Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 6057

Edited by R. Goebel, J. Siekmann, and W. Wahlster

Subseries of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Peter McBurney Iyad Rahwan Simon Parsons Nicolas Maudet (Eds.)

Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems

6th International Workshop, ArgMAS 2009 Budapest, Hungary, May 12, 2009 Revised Selected and Invited Papers



Series Editors

Randy Goebel, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada Jörg Siekmann, University of Saarland, Saarbrücken, Germany Wolfgang Wahlster, DFKI and University of Saarland, Saarbrücken, Germany

Volume Editors

Peter McBurney University of Liverpool, Department of Computer Science Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK E-mail: mcburney@liverpool.ac.uk

Iyad Rahwan The British University in Dubai, Faculty of Informatics P.O. Box 502216, Dubai, UAE and University of Edinburgh, School of Informatics Edinburgh EH8 9AB, UK E-mail: irahwan@acm.org

Simon Parsons City University of New York, Brooklyn College Department of Computer and Information Science 2900 Bedford Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11210, USA E-mail: parsons@sci.brooklyn.cuny.edu

Nicolas Maudet Université Paris 9 Dauphine, LAMSADE 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France E-mail: maudet@lamsade.dauphine.fr

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010925494

CR Subject Classification (1998): I.2, I.2.11, C.2.4, F.4.1, H.4, H.3

LNCS Sublibrary: SL 7 - Artificial Intelligence

ISSN	0302-9743
ISBN-10	3-642-12804-1 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York
ISBN-13	978-3-642-12804-2 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

springer.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2010 Printed in Germany

Typesetting: Camera-ready by author, data conversion by Scientific Publishing Services, Chennai, India Printed on acid-free paper 06/3180

Preface

This volume contains revised versions of the papers presented at the sixth edition of the International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems, (ArgMAS 2009), held in Budapest, Hungary, in association with the 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS 2009) in May 2009. Previous ArgMAS workshops have been held in New York City, USA (2004), Utrecht, The Netherlands (2005), Hakodate, Japan (2006), Honolulu, USA (2007) and Estoril, Portugal (2008). The event has now clearly established itself on the international calendar among researchers in computational argument and dialectics.

A brief word to explain these topics is in order. Different agents within a multi-agent system (MAS) potentially have differential access to information and different capabilities, different beliefs, different preferences and desires, and different goals. A key aspect of the scientific and engineering study of multiagent systems therefore has been the development of methods and procedures for identifying, assessing, reconciling, arbitrating between, managing, and mitigating such differences. Market mechanisms and voting procedures are two methods for dealing with these differences. Argumentation is another. Argumentation can be understood as the formal interaction of different arguments for and against some conclusion (e.g., a proposition, an action intention, a preference, etc.). An agent may use argumentation techniques to perform individual reasoning for itself alone, in order to resolve conflicting evidence or to decide between conflicting goals it may have. Two or more agents may also jointly use dialectical argumentation to identify, express, and reconcile differences between themselves, by means of interactions such as negotiation, persuasion, inquiry, and joint deliberation.

In recent years, formal theories of argument and argument interaction have been proposed and studied, and this has led to the study of computational models of argument. The ArgMAS series of workshops has focused on computational argumentation within the context of agent reasoning and multi-agent systems. The ArgMAS workshops are of interest to anyone studying or applying: default reasoning in autonomous agents; single-agent reasoning and planning under uncertainty; strategic single-agent reasoning in the context of potential competitor actions; and the rational resolution of the different beliefs and intentions of multiple agents within multi-agent systems. There are close links between these topics and other topics within the discipline of autonomous agents and multi-agent systems, particularly: agent communications languages and protocols; game theory; AI planning; logic programming; and human–agent interaction.

The papers in this volume were selected for inclusion in the ArgMAS 2009 workshop following a peer-review process undertaken by anonymous reviewers, resulting in 15 papers being selected for inclusion in the workshop. We thank all

authors who made submissions to ArgMAS 2009, and we thank the members of the Program Committee for their efforts in reviewing the papers submitted. One innovation adopted at the 2009 workshop was the use of official pre-chosen respondents to offer short, prepared critiques to a number of the papers presented at the workshop. This innovation was borrowed from conferences in philosophy, where it is standard, and we found it worked very well. The comments of respondents, who each knew of their assignment ahead of time and so could make a careful reading of their assigned paper, better focused the discussions at the workshop, and led to improvements in the quality of the revised papers later published here. This volume also contains a paper from the invited keynote speaker at the workshop, prominent argumentation-theorist Douglas Walton of the University of Windsor, Canada. His talk presented and his paper reports on his current research exploring the burden of proof in deliberation dialogs. We were honored by Professor Walton's participation, and we thank him for giving the keynote address.

As in collections of papers at previous ArgMAS workshops, we have also invited several papers from the main AAMAS Conference of relevance to argumentation in multi-agent systems. There are three invited papers here: a paper by Guido Boella and colleagues, entitled, "Dynamics in argumentation with single extensions: attack refinement and the grounded extension"; a paper by Paul Doran and colleagues, entitled, "Using ontology modularization for efficient negotiation over ontology correspondences in MAS"; and, thirdly, a paper by Yuqing Tang and colleagues, entitled, "A model for integrating dialogue and the execution of joint plans."

We hope that you enjoy reading this collection.

February 2010

Peter McBurney Iyad Rahwan Simon Parsons Nicolas Maudet

Organization

Program Chairs

Peter McBurney	University of Liverpool, UK
Iyad Rahwan	British University in Dubai, UAE
	(Fellow) University of Edinburgh, UK
Simon Parsons	Brooklyn College, City University of New York,
	USA
Nicolas Maudet	Université Paris Dauphine, France

ArgMAS Steering Committee

Antonis Kakas	University of Cyprus, Cyprus
Nicolas Maudet	Université Paris Dauphine, France
Peter McBurney	University of Liverpool, UK
Pavlos Moraitis	Paris Descartes University, France
Simon Parsons	Brooklyn College, City University of New York,
	USA
Iyad Rahwan	British University in Dubai, UAE
	(Fellow) University of Edinburgh, UK
Chris Reed	University of Dundee, UK

Program Committee

Leila Amgoud
Katie Atkinson
Trevor Bench-Capon
Jamal Bentahar
Elizabeth Black
Guido Boella
Brahim Chaib-draa
Carlos Chesnevar
Frank Dignum
Yannis Dimopoulos
Sylvie Doutre
Paul Dunne
Rogier van Eijk
Anthony Hunter
Antonis Kakas
Nikos Karacapilidis

IDIT Franco
IRIT, France
University of Liverpool, UK
University of Liverpool, UK
Laval University, Canada
Oxford University, UK
Università di Torino, Italy
Laval University, Canada
Universitat de Lleida, Spain
Utrecht University, The Netherlands
University of Cyprus, Cyprus
IRIT, Toulouse, France
University of Liverpool, UK
Utrecht University, The Netherlands
University College, London, UK
University of Cyprus, Cyprus
University of Patras, Greece

Nicolas Maudet Peter McBurney Jarred McGinnis Sanjay Modgil Pavlos Moraitis Tim Norman Nir Oren Fabio Paglieri Simon Parsons Enric Plaza Henri Prade Henry Prakken Alun Preece Iyad Rahwan

Sarvapali Ramchurn Chris Reed Michael Rovatsos Hajime Sawamura Guillermo Simari Elizabeth Sklar Francesca Toni Leon van der Torre Paolo Torroni Bart Verheij Gerard Vreeswijk Douglas Walton Simon Wells Michael Wooldridge Université Paris Dauphine, France University of Liverpool, UK Roval Holloway, University of London, UK King's College London, UK Paris Descartes University, France University of Aberdeen, UK King's College London, UK ISTC-CNR, Rome, Italy City University of New York, USA Spanish Scientific Research Council, Spain **IRIT**, Toulouse, France Utrecht University, The Netherlands University of Aberdeen, UK British University in Dubai, UAE (Fellow) University of Edinburgh, UK University of Southampton, UK University of Dundee, UK University of Edinburgh, UK Niigata University, Japan Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina City University of New York, USA Imperial College, London, UK University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg Università di Bologna, Italy Maastricht University, The Netherlands Utrecht University, The Netherlands University of Winnipeg, Canada University of Dundee, UK University of Liverpool, UK

Table of Contents

Part I: Practical Reasoning and Argument about Action

Burden of Proof in Deliberation Dialogs Douglas Walton	1
A Generative Dialogue System for Arguing about Plans in Situation Calculus	23
Dominant Decisions by Argumentation Agents Paul-Amaury Matt, Francesca Toni, and Juan R. Vaccari	42
A Model for Integrating Dialogue and the Execution of Joint Plans Yuqing Tang, Timothy J. Norman, and Simon Parsons	60
Practical Reasoning Using Values: Giving Meaning to Values T.L. van der Weide, F. Dignum, JJ.Ch. Meyer, H. Prakken, and G.A.W. Vreeswijk	79

Part II: Persuasion and Negotiation

Strategic Argumentation in Rigorous Persuasion Dialogue Joseph Devereux and Chris Reed	94
Assumption-Based Argumentation for the Minimal Concession Strategy Maxime Morge and Paolo Mancarella	114
Subjective Effectiveness in Agent-to-Human Negotiation: A Frame x Personality Account Yinping Yang, Ya Hui Michelle See, Andrew Ortony, and Jacinth Jia Xin Tan	134

Part III: Argumentation Theory

Dynamics in Argumentation with Single Extensions: Attack Refinement	
and the Grounded Extension (Extended Version)	150
Guido Boella, Souhila Kaci, and Leendert van der Torre	
Arguing Using Opponent Models Nir Oren and Timothy J. Norman	160

Realizing Argumentation in Multi-agent Systems Using Defeasible	
Logic Programming	175
Matthias Thimm	
Computing Abductive Argumentation in Answer Set Programming Toshiko Wakaki, Katsumi Nitta, and Hajime Sawamura	195
Multi-Party Argument from Experience	216

Part IV: Applications and Emotions

Using Ontology Modularization for Efficient Negotiation over Ontology Correspondences in MAS	236
Paul Doran, Valentina Tamma, Terry R. Payne, and Ignazio Palmisano	200
Applying Dialogue Games to Manage Recommendation in Social Networks	256
Emotions in Rational Decision Making Fahd Saud Nawwab, Trevor Bench-Capon, and Paul E. Dunne	273
Using Personality Types to Support Argumentation Ricardo Santos, Goreti Marreiros, Carlos Ramos, José Neves, and José Bulas-Cruz	292
Comparing Argumentation Frameworks for Composite Ontology Matching Cássia Trojahn, Paulo Quaresma, Renata Vieira, and Antoine Isaac	305
Author Index	321