

Editors

T. J. Barth, Moffett Field, CA

M. Griebel, Bonn

D. E. Keyes, Norfolk

R. M. Nieminen, Espoo

D. Roose, Leuven

T. Schlick, New York

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH

Siegfried Müller

Adaptive Multiscale Schemes for Conservation Laws

With 58 Figures



Springer

Siegfried Müller
Institut für Geometrie
und Praktische Mathematik
RWTH Aachen
Templergraben 55
52056 Aachen, Germany
e-mail: mueller@igpm.rwth-aachen.de

Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for
Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;
detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at <<http://dnb.ddb.de>>.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):
65M12, 65M55, 42C15, 47A20, 76Axx, 35L65

ISSN 1439-7358
ISBN 978-3-540-44325-4 ISBN 978-3-642-18164-1 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-18164-1

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

<http://www.springer.de>
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2003
Originally published by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York in 2003

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover Design: Friedhelm Steinen-Broo, Estudio Calamar, Spain

Cover production: *design & production*

Typeset by the author using a Springer T_EX macro package

Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 10885274 46/3142/LK - 5 4 3 2 1 0

To my parents

Preface

During the last decade enormous progress has been achieved in the field of computational fluid dynamics. This became possible by the development of robust and high-order accurate numerical algorithms as well as the construction of enhanced computer hardware, e.g., parallel and vector architectures, workstation clusters. All these improvements allow the numerical simulation of *real world* problems arising for instance in automotive and aviation industry. Nowadays numerical simulations may be considered as an indispensable tool in the design of engineering devices complementing or avoiding expensive experiments. In order to obtain qualitatively as well as quantitatively *reliable* results the complexity of the applications continuously increases due to the demand of resolving more details of the real world configuration as well as taking better physical models into account, e.g., turbulence, real gas or aeroelasticity. Although the speed and memory of computer hardware are currently doubled approximately every 18 months according to Moore's law, this will not be sufficient to cope with the increasing complexity required by *uniform* discretizations.

The future task will be to *optimize* the utilization of the available resources. Therefore new numerical algorithms have to be developed with a computational complexity that can be termed nearly optimal in the sense that storage and computational expense remain proportional to the "inherent complexity" (a term that will be made clearer later) problem. This leads to adaptive concepts which correspond in a natural way to *unstructured* grids. The conclusion is justified by results of approximation theory which clearly indicate that nonlinear approximations, e.g., the positions of the discretization points are not a priori fixed, are more efficient than linear approximations, e.g., uniform discretizations. For details on nonlinear approximation theory see [DeV98]. Currently, numerous efforts of this type are made in different research fields such as image processing, data compression, partial differential equations. In this monograph, the adaptation concepts for partial differential equations are of special interest which shall be briefly reviewed. A naive technique is the *remeshing* of the grid where a fixed number of mesh points is relocated. Obviously this concept is aiming at balancing the error with a fixed number of points rather than reducing the error to a given tolerance. In order to meet a fixed error tolerance the grid adaptation has to allow for

mesh enrichment, i.e., locally refining and coarsening the mesh. This may result in an unstructured grid with locally hanging nodes. Instead of refining the grid it is also possible to increase locally the approximation order p or apply a different discretization operator for a fixed grid. This leads to a *hybrid discretization*. Of course both strategies can be combined. More details on this subject can be found for instance in [Sch98]. For time-dependent problems one might also apply *local time steps*. In this case, the constraint for the time discretization due to a CFL number is locally weakened without causing instabilities. Hence the solution may evolve faster in time for coarse cells than for fine cells. Of course, the solution has to be synchronized in case of instationary problems but not necessarily for steady state problems. For details see e.g. [BO84]. Instead of adapting the discretization one might also locally change the underlying *model*, e.g. linearize the model or neglect higher order derivatives if the corresponding physical effects are small.

Although the above techniques differ in the adaptation strategy they have one problem in common, namely, the control of the adaptation. Two strategies that are applied in the context of grid refinement shall be briefly summarized. Here we distinguish between concepts based on *error indicators* and *error estimators*, respectively. In case of error indicators, the grid is remeshed, e.g., according to steep (discretely approximated) gradients of a physically relevant quantity or other indicators. However, this strategy provides only control on the grid refining and coarsening but no information about the error of the approximation. A reliable concept is the *error-balancing strategy*. The goal is to equilibrate the error. To this end, a tolerance tol and a maximal number of discretization points N_{max} are fixed. By means of residual-based a posteriori estimates the grid is locally refined until a local error estimator is proportional to the ratio tol/N_{max} . This leads to an optimal mesh size distribution. In practice, it cannot be realized. Therefore one is aiming at an almost quasi equidistribution of the error tolerances. Numerous results on a posteriori error estimates have been reported in the literature for elliptic problems, see [Ver95, EEHJ95, BR96, HR02], parabolic problems [EJ91, EJ95] and hyperbolic problems see [Tad91, CCL94, Vil94, JS95, CG96, Noe96, SH97, KO99]. During the last decade new strategies have been developed based on *multiscale techniques*. Here wavelet techniques have become very popular. The basic idea is to decompose the trial space into a coarser approximation space and a complement space spanned by so-called wavelet functions. This decomposition is recursively applied to the coarse approximation space. Finally, we obtain a decomposition of the trial space into the coarsest approximation space and a sequence of complement spaces representing the difference between the approximation spaces. Performing a change of basis the solution can now be equivalently represented in terms of the single-scale basis corresponding to the trial space of the finest approximation space and the multiscale or wavelet basis, respectively. Since the coefficients of the wavelet expansion, so-called wavelet coefficients or details, may become small whenever the so-

lution is locally smooth, data compression can be performed applying threshold techniques. For instance, one only keeps the N largest coefficients. Here the objective is to minimize the error by N coefficients (see e.g. [CDD01]). This corresponds to the idea of *best N -term approximation*. Alternatively, a tolerance ε can be fixed and all details smaller than this threshold value are discarded. Here the idea is to reduce the total number of coefficients to a small number of significant coefficients where the error to the approximate solution of the underlying approximation space is proportional to ε (see e.g. [GM99a, CKMP01]). In order to control the threshold error we need to relate coefficient norms to function norms.

The present work is concerned with developing and analyzing an adaptive finite volume scheme (FVS) for the approximation of multidimensional hyperbolic conservation laws. The concept is based on multiscale techniques which have already been mentioned above. First work on this subject has been reported by Harten [Har94, Har95]. Here the goal is the acceleration of a *given* FVS on a grid of *uniform* resolution by a *hybrid* flux computation. The core ingredient is the *multiscale decomposition* of a sequence of averages corresponding to a grid of finest resolution into a sequence of *details* and *coarse grid averages*. This decomposition is performed on a sequence of *nested* grids with decreasing resolution. It can be utilized in order to distinguish smooth regions of the flow field from regions with locally strong variations in the solution. In particular, the hybrid flux evaluation can be controlled by the decomposition, i.e., expensive upwind discretizations based on Riemann solvers are only applied near discontinuities of the solution. Elsewhere cheaper linear combinations of already computed numerical fluxes on coarser scales are used instead. These correspond to finite difference approximations. In the meantime this originally one-dimensional concept has been extended to multidimensional problems on Cartesian grids [BH97, CD01], curvilinear patches [DGM00] and triangulations [SSF00, Abg97, CDKP00].

The bottleneck of Harten's strategy is the fact that the *computational complexity*, i.e., the number of floating point operations as well as the memory requirements, corresponds to the globally finest grid. In view of multidimensional applications, this is a severe disadvantage. Recently, a real *adaptive* approach has been presented in [GM99a] and has been investigated in [CKMP01] where the computational complexity is proportional to the problem-inherent degrees of freedom. The basic idea of this concept is to determine an *adaptive grid* by means of a sequence of *truncated details*. The set of significant details can be interpreted as a *tree*. Then the adaptive grid is constructed by locally refining the grid according to the tree of *significant details*. This leads to an unstructured grid with hanging nodes. In order to restrict the computational complexity to the number of significant details the multiscale transformation is only performed on the set of significant details and the averages corresponding to the adaptive grid. It turned out that the *grading* of the tree simplifies the local transformation without increasing the

complexity. In particular, the leaves of the graded tree directly correspond to the adaptive grid.

In order to preserve the accuracy of the reference FVS with respect to the finest grid the numerical fluxes on the adaptive grid have to be evaluated judiciously. No error at all is introduced when locally performing the flux evaluation by means of the averages on the *finest* scale. However, this requires a local reconstruction process by which the computational complexity is increased for multidimensional problems. Investigations for a one-dimensional scalar equation verify that for first order approximations the accuracy of the adaptive FVS is much less than that of the reference FVS (see [CKMP01]). However, parameter studies show that in case of higher order accurate FVS based on reconstruction techniques this constraint can be weakened. Here it is possible to utilize the given local averages directly instead of computing the averages on the finest scale. The target accuracy is still preserved by means of the solver-inherent reconstruction step.

A point of special interest is the reliability of the scheme, i.e., the perturbation error introduced by the truncation process can be controlled over all time levels. For this purpose analytically rigorous estimates have to be derived by which the details on the *new* time level can be estimated by those already computed in the *previous* time step. For the one-dimensional scalar case this prediction has been analytically investigated in [CKMP01]. The results derived there justify for the first time the heuristic approach suggested by Harten.

By now the new adaptive multiresolution concept has been applied by several groups with great success to different applications, e.g., 2D-steady state computations of compressible fluid flow around air wings modeled by the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, respectively, on block-structured curvilinear grid patches [BGMH⁺01], non-stationary shock-bubble interactions on 2D Cartesian grids for Euler equations [Mül02], backward-facing step on 2D triangulations [CKP02] and simulation of a flame ball modeled by reaction-diffusion equations on 3D Cartesian grids [RS02].

This book presents a self-contained account of the above adaptive concept for conservation laws. The main objectives are the construction and the analysis of the local multiscale transformation, the derivation of the adaptive FVS and a rigorous error analysis. New applications on Cartesian and curvilinear grids for the 2D Euler equations are presented which verify that the solver can be applied to real world problems. According to this the outline of the present work is as follows: In Chap. 1 the governing equations are presented and some of the characteristic properties are summarized. This is concluded by a brief introduction to Godunov-type schemes which form an important class of FVS frequently applied to approximate the solution of conservation laws. The multiscale setting is outlined in Chap. 2. It is based on a *hierarchy of nested grids*. As a simple but important example the Haar basis is presented to outline the basic principles and the goal of the multiscale

setting. This motivates the general framework of *biorthogonal wavelets* and *stable completions*. Modifying the Haar basis appropriately leads to a new basis with "good" cancellation properties which is utilized in the adaptive scheme. In Chap. 3 the local multiscale analysis is introduced by means of the modified basis. In particular, the tree of significant details, the grading of the tree and the construction of the adaptive grid are investigated in some detail. The performance of the local multiscale transformation is analyzed in detail which results in sufficient conditions for the grading of the details. The construction of the adaptive FVS is presented in Chap. 4. In particular, several strategies for the evaluation of the numerical fluxes are discussed and the construction of the prediction set of significant details on the new time level is outlined. An error analysis is presented in Chap. 5. It is based on an ansatz originally considered by Harten [Har95] in the context of his hybrid scheme and the results derived in [CKMP01]. An efficient implementation of the adaptive scheme crucially depends on the data structures by which the algorithm is realized. This is no longer a trivial task as it is for schemes based on structured meshes. In order to realize optimal computational complexity the data structures have to be adapted judiciously to the underlying adaptive algorithm. Such appropriate data structures are discussed in Chap. 6. Finally, in Chap. 7, some relevant numerical examples illustrate the computational complexity and accuracy behavior of the scheme and problems arising in engineering applications are presented.

Acknowledgments: It is a great pleasure for me to express my gratitude to those persons who have been supporting my scientific work. In particular, I wish to thank my three mentors: first of all, Prof. Wolfgang Dahmen, RWTH Aachen, who introduced to me the world of wavelets and showed me the mathematical concepts beyond the technical details; furthermore, Prof. Josef Ballmann, RWTH Aachen, who depicted to a mathematician the physics behind the mathematical models and last but not least Prof. Rolf Jeltsch, ETH Zürich, for his enthusiasm and optimism encouraging me to start with a scientific career. Moreover, I would like to thank my colleagues at the Institut für Geometrie und Praktische Mathematik, RWTH Aachen. Among others I would like to point out Dr. K.-H. Brakhage for his neverending help concerning any kind of software related problems, Dipl.-Math. Alexander Voß for discussions on software concepts and the design of data structures and Frank Knoen for his invaluable work as system administrator. The present work was supported in parts by the collaborative research center SFB 401 "Modulation of Flow and Fluid-Structure Interaction at Airplane Wings" and the EU-TMR Network "Multiscale Methods in Numerical Simulation". The latter made it possible to spend six months at the Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI. This research stay had a strong influence on my scientific work. In particular, I thank my collaborators Prof. Albert Cohen, Dr. Sidi M. Kaber and Dr. Marie Postel. Furthermore, I would like to thank Dipl.-Ing. Frank Bramkamp and Dipl.-

Math. Philipp Lamby for their cooperation in developing the new flow solver QUADFLOW which verifies that the present adaptive multiscale concept is a useful tool in solving efficiently and reliably real world problems. Prof. Wolfgang Dahmen and Prof. Sebastian Noelle, RWTH Aachen, and Prof. Thomas Sonar, TU Braunschweig, acted as referees for my habilitation thesis, and I would like to thank them for their careful reading of my work. The constructive comments of several unknown referees contributed significantly to the final version of the present book. I would also like to thank Dr. Martin Peters and Thanh-Ha Le Thi, Springer Verlag, for the professional and pleasant cooperation. Last but not least I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my wife and colleague Dr. Birgit Gottschlich-Müller for her collaboration and her love. She always encouraged me to continue with my work.

Aachen, September 2002

Siegfried Müller

Table of Contents

1	Model Problem and Its Discretization	1
1.1	Conservation Laws	1
1.2	Finite Volume Methods	6
2	Multiscale Setting	11
2.1	Hierarchy of Meshes	11
2.2	Motivation	13
2.3	Box Wavelet	17
2.3.1	Box Wavelet on a Cartesian Grid Hierarchy	17
2.3.2	Box Wavelet on an Arbitrary Nested Grid Hierarchy	19
2.4	Change of Stable Completion	22
2.5	Box Wavelet with Higher Vanishing Moments	24
2.5.1	Definition and Construction	24
2.5.2	A Univariate Example	26
2.5.3	A Remark on Compression Rates	29
2.6	Multiscale Transformation	29
3	Locally Refined Spaces	33
3.1	Adaptive Grid and Significant Details	34
3.2	Grading	36
3.3	Local Multiscale Transformation	44
3.4	Grading Parameter	47
3.5	Locally Uniform Grids	52
3.6	Algorithms: Encoding, Thresholding, Grading, Decoding	55
3.7	Conservation Property	60
3.8	Application to Curvilinear Grids	62
4	Adaptive Finite Volume Scheme	73
4.1	Construction	73
4.1.1	Strategies for Local Flux Evaluation	75
4.1.2	Strategies for Prediction of Details	77
4.2	Algorithms: Initial data, Prediction, Fluxes and Evolution	82

5	Error Analysis	89
5.1	Perturbation Error	90
5.2	Stability of Approximation	93
5.3	Reliability of Prediction	97
6	Data Structures and Memory Management	113
6.1	Algorithmic Requirements and Design Criteria	113
6.2	Hashing	115
6.3	Data Structures	118
7	Numerical Experiments	123
7.1	Parameter Studies	123
7.1.1	Test Configurations	124
7.1.2	Discretization	126
7.1.3	Computational Complexity and Stability	127
7.1.4	Hash Parameters	131
7.2	Real World Application	133
7.2.1	Configurations	133
7.2.2	Discretization	134
7.2.3	Discussion of Results	136
A	Plots of Numerical Experiments	139
B	The Context of Biorthogonal Wavelets	151
B.1	General Setting	151
B.1.1	Multiscale Basis	152
B.1.2	Stable Completion	153
B.1.3	Multiscale Transformation	154
B.2	Biorthogonal Wavelets of the Box Function	157
B.2.1	Haar Wavelets	157
B.2.2	Biorthogonal Wavelets on the Real Line	158
	References	163
	List of Figures	169
	List of Tables	171
	Notation	173
	Index	179