

Dynamical Systems

Werner Krabs • Stefan Pickl

Dynamical Systems

Stability, Controllability and
Chaotic Behavior

Prof. Dr. Werner Krabs
Department of Mathematics
Technical University of
Darmstadt
Schlossgartenstr. 7
64289 Darmstadt
Germany

Prof. Dr. Stefan Pickl
Universität der Bundeswehr
München
Department of Computer Science
Werner Heisenberg Weg 39
85577 Neubiberg-München
Germany
stefan.pickl@unibw.de

ISBN 978-3-642-13721-1 e-ISBN 978-3-642-13722-8
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-13722-8
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010932600

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2010

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: WMXDesign GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

Reflecting modelling dynamical systems by mathematical methods can be enriched by philosophical categories. The following introduction might catch the reader's interest concerning some interdisciplinary dimensions and completes the holistic approach.

“It has been said— and I was among those saying it— that any theory of explanation worth its salt should be able to make good predictions. If good predictions could not be made, the explanation could hardly count as serious. (...) I now want to move on to my main point. There is, I claim, no major conceptual difference between the problems of explaining the unpredictable in human affairs and in non-human affairs. There are, it is true, many remarkable successes of prediction in the physical sciences of which we are all aware, but these few successes of principled science making principled predictions are, in many ways, misleading. (...) The point I want to emphasize is that instability is as present in purely physical systems as it is in those we think of as characteristically human. Our ability to explain but not predict human behavior is in the same general category as our ability to explain but not predict many physical phenomena. The underlying reasons for the inability to predict are the same. (...) The concept of instability which accounts for many of these failures is one of the most neglected concepts in philosophy. We philosophers have as a matter of practice put too much emphasis on the contrast between deterministic and probabilistic phenomena. We have not emphasized enough the salient differences between stable and unstable phenomena. One can argue that the main sources of probabilistic or random behavior lie in instability. We might even want to hold the speculative thesis that the random behavior of quantum systems will itself in turn be explained by unstable behavior of classical dynamical systems. (...)”

Chaos, the original confusion in which all the elements were mixed together, was personified by the Greeks as the most ancient of the gods. Now in the twentieth century, chaos has returned in force to attack that citadel of order and harmony, classical mechanics. We have come to recognize how rare and special are those physical systems whose behavior can be predicted in detail. The naiveté and hopes of earlier years will not return. For many phenomena in many domains there are principled reasons to believe that we shall never be able to move from good explanations to good predictions.”



PATRICK SUPPES “EXPLAINING THE UNPREDICTABLE”

Lucie Stern Professor of Philosophy, Stanford University
Director and Faculty Advisor, Education Program for Gifted Youth,
Stanford University

Preface

At the end of the nineteenth century Lyapunov and Poincaré developed the so called qualitative theory of differential equations and introduced geometric-topological considerations which have led to the concept of dynamical systems. In its present abstract form this concept goes back to G.D. Birkhoff.

This is also the starting point of Chapter 1 of this book in which uncontrolled and controlled time-continuous and time-discrete systems are investigated under the aspect of stability and controllability. Chapter 1 starts with time-continuous dynamical systems. After the description of elementary properties of such systems it focusses on stability in the sense of Lyapunov and gives applications to systems in the plane such as the mathematical pendulum, to general predator-prey models, and to evolution matrix games.

The time-discrete case is divided into the autonomous and the non-autonomous part where the latter is no more a dynamical system in the strong sense. It is the counter part of the time-continuous case where the right-hand side of the system of differential equations which describes the dynamics of the system depends explicitly on the time.

Controlled dynamical systems could be considered as dynamical systems in the strong sense, if the controls were incorporated into the state space. We, however, adopt the conventional treatment of controlled systems as in control theory. We are mainly interested in the question of controllability of dynamical systems into equilibrium states. In the non-autonomous time-discrete case we also consider the problem of stabilization.

Chapter 3 is concerned with chaotic behaviour of autonomous time discrete systems. We consider three different types of chaos: chaos in the sense of Devaney, disorder chaos and chaos in the sense of Li and Yorke. The chapter ends with two examples of strange (or chaotic) attractors.

The Appendix A is concerned with a dynamical method for the calculation of Nash equilibria in non-cooperative n -person games. The method is based on the fact that Nash equilibria are fixed points of certain continuous mappings of the Cartesian product of the strategy sets of the players into itself. This gives rise to an iteration method for the calculation of Nash equilibria the set of which can be considered as the Ω -limit set of a time-discrete dynamical system.

In Appendix B we consider two optimal control problems in chemotherapeutic treatment of cancer. These two problems are somehow dual to each other and are shown to have solutions of the same type.

The authors want to thank Korcan Görgülü for excellent typesetting. He solved every T_EX- problem which occurred in minimal time.

Werner Krabs, Stefan Pickl,

Munich, May 2010

Contents

1	Uncontrolled Systems	1
1.1	Abstract Definition of Dynamical Systems	1
1.2	Time-Continuous Dynamical Systems	4
1.2.1	Elementary Properties	4
1.2.2	Systems in the Plane	8
1.2.3	Stability: The Direct Method of Lyapunov	14
1.2.4	Application to a General Predator-Prey Model	21
1.2.5	Application to Evolution Matrix Games	32
1.3	Time-Discrete Dynamical Systems	36
1.3.1	The Autonomous Case: Definitions and Elementary Properties	36
1.3.2	Localization of Limit Sets with the Aid of Lyapunov Functions	40
1.3.3	Stability Based on Lyapunov's Method	42
1.3.4	Stability of Fixed Points via Linearisation	47
1.3.5	Linear Systems	50
1.3.6	Discretization of Time-Continuous Dynamical Systems	55
1.3.7	Applications	57
1.3.8	The Non-Autonomous Case: Definitions and Elementary Properties	62
1.3.9	Stability Based on Lyapunov's Method	64
1.3.10	Linear Systems	67
1.3.11	Application to a Model for the Process of Hemo-Dialysis	73
2	Controlled Systems	77
2.1	The Time-Continuous Case	77
2.1.1	The Problem of Controllability	77
2.1.2	Controllability of Linear Systems	78
2.1.3	Restricted Null-Controllability of Linear Systems	82
2.1.4	Controllability of Nonlinear Systems into Rest Points	85

2.1.5	Approximate Solution of the Problem of Restricted Null-Controllability	89
2.1.6	Time-Minimal Restricted Null-Controllability of Linear Systems	91
2.2	The Time-Discrete Autonomous Case	101
2.2.1	The Problem of Fixed Point Controllability	101
2.2.2	Null-Controllability of Linear Systems	111
2.2.3	A Method for Solving the Problem of Null-Controllability	118
2.2.4	Stabilization of Controlled Systems	124
2.2.5	Applications	126
2.3	The Time-Discrete Non-Autonomous Case	134
2.3.1	The Problem of Fixed Point Controllability	134
2.3.2	The General Problem of Controllability	138
2.3.3	Stabilization of Controlled Systems	141
2.3.4	The Problem of Reachability	143
3	Chaotic Behavior of Autonomous Time-Discrete Systems . .	149
3.1	Chaos in the Sense of Devaney	149
3.2	Topological Conjugacy	154
3.3	The Topological Entropy as a Measure for Chaos	163
3.3.1	Definition and Invariance	163
3.3.2	The Topological Entropy of the Shift-Mapping	165
3.3.3	Disorder-Chaos for One-Dimensional Mappings	167
3.4	Chaos in the Sense of Li and Yorke	172
3.5	Strange (or Chaotic) Attractors	180
3.6	Bibliographical Remarks	190
A	A Dynamical Method for the Calculation of Nash-Equilibria in n-Person Games	195
A.1	The Fixed Point Theorems of Brouwer and Kakutani	195
A.2	Nash Equilibria as Fixed Points of Mappings	197
A.3	Bi-Matrix Games	202
A.4	Evolution Matrix Games	209
B	Optimal Control in Chemotherapy of Cancer	217
B.1	The Mathematical Model and Two Control Problems	217
B.2	Solution of the First Control Problem	220
B.3	Solution of the Second Control Problem	224
B.4	Pontryagin's Maximum Principle	229
C	List of Authors	231
	References	233
	Index	235