# From Plots to Endings: A Reinforced Pointer Generator for Story Ending Generation

Yan Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Lu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Chunhua Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ruoyao Yang<sup>1</sup>, and Dong Yu<sup>2,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Beijing Language and Culture University
<sup>2</sup> Beijing Advanced Innovation for Language Resources of BLCU {zhaoyan.nlp,luliu.nlp,chunhualiu596}@gmail.com xmffaf@163.com yudong.blcu@126.com

**Abstract.** We introduce a new task named Story Ending Generation (SEG), which h aims at generating a coherent story ending from a sequence of story plot. We propose a framework consisting of a Generator and a Reward Manager for this task. The Generator follows the pointer-generator network with coverage mechanism to deal with out-of-vocabulary (OOV) and repetitive words. Moreover, a mixed loss method is introduced to enable the Generator to produce story endings of high semantic relevance with story plots. In the Reward Manager, the reward is computed to fine-tune the Generator with policy-gradient reinforcement learning (PGRL). We conduct experiments on the recently-introduced ROCStories Corpus. We evaluate our model in both automatic evaluation and human evaluation. Experimental results show that our model exceeds the sequence-to-sequence baseline model by 15.75% and 13.57% in terms of CIDEr and consistency score respectively.

Keywords: Story ending generation · Pointer-generator · Policy gradient.

# 1 Introduction

Story generation is an extremely challenging task in the field of NLP. It has a longstanding tradition and many different systems have been proposed in order to solve the task. These systems are usually built on techniques such as planning [12,21] and casebased reasoning [5,25], which rely on a fictional world including characters, objects, places, and actions. The whole system is very complicated and difficult to construct.

We define a subtask of story generation named Story Ending Generation (SEG), which aims at generating a coherent story ending according to a sequence of story plot. A coherent ending should have a high correlation with the plot in terms of semantic relevance, consistency and readability. Humans can easily provide a logical ending according to a series of events in the story plot. The core objective of this task is to simulate the mode of people thinking to generate story endings, which has the significant application value in many artificial intelligence fields.

SEG can be considered as a Natural Language Generation (NLG) problem. Most studies on NLG aim at generating a target sequence that is semantically and lexically matched with the corresponding source sequence. Encoder-decoder framework

for sequence-to-sequence learning [26] has been widely used in NLG tasks, such as machine translation [3] and text summarization [4,15,22]. Different from the above NLG tasks, SEG pays more attention to the consistency between story plots and endings. From different stories, we have observed that some OOV words in the plot, such as entities, may also appear in the ending. However, traditional sequence-to-sequence models replace OOV words by the special UNK token, which makes it unable to make correct predictions for these words. Moreover, encoder-decoder framework is of inability to avoid generating repetitive words. Another two limitations of encoder-decoder framework are exposure bias [19] and objective mismatch [18], resulting from Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) loss. To overcome these limitations, some methods [6,10,20,23,27] have been explored.

In this paper, we propose a new framework to solve the SEG problem. The framework consists of a Generator and a Reward Manager. The Generator follows a pointergenerator network to produce story endings. The Reward Manager is used for calculating the reward to fine tune the Generator through PGRL. With a stable and healthy environment that the Generator provides, PGRL can take effect to enable the generated story endings much more sensible. The key contributions of our model are as follows:

- We apply copy and coverage mechanism [23] to traditional sequence-to-sequence model as the Generator to handle OOV and repetitive words, improving the accuracy and fluency of generated story endings.
- We add a semantic relevance loss to the original MLE loss as a new objective function to encourage the high semantic relevance between story plots and generated endings.
- We define a Reward Manager to fine tune the Generator through PGRL. In the Reward Manager, we attempt to use different evaluation metrics as reward functions to simulate the process of people writing a story.

We conduct experiments on the recently-introduced ROCStories Corpus [14]. We utilize both automatic evaluation and human evaluation to evaluate our model. There are word-overlap and embedding metrics in the automatic evaluation [24]. In the human evaluation, we evaluate generated endings in terms of consistency and readability, which reflect the logical coherence and fluency of those endings. Experimental results demonstrate that our model outperforms previous basic neural generation models in both automatic evaluation and human evaluation. Better performance in consistency indicates that our model has strong capability to produce reasonable sentences.

# 2 Related Work

# 2.1 Encoder-decoder Framework

Encoder-decoder framework, which uses neural networks as encoder and decoder, was first proposed in machine translation [3,26] and has been widely used in NLG tasks. The encoder reads and encodes a source sentence into a fixed-length vector, then the decoder

outputs a new sequence from the encoded vector. Attention mechanism [2] extends the basic encoder-decoder framework by assigning different weights to input words when generating each target word. [4], [15] and [22] apply attention-based encoder-decoder model to text summarization.

# 2.2 Copy and Coverage Mechanisms

The encoder-decoder framework is unable to deal with OOV words. In most NLP systems, there usually exists a predefined vocabulary, which only contains top-K most frequent words in the training corpus. All other words are called OOV and replaced by the special UNK token. This makes neural networks difficult to learn a good representation for OOV words and some important information would be lost. To tackle this problem, [28] and [7] introduce pointer mechanism to predict the output words directly from the input sequence. [6] incorporate copy mechanism into sequence-to-sequence models and propose CopyNet to naturally combine generating and copying. Other extensions of copy mechanism appear successively, such as [13]. Another problem of the encoder-decoder framework is repetitive words in the generated sequence. Accordingly coverage model in [27] maintains a coverage vector for keeping track of the attention history to adjust future attention. A hybrid pointer-generator network introduced by [23] combines copy and coverage mechanism to solve the above problems.

# 2.3 Reinforcement Learning for NLG

The encoder-decoder framework is typically trained by maximizing the log-likelihood of the next word given the previous ground-truth input words, resulting in exposure bias [19] and objective mismatch [18] problems. Exposure bias refers to the input distribution discrepancy between training and testing time, which makes generation brittle as error accumulate. Objective mismatch refers to using MLE at training time while using discrete and non-differentiable NLP metrics such as BLEU at test time. Recently, it has been shown that both the two problems can be addressed by incorporating RL in captioning tasks. Specifically, [19] propose the MIXER algorithm to directly optimize the sequence-based test metrics. [10] improve the MIXER algorithm and uses a policy gradient method. [20] present a new optimization approach called self-critical sequence training (SCST). Similar to the above methods, [16], [18] and [29] explore different reward functions for video captioning. Researchers also make attempts on other NLG tasks such as dialogue generation [9], sentence simplification [31] and abstract summarization [17], obtaining satisfying performances with RL.

Although many approaches for NLG have been proposed, SEG is still a challenging yet interesting task and worth trying.

# 3 Models

Figure 1 gives the overview of our model. It contains a Generator and a Reward Manager. The Generator follows the pointer-generator network with coverage mechanism to address the issues of OOV words and repetition. A mixed loss method is exploited



Fig. 1. Overview of our model

in the Generator for improving semantic relevance between story plots and generated endings. The Reward Manager is utilized to produce the reward for PGRL. The reward can be calculated by evaluation metrics or other models in the Reward Manager. Then it is passed back to the Generator for updating parameters. Following sections give more detailed descriptions of our models.

# 3.1 Attention-based Encoder-decoder Model

Our attention-based encoder-decoder baseline model is similar to the framework in [2]. Given a sequence of plot words of length  $T_e$ , we feed the word embeddings into a single-layer bidirectional LSTM to compute a sequence of encoder hidden states  $h_i^e = \{h_1^e, h_2^e, ..., h_{T_e}^e\}$ . At each decoding step t, a single LSTM decoder takes the previous word embedding and context vector  $c_{t-1}$ , which is calculated by attention mechanism, as inputs to produce decoder hidden state  $h_t^d$ .

We concatenate the context vector  $c_t$  and decoder hidden state  $h_t^d$  to predict the probability distribution  $P_v$  over all the words in the vocabulary:

$$P_v = softmax(W_1(W_2[h_t^d, c_t] + b_2) + b_1)$$
(1)

where  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  are all learnable parameters. [a,b] means the concatenation of a and b.

MLE is usually used as the training objective for sequence-to-sequence tasks. We denote  $y_t^* = \{y_1^*, y_2^*, ..., y_{T_d}^*\}$  as the ground truth output ending. The cross entropy loss function is defined as:

$$L_{mle} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T_d} \log P_v(y_t^*)$$
 (2)

#### 3.2 Pointer-generator Network with Coverage Mechanism

From the dataset, we find that some words in the story plot will also appear in the ending. It makes sense that the story ending usually describes the final states of some entities, which are related to the events in the story plot. Thus we follow the hybrid pointer-generator network in [23] to copy words from the source plot text via pointing [28], in this way we can handle some OOV words. In this model, we accomplish the attention-based encoder-decoder model in the same way as Section 3.1. Additionally, we choose top-k words to build a vocabulary and calculate a generation probability  $p_g$  to weight the probability of generating words from the vocabulary.

$$p_q = sigmoid(W_{c_t}c_t + W_{h_t}h_t + W_{u_t}y_t + b_p)$$
(3)

where  $c_t$ ,  $h_t^d$ ,  $y_t$  represent the context vector, the decoder hidden state and the decoder input at each decoding step *t* respectively.  $W_{c_t}$ ,  $W_{h_t}$ ,  $W_{y_t}$  are all weight parameters and  $b_p$  is a bias.

Furthermore, the attention distributions of duplicate words are merged as  $P_{att}(w_t)$ . We compute the weighted sum of vocabulary distribution  $P_v(w_t)$  and  $P_{att}(w_t)$  as the final distribution:

$$P_{fin}(w_t) = p_g P_v(w_t) + (1 - p_g) P_{att}(w_t)$$
(4)

The loss function is the same as that in attention-based encoder-decoder model, with  $P_v(w_t)$  in equation (2) changed to  $P_{fin}(w_t)$ .

To avoid repetition, we also apply coverage mechanism [27] to track and control coverage of the source plot text. We utilize the sum of attention distributions over all previous decoder steps as the coverage vector  $s^t$ . Then the coverage vector is added into the calculation of attention score  $e_i^t$  to avoid generating repetitive words:

$$e_i^t = v^T tanh(W_1^{att}h_i^e + W_2^{att}h_t^d + W_3^{att}s_i^t)$$
(5)

where  $W_1^{att}$ ,  $W_2^{att}$ ,  $W_3^{att}$ , and  $v^T$  are learnable parameters.

Moreover, a coverage loss is defined and added to the loss function to penalize repeatedly attending to the same locations:

$$L_{poi} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T_d} [\log P_{fin}(w_t) + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{T_e} min(\alpha_i^t, s_i^t)]$$
(6)

where  $\beta$  is a hyperparameter and min(a,b) means the minimum of a and b.

### 3.3 Mixed Loss Method

Pointer-generator network has the capacity of generating grammatically and lexically accurate story endings. These story endings are usually of low semantic relevance with plots, which fails to meet our requirements of satisfying story endings. To overcome this weakness, we add a semantic similarity loss to the original loss as the new objective function.

There are some different ways to obtain the semantic vectors, such as the average pooling of all word embeddings or max pooling of the RNN hidden outputs. Intuitively, the bidirectional LSTM encoder can fully integrate the context information from two directions. Therefore the last hidden output of the encoder  $h_{T_e}^e$  is qualified to represent the semantic vector of the story plot. Similar to [11], we select  $h_{T_e}^e$  as the plot semantic vector  $v_{plot}$ , and the last hidden output of decoder subtracting last hidden output of the encoder as the semantic vector of the generated ending  $v_{qen}$ :

$$v_{plot} = h_{T_e}^e \tag{7}$$

$$v_{gen} = h_{T_d}^d - h_{T_e}^e \tag{8}$$

Semantic Relevance: Cosine similarity is typically used to measure the matching affinity between two vectors. With the plot semantic vector  $v_{plot}$  and the generated semantic vector  $v_{qen}$ , the semantic relevance is calculated as:

$$S_{sem} = \cos(v_{plot}, v_{gen}) = \frac{v_{plot} \cdot v_{gen}}{\|v_{plot}\| \|v_{gen}\|}$$
(9)

**Mixed Loss:** Our objective is maximizing the semantic relevance between story plots and generated endings. As a result, we combine the similarity score  $S_{sem}$  with the original loss as a mixed loss:

$$L_{mix} = -S_{sem} + L_{poi} \tag{10}$$

The mixed loss method encourages our model to generate story endings of high semantic relevance with plots. In addition, it makes the Generator more stable for applying RL algorithm.

#### 3.4 Policy-gradient Reinforcement Learning

The Generator can generate syntactically and semantically correct sentences with the above two methods. However, models trained with MLE still suffer from exposure bias [19] and objective mismatch [18] problems. A well-known policy-gradient reinforcement learning algorithm [30] can directly optimize the non-differentiable evaluation metrics such as BLEU, ROUGE and CIDEr. It has good performance on several sequence generation tasks [17,20].

In order to solve the problems, we cast the SEG task to the reinforcement learning framework. An *agent* interacting with the external environment in reinforcement learning can be analogous to our generator taking words of the story plot as inputs and then producing outputs. The parameters of the agent define a *policy*, which results in the agent picking an *action*. In our SEG task, an action refers to generating a sequence as story ending. After taking an action, the agent computes the *reward* of this action and updates its internal *state*.

Particularly, we use the SCST approach [20] to fine-tune the Generator. This approach designs a loss function, which is formulated as :

$$L_{rl} = (r(y^b) - r(y^s)) \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log P(y_t^s)$$
(11)

**Algorithm 1:** The reinforcement learning algorithm for training the Generator  $G_{\theta'}$ 

	<b>Input:</b> ROCstories $\{(x, y)\}$ ;				
	<b>Output:</b> Generator $G_{\theta'}$ ;				
1	1 Initialize $G_{\theta}$ with random weights $\theta$ ;				
2	2 Pre-train $G_{\theta}$ using MLE on dataset $\{(x, y)\}$ ;				
3 Initialize $G_{\theta'} = G_{\theta}$ ;					
4 for each epoch do					
5	Generate an ending $y^b = (y_1^b, \dots, y_T^b)$ according to $G_{\theta'}$ given x;				
6	Sample an ending $y^s = (y_1^s, \dots, y_T^s)$ from the probability distribution $P(y_t^s)$ ;				
7	Compute reward $r(y^b)$ and $r(y^s)$ defined in the Reward Manager;				
8	Compute $L_{rl}$ using Eq.(11);				
9	Compute $L_{total}$ using Eq.(12);				
10	Back-propagate to compute $\nabla_{\theta'} \mathcal{L}_{total}(\theta')$ ;				
11	Update Generator $G_{\theta'}$ using ADAM optimizer with learning rate $lr$				
12 end					
13	13 return Gov				

where  $y^s = (y_1^s, ..., y_T^s)$  is a sequence sampled from the probability distribution  $P(y_t^s)$  at each decoding time step t.  $y^b$  is the baseline sequence obtained by greedy search from the current model. r(y) means the reward for the sequence y, computed by the evaluation metrics. Intuitively, the loss function  $L_{rl}$  enlarges the log-probability of the sampled sequence  $y^s$  if it obtains a higher reward than the baseline sequence  $y^b$ . In the Reward Manager, we try several different metrics as reward functions and find that BLEU-4 produces better results than others.

To ensure the readability and fluency of the generated story endings, we also define a blended loss function, which is a weighted combination of the mixed loss in Section 3.3 and the reinforcement learning loss:

$$L_{total} = \mu L_{rl} + (1 - \mu) L_{mix}$$
(12)

where  $\mu$  is a hyper-parameter controlling the ratio of  $L_{rl}$  and  $L_{mix}$ . This loss function can make a trade-off between the RL loss and mixed loss in Section 3.3.

The whole reinforcement learning algorithm for training the Generator is summarized as Algorithm 1.

# 4 Experiments

### 4.1 Dataset

**ROCStories Corpus** is a publicly available collection of short stories released by [14]. There are 98161 stories in training set and 1871 stories in both validation set and test set. A complete story in the corpus consists of five sentences, in which the first four and last one are viewed as the plot and ending respectively. The corpus captures a variety of causal and temporal commonsense relations between everyday events. We choose it for our SEG task because of its great performance in quantity and quality.

# 4.2 Experimental Setting

In this paper, we choose attention-based sequence-to-sequence model (Seq2Seq) as our baseline. Additionally, we utilize pointer-generator network with coverage mechanism (PGN) to deal with OOV words and avoid repetition. Then we train pointer-generator network with mixed loss method (PGN+Sem\_L) and PGRL algorithm (PGN+RL) respectively. Finally, we integrate the entire model with both mixed loss method and PGRL algorithm (PGN+Sem\_L+RL).

We implement all these models with Tensorflow [1]. In all the models, the LSTM hidden units, embedding dimension, batch size, dropout rate and beam size in beam search decoding are set to 256, 512, 64, 0.5 and 4 respectively. We use ADAM [8] optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.001 when pre-training the generator and  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  when running RL training. The weight coefficient of coverage loss  $\beta$  is set to 1. The ratio  $\mu$  between RL loss and mixed loss is 0.95. Through counting all the words in the training set, we obtain the vocab size 38920 (including extra special tokens UNK, PAD and BOS). The size of vocabulary is 15000 when training the pointer-generator network. The coverage mechanism is used after 10-epoch training of single pointer-generator network. We evaluate the model every 100 global steps and adopt early stopping on the validation set.

### 4.3 Evaluation Metrics

For SEG, a story may have different kinds of appropriate endings for the same plot. It is unwise to evaluate the generated endings from a single aspect. Therefore we apply automatic evaluation and human evaluation in our experiments.

**Automatic Evaluation:** We use the evaluation package nlg-eval<sup>1</sup> [24], which is a publicly available tool supporting various unsupervised automated metrics for NLG. It considers not only word-overlap metrics such as BLEU, METEOR, CIDEr and ROUGE, but also embedding-based metrics including SkipThoughts Cosine Similarity (STCS), Embedding Average Cosine Similarity (EACS), Vector Extrema Cosine Similarity (VE-CS), and Greedy Matching Score (GMS).

**Human Evaluation:** We randomly select 100 stories from test set and define two criteria to implement human evaluation. Consistency refers to the logical coherence and accordance between story plots and endings. Readability measures the quality of endings in grammar and fluency. Five human assessors are asked to rate the endings on a scale of 0 to 5.

#### 4.4 Automatic Evaluation

**Results on Word-overlap Metrics** Results on word-overlap metrics are shown in Table 1. Obviously, PGN+sem\_L+RL achieves the best result among all the models. This indicates that our methods are effective on producing accurate story endings.

From the results, we have some other observations. PGN surpasses the Seq2Seq baseline model, especially in BLEU-1 (+1.9) and CIDEr (+3.61). This behaviour suggests that copy and coverage mechanisms can effectively handle OOV and repetitive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://github.com/Maluuba/nlg-eval

Models	<b>BLEU-1</b>	<b>BLEU-2</b>	<b>BLEU-3</b>	<b>BLEU-4</b>	METEOR	<b>ROUGE-L</b>	CIDEr
Seq2Seq	26.17	10.54	5.29	3.03	10.80	26.84	47.48
PGN	28.07	11.39	5.53	3.02	10.87	27.80	51.09
PGN+Sem_L	28.21	11.56	5.81	3.33	11.08	28.15	53.21
PGN+RL	28.05	11.50	5.69	3.17	10.83	27.46	49.83
PGN+Sem_L+RL	28.51	11.92	6.16	3.53	11.10	28.52	54.96

Models	STCS-p	EACS-p	VECS-p	GMS-p
Ground Truth	66.94	87.03	45.64	70.75
Seq2Seq	67.94	89.98	46.37	73.23
PGN	68.15	89.20	48.96	74.64
PGN+Sem_L	67.90	90.02	48.60	74.61
PGN+RL	68.07	89.97	49.48	74.90
PGN+Sem_L+RL	67.84	89.50	48.44	74.45

Table 2. Results on Embedding Based Metrics.

words so as to improve scores of word-overlap metrics. Compared with PGN, the results of PGN+Sem\_L have an increase in all the word-overlap metrics. This improvement benefits from our mixed loss method based on semantic relevance. More interestingly, PGN+RL performs poorly while PGN+Sem\_L+RL obtains an improvement. We attribute this to an insufficiency of applying RL directly into PGN. Results on PGN+Sem\_L+RL prove that mixed loss method shows its effectiveness and it motivates RL to take effect.

**Results on Embedding Based Metrics** We compute cosine similarities between generated endings and plots. For comparison, the cosine similarity between target endings and plots is provided as the ground-truth reference. Evaluation results are illustrated in Table 2.

Embedding-based metrics tend to acquire more semantics than word-overlap metrics. From Table 2, all the models are likely to generate endings with less discrepancy in terms of the embedding based metric. It can also be observed that scores of all models surpass that of the ground-truth reference. This indicates that nearly every model can generate endings which have higher cosine similarity scores with the plot. But it cannot just measure these endings by calculating these scores.

### 4.5 Human Evaluation

Table 3 presents human evaluation results. Apparently, PGN+Sem\_L+RL and PGN+Sem\_L achieve the best results in terms of consistency and readability respectively. The readability score of PGN+sem\_L+RL is good enough, with the difference of 0.02 compared to the best result (PGN+Sem\_L). We can also observe that readability scores of

Models	Consistency	Readability
Ground Truth	4.33	4.83
Seq2Seq	2.80	4.33
PGN	2.95	4.38
PGN+Sem_L	3.00	4.43
PGN+RL	2.92	4.36
PGN+Sem_L+RL	3.18	4.41

Table 3. Human Evaluation Results.

Model	Example-1	Example-2
Plot	Juanita realizes that she needs	My dad took me to a baseball game
	warmer clothing to get through win-	when I was little. He spent that
	ter. She looks for a jacket but at first	night teaching me all about the
	everything she finds is expensive.	sport. He showed me every position
	Finally she finds a jacket she can af-	and what everything meant. He in-
	ford. She buys the jacket and feels	troduced me to one of my favorite
	much better.	games ever.
Target	She is happy.	Now, playing or seeing baseball on
		TV reminds me of my father.
Seq2Seq	Juanita is happy that she is happy	I was so happy that he was so happy.
	that she is happy.	
PGN	Juanita is happy that she needs	I was so excited to have a good
	through winter clothing.	time.
PGN+Sem_L	Juanita is happy to have warmer	I was so happy to have a good time.
	clothing to winter.	
PGN+RL	Juanita is happy that she has done	My dad told me I had a great time.
	through winter.	
PGN+Sem_L+RL	Juanita is happy that she has a new	I am going to <b>play with</b> my dad.
	warmer clothing.	

Table 4. Examples of plots, target endings and generated endings of all models

all the models are basically equivalent. It manifests that all the models have the ability to generate grammatically and lexically correct endings. Therefore, we only analyze the consistency scores as follows.

The consistency score of PGN increases by 5.37% compared with Seq2Seq. This is attributed to the copy and coverage mechanism discouraging OOV and repetitive words. The score of PGN+Sem\_L is 1.69% higher than PGN. With mixed loss method, the semantic relevance between story plots and endings is improved, leading to better performance in consistency. PGN+RL gets a lower score than PGN. This indicates that PGN is not prepared for incorporating RL, and RL alone can not directly promote PGN. In contrast, the score of PGN+Sem\_L+RL is 6% higher than PGN+Sem\_L. We can conclude that PGN with mixed loss method rather than simple PGN is more capable of stimulating RL to take effect.

In order to demonstrate the generative capability of different models, we present some endings generated by different models in Table 4. Compared with other models, the endings generated by PGN+Sem\_L+RL are not only fluent but also contain new information (words that are bold). Thus, we conclude that our model reaches its full potential under the joint of mixed loss method and RL.

# 5 Conclusion

In this work we propose a framework consisting of a Generator and a Reward Manager to solve the SEG problem. Following the pointer-generator network with coverage mechanism, the Generator is capable of handling OOV and repetitive words. A mixed loss method is also introduced to encourage the Generator to produce story endings of high semantic relevance with story plots. The Reward Manager can fine tune the Generator through policy-gradient reinforcement learning, promoting the effectiveness of the Generator. Experimental results on ROCStories Corpus demonstrate that our model has good performance in both automatic evaluation and human evaluation.

# Acknowledgements

This work is funded by Beijing Advanced Innovation for Language Resources of BLCU, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities in BLCU (No. 17PT05).

# References

- Abadi, M., Barham, P., Chen, J., Chen, Z., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., Ghemawat, S., Irving, G., Isard, M., Kudlur, M., Levenberg, J., Monga, R., Moore, S., Murray, D.G., Steiner, B., Tucker, P.A., Vasudevan, V., Warden, P., Wicke, M., Yu, Y., Zhang, X.: Tensorflow: A system for large-scale machine learning. CoRR abs/1605.08695 (2016), http://arxiv. org/abs/1605.08695
- Bahdanau, D., Cho, K., Bengio, Y.: Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473 (2014)
- Cho, K., Van Merriënboer, B., Gulcehre, C., Bahdanau, D., Bougares, F., Schwenk, H., Bengio, Y.: Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078 (2014)
- Chopra, S., Auli, M., Rush, A.M.: Abstractive sentence summarization with attentive recurrent neural networks. In: Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies. pp. 93–98 (2016)
- 5. Gervs, P., Daz-agudo, B., Peinado, F., Hervs, R.: Story plot generation based on cbr. Journal of Knowledge Based Systems 18, 2–3 (2005)
- Gu, J., Lu, Z., Li, H., Li, V.O.: Incorporating copying mechanism in sequence-to-sequence learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.06393 (2016)
- Gulcehre, C., Ahn, S., Nallapati, R., Zhou, B., Bengio, Y.: Pointing the unknown words. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.08148 (2016)
- 8. Kingma, D.P., Ba, J.: Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980 (2014)

- 12 Y. Zhao et al.
- Li, J., Monroe, W., Ritter, A., Galley, M., Gao, J., Jurafsky, D.: Deep reinforcement learning for dialogue generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01541 (2016)
- Liu, S., Zhu, Z., Ye, N., Guadarrama, S., Murphy, K.: Improved image captioning via policy gradient optimization of spider. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.00370 (2016)
- Ma, S., Sun, X.: A semantic relevance based neural network for text summarization and text simplification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.02318 (2017)
- Meehan, J.R.: The Metanovel: Writing Stories by Computer. Ph.D. thesis, New Haven, CT, USA (1976), aAI7713224
- Miao, Y., Blunsom, P.: Language as a latent variable: Discrete generative models for sentence compression. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.07317 (2016)
- Mostafazadeh, N., Chambers, N., He, X., Parikh, D., Batra, D., Vanderwende, L., Kohli, P., Allen, J.: A corpus and evaluation framework for deeper understanding of commonsense stories. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.01696 (2016)
- 15. Nallapati, R., Zhou, B., Gulcehre, C., Xiang, B., et al.: Abstractive text summarization using sequence-to-sequence rnns and beyond. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.06023 (2016)
- Pasunuru, R., Bansal, M.: Reinforced video captioning with entailment rewards. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.02300 (2017)
- Paulus, R., Xiong, C., Socher, R.: A deep reinforced model for abstractive summarization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.04304 (2017)
- Phan, S., Henter, G.E., Miyao, Y., Satoh, S.: Consensus-based sequence training for video captioning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.09532 (2017)
- 19. Ranzato, M., Chopra, S., Auli, M., Zaremba, W.: Sequence level training with recurrent neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06732 (2015)
- Rennie, S.J., Marcheret, E., Mroueh, Y., Ross, J., Goel, V.: Self-critical sequence training for image captioning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.00563 (2016)
- 21. Riedl, M.O., Young, R.M.: Narrative planning: Balancing plot and character. CoRR abs/1401.3841 (2014), http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3841
- 22. Rush, A.M., Chopra, S., Weston, J.: A neural attention model for abstractive sentence summarization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.00685 (2015)
- See, A., Liu, P.J., Manning, C.D.: Get to the point: Summarization with pointer-generator networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04368 (2017)
- Sharma, S., Asri, L.E., Schulz, H., Zumer, J.: Relevance of unsupervised metrics in task-oriented dialogue for evaluating natural language generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.09799 (2017)
- Stede, M.: Scott r. turner, the creative process. a computer model of storytelling and creativity. hillsdale, nj: Lawrence erlbaum, 1994. isbn 0-8058-1576-7, £49.95. 298 pp. Nat. Lang. Eng. 2(3), 277-285 (Sep 1996), http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm? id=974680.974687
- Sutskever, I., Vinyals, O., Le, Q.V.: Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In: Advances in neural information processing systems. pp. 3104–3112 (2014)
- 27. Tu, Z., Lu, Z., Liu, Y., Liu, X., Li, H.: Modeling coverage for neural machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.04811 (2016)
- Vinyals, O., Fortunato, M., Jaitly, N.: Pointer networks. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. pp. 2692–2700 (2015)
- 29. Wang, X., Chen, W., Wu, J., Wang, Y.F., Wang, W.Y.: Video captioning via hierarchical reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.11135 (2017)
- Williams, R.J.: Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. In: Reinforcement Learning, pp. 5–32. Springer (1992)
- Zhang, X., Lapata, M.: Sentence simplification with deep reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.10931 (2017)