

# Architecting the Internet of Things



Dieter Uckelmann • Mark Harrison  
Florian Michahelles  
Editors

# Architecting the Internet of Things

With a foreword by Bernd Scholz-Reiter

 Springer

*Editors*

Dieter Uckelmann  
University of Bremen  
Hochschulring 20  
28359 Bremen, Germany  
[dieter@web-of-things.com](mailto:dieter@web-of-things.com)

Mark Harrison  
University of Cambridge  
Institute for Manufacturing  
17 Charles Babbage Road,  
Cambridge, CB3 0FS, UK  
[mark.harrison@cantab.net](mailto:mark.harrison@cantab.net)

Florian Michahelles  
ETH Zürich  
Information Management  
ETH Zentrum SEC E4  
Scheuchzerstrasse 7  
8092 Zürich, Switzerland  
[fmichahelles@ethz.ch](mailto:fmichahelles@ethz.ch)

ISBN 978-3-642-19156-5 e-ISBN 978-3-642-19157-2

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-19157-2

Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011925652

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

*Cover design:* eStudio Calamar S.L.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

## **Foreword**

### **The Internet of Things – Threats and Opportunities of Improved Visibility**

The Internet has changed our business and private lives in the past years and continues to do so. The Web 2.0, social networks and mobile Internet access are just some of the current developments in this context. Ubiquitous computing and ambient intelligence have been fields of research where changes of computing in everyday situations have been examined. Today, the Internet of Things is a foundation for connecting things, sensors, actuators, and other smart technologies, thus enabling person-to-object and object-to-object communications.

The development of the Internet of Things is aligned with ongoing changes in information technology, logistics and electronic (e-)business. The significant reduction of message exchange times from analogue to digital messaging has led to reduced message sizes while increasing the number of message transactions. Additionally, there is a shift from mass broadcast to mass customisation and user-specified subscription to content tailored to an individual's interests. We expect to retrieve personalised information, as needed to cope with the growing information overflow. These changes are not limited to the Internet. We see similar changes in logistics, for example the increasing number of smaller deliveries, which has been influenced by e-business and improved material handling in the past years. The Internet of Things will bridge the gap between information technology and objects. The automatic identification of things and improved data handling capabilities allow individual product identification where we have previously been limited to types of products or batch identification. Large product recalls, which have led to severe financial and brand reputation losses, may be replaced by individual selective product recalls in direct business-to-consumer communication. E-business has changed our shopping habits. We retrieve information from the Internet, buy products online and contribute with information through product ratings. The speed of change in doing business has increased, thus requiring a higher level of agility. Some catalogue-based retailers that were previously very successful have been among the first victims because they were not prepared for the digital age.

The Internet of Things may just prove to be the missing link between logistics and information. However, there is still no clear understanding of how the Internet of Things will change our lives. First visions of smart fridges being able to automatically send replenishment orders have not yet become a reality. We might argue that consumers as well as businesses are not prepared for this yet or that this scenario is too complex – but is it? Printer manufacturing companies have integrated automatic identification for print cartridges, sensors to measure the ink fill levels, user interfaces to inform the consumer about the current status, instant online ordering of replenishment cartridges through corresponding software utilities, e-business and e-fulfilment, e-servicing and, last but not least, e-billing and e-payment.

Nevertheless, this only represents one stand-alone solution dominated by a single large business company. We would not want isolated “business tunnels” for every Internet of Things application. One key to success is the freedom of choice! We want to choose between different manufacturers, suppliers, service providers, delivery options, and payment services without the need for proprietary technologies. For this, we need to cope with the heterogeneity of the involved technologies and architectures. Interoperability across businesses, service providers and consumers will only be achieved if standardised interfaces can be provided.

Additionally, we need to overcome the structural shortcomings of IT investments in businesses. So far, Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) have been burdened by large key-players through mandates to invest in new technologies that rarely provide substantial benefits for the SME themselves. Cost benefit sharing and other compensation approaches need to be researched to make the Internet of Things a solution that is not limited to large companies.

We will need different human interfaces as well as machine interfaces to release the full potential of the Internet of Things. While we see Barcode and 2D-reader software being installed on mobile phones to identify objects, only few users are using this functionality to link to Internet-based information. Near-Field Communication (NFC) seems to be the next technology to enable unique identification and linking automatically to Internet services. Billing and payment services operated through mobile providers will be in the forefront to exploit the business opportunities of NFC. Radio frequency (RF) SIM cards provide another option that may enable non-NFC mobile phones to participate in mobile business and product related information access. In addition to multi-purpose devices we may see dedicated personal identification gadgets that are simpler to operate. USB-sticks have been more successful than mobile phones for portable data-storage. A small and easy to use identification device may be just as beneficial to link objects to their virtual representations in the Internet.

Will the Internet of Things make our lives easier? Or will it just be another component in a world of information overflow? Currently, the Internet of Things is all about information visibility – it is not about autonomous decision-making. To relieve us from everyday decision tasks and to avoid delays between information availability and decisions, new methods and technologies need to be integrated. In logistics, autonomous cooperating logistic processes are being researched. The main idea of this concept is to use decentralised and hierarchical planning and control methods. The combination of autonomous control and the Internet of Things would provide a higher level of infrastructural robustness, scalability and agility.

However, the integration of autonomous concepts in the Internet of Things is not limited to logistics. Personalised software agents will cater for our needs in private life, including shopping, smart home and public environments. Bidding agents are already quite common in the Internet. Nonetheless, software agents need to go beyond simple if-then algorithms, integrate sensor data to perceive the nature of their environment, communicate with other agents, learn from experi-

ence, and allow human intervention. Nevertheless, they need to be easy to use and configure to reach a higher level of user acceptance among the general public. Current developments in the Internet, enabling end-user participation through mash-ups and other user-friendly do-it-yourself software tools, are leading in a similar direction of leaving the developer community and reaching out to the end-users.

However, technology can only provide us with new opportunities. It is up to us to use these for holistic innovation approaches. We need to rethink traditional business setups. Other research disciplines need to integrate the Internet of Things into their every-day thinking. Civil architecture needs to develop RF friendly factories to avoid reflections and interferences. Industry designers need to develop forklift trucks with information technology ergonomically integrated, instead of bulky attachments. Public infrastructures, such as toll systems, need to be extended to support additional services for and through the Internet of Things. Objects, such as cars, need to be able to communicate with each other and with their own environment to exploit a limited infrastructure and enable new sustainable sharing models. Wearable computing needs to be enhanced to “sleek fashion computing” – where stylishness, usability, intelligence, connectivity and mobility are integrated to produce superior end-user friendly devices. Smart phones, personal data terminals, and other mobile computing devices are still far away from what a future Internet of Things will require to connect people and things.

The advantages of the Internet of Things are obvious. Improved efficiency, effectiveness, and new business opportunities may be achieved. Nevertheless, there are also certain threats and issues of governance, security, and privacy that need to be considered. Open governance in an Internet of Things remains an important issue. However, it may be assumed that the ongoing discussions between different regions and countries will lead to a federated structure in the longer term, similar to the domain structures we know from the Internet today. Anyway, proprietary industrial approaches ignoring international standardisation approaches as well as political discussion will try to set their own de-facto-standards. A recent malware attack (Stuxnet), aiming to spy on and reprogram Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems, has revealed once more the need for security in a future Internet of Things. The Internet has been misused to manipulate the virtual world, such as stock markets; and the Internet of Things will have direct implications on the physical world. In relation to privacy, it is important that personal data should be treated as such. New legislation is being proposed to deal with the misuse of personal data by employers. According to current political discussions in Germany, secret video surveillance of employees shall be banned and social websites shall not be used for research in the employment process. The Internet of Things enables further surveillance possibilities concerning employees and consumers. Again, it is up to us to use the advantages of the Internet of Things while promoting a responsible usage of the newly achieved visibility. Improved laws and regulations will help, but self-regulating control mechanisms will be even more important. Responsible usage will be rewarded in a world that is more and

more influenced by social and sustainable management. Businesses have already seen boycott requests in the Internet of Things that have forced them to rapidly change their strategy. It will be important for enterprises to understand that these self-regulating mechanisms are extremely powerful and can change their business for better or for worse. We should always remember the power of a webcam showing an oil stream from a broken oil pipeline.

The Internet of Things provides far more visibility than a webcam – yet, it also enables faster exception handling and agility, which may help to save money, the environment or even lives.

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Bernd Scholz-Reiter



# Contents

<b>Foreword .....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Contents.....</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>Figures .....</b>	<b>XIX</b>
<b>Tables.....</b>	<b>XXIII</b>
<b>Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>XXV</b>

## **1 An Architectural Approach Towards the Future Internet of Things ..... 1**

Dieter Uckelmann, Mark Harrison, Florian Michahelles

1.1 Introduction, Background and Initial Visions .....	2
1.2 Definitions and Functional Requirements .....	4
1.3 A European Perspective on Funded Projects, Technologies and State of the Art in Relation to the Internet of Things .....	9
1.4 Opportunities and Motivation.....	12
1.5 Outlook to Future Developments .....	13
1.6 A Possible Architecture for the Future Internet of Things.....	16
1.7 Conclusion and Outlook .....	22
References.....	22

## **2 About the “Idea of Man” in System Design – An Enlightened Version of the Internet of Things?..... 25**

Sarah Spiekermann

2.1 Introduction.....	25
2.2 About the Idea of Man: Definition and Relation to System Design .....	27
2.3 The Idea of Man as Opposed to the Nature of a Computer System.....	28
2.4 Social Interaction and Norms at the Human/Machine Interface .....	29
2.5 The Impact of the Programmer’s Idea of Man .....	30

2.6 The Idea of Man: Steps and Challenges for its Recognition in System Design .....	32
2.7 Conclusion .....	34
References .....	34
<b>3 Enabling the Masses to Become Creative in Smart Spaces.....</b>	<b>37</b>
Marc Roelands, Laurence Claeys, Marc Godon, Marjan Geerts, Mohamed Ali Feki, Lieven Trappeniers	
3.1 The Meaning of DiY in the Network Society .....	37
3.1.1 DiY as Socio-Cultural Practice .....	38
3.1.2 DiY in Software Application Creation .....	41
3.1.3 DiY in Smart Spaces .....	41
3.2 Research Orientation towards Tangible Creation in Smart Spaces .....	42
3.3 Candidate Enabling Concept 1: The Call-out Internet of Things.....	43
3.3.1 Location-based Call-outs .....	44
3.3.2 Tag-based Call-outs .....	45
3.3.3 Image-based Call-outs .....	46
3.3.4 The Future of Call-outs .....	46
3.4 Candidate Enabling Concept 2: The Smart Composables Internet of Things .....	47
3.4.1 Object Classification According to Creator and Purpose .....	48
3.4.2 Grounding via Experimentation.....	50
3.5 Candidate Enabling Concept 3: The Phenomena Internet of Things .....	52
3.5.1 Ingredients of the Phenomena Internet of Things.....	53
3.5.2 Links to Current and Historical State of the Art .....	55
3.5.3 Potential Application Domains .....	57
3.5.4 Grounding via Experimentation.....	58
3.6 Conclusion .....	61
References .....	62

## **4 The Toolkit Approach for End-user Participation in the Internet of Things ..... 65**

Irena Pletikosa Cvijikj, Florian Michahelles

4.1 From Internet to Internet of Things .....	65
4.2 Problems and Challenges.....	67
4.3 Towards a Participatory Approach .....	68
4.3.1 User-centered Design .....	68
4.3.2 Open-source Development.....	70
4.3.3 End-user Programming .....	71
4.3.4 Crowdsourcing .....	72
4.3.5 Living Labs .....	73
4.4 Innovations to Users via Toolkits.....	75
4.5 Existing Toolkits.....	76
4.5.1 I/O Boards and HW Based Systems .....	77
4.5.2 SW Based Solutions .....	85
4.6 Discussion.....	90
4.7 Conclusion .....	92
References.....	93

## **5 From the Internet of Things to the Web of Things: Resource-oriented Architecture and Best Practices..... 97**

Dominique Guinard, Vlad Trifa, Friedemann Mattern, Erik Wilde

5.1 From the Internet of Things to the Web of Things.....	97
5.2 Designing RESTful Smart Things.....	100
5.2.1 Modeling Functionality as Linked Resources.....	100
5.2.2 Representing Resources .....	101
5.2.3 Servicing Through a Uniform Interface.....	103
5.2.4 Syndicating Things.....	105
5.2.5 Things Calling Back: Web Hooks .....	106
5.3 Web-enabling Constrained Devices .....	107
5.4 Physical Mashups: Recomposing the Physical World .....	112

5.4.1 Energy Aware Mashup: “Energie Visible” .....	113
5.4.2 Business Intelligence Mashup: RESTful EPCIS .....	114
5.4.3 A Mashup Editor for the Smart Home .....	116
5.5 Advanced Concepts: The Future Web of Things .....	118
5.5.1 Real-time Web of Things .....	119
5.5.2 Finding and Describing Smart Things .....	121
5.5.3 Sharing Smart Things .....	123
5.6 Discussing the Future Web of Things .....	126
5.7 Conclusion .....	127
References .....	128

## **6 A Service-oriented, Semantic Approach to Data Integration for an Internet of Things Supporting Autonomous Cooperating Logistics Processes..... 131**

Karl A. Hribernik, Carl hans, Christoph Kramer, Klaus-Dieter Thoben

6.1 Introduction and Background.....	131
6.2 State of the Art .....	134
6.2.1 The Internet of Things.....	134
6.2.2 Autonomous Cooperating Logistics Processes .....	136
6.2.3 Item-level Information Management Approaches .....	137
6.2.4 Enterprise Application Integration Approaches.....	141
6.3 Problem Analysis.....	143
6.3.1 Logistics Systems Integration Targets .....	143
6.3.2 Integrating Intelligent Logistics Objects.....	144
6.3.3 Summary of Data Integration Requirements .....	146
6.4 Solution Concept – A Service-oriented, Ontology-based Mediator ....	149
6.4.1 Ontology-based Mediator.....	149
6.4.2 Service Interface Layer for Logical Views .....	152
6.5 Conclusions and Outlook .....	154
References .....	155

## **7 Resource Management in the Internet of Things: Clustering, Synchronisation and Software Agents..... 159**

Tomás Sánchez López, Alexandra Brintrup, Marc-André Isenberg,  
Jeanette Mansfeld

7.1 Introduction.....	159
7.2 Background and Related Work.....	160
7.2.1 Clustering .....	160
7.2.2 Software Agents .....	164
7.2.3 Data Synchronisation .....	166
7.3 Assumptions and Definitions.....	168
7.4 Clustering for Scalability.....	170
7.4.1 Clustering Principles in an Internet of Things Architecture .....	170
7.4.2 The Role of Context .....	172
7.4.3 Design Guidelines .....	173
7.5 Software Agents for Object Representation .....	179
7.6 Data Synchronisation.....	182
7.6.1 Types of Network Architectures .....	182
7.6.2 Requirements and Challenges .....	186
7.7 Summary and Conclusion.....	190
References.....	191

## **8 The Role of the Internet of Things for Increased Autonomy and Agility in Collaborative Production Environments..... 195**

Marc-André Isenberg, Dirk Werthmann, Ernesto Morales-Kluge,  
Bernd Scholz-Reiter

8.1 Introduction.....	195
8.2 Emerging Challenges of Networked Enterprises .....	197
8.3 Fundamental Concepts of Agility and Autonomy .....	199
8.3.1 Agility .....	199
8.3.2 Autonomous Control.....	202
8.4 Enabling Autonomy and Agility by the Internet of Things.....	206

8.5 Technical Requirements for Satisfying the New Demands in Production Logistics.....	209
8.5.1 The Evolution from the RFID-based EPC Network to an Agent-based Internet of Things.....	209
8.5.2 Agents for the Behaviour of Objects .....	213
8.6 Application Field: Automotive Tail-lights – Intelligent Product.....	216
8.6.1 Assembly Scenario.....	217
8.6.2 Layout .....	218
8.6.3 The System.....	219
8.6.4 Technological Prerequisites .....	221
8.7 Challenges by Developing the Internet of Things.....	223
8.8 Conclusion and Outlook.....	225
References .....	226
<b>9 Integrated Billing Solutions in the Internet of Things.....</b>	<b>229</b>
Dieter Uckelmann, Bernd Scholz-Reiter	
9.1 Introduction .....	229
9.2 Cost of RFID and the Internet of Things.....	231
9.3 Benefits of RFID and the Internet of Things.....	238
9.4 Cost Benefit Sharing.....	241
9.5 A Technical Framework for Integrating Billing Capabilities into the EPCglobal Network.....	242
9.6 Discussion and Outlook.....	249
References .....	250
<b>10 Business Models for the Internet of Things .....</b>	<b>253</b>
Eva Bucherer, Dieter Uckelmann	
10.1 Introduction .....	253
10.2 Business Models and Business Model Innovation .....	255
10.2.1 Business Models .....	255
10.2.2 Business Model Innovation.....	258

10.3 Value Creation in the Internet of Things.....	260
10.3.1 Laws of Information.....	260
10.3.2 Revenue Generation in the Internet of Things.....	263
10.4 Exemplary Business Model Scenarios for the Internet of Things .....	266
10.4.1 Scenario 1: Product as a Service (PaaS) .....	266
10.4.2 Scenario 2: Information Service Providers .....	268
10.4.3 Scenario 3: End-user Involvement .....	270
10.4.4 Scenario 4: Right-time Business Analysis and Decision making .....	273
10.5 Conclusion.....	275
References.....	276

## **11 The DiY Smart Experiences Project..... 279**

Marc Roelands, Johan Plomp, Diego Casado Mansilla, Juan R. Velasco, Ismail Salhi, Gyu Myoung Lee, Noel Crespi, Filipe Vinci dos Santos, Julien Vachaud, Frédéric Bettens, Joel Hanq, Carlos Valderrama, Nilo Menezes, Alexandre Girardi, Xavier Ricco, Mario Lopez-Ramos, Nicolas Dumont, Iván Corredor, Miguel S. Familiar, José F. Martínez, Vicente Hernández, Dries De Roeck, Christof van Nimwegen, Leire Bastida, Marisa Escalante, Juncal Alonso, Quentin Reul, Yan Tang, Robert Meersman

11.1 Drivers, Motives and Persona in the DiY Society.....	280
11.1.1 Evolution of DiY .....	281
11.1.2 Why Do People Build Things Themselves? .....	281
11.1.3 People Motivation as Driver .....	282
11.1.4 People Logics, Distinguishing Motivation Levels.....	282
11.1.5 Eco-awareness, an Example Application Theme in DiYSE.....	284
11.2 Sensor-actuator Technologies and Middleware as a Basis for a DiY Service Creation Framework .....	289
11.2.1 Device Integration.....	290
11.2.2 Middleware Technologies Needed for a DiY Internet of Things .....	293
11.3 Semantic Interoperability as a Requirement for DiY Creation .....	295
11.3.1 Ontology.....	295
11.3.2 Ontology Engineering Methodologies.....	296

11.3.3 Application of Ontology Engineering in the Internet of Things.....	298
11.4 The DiYSE Service Framework.....	302
11.4.1 Contextualisation Layer .....	303
11.4.2 Service Composition and Exposition Layer .....	304
11.4.3 Execution Layer .....	305
11.4.4 DiYSE Application Creation and Deployment.....	305
11.5 Interactions, Using and Creating in Smart Spaces .....	306
11.5.1 Service Interaction and Environment Configuration .....	307
11.5.2 Ecological Design Approach .....	307
11.5.3 Architectural Support and Modelling for Interaction .....	308
11.5.4 Example Personalised Interaction Method: Smart Companion Devices.....	309
11.5.5 Multimodal Middleware Protocol.....	311
11.5.6 The Ultimate Example: Simple Smart Space Interaction with Multi-device Interfaces .....	311
11.6 Conclusion - Future Work of the Consortium.....	312
References .....	313

## **12 Intelligent Cargo – Using Internet of Things Concepts to Provide High Interoperability for Logistics Systems ..... 317**

Jens Schumacher, Mathias Rieder, Manfred Gschweidl, Philip Masser

12.1 Introduction .....	317
12.2 Semantic Web.....	319
12.2.1 Semantic Web Services.....	320
12.2.2 Semantic Web Services Processes and Lifecycle.....	321
12.3 Ontology .....	325
12.3.1 Ontology and the Organisational Perspective.....	326
12.3.2 Ontology and the IT-System Perspective .....	327
12.3.3 Ontology and the Data Perspective.....	327
12.3.4 Ontologies in Multi-agent Systems.....	329
12.3.5 The Role of a Top-level Ontology .....	331



12.4 The Internet of Things in Context of EURIDICE .....	332
12.4.1 Interoperability in EURIDICE .....	333
12.4.2 The EURIDICE Architecture.....	337
12.4.3 Integration .....	339
12.4.4 Deployment .....	340
12.4.5 Project Evaluation .....	341
12.4.6 EURIDICE and the Internet of Things .....	341
12.5 Business Impact .....	342
12.6 Future Developments.....	344
12.7 Conclusion .....	345
References.....	346
 <b>Index .....</b>	 <b>349</b>
 <b>About the Editors .....</b>	 <b>353</b>



## Figures

<b>Fig. 1.1</b>	A Phased Approach from the Intranet of Things to a Future Vision on the Internet of Things .....	3
<b>Fig. 1.2</b>	Overlaps of the Internet of Things with Other Fields of Research .....	6
<b>Fig. 1.3</b>	Infrastructure Cost vs. Response Time (based on Hackathorn 2004).....	7
<b>Fig. 1.4</b>	A Holistic Internet of Things Scenario Including Companies, Public Institutions and People .....	17
<b>Fig. 1.5</b>	An Extended EPCglobal Architecture Towards a Future Internet of Things.....	21
<b>Fig. 2.1</b>	How The ‘Idea of Man’ Influences System Design.....	31
<b>Fig. 3.1</b>	Typology of DiY Creation in the Internet of Things .....	43
<b>Fig. 3.2</b>	Smart Objects Classification According to Creator and Purpose .....	48
<b>Fig. 3.3</b>	Mock-Ups of a ‘Smart Duster’ ( <i>left</i> ) and a ‘Fragrance Spraying Door’ ( <i>right</i> ) .....	51
<b>Fig. 3.4</b>	Mock-Ups of a ‘Smart Flowerpot’ ( <i>left</i> ) and a Smart Oil Cleaner ( <i>right</i> ).....	52
<b>Fig. 3.5</b>	City SensPod and an Impression on Raw Data Collection .....	59
<b>Fig. 3.6</b>	Phenomena Prototype Architecture.....	60
<b>Fig. 3.7</b>	First Simple Visualisations of Potential Phenomena .....	61
<b>Fig. 4.1</b>	Arduino Duemilanove Board Based on the ATmega168/ATmega328 Microcontroller .....	78
<b>Fig. 4.2</b>	The Make Controller Kit v2.0 Assembled with Controller and Application Boards.....	80
<b>Fig. 4.3</b>	The Phidget Single Board Computer (SBC) with an Integrated PhidgetInterfaceKit 8/8/8, 4 Full-speed USB Ports and a Network Connection.....	81
<b>Fig. 4.4</b>	Left: I-CubeX Wi-micro System, Including a Wi-microDig Analog to Digital Encoder with Wireless Bluetooth Transmitter, Cable, 9V Batteries and a BatteryPack-800; right: USB-micro System, Including a USB-microDig Analog Sensor Interface .....	82
<b>Fig. 4.5</b>	The d.tools Visual Authoring Environment Showing a State-chart for an iPod Shuffle Prototype.....	85
<b>Fig. 4.6</b>	The iStuff Components Architecture .....	86

<b>Fig. 4.7</b>	Lego MINDSTORMS NXT in a Mobile Robot Configuration.....	87
<b>Fig. 4.8</b>	Location of Pachube Sensors All over the World.....	88
<b>Fig. 5.1a</b>	JSON Representation of the Temperature Resource of a Sun SPOT .....	102
<b>Fig. 5.1b</b>	HTML Representation (Rendered by a Browser) of the Temperature Resource of a Sun SPOT Containing Links to Parent and Related Resources .....	103
<b>Fig. 5.2</b>	Web and Internet Integration with Smart Gateways and Direct Integration .....	108
<b>Fig. 5.3</b>	Appliances Attached to Ploggs Power Outlets Which Communicate with a Smart Gateway Offering the Ploggs' Functionalities as RESTful Web Services .....	110
<b>Fig. 5.4</b>	JSON Representation of a Plogg Connected to a Lamp .....	112
<b>Fig. 5.5</b>	The Web-Based User Interface for Monitoring and Controlling the Ploggs .....	113
<b>Fig. 5.6</b>	Architecture of the RESTful EPCIS Based on the Jersey RESTful Framework and Deployed on Top of the Fosstrak EPCIS.....	115
<b>Fig. 5.7</b>	The Physical Mashup Framework.....	117
<b>Fig. 5.8</b>	Using the Clickscript Mashup Editor to Create a Physical Mashup by Connecting Building Blocks Directly to a Browser .....	118
<b>Fig. 5.9</b>	HTTP Request Collecting Light and Temperature Sensor Readings ....	120
<b>Fig. 5.10</b>	Compound Microformats for Describing a Sun SPOT Using the Geo, hCard, hProduct and hReview Microformats.....	122
<b>Fig. 5.11</b>	Snippet of the HTML Representation of a Sun SPOT Including the hProduct Microformats .....	123
<b>Fig. 5.12</b>	Simplified Component Architecture of the SAC .....	125
<b>Fig. 6.1</b>	The IT Landscape in Logistics – Bridging Islands (based on Hannus 1996).....	133
<b>Fig. 6.2</b>	The EPCglobal Architecture Framework (EPCglobal 2009).....	139
<b>Fig. 6.3</b>	Concept of a Service-oriented, Ontology-based Mediator .....	150
<b>Fig. 6.4</b>	Method for Defining Logical Views and Corresponding Service Compositions.....	153
<b>Fig. 7.1</b>	Algorithm for Global Knowledge by Localised Association Procedures .....	175
<b>Fig. 7.2</b>	Internet Architecture .....	183

<b>Fig. 7.3</b>	Partitioned Architecture .....	184
<b>Fig. 7.4</b>	Disconnected Architecture .....	185
<b>Fig. 8.1</b>	Core Concepts of Agile Manufacturing (Yusuf et al. 1999).....	200
<b>Fig. 8.2</b>	Correlation between Characteristics and Objectives of Autonomous Control.....	206
<b>Fig. 8.3</b>	Ways of Impact of the Internet of Things onto the Systems Agility ..	207
<b>Fig. 8.4</b>	An Object's Intelligence as well as Its Proximity to the Object .....	215
<b>Fig. 8.5</b>	Assembly Process of the Tail-light .....	218
<b>Fig. 8.6</b>	Assembly/Production Scenario (Morales Kluge and Pille 2010) .....	219
<b>Fig. 8.7</b>	The Monorail System (compare to Morales Kluge et al. 2010).....	220
<b>Fig. 8.8</b>	Shuttles with Intelligent Products (Morales Kluge et al. 2010).....	221
<b>Fig. 9.1</b>	The Billing Process between Fosstrak and jBilling .....	244
<b>Fig. 9.2</b>	Integrated Login Procedure and Workflow between Fosstrak (EPCIS Query Interface) and jBilling .....	245
<b>Fig. 9.3</b>	A Simplified Supply Chain Scenario in the Beverage Industry.....	247
<b>Fig. 10.1</b>	Business Model Framework (adapted from Osterwalder and Pigneur 2009).....	256
<b>Fig. 10.2</b>	Information Providers and Information Flows in the Internet of Things.....	265
<b>Fig. 10.3</b>	Business Model for a Car Rental Scenario in the Internet of Things ..	268
<b>Fig. 10.4</b>	Business Model for Anti-counterfeiting Based on the Internet of Things.....	270
<b>Fig. 10.5</b>	Business Model for End-user Involvement in a Supermarket Scenario .....	272
<b>Fig. 10.6</b>	The Intelligent Truck Scenario as an Example for Real-time Analysis and Decision making.....	274
<b>Fig. 10.7</b>	Business Model for the Intelligent Truck.....	275
<b>Fig. 11.1</b>	Applications Using Eco-awareness for Energy Efficiency .....	286
<b>Fig. 11.2</b>	Main Modules of a <i>DiYSE Gateway</i> Function .....	292
<b>Fig. 11.3</b>	Network Architecture and Middleware for WSNs in DiYSE .....	295
<b>Fig. 11.4</b>	DOGMA-MESS Iterative Process. ....	298
<b>Fig. 11.5</b>	Ontology-based Knowledge Integration and Sharing.....	299

<b>Fig. 11.6</b>	Position of the Service Framework in the DiYSE Overall Architecture View .....	303
<b>Fig. 12.1</b>	Web Process Lifecycle and Semantics (according to Cardoso and Sheth 2005) .....	322
<b>Fig. 12.2</b>	The Process of Ontology Development as (1) Conceptualisation of the Real World Domain and (2) Formalisation of the Model to an Ontology.....	326
<b>Fig. 12.3</b>	Kinds of Ontologies, According to their Level of Dependence on a Particular Point of View (according to Guarino 1997) .....	328
<b>Fig. 12.4</b>	Example Mediation Architecture in a Logistics Use Case (adapted from Maturana et al. 1999).....	330
<b>Fig. 12.5</b>	Usage of Ontologies in an Agent-mediated Architecture .....	331
<b>Fig. 12.6</b>	EURIDICE Cargo Centric Service Combination (Euridice 2009) .....	333
<b>Fig. 12.7</b>	The EURIDICE Context Model (Euridice 2009) .....	334
<b>Fig. 12.8</b>	The EURIDICE Knowledge Base Conceptual Model.....	336
<b>Fig. 12.9</b>	EURIDICE Architecture Overview (Schumacher et al. 2009).....	338
<b>Fig. 12.10</b>	Combination of EURIDICE Services to Business Processes (Schumacher et al. 2009).....	339
<b>Fig. 12.11</b>	Distribution of Responsibilities between ACA and OCA (Schumacher et al. 2009).....	340

## Tables

<b>Table 4.1</b> Comparison of HW Centered Prototyping Systems .....	84
<b>Table 4.2</b> Comparison of SW Centered Prototyping Platforms.....	90
<b>Table 6.1</b> PEID Classification (according to The PROMISE Consortium 2008).....	141
<b>Table 6.2</b> Major Integration Targets in Autonomous Cooperating Logistics Processes.....	148
<b>Table 7.1</b> Energy Considering MANET Clustering Protocols and Mobile WSN Protocols .....	163
<b>Table 7.2</b> Comparison of WSN Protocols.....	164
<b>Table 7.3</b> Related Work in the Area of Synchronisation .....	167
<b>Table 7.4</b> Types of Information Exchange.....	186
<b>Table 8.1</b> Capabilities of Autonomous Objects and Their Realisation by Technologies .....	213
<b>Table 8.2</b> Comparison of Possible Hosting Locations in the Internet of Things for Agents.....	214
<b>Table 9.1</b> Cost Levels for the Internet of Things .....	232
<b>Table 9.2</b> Assumed Cost of Compliance for a Full-fledged RFID System at a CPG Manufacturer (McClenahan 2005) .....	236
<b>Table 9.3</b> Preferred Payment Options for Implementation and Operation (based on Bensele and Fürstenberg 2009).....	238
<b>Table 9.4</b> Return Values for the Authorisation Process from jBilling (jBilling 2010).....	245
<b>Table 9.5</b> List of Different Options for an EPCIS-based Pricing in a Beverage Scenario.....	248
<b>Table 10.1</b> Traditional Business vs. Business Model Innovation .....	259





## **Abbreviations**

4PL – Fourth Party Logistics

6LoWPAN – IPv6 Low Power Wireless Personal Area Networks

ACA – Assisting Cargo Agent

ACEA – European Automobile Manufacturers Association

ACID – Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability

AJAX – Asynchronous JavaScript and XML

ALE – Application Level Events

API – Application Programming Interface

AR – Augmented Reality

ASAM – Association for Standardisation of Automation and Measurement Systems

B2B – Business-to-Business

B2C – Business-to-Consumer

BIBA – Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH

BMS – Building Management Systems

BOL – Beginning of Life

BPEL – Business Process Execution Language

BPMN – Business Process Modelling Notation

CAN – Controller Area Network

CBS – Cost Benefit Sharing

CERP – Cluster of European Research Projects on the Internet of Things

CH – Cluster Head

COBRA – Common Object Request Broker Architecture

CO-LLABS – Community-Based Living Labs

COM – Component Object Model

CPG – Consumer Packaged Goods

CPU – Central Processing Unit

CRC – Collaborative Research Centre

CRM – Customer Relationship Management

DCOM – Distributed Component Object Model

DFG – German Research Foundation

DiY – Do-it-Yourself

DiYSE – DiY Smart Experiences

DLNA – Digital Living Network Alliance

DNS – Domain Name Service

DoD – Department of Defense

DOGMA – Developing Ontology Grounded Methods and Applications framework

DOGMA-MESS – DOGMA Meaning Evolution Support System

DPWS – Device Profile for Web Services

DSRC – Dedicated Short Range Communications

EAN – Electronic Article Number

EANCOM – EAN Communication

EASE – Ecological Approach to Smart Environments

ebXML – Electronic Business Extensible Markup Language

ECM – Enterprise Content Management

ECR – Efficient Consumer Response

EDI – Electronic Data Interchange

EDIFACT – Electronic Data Interchange For Administration, Commerce and Transport

EEML – Extended Environments Markup Language

EnoLL – European Network of Living Labs

EOL – End of Life

EPC – Electronic Product Code

EPCIS – Electronic Product Code Information Service

ERP – Enterprise Resource Planning

ESSI – European Semantic Systems Initiative

ETSI – European Telecommunications Standards Institute

EURIDICE – European Inter-Disciplinary Research on Intelligent Cargo for Efficient, safe and environment-friendly logistics

FIFO – First In, First Out

FIPA – Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents

FOSSTRAK – Free and Open Source Software for Track and Trace

FSF – Free Software Foundation

GEF – Graphical Editing Framework

GNSS – Global Navigation Satellite System

GPRS – General Packet Radio Service

GPS – Global Positioning System

GRAI – Global Returnable Asset Identifier

GSM – Global System for Mobile Communications

GUI – Graphical User Interface

HAL – Hardware Abstraction Layer

HF – High Frequency

HTML – HyperText Markup Language

HTTP – HyperText Transfer Protocol

I/O – Input/Output

IC – Integrated Circuit

ICT – Information and Communication Technology

ID – Identifier

IDE – Integrated Development Environment

IERC – Internet of Things Research Cluster

IETF – Internet Engineering Task Force

IFC – Industry Foundation Classes

IFTF – Institute for the Future

IMSAS – Institute for Microsensors, -actuators and -systems

IOT – Internet of Things

IoT IS – Internet of Things Information Service

IP – Internet Protocol

IPv6 – Internet Protocol version 6

IRTF – Internet Research Task Force

ISO – International Organization for Standardization

IT – Information Technology

ITEA2 – Information Technology for European Advancement, period 2

ITS – Intelligent Transport Systems

ITU-T – International Telecommunication Union - Telecommunication Standardisation Sector

IWT – Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology (Belgium)

J2SE – Java 2 Platform, Standard Edition

JADE – JavaAgentDEvelopment framework

JSON – JavaScript Object Notation

KB – Knowledge Base

LCD – Liquid Cristal Display

LED – Light-Emitting Diode

LOD – Linked Open Data

LTE – Long Term Evolution

M2M – Machine-to-Machine

MAC – Medium Access Control

MANET – Mobile Ad-hoc NETWORKS

MAS – Multi-Agent Systems

MIDI – Musical Instrument Digital Interface

MIT – Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MOL – Middle of Life

NFC – Near Field Communication

NIP – Non-Internet Protocol

NJMF – Norwegian Iron and Metal Workers Union

OBU – On-Board Unit

OCA – Operational Cargo Agent

ONS – Object Name Service

OOS – Out of Stock

ORiN – Open Robot Resource Interface for the Network  
 OSGi – Open Services Gateway initiative  
 ORPHEUS – Object Recognition and Positioning Hosted European Service  
 OS – Open Source  
 OS – Operating System  
 OSGi – Open Service Gateway initiative  
 OWL – Web Ontology Language  
 OWL-S – Web Ontology Language for Web Services  
 P2P – Peer-to-Peer  
 PaaS – Product as a Service  
 PbH – Power by the Hour  
 PBL – Performance-based Logistics  
 PD – Participatory Design  
 PDT – Personal Data Terminals  
 PEID – Product Embedded Information Device  
 PLCS – Product Life Cycle Support  
 PLM – Product Lifecycle Management  
 PMI – PROMISE Messaging Interface  
 PuSH – PubSubHubbub  
 QoS – Quality of Service  
 R&D – Research and Development  
 RDF – Resource Description Framework  
 RDFa – Resource Description Framework in attributes  
 REST – Representational State Transfer  
 RFC – Remote Function Call  
 RFD – Reduced Functionality Devices  
 RFID – Radio Frequency Identification  
 ROI – Return on Investment  
 ROLL – Routing Over Low power and Lossy networks

RTI – Returnable Transport Items  
RTP – Real Time Protocol  
RTSP – Real Time Streaming Protocol  
SAC – Social Access Controller  
SCM – Supply Chain Management  
SDO – Sensor Data Ontology  
SGTIN – Serialised Global Trade Identification Number  
SHO – Sensor Hierarchy Ontology  
SHOE – Simple HTML Ontology Extension  
SMD – Service Mapping Description  
SME – Small and Medium-sized Enterprises  
SOA – Service Oriented Architecture  
SOAP – Simple Object Access Protocol  
SOC – Service-Oriented Computing  
SPARQL – SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language  
SPI – Serial Peripheral Interface  
SQL – Structured Query Language  
SSCC – Serial Shipping Container Code  
SUMO – Suggested Upper Merged Ontology  
SWS – Semantic Web Services  
SWSF – Semantic Web Services Framework  
TCP – Transmission Control Protocol  
TDS – Tag Data Standard  
TDT – Tag Data Translation  
UCD – User-centered Design  
UCSD – University of California San Diego  
UDDI – Universal Description, Discovery and Integration  
UHF – Ultra High Frequency  
UI – User Interface

UML – Unified Modelling Language  
 UMTS – Universal Mobile Telecommunications System  
 UPnP – Universal Plug-and-Play  
 URI – Uniform Resource Identifier  
 URL – Uniform Resource Locator  
 URN – Uniform Resource Name  
 USB – Universal Serial Bus  
 UWB – Ultra Wide Band  
 VSP – Virtual Service Point  
 W3C – World Wide Web Consortium  
 WIMP – Window, Icon, Menu, Pointing device  
 WMS – Warehouse Management System  
 WoT – Web of Things  
 WS – Web Services  
 WSAN – Wireless Sensor and Actuator Network  
 WSDL – Web Service Definition Language  
 WSMO – Web Service Modeling Ontology  
 WSMX – Web Service Execution Environment  
 WSN – Wireless Sensor Network  
 WWAI – World Wide Article Information  
 WWW – World Wide Web  
 XML – Extensible Markup Language  
 XMPP – Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol  
 XOL – Ontology Exchange Language

