19759:2015.

To some extent, the present book goes beyond the SWEBOK<sup>®</sup> contents by also providing insights into the topics of process selection and tailoring and by discussing emerging trends in the field of software processes. Furthermore, agile approaches are covered as well as plan-driven approaches, while SWEBOK has a strong emphasis on plan-driven development only.

Table 0.1 gives an overview of the top-level structure of the book and its relationship to  $SWEBOK^{(R)}$ , Chap. 8.

SWEBOK <sup>®</sup> v3, Chap. 8	This book
Software Process Definition	Chapter 2, Chapter 4
Software Life Cycles	Chapter 3, Chapter 4
Software Process Assessment and Improvement	Chapter 5
Software Measurement	Chapter 6
Software Engineering Process Tools	Chapter 7

Table 0.1 Relation of the present book to the topics covered by SWEBOK<sup>®</sup>, Chap. 8

While Chap. 8 of SWEBOK<sup>®</sup> addresses software engineering processes as a topic of their own, several other chapters of the SWEBOK<sup>®</sup> also refer to software

engineering processes. Table 0.2 lists the main relevant parts of SWEBOK<sup>®</sup> and where these topics are covered in the present book.

Table 0.2 Relation of the present book to the topics covered by SWEBOK<sup>®</sup>, Chap. 7 and 10

SWEBOK <sup>®</sup> v3	This book
Chap. 7, Sect. 2.1 Process Planning	Sect. 4.4
Chap. 7, Sect. 3.4 Monitor Process	Sect. 4.7, Sect. 6.5
Chap. 10, Sect. 1.3 Models and Quality Characteristics	Sect. 5.2
Chap. 10, Sect. 1.4 Software Quality Improvement	Sect. 5.4

Just like software engineers should think about what happens to their software after development is completed, so this book goes beyond development processes and looks at the entire software life cycle including operations, service management and maintenance, as well as the governance of software processes.

**Terminology.** Where possible, the terminology used is usually based on the current (in 2017) version of the *Software and Systems Engineering Vocabulary* (SEVOCAB) which collects definitions of terminology from various other norms and standards published by ISO, IEC, IEEE and PMI, and expands this collection by a number of additional definitions. SEVOCAB is publicly available from https://pascal.computer.org, and also published periodically as ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765.

In particular, the terminology from SEVOCAB is usually used in the definitions in this book. Where this standards includes several different definitions of the same term, this book usually selects that definition that is most appropriate in the context of software processes and life cycle models.

On the other hand, the same definition is sometimes used in several different standards. In this case, only the sources most relevant in this context are given, always including SEVOCAB if the definition is included in this standard.

**Case studies and examples.** To help to get a good understanding of the topics discussed, examples and case studies are provided. In particular, the following two companies will be used for the case studies:

**Case Study 0.1. (CS AutoSystems)** *CS AutoSystems* develops and produces various electronic control units (ECU) for cars, e.g. electric window lifters. These ECUs consist of hardware as well as software, and in some cases they are safety-relevant, leading to high demands on their reliability as well as on the validation of the systems.

The development department of CS AutoSystems is fairly small, with about ten developers (hard- and software).

**Case Study 0.2. (CS Insurance InfoSys)** *CS Insurance InfoSys* is a large IT service provider, with about 1000 developers. The organisation develops and runs the information systems for its parent, a large insurance company. To a minor extent, it also acquires software from external suppliers which is then run in the data centre of CS Insurance InfoSys.

Both case studies are based on a combination of real, existing companies even though the companies as described do not exist in this form. Nevertheless, everything described in the case studies has actually happened in existing companies.

**Example 0.1.** Apart from these two case studies companies that will be used in case studies repeatedly across the entire book, various other examples will be used to illustrate the concepts introduced.

At the end of each chapter, references to further reading are included for those who want to go into more detail of one of the topics covered.

Also at the end of most chapters, some exercises are included to help get a better understanding of the concepts covered. These exercises do not just ask to repeat any contents described in the book but refer to applying and interpreting this contents in a certain context, sometimes the reader's own work environment. As a result, these exercises have rarely, if ever, a unique correct answer.

There are many norms and standards relevant in the field of software processes and this book addresses many of them. A list of the most important such norms and standards can be found in the appendix.

*Acknowledgements.* Many thanks go to Marian Benner-Wickner, Ernest Wallmüller, Eckhard Wirth and the anonymous reviewers for their feedback on various drafts of this book.

Many thanks also go to the copyright owners of the figures included. Unfortunately, not all copyright owners managed to answer this request, and I therefore had to remove a few figures I would have liked to include.

The author thanks the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) for permission to reproduce Information from its International Standard IEC 61508-3:2010. All such extracts are copyright of IEC, Geneva, Switzerland. All rights reserved. Further information on the IEC is available from http://www.iec.ch. IEC has no responsibility for the placement and context in which the extracts and contents are reproduced by the author, nor is IEC in any way responsible for the other content or accuracy therein.

#### Preface

#### Trademarks.

- $ITIL^{\textcircled{R}}$  is a (registered) Trade Mark of AXELOS Limited. All rights reserved.
- PRINCE<sup>®</sup> is a (registered) Trade Mark of AXELOS Limited. All rights reserved.
- PRINCE2 Agile<sup>®</sup> is a (registered) Trade Mark of AXELOS Limited. All rights reserved.
- Capability Maturity Model<sup>®</sup>, Carnegie Mellon<sup>®</sup> and CMM<sup>®</sup> are registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University.
- CMMI and SCAMPI are registered marks of CMMI Institute LLC.
- Team Software Process, TSP, Personal Software Process, PSP and IDEAL are service marks of Carnegie Mellon University.
- PMI<sup>®</sup> and PMP<sup>®</sup> are registered marks of Project Management Institute, Inc.
- V-Modell<sup>®</sup> ist eine geschützte Marke der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. (V-Modell<sup>®</sup> is a registered mark of the Federal Republic of Germany.)
- SAFe<sup>®</sup> and Scaled Agile Framework<sup>®</sup> are registered trademarks of Scaled Agile, Inc.
- The Open  $\operatorname{Group}^{{\mathbb R}}$  and  $\operatorname{TOGAF}^{{\mathbb R}}$  are registered trademarks of The Open Group.
- $IBM^{(\mathbb{R})}$  is a registered trademark of International Business Machines Corporation.
- CORBA<sup>®</sup>, Object Management<sup>®</sup>, OMG<sup>®</sup>, and UML<sup>®</sup> are registered trademarks and BPMN<sup>TM</sup>, Business Process Modeling Notation<sup>TM</sup>, and Unified Modeling Language<sup>TM</sup> are trademarks of the Object Management Group.
- COBIT<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of the Information Systems Audit and Control Association and the IT Governance Institute.
- IEEE<sup>®</sup> iis a registered trademark of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
- Microsoft<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation.

About the author. Ralf Kneuper got a diploma in mathematics from the Univ. of Bonn, Germany, in 1985, and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the Univ. of Manchester, UK, in 1989. Since then, he has worked with various companies on software quality assurance, quality management and software processes. Currently, he works both as an independent consultant on software quality management, process improvement and data protection, and as a professor of Business Informatics and Computer Science at the IUBH Internationale Hochschule in Germany. He has published extensively on CMMI, process improvement and process quality.

## Contents

1	Fou	ndation	s	1	
	1.1	Backg	round	1	
		1.1.1	Basic Concepts	1	
		1.1.2	The Purpose of Explicitly Using Software Processes	3	
		1.1.3	Software Processes and their Evolution	5	
		1.1.4	Managing Software Processes	8	
		1.1.5	Software Process Models and Meta-models	11	
	1.2	The So	oftware Process Ecosystem	12	
	1.3	Histor	ical Overview	13	
		1.3.1	The Early Days	14	
		1.3.2	The 1980s: The Rise of Software Processes	16	
		1.3.3	The 1990s and Early 2000s: Lightweight and Agile Processes	17	
		1.3.4	Recent Trends	18	
	1.4	Termir	nology and Basic Concepts	20	
		1.4.1	General Terminology	21	
		1.4.2	Process Terminology	21	
		1.4.3	Software Process Terminology	24	
		1.4.4	Model and Meta-model Terminology	27	
		1.4.5	Process Model Terminology	29	
		1.4.6	Major Phases Within Software Life Cycles	32	
		1.4.7	Other Relevant Terminology	34	
	Furt	her Rea	ding	35	
	Exe	rcises .		36	
	References				
2	Soft	ware P	rocess Definition and Modelling	41	
	2.1	Introdu	uction	41	
		2.1.1	Basic Concepts	42	
		2.1.2	Properties of Process Meta-Models	43	
		2.1.3	Meta-meta-modelling	46	
		2.1.4	Core Contents of Software Process Models	47	

		2.1.5	Further Contents of Software Process Models	49
	2.2	Notati	ons for Modelling the Interactions Between Processes	49
		2.2.1	Value Chain Diagrams and Process Landscape Diagrams	50
		2.2.2	The Multi-View Process Modeling Language (MVP-L)	50
	2.3	Detail	ed-Level Modelling Notations for Individual Processes	53
		2.3.1	Process Patterns	53
		2.3.2	Modelling Notations from Requirements Analysis	54
		2.3.3	High-Level Notations for General Processes	56
		2.3.4	Notations for Modelling Business Processes	58
		2.3.5	Process Notations for Formal Analysis	60
	2.4	Combi	ined Modelling Notations Combining High-Level and	
		Detail	ed-Level Modelling	61
		2.4.1	Life Cycle Diagram Plus Textual Process Documentation	62
		2.4.2	The Software & Systems Process Engineering Meta-Model	
			(SPEM)	63
		2.4.3	Software Engineering Metamodel for Development	
			Methodologies (SEMDM) ISO/IEC 24744	64
		2.4.4	V-Model XT Meta-Model	65
	Furt	her Rea	ding	66
	Exer	cises .	~	66
	Refe	rences		67
3	Soft	ware P	rocesses in the Software Product Life Cycle	69
	3.1	Introd	uction	69
		3.1.1	Distinctive Properties of Software Process and Life Cycle	
			Models	70
		3.1.2	Software Product Life Cycle	73
		3.1.3	Organisational Software Processes	75
		3.1.4	Software Development Life Cycle	77
		215		
		5.1.5	Software Life Cycle Processes According to	
		5.1.5	Software Life Cycle Processes According to ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207	77
		3.1.5	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models	77 78
		3.1.6 3.1.7	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail	77 78 80
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models	77 78 80 81
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1	Software Life Cycle Processes According to ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207 Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail Software Development Life Cycle Models Waterfall Models	77 78 80 81 81
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1 3.2.2	Software Life Cycle Processes According to ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207 Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail Software Development Life Cycle Models Waterfall Models The V-Model	77 78 80 81 81 83
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models	77 78 80 81 81 83 85
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping	77 78 80 81 81 83 85 86
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping   Iterative, Incremental and Evolutionary Development	77 78 80 81 81 83 85 86 89
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping   Iterative, Incremental and Evolutionary Development   An Anti-Pattern: Code-and-Fix	77 78 80 81 81 83 85 86 89 95
	3.2	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping   Iterative, Incremental and Evolutionary Development   An Anti-Pattern: Code-and-Fix   Digression: the Six Phases of a (Big) Project	77 78 80 81 83 85 86 89 95 95
	3.2 3.3	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic ( 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7 Metho	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping   Iterative, Incremental and Evolutionary Development   An Anti-Pattern: Code-and-Fix   Digression: the Six Phases of a (Big) Project   dology-Driven Life Cycle and Process Models	77 78 80 81 81 83 85 86 89 95 95 95
	3.2 3.3 3.4	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic ( 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7 Metho Detaile	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping   Iterative, Incremental and Evolutionary Development   An Anti-Pattern: Code-and-Fix   Digression: the Six Phases of a (Big) Project   dology-Driven Life Cycle and Process Models   ed, Combined Software Life Cycle and Process Models	77 78 80 81 83 85 86 89 95 95 95 96 97
	3.2 3.3 3.4	3.1.6 3.1.7 Basic 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7 Metho Detaile 3.4.1	Software Life Cycle Processes According to   ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207   Categories of Software Process and Life Cycle Models   Categorizing Process Models by Level of Detail   Software Development Life Cycle Models   Waterfall Models   The V-Model   Component- or Matrix-Based Models   Prototyping   Iterative, Incremental and Evolutionary Development   An Anti-Pattern: Code-and-Fix   Digression: the Six Phases of a (Big) Project   dology-Driven Life Cycle and Process Models   ed, Combined Software Life Cycle and Process Models	77 78 80 81 83 85 86 89 95 95 95 95 96 97 97

4

	3.4.3	Other Software Process Models	100
3.5	Agile a	nd Lean Development Processes and Methodologies	102
	3.5.1	The Agile Manifesto	102
	3.5.2	Scrum	103
	3.5.3	Common Agile Practices	108
	3.5.4	Planning and Tracking Work in Agile Development	109
	3.5.5	Extreme Programming (XP)	110
	3.5.6	Lean Development	111
	3.5.7	Other Common Agile and Lean Methodologies	115
	3.5.8	Processes for Open Source Software Development	117
	3.5.9	Scaling Agile Development	118
	3.5.10	Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe <sup>®</sup> )	121
3.6	Hybrid	Approaches	124
3.7	(Capab	ility) Maturity Models	126
3.8	IT Serv	vice Management and Operations	126
	3.8.1	The IT Infrastructure Library (ITIL)	127
	3.8.2	Other Models for IT Service Management and Operations	127
3.9	Integra	ting Software Development and Software Operations	128
3.10	Softwa	re Processes and Architecture	130
3.11	Safety,	Security and Privacy	131
	3.11.1	Basic Concepts	131
	3.11.2	Safety Standards and Software Processes	135
	3.11.3	Security Standards and Software Processes	137
	3.11.4	Privacy Standards and Software Processes	140
	3.11.5	Safety, Security and Privacy in the Development Life Cycle.	142
3.12	Applic	ation-Specific Life Cycle Models	144
	3.12.1	Life Cycle Models for the Development of Cyber-Physical	
		Systems	145
	3.12.2	Life Cycle Models for Customisation, Configuration and	
		Integration Projects	145
	3.12.3	Life Cycle Models for Artificial Intelligence Systems	146
	3.12.4	Life Cycle Models for Big Data Projects	146
3.13	Estima	ting the Dissemination of Software Life Cycle Models	147
Furth	ier Read	ling	151
Exer	cises		152
Refe	rences.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	152
Cove	rnonco	and Managamant of Saftwara Processes	150
4 1	Introdu	etion	159
4.1	Process	s Infrastructura	160
4.2	1 2 1	Process Poles	160
	422	Selecting a Process Notation	165
	423	Process Asset Management and Control	165
43	Process	s Definition	168
т.Ј	431	Basic Concents	168
	т.Э.1		100

		4.3.2	Software Process Development	. 169
	4.4	Proces	s Selection	. 171
	4.5	Proces	s Tailoring	. 176
		4.5.1	Overview of Process Tailoring	. 176
		4.5.2	Tailoring Strategies	. 178
		4.5.3	Tailoring Criteria	. 179
	4.6	Proces	s Deployment	. 180
		4.6.1	Challenges in Process Deployment	. 180
		4.6.2	State–Enable–Verify–Reward.	. 181
		4.6.3	Change Management	. 182
	4.7	Quality	y Assurance	. 187
	4.8	IT Gov	vernance and Process Governance	. 189
		4.8.1	Basic Concepts	. 189
		4.8.2	The COBIT Framework	. 194
		4.8.3	Software Process Governance	. 198
		4.8.4	IT Governance and Agile Development	. 199
		4.8.5	Governance of IT Architecture	. 199
	4.9	Softwa	are Processes as a Form of Knowledge Management	. 202
		4.9.1	Codification vs. Personalisation of Knowledge	. 202
		4.9.2	Probst's Building Blocks of Knowledge Management	. 202
		4.9.3	Armour's Laws of Software Process	. 204
	4.10	(Globa	Illy) Distributed Software Processes	. 205
	4.11	Softwa	are Processes for Software Acquisition	. 206
	Furth	her Read	ding	. 207
	Exer	cises		. 208
	Refe	rences .		. 208
5	Soft	ware Pi	rocess Assessment and Improvement	. 211
	5.1	Introdu	action	. 211
	5.2	Quality	y of Software Processes and Software Process Models	. 212
	5.3	Softwa	are Process Improvement	. 214
		5.3.1	Collection, Analysis and Handling of Improvement Ideas	. 215
		5.3.2	Assessments, Appraisals and Audits	. 217
		5.3.3	The SPI Manifesto	. 220
	5.4	Quality	y Management	. 221
		5.4.1	Foundations of Quality Management	. 221
		5.4.2	The Plan-Do-Check-Act-Cycle (PDCA)	. 222
		5.4.3	The ISO 9000 Series of Standards	. 224
		5.4.4	Responsibility for Quality, Quality Management and	
			Quality Assurance	. 226
		5.4.5	Certification	. 227
		5.4.6	Total Quality Management (TQM)	. 229
	5.5	(Capab	bility) Maturity Models	. 230
		5.5.1	Basic Concepts of Capability Maturity Models	. 231
		5.5.2	Capability and Maturity Levels	. 236

		5.5.3	Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI <sup>®</sup> )	. 237
		5.5.4	SPICE (ISO/IEC 15504 and ISO/IEC 330xx)	. 246
		5.5.5	Capability Maturity Models from the Customer's Point of	
			View	. 252
	5.6	Assess	sment and Improvement in Agile and Lean Development	. 253
	5.7	The T/	AME Project and Related Work	. 254
	5.8	Furthe	r Assessment and Improvement Approaches	. 256
	Furt	her Rea	ding	. 257
	Exer	cises .		. 257
	Refe	rences		. 258
6	Soft	waraai	nd Softwara Progass Massuramont	261
U	61	Introdu		261
	0.1	611	Why measure?	261
		612	Measurement Terminology	201
		613	Measurement Foundations	262
		614	Metrics	205 265
	62	U.1.4 Implei	menting and Deploying Measures and Measurement Systems	265
	0.2	6 2 1	Basic Concepts	205 266
		622	The Goal-Question-Metric Paradigm: GOM and GOM <sup>+</sup>	200 268
		623	Measurement and Analysis in CMMI	270
		624	Aggregating Different Metrics For Reporting	271
	63	Produc	et Metrics	272
	0.5	6.3.1	Software Metrics	. 272
		6.3.2	Software Quality Metrics	. 273
	6.4	Projec	t and Service Metrics	. 273
	6.5	Proces	ss Metrics: Measuring Process Quality Using Gokyo Ri	. 275
	6.6	Measu	rement and Agile Methods	. 283
	Furt	her Rea	ding	. 284
	Exer	cises .		. 284
	Refe	rences		. 285
7	Tool	Suppo	rt for Software Processes	. 287
	7.1	Introdu	uction	. 287
	7.2	Suppo	rt for Process Modelling and Process Management	. 289
		7.2.1	Process Editors	. 289
		7.2.2	Process and Process Asset Management Tools	. 291
		7.2.3	Compliance and Quality Assurance Tools	. 292
	7.3	Tool S	Support for Process Enactment in the Early Stages of the	
		Softwa	are Life Cycle	. 292
	7.4	Tool S	upport for Process Enactment in Software Development	. 293
		7.4.1	Why Tool Support for the Enactment of Software	
			Development Processes?	. 293
		7.4.2	Process Visualisation	. 294
		7.4.3	Process-Aware Tools	. 294

		7.4.4	Tool Support for Project Management and Technical Tasks	. 295
		7.4.5	Development Environments	. 295
		7.4.6	Documentation of Source Code	. 298
	7.5	Tool S	Support for Process Enactment in the Late Stages of the	
		Softwa	are Product Life Cycle	. 299
	7.6	Comp	liance and Quality Assurance Tools in Process Enactment	. 299
	77	Privac	v (Data Protection)	300
	Furtl	her Rea	ding	. 300
	Exer	cises		301
	Refe	rences		. 301
8	Sele	cted Cu	Irrent Trends in Software Processes	. 303
	8.1	Proces	ss Intelligence and Process Mining	. 303
		8.1.1	Basic Concepts of Process Mining	. 303
		8.1.2	Process Mining and Software Processes	. 305
		8.1.3	Mining of Software Engineering Processes	. 306
	8.2	Statist	ical Process Control and Six Sigma	. 309
		8.2.1	Statistical Process Control (SPC)	. 309
		8.2.2	Six Sigma	. 310
		8.2.3	SPC and Six Sigma for Software Processes	. 311
	8.3	DevO	ps	. 313
		8.3.1	From Continuous Integration to Continuous Deployment	. 314
		8.3.2	The "Three Ways" of DevOps	. 316
		8.3.3	DevOps in Context	. 318
		8.3.4	DevOps and CALMS	319
		835	DevOps and ITIL	320
		836	Benefits and Challenges of DevOns	322
	Furtl	her Rea	ding	324
	Ever		unig	324
	Dofo	rances.		324
	Kele	iences		. 525
Α	Rele	vant no	orms and standards	. 327
	A.1	A Sho	rt Overview of the Most Relevant Process Standards	. 327
	A.2	ISO ar	nd IEC Standards	. 328
	A.3	Other	Relevant Standard Documents	. 331
	Furtl	her Rea	ding	. 332
	Refe	rences		. 332
п	0.1		New Jar Parts to Manager Decay of Constitution	222
В		yo Ki C	neck-lists to Measure Process Quality	. 555
	В.1	Proces	s Quality Unaracteristic "Process Objectives and	222
		Requi	rements"	. 333
	<b>B</b> .2	Proces	ss Quality Characteristic "Process Capability"	. 333
	Refe	rences	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 333
Ind	0.V			227
	CA			11/