

The Emerging Domain of Cooperating Objects

Pedro José Marròn • Stamatis Karnouskos • Daniel Minder
Aníbal Ollero
Editors

The Emerging Domain of Cooperating Objects

 Springer

Editors

Prof. Dr. Pedro José Marrón
Department of Engineering/Computer Science
University of Duisburg-Essen
Networked Embedded Systems Group
Bismarckstrasse 90
47057 Duisburg
Germany
pjmarron@uni-due.de

Dr. Stamatis Karnouskos
SAP AG
Corporate Research Centre
Vincent-Prießnitz-Str. 1
76131 Karlsruhe
Germany
stamatis.karnouskos@sap.com

Daniel Minder
Department of Engineering/Computer Science
University of Duisburg-Essen
Networked Embedded Systems Group
Bismarckstrasse 90
47057 Duisburg
Germany
daniel.minder@uni-due.de

Prof. Dr. Aníbal Ollero
Universidad de Sevilla
Escuela Superior de Ingenieros
Depto. Ingeniería Sistemas y
Automática
Camino de los Descubrimientos
s/n
41092 Sevilla
Spain
aollero@cartuja.us.es

ISBN 978-3-642-16945-8 e-ISBN 978-3-642-16946-5

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-16946-5

Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: eStudio Calamar S.L.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

The emerging domain of Cooperating Objects



**Pedro José Marrón, Stamatis Karnouskos, Daniel Minder
Aníbal Ollero and the CONET consortium**

© All rights reserved. The CONET Consortium 2008-2010
www.cooperating-objects.eu

CONET Project Coordinator Prof. Dr. Pedro José Marrón

University of Duisburg-Essen
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Computational and Cognitive Sciences
Group Networked Embedded Systems
47048 Duisburg
Germany

Tel: +49-203-379-2712
Fax: +49-203-379-3774
Email: pjmarron@uni-due.de
<http://www.nes.uni-due.de>
<http://www.cooperating-objects.eu>

CONET Roadmap Responsible Stamatis Karnouskos

SAP Research
SAP AG
Vincenz-Priessnitz-Strasse 1
D-76131 Karlsruhe
Germany

Tel: +49-6227-752515
Fax: +49-6227-7845799
Email: stamatis.karnouskos@sap.com
<http://www.sap.com>

Responsible EC Project Officer Dr. Jorge Pereira

Principal Scientific Officer
European Commission
DG Information Society and Media

Office BU31 05/21
B-1149 Brussels
Belgium

Tel: +32-2-296-1547
Fax: +32-2-299-4353
Email: Jorge.Pereira@ec.europa.eu

The CONET consortium



NUI Galway
OÉ Gaillimh



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



The work of creating this roadmap was carried out as part of activities performed within the European Project CONET, the Cooperating Objects Network of Excellence funded by the European Commission under contract number ICT-2007-2-224053. We would like to extend our deepest gratitude to the following individuals that have contributed to this document:

University of Duisburg-Essen (UDE)

- Marcus Handte
- Muhammad Haroon
- Muhammad Umer Iqbal
- Pedro José Marrón
- Daniel Minder
- Robert Sauter
- Chia Yen Shih

Asociación de Investigación y Cooperación Industrial de Andalucía (AICIA)

- Fernando Caballero
- Sabine Delaitre
- Adrián Jiménez
- Iván Maza
- Aníbal Ollero
- José Manuel Sánchez Matamoros
- J. Ramiro Martínez-de-Dios

Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto - Instituto Politécnico do Porto (ISEP)

- Mário Alves
- Björn Andersson
- Anis Koubâa
- Nuno Pereira
- Paulo Gandra de Sousa
- Eduardo Tovar

Swedish Institute of Computer Science (SICS)

- Adam Dunkels
- Luca Mottola
- Thiemo Voigt

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich (ETHZ)

- Kay Römer
- Olga Saukh

National University of Ireland, Galway (NUIG)

- Manfred Hauswirth
- Vinny Reynolds
- Marco Zúñiga

Technische Universität Berlin (TUB)

- Onur Ergin
- Vlado Handziski
- Jan-Hinrich Hauer
- Andreas Köpke
- Thomas Menzel
- Andreas Willig
- Daniel Willkomm

University of Cyprus (UCY)

- George Samaras
- Panayiotis Andreou
- Panagiotis Germanakos

Università di Pisa (UNIPi)

- Antonio Bicchi
- Gianluca Dini
- Lucia Pallottino
- Marco Tilocca
- Felipe Weilemann Belo
- Andrea Quagli

Technical University Delft (TUD)

- Koen Langendoen
- Kavitha Muthukrishnan
- Andrei Pruteanu

x

- Otto Visser

University College London (UCL)

- Yang Yang
- Yu Chen

SELEX Galileo (SELEX)

- Graham Bourdon
- George Matich

SAP Research (SAP)

- Stamatis Karnouskos
- Anirban Majumdar
- Theo Dirk Meijler
- Michael Stollberg

Boeing Research and Technology Europe (BRTE)

- David Scarlatti
- Sudhakar S. Shetty
- Ignacio Zozaya

Schneider Electric (SCHNEIDER)

- Armando Walter Colombo
- Nataliya Popova

Telecom Italia (TI)

- Fabio Bellifemine
- Claudio Borean
- Roberto de Bonis
- Gian Piero Fici
- Roberta Giannantonio
- Enrico Vinciarelli

Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn (UBONN), CONET associated member

- Nils Aschenbruck

Universidad Pablo de Olavide (UPO), CONET associated member

- Luis Merino

Several people have provided valuable feedback to our roadmap either directly reviewing the intermediate versions of the document or via the surveys that the CONET Consortium has conducted. We would like to thank the anonymous participants in the roadmap surveys and the following people that kindly provided us with their name and affiliation:

Marco Aiello	University of Groningen
Ernesto A. Arzabala Contreras	Instituto Tecnológico de Chihuahua
Carlos Baquero	University of Minho
Paulo Bartolomeu	Micro I/O
Iain Bate	University of York
Marcel Baunach	University of Würzburg
Leandro Buss Becker	Federal University of Santa Catarina
Jan Beutel	ETH Zürich
Kjell Brunberg	Upwis ab
Gabriele Cecchetti	Scuola Superiore S. Anna
Yu Chen	University College London
João Borges de Sousa	Porto University
Jean-Dominique Decotignie	Centre Suisse d'Electronique et de Microtechnique (CSEM)
Jerker Delsing	Lulea University of Technology
Tim Denis	52M
Marco Di Renzo	University Paris-Sud 11
Jarek Domaszewicz	Warsaw University of Technology
Martin Elixmann	Philips
Joakim Eriksson	Swedish Institute of Computer Science
Giancarlo Fortino	Università della Calabria
Georg Gaderer	Austrian Academy of Science
Steve Goddard	University of Nebraska-Lincoln
Frank Golatowski	University of Rostock
Paul Havinga	University of Twente
Thomas Herndl	Infineon Technologies Austria AG
Klas Hjort	Uppsala University
Anders Isvén	Excellent Growth Methods (EXGM)
Winelis Kavelaars	SOWNet Technologies
Osama Khader	TU Berlin
Martin Klepal	Cork Institute of Technology
Costis Kompis	VODERA Ltd.
Ioannis Krontiris	University of Mannheim
Spyros Lalīs	University of Thessaly
Lars-Åke Larzon	Uppsala University
Paulo Leitao	Polytechnic Institute of Braganca
Gaby Lenhart	European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI)
Patrick Loschmidt	Austrian Academy of Science

Marco Martalo	University of Parma
Paolo Medagliani	University of Parma
Carlos Barros Montez	Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
Adriano J. C. Moreira	University of Minho
Katharina Morik	TU Dortmund
Daniel Mosse	University of Pittsburgh
Clemens Mühlberger	University of Würzburg
Edith Ngai	Uppsala University
Ioannis Papaefstathiou	Telecommunication Systems Institute
Paulo Pedreiras	University of Aveiro
Paolo Proietti	ELSAG DATAMAT spa
Davide Quaglia	University of Verona / EDALAB S.R.L.
Andrea Ranalli	Telecom Italia
Utz Roedig	Lancaster University
Jorge Sa Silva	University of Coimbra
Vinay Sachidananda	TU Darmstadt
Albert Ali Salah	Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI)
Tiberiu Seceleanu	ABB CRC
Manolo Serrano	ETRA Investigación y Desarrollo, S.A
Axel Sikora	Baden-Württemberg Cooperative State University Lörrach
Cormac Sreenan	University College Cork
Martin Strohbach	NEC Europe Ltd.
Markus Taumberger	VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland
Stefano Tennina	CISTER / Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto
Gilles Thonet	Schneider Electric
Frits van der Wateren	CHESS
Vasos Vassiliou	University of Cyprus
Vladimir Villaseor	Tampere University of Technology
Wei Wang	ARM
Koen Williame	52M
Yang Yang	Shanghai Research Center for Wireless Communications

Contents

List of Figures	xxi
List of Tables	xxv
1 Executive Summary	1
1.1 Definition of Cooperating Objects	2
1.2 State of the Art in Cooperating Objects Research	2
1.2.1 Hardware	3
1.2.2 Algorithms	3
1.2.3 Non-functional Properties	5
1.2.4 Systems	6
1.2.5 Others	7
1.3 Innovative Applications	8
1.4 Market Analysis	9
1.5 Research Roadmap	9
1.5.1 Hardware	9
1.5.2 Algorithms	10
1.5.3 Non-functional Properties	11
1.5.4 System	11
1.5.5 Other	12
1.6 Conclusions	12
2 Introduction to Cooperating Objects	13
2.1 Definition	14
2.1.1 Cyber-Physical Systems	16
2.2 Research Areas	17
3 State of the Art in Cooperating Objects Research	19
3.1 Hardware	19
3.1.1 Hardware Platforms	20
3.1.1.1 Cooperating objects embedded into smart automation devices (hardware): shop floor with SOA	21

3.1.1.2	Cooperating objects (hardware) - Cross-layer with SOA	28
3.1.1.3	Hardware Platforms for WSNs	29
3.1.2	Sensors	37
3.1.3	Sensor Calibration	38
3.1.4	Energy Efficiency	39
3.1.5	Energy Harvesting	39
3.1.5.1	Mechanical Energy	40
3.1.5.2	Light Energy	40
3.1.5.3	Thermal Energy	40
3.1.5.4	Electromagnetic Energy	41
3.1.5.5	Energy from the Human Body	41
3.2	Algorithms	42
3.2.1	Synchronization	42
3.2.2	Localization	43
3.2.2.1	Technologies and measurement modalities	44
3.2.2.2	Range-based algorithms	44
3.2.2.3	Range-free algorithms	45
3.2.2.4	Target Tracking	45
3.2.3	MAC	45
3.2.4	Routing	47
3.2.5	Bandwidth Estimation and Admission Control	49
3.2.6	Clustering	50
3.2.7	Querying	51
3.2.8	Data Processing	52
3.2.8.1	Data Processing within a Sensor Network	52
3.2.8.2	Data Processing within a Broadcast Domain	54
3.2.9	Cooperation of Mobile Objects	56
3.2.10	Radio Resource Management	58
3.2.10.1	Frequency Adaptation	59
3.2.10.2	Transmit Power Control	60
3.2.10.3	Channel Access Timing	60
3.3	Non-functional Properties	61
3.3.1	Scalability	62
3.3.2	Timeliness (Throughput, Delay and Real-time)	63
3.3.3	Reliability and Robustness	65
3.3.4	Mobility	66
3.3.5	Security and Privacy	68
3.3.5.1	Security	68
3.3.5.2	Privacy	70
3.3.6	Heterogeneity	72
3.4	Systems	73
3.4.1	Operating Systems	73
3.4.2	Programming Abstractions and Middleware	75
3.4.2.1	Macroprogramming	75
3.4.2.2	Virtual Machines	76
3.4.2.3	Resource Naming	77

3.4.2.4	Task distribution	77
3.4.2.5	Adaptive Systems	78
3.4.2.6	Cross-Layer Interactions	79
3.4.2.7	Data Sharing	79
3.4.2.8	Service Invocation	81
3.4.2.9	Event Detection	81
3.4.2.10	Context Management	82
3.4.3	System Integration	82
3.4.4	Debugging and Management Tools	84
3.4.4.1	Diagnosis	84
3.4.4.2	Healing	86
3.5	Others	87
3.5.1	Modeling and Planning	87
3.5.1.1	Radio Link Quality	87
3.5.1.2	RF Interference	89
3.5.1.3	Deployment Planning	90
3.5.1.4	Node and Network Lifetime	91
3.5.1.5	Performance modeling and network dimensioning	92
3.5.1.6	Modeling Mobility	93
3.5.2	Testbed and Simulation Platforms	94
3.5.2.1	Generic Simulators	95
3.5.2.2	Specialized Simulators	97
3.5.2.3	Emulators	100
3.5.2.4	Testbeds	101
3.5.2.5	Testbed Directory	103
3.5.3	Standardization	110
3.5.3.1	ZigBee and the ZigBee Alliance	111
3.5.3.2	IP for Smart Objects and Cooperating Objects	113
3.5.3.3	The IP for Smart Objects (IPSO) Alliance	115
3.5.3.4	ETSI	116
3.5.3.5	Continua Alliance	118
3.5.3.6	WirelessHART	119
3.5.3.7	ISA SP100.11a	121
3.5.3.8	(Web) Services on Devices	122
3.6	Conclusions	124
4	Applications	125
4.1	Building, Home, and Industrial Automation	125
4.1.1	Building Automation	126
4.1.1.1	Business Drivers	126
4.1.1.2	Next steps	128
4.1.2	Home Control	128
4.1.2.1	Business Drivers	129
4.1.2.2	Visions for the Future	132
4.1.3	Industrial Automation	133
4.1.3.1	Energy Efficiency in Industry	134

4.1.3.2	Integration of intelligent energy management systems	135
4.1.4	Industrial Automation and Wireless	138
4.1.4.1	Business Drivers	138
4.1.4.2	Industrial Applications Visions	138
4.1.5	Manufacturing	139
4.1.5.1	Digital Factories	140
4.1.5.2	Smart Factories	141
4.1.5.3	Virtual Factories	141
4.1.6	Wireless Device Strategies	142
4.1.6.1	Discrete Manufacturing	142
4.1.6.2	Process Manufacturing	145
4.1.6.3	New Wireless-enabled Applications	146
4.2	Energy	146
4.2.1	Cooperation of SmartHouses and SmartGrid	148
4.2.2	Electricity Monitoring and Management	149
4.2.3	The role of the Smart Meter	150
4.2.4	Research Challenges and directions	153
4.3	Logistics	155
4.3.1	Characteristics of Cooperating Objects in Logistics	155
4.3.2	Challenges and Trends in Logistics Cooperation	156
4.4	Transportation	158
4.4.1	Aerial Transportation	158
4.4.2	Road Transportation	161
4.4.3	Intelligent transport challenges: Automotive example	161
4.5	Environmental Monitoring	163
4.5.1	Driving Forces	163
4.5.2	State of the Art	164
4.5.3	Trends	164
4.5.4	Challenges	168
4.6	Healthcare and Assisted Living	168
4.6.1	Assisted Living	169
4.6.2	Activity Recognition Systems	170
4.6.3	Gait Analysis	170
4.6.4	Emotion Recognition Systems	170
4.6.5	Design Frameworks	171
4.6.6	Trends and Open Challenges	172
4.7	Security	173
4.7.1	Deployment	174
4.7.2	Data Acquisition	174
4.7.3	Distributed Data Processing	174
4.7.4	Lifecycle Cost	175
4.7.5	Performance	175
4.8	Conclusions	175

5	Market Analysis	177
5.1	Market Predictions	177
5.2	Cooperating Objects for Monitoring and Control	179
5.3	Market Evolution	179
5.4	The CONET Survey	182
5.5	Conclusions	185
6	Research Roadmap	187
6.1	Hardware	187
6.1.1	Hardware Platforms	188
6.1.2	Sensors	190
6.1.3	Energy Efficiency	190
6.1.4	Energy Harvesting	191
6.1.5	Timeline	192
6.1.6	Conclusions	193
6.2	Algorithms	194
6.2.1	Synchronization	195
6.2.2	Localization	195
6.2.3	MAC	196
6.2.4	Routing	197
6.2.5	Bandwidth Estimation and Admission Control	198
6.2.6	Clustering	198
6.2.7	Querying	199
6.2.8	Data Processing	200
6.2.9	Cooperation of Mobile Objects	201
6.2.10	Radio Resource Management	201
6.2.11	Timeline	202
6.2.12	Conclusions	203
6.3	Non-functional Properties	204
6.3.1	Scalability	205
6.3.2	Timeliness	206
6.3.3	Reliability and Robustness	207
6.3.4	Mobility	208
6.3.5	Security and Privacy	209
6.3.6	Heterogeneity	210
6.3.7	Timeline	211
6.3.8	Conclusions	212
6.4	Systems	213
6.4.1	Operating Systems	213
6.4.2	Programming Abstractions and Middleware	214
6.4.3	System Integration	216
6.4.4	Debugging and Management Tools	216
6.4.5	Timeline	217
6.4.6	Conclusions	218
6.5	Others	219
6.5.1	Modeling and Planning	220

6.5.1.1	RF Interference	220
6.5.1.2	Radio Link Quality	220
6.5.1.3	Lifetime estimation	221
6.5.1.4	Network Planning	221
6.5.1.5	Performance modeling and network dimensioning	221
6.5.2	Testbed and Simulation Platforms	222
6.5.3	Standards	223
6.5.4	Timeline	223
6.5.5	Conclusions	224
6.6	Conclusions	225
7	Conclusions	227
	References	229

List of Figures

2.1	Strenghts/Importance of key functional aspects in different system concepts	14
2.2	Areas covered by Cooperating Objects	15
3.1	Cooperation between devices (D) and systems (S)	20
3.2	Typical architecture of a wireless sensor node	21
3.3	Cooperation capabilities embedded into smart automation devices	22
3.4	Car manufacturing hardware platform	23
3.5	Schneider Electric device Advantys STB NIP2311 with IO module(s)	24
3.6	Design concept for the software of service-oriented industrial automation	25
3.7	Integration of legacy systems into SoA	26
3.8	Boliden process	27
3.9	Integration middleware for service-oriented shop floor automation systems	28
3.10	MeshGate module (From http://www.millennialnet.com/ and http://www.sensorsmag.com/)	31
3.11	Tmote Sky (From http://sentilla.com/files/pdf/eol/tmote-sky-datasheet.pdf)	33
3.12	Mica2 (Source: http://www.tinyos.net/ and http://hamster.foxhollow.ca/TinyOS/Guide/Mica2-Install-Guide.pdf)	33
3.13	MICA2DOT (Source: http://www.cmt-gmbh.de/Mica2dot.pdf)	34
3.14	CRICKET MOTE (Source: http://www.memsic.com/products/wireless-sensor-networks/wireless-modules.html)	34
3.15	BTnode (Source: ETHZ http://www.btnode.ethz.ch)	35
3.16	Embedded Sensor Board (Source Freie Universität Berlin: http://cst.mi.fu-berlin.de/projects/ScatterWeb/index.html)	35
3.17	ScatterWeb (From (From Freie Universität Berlin home page: http://cst.mi.fu-berlin.de/projects/ScatterWeb/index.html)	36
3.18	Non-functional properties	62
3.19	ZigBee Architecture	112
3.20	With IP-based smart objects and Cooperating Objects, cooperating objects are part of the same IP network as other networked entities such as computers and databased, and may therefore communicate directly with each other. No translation gateways are required.	113

3.21	The power consumption of an IPv6 network with RPL quickly finds a low steady-state power consumption. The graph shows the radio duty cycle of ContikiRPL, as measured by Tsiftes et al [Tsi10].	115
3.22	M2M System Overview	117
3.23	Channel Hopping	120
3.24	DPWS stack	123
4.1	Future Building Automation	127
4.2	SODA home prototype	130
4.3	HOMES architecture	131
4.4	Generic SOCRADES Architecture	134
4.5	Vision of Collaborative Automation	135
4.6	Energy Monitoring	136
4.7	EcoStruxure integration solution (example Buildings)	137
4.8	Wireless migration to the device level	143
4.9	Sensor interfaces will employ low power, low data rate networks	143
4.10	Internet of Energy: Combining IoT and IoS	147
4.11	Smart House in cooperation with the Smart Grid [Kok09]	149
4.12	Enterprise Integration and end-user customized applications	150
4.13	The Smart Meter as a networked embedded device	151
4.14	Advanced Smart Meters in a collaborative infrastructure	152
4.15	The future of metering: Multi-utility value added services	153
4.16	Internet of Transport and Logistics	156
4.17	Synopsis of the e-nove platform	163
4.18	Environmental sensor networks part 1 [Har06]	165
4.19	Environmental sensor networks part 2 [Har06]	166
4.20	Environmental sensor networks part 3 [Har06]	167
5.1	Hardware Software and Services in European Monitoring and Control Market [Eur08b] . . .	181
5.2	Monitoring and Control Market 2007-2020 [Eur08b]	182
5.3	Survey: Organization Distribution	183
5.4	Survey: Experience in Cooperating Objects	184
5.5	Survey: Beneficiary Domains	184
5.6	Survey: Standards Impact	185
5.7	Survey: Roadblock Impact	185
5.8	Cooperating Objects in technology adoption lifecycle	186
6.1	Survey: Importance of Hardware area	188
6.2	Survey: Timeline of Hardware area	192
6.3	Survey: Matrix of Hardware area	193
6.4	Survey: Importance of Algorithms area	194
6.5	Survey: Timeline of Algorithms area	202
6.6	Survey: Matrix of Algorithms area	203
6.7	Survey: Importance of Non-functional Properties	204
6.8	Survey: Timeline of Non-functional Properties area	212
6.9	Survey: Matrix of Non-functional Properties area	213
6.10	Survey: Importance of Systems area	214

6.11 Survey: Timeline of Systems area	218
6.12 Survey: Matrix of Systems area	219
6.13 Survey: Importance of Others area	219
6.14 Survey: Timeline of Others area	224
6.15 Survey: Matrix of Others area	225
6.16 Survey: Combined matrix of all areas	226

List of Tables

3.1	Tools for generating synthetic mobility traces	93
5.1	European Sensor per Application Sector [Eur08b]	178
5.2	Products & Solutions for all monitoring & control markets	179
5.3	Application Sectors	180

Foreword

The document you have in your hands presents the vision of the CONET consortium and its associated industrial partners regarding the future development of research in the field of Cooperating Objects. This vision is presented in the form of a technology roadmap and is the result of the compilation of several factors:

- The individual **expertise** and **practical experiences** of each of the partners involved in the project.
- The analysis of the current technologies and **current trends** that show future research directions.
- A **market analysis** of Cooperating Objects performed with the input from industrial partners and other research institutes.
- **Innovative applications** obtained partially from a wider audience and from within the consortium.
- **Identification of gaps** and research agendas in the different areas that compose the field of Cooperating Objects.

Given the balanced research and industrial background of the contributors and the fact that the field of Cooperating Objects is advancing rapidly, this document should be seen as input for research and development departments in industry and academia that would like to benefit from information about the possible direction and the timeframe for Cooperating Objects research.

The CONET Research roadmap has been written with three different audiences in mind:

- **Researchers:** That work or intend to work in the field of Cooperating Objects and would like to understand the current state of the art, current trends and possible gaps for future research.
- **Industry:** That would like to understand the current state of the art and possible market developments to be used as an additional source of information for the definition of specific strategies and business opportunities related to Cooperating Objects.
- **R&D Managers and policy directors:** To achieve a better understanding of the field of Cooperating Objects and its potential as a topic that can be included in upcoming calls or other financing instruments.

Depending on the interest of the reader and its adhesion to one or more categories described above, the reader should select the chapters and sections that most fit his/her interests.