

# Autonomous Search

Youssef Hamadi • Eric Monfroy • Frédéric Saubion  
Editors

# Autonomous Search



*Editors*

Dr. Youssef Hamadi  
Microsoft Research  
Cambridge, UK

LIX  
École Polytechnique  
Palaiseau, France  
[youssefh@microsoft.com](mailto:youssefh@microsoft.com)

Prof. Frédéric Saubion  
Faculté des Sciences, LERIA  
Université d'Angers  
Angers, France  
[frédéric.saubion@univ-angers.fr](mailto:frédéric.saubion@univ-angers.fr)

Prof. Eric Monfroy  
Departamento de Informática  
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María  
Valparaíso, Chile  
[eric.monfroy@inf.utfsm.cl](mailto:eric.monfroy@inf.utfsm.cl)

LINA, UFR de Sciences et Techniques  
Université de Nantes  
Nantes, France  
[eric.monfroy@univ-nantes.fr](mailto:eric.monfroy@univ-nantes.fr)

ISBN 978-3-642-21433-2  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-21434-9  
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

e-ISBN 978-3-642-21434-9

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011941788

ACM Classification (1998): G.2, F.2, I.2

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank all the people who have contributed to this book. We are extremely grateful to the external reviewers for their detailed and constructive suggestions on the successive versions of the manuscript.

Youssef Hamadi, Eric Monfroy, and Frédéric Saubion

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>An Introduction to Autonomous Search . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
	Youssef Hamadi, Eric Monfroy, and Frédéric Saubion	
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2	What Is an Autonomous Solver? . . . . .	4
1.2.1	Architecture of the Solver . . . . .	4
1.2.2	Configuration of the Solver . . . . .	5
1.3	Outline and Overview of the Book . . . . .	6
1.3.1	Off-line Configuration . . . . .	7
1.3.2	On-line Control . . . . .	7
1.3.3	New Directions and Applications . . . . .	8
1.4	Guideline for Readers . . . . .	8
	References . . . . .	9

## Part I Off-line Configuration

<b>2</b>	<b>Evolutionary Algorithm Parameters and Methods to Tune Them . . . . .</b>	<b>15</b>
	A. E. Eiben and S. K. Smit	
2.1	Background and Objectives . . . . .	15
2.2	Evolutionary Algorithms, Parameters, Algorithm Instances . . . . .	16
2.3	Algorithm Design and Parameter Tuning . . . . .	19
2.4	Utility, Algorithm Performance, Test Functions . . . . .	21
2.5	Algorithmic Approaches to Parameter Tuning . . . . .	23
2.5.1	Iterative and Non-Iterative Tuners . . . . .	23
2.5.2	Single and Multistage Procedures . . . . .	23
2.5.3	Measuring Search Effort . . . . .	24
2.5.4	Classification of Tuning Methods . . . . .	24
2.5.4.1	Using a Small Number of Parameter Vectors ( $A$ ) . . . . .	24
2.5.4.2	Using a Small Number of Tests ( $B$ ) . . . . .	26
2.5.4.3	Using a Small Number of Parameter Vectors and Tests ( $A$ and $B$ ) . . . . .	27

2.5.4.4	Using a Small Number of Function Evaluations ( <i>C</i> ) . . . . .	28
2.6	Successful Case Studies on Tuning Evolutionary Algorithms . . . . .	29
2.7	Considerations for Tuning EAs . . . . .	30
2.8	Conclusions and Outlook . . . . .	32
	References . . . . .	33
<b>3</b>	<b>Automated Algorithm Configuration and Parameter Tuning . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
	Holger H. Hoos	
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	37
3.2	Racing Procedures . . . . .	40
3.2.1	F-Race . . . . .	41
3.2.2	Sampling F-Race and Iterative F-Race . . . . .	43
3.2.3	Applications . . . . .	45
3.3	ParamILS . . . . .	46
3.3.1	The ParamILS Framework . . . . .	47
3.3.2	BasicILS . . . . .	49
3.3.3	FocusedILS . . . . .	50
3.3.4	Adaptive Capping . . . . .	51
3.3.5	Applications . . . . .	53
3.4	Sequential Model-Based Optimisation . . . . .	55
3.4.1	The EGO Algorithm . . . . .	56
3.4.2	Sequential Kriging Optimisation and Sequential Parameter Optimisation . . . . .	57
3.4.3	Recent Variants of Sequential Parameter Optimisation: SPO <sup>+</sup> and TB-SPO . . . . .	60
3.4.4	Applications . . . . .	61
3.5	Other Approaches . . . . .	62
3.6	Conclusions and Future Work . . . . .	64
	References . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>Case-Based Reasoning for Autonomous Constraint Solving . . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
	Derek Bridge, Eoin O’Mahony, and Barry O’Sullivan	
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	73
4.2	Case-Based Reasoning . . . . .	74
4.2.1	CBR Knowledge Containers and Process Model . . . . .	74
4.2.2	The <i>Turas</i> System . . . . .	74
4.2.3	Discussion . . . . .	77
4.3	Case-Based Reasoning and Search . . . . .	78
4.3.1	Integrations of CBR and CSP Techniques . . . . .	79
4.3.2	CBR for Constraint-Based Search . . . . .	80
4.4	CPHYDRA: A Case-Based Portfolio Constraint Solver . . . . .	81
4.4.1	The International CSP Solver Competition . . . . .	82
4.4.2	CPHYDRA . . . . .	82
4.4.3	Evaluating CPHYDRA in Practice . . . . .	87

4.5	Concluding Remarks .....	90
	References .....	90
<b>5</b>	<b>Learning a Mixture of Search Heuristics .....</b>	<b>97</b>
	Susan L. Epstein and Smiljana Petrovic	
5.1	Introduction .....	97
5.2	Machine Learning and Mixtures of Experts .....	98
5.3	Constraint Satisfaction and Heuristic Search .....	99
5.4	Search with More than One Heuristic .....	102
5.4.1	Approaches that Begin with Known Algorithms and Heuristics .....	102
5.4.2	Approaches that Discover Their Own Algorithms .....	104
5.5	A Plan for Autonomous Search .....	105
5.5.1	Candidate Heuristics .....	105
5.5.2	Training Examples .....	106
5.5.3	Performance Assessment .....	107
5.6	ACE .....	108
5.6.1	Decision Hierarchy .....	108
5.6.2	Weight Learning .....	109
5.7	Techniques that Improve Learning .....	111
5.7.1	Full Restart .....	111
5.7.2	Learning with Random Subsets .....	112
5.7.3	Learning Based on Decision Difficulty .....	114
5.7.4	Combining Heuristics' Preferences .....	115
5.8	Results .....	117
5.8.1	Full Restart Improves Performance .....	117
5.8.2	Random Subsets Improve Performance .....	118
5.8.3	The Impact of Decision Difficulty .....	121
5.8.4	Performance with Preferences .....	121
5.9	Conclusions and Future Work .....	122
	Appendix .....	123
	References .....	124

## Part II On-line Control

<b>6</b>	<b>An Investigation of Reinforcement Learning for Reactive Search Optimization .....</b>	<b>131</b>
	Roberto Battiti and Paolo Campigotto	
6.1	Introduction .....	131
6.2	Reinforcement Learning for Optimization .....	133
6.3	Reinforcement Learning and Dynamic Programming Basics .....	137
6.3.1	Markov Decision Processes .....	137
6.3.2	The Dynamic Programming Approach .....	138
6.3.3	Policy Iteration .....	139
6.3.4	Approximations: Reinforcement Learning and LSPI .....	140
6.4	Reactive SAT/MAX-SAT Solvers .....	142

6.5	The RL-Based Approach for Reactive SAT/MAX-SAT Solvers . . . . .	144
6.6	Experimental Results . . . . .	147
6.6.1	Experiments on Difficult Random Instances . . . . .	148
6.6.2	Experiments on Structured Industrial Instances . . . . .	150
6.6.3	A Comparison with Offline Parameter Tuning . . . . .	151
6.6.4	Interpretation of the Learnt Policy . . . . .	154
6.7	Conclusion . . . . .	156
	References . . . . .	157
<b>7</b>	<b>Adaptive Operator Selection and Management in Evolutionary Algorithms</b> . . . . .	161
	Jorge Maturana, Álvaro Fialho, Frédéric Saubion, Marc Schoenauer, Frédéric Lardeux, and Michèle Sebag	
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	161
7.2	Parameter Setting in Evolutionary Algorithms . . . . .	164
7.2.1	Learning by Credit Assignment . . . . .	166
7.2.2	Adaptation by Operator Selection Rule . . . . .	167
7.2.3	Meta-Parameters vs. Original Parameters . . . . .	167
7.3	Adaptive Operator Selection . . . . .	169
7.3.1	Compass: Focusing on Credit Assignment . . . . .	169
7.3.2	Ex-DMAB: Focusing on Operator Selection . . . . .	170
7.3.3	ExCoDyMAB = Compass + Ex-DMAB : An Efficient AOS Combination . . . . .	172
7.3.4	Experimental Results . . . . .	174
7.4	Adaptive Operator Management . . . . .	175
7.4.1	Basic Concepts for Operator Management . . . . .	176
7.4.2	Blacksmith: A Generic Framework for AOM . . . . .	177
7.4.3	Credit Assignment . . . . .	179
7.4.4	Operator Selection . . . . .	180
7.4.5	Experimental Results . . . . .	181
7.5	Conclusions . . . . .	184
	References . . . . .	186
<b>8</b>	<b>Parameter Adaptation in Ant Colony Optimization</b> . . . . .	191
	Thomas Stützle, Manuel López-Ibáñez, Paola Pellegrini, Michael Maur, Marco Montes de Oca, Mauro Birattari, and Marco Dorigo	
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	191
8.2	Ant Colony Optimization . . . . .	192
8.2.1	Ant Colony Optimization for the TSP . . . . .	192
8.2.2	ACO Variants . . . . .	194
8.2.3	Ant System . . . . .	194
8.2.4	MAX-MIN Ant System . . . . .	195
8.2.5	Ant Colony System . . . . .	195
8.3	Overview of Parameter Adaptation Approaches . . . . .	196
8.4	Parameter Adaptation in ACO . . . . .	198
8.4.1	Prescheduled Variation of Parameter Settings . . . . .	198

8.4.2	Adaptive Approaches . . . . .	199
8.4.3	Search-Based Parameter Adaptation . . . . .	200
8.4.3.1	Pure Self-Adaptive Approaches . . . . .	200
8.4.3.2	Other Search Algorithms for Adapting Parameters . . . . .	201
8.4.4	Conclusions from the Review . . . . .	203
8.5	Experimental Investigation of Fixed Parameter Settings . . . . .	203
8.5.1	Fixed Parameter Settings for Ant Colony System . . . . .	204
8.5.2	Fixed Parameter Settings for MAX-MIN Ant System . . . . .	206
8.6	Prescheduled Parameter Variation for MMAS . . . . .	208
8.7	Conclusions and Future Work . . . . .	211
	References . . . . .	212

## Part III New Directions and Applications

<b>9</b>	<b>Continuous Search in Constraint Programming . . . . .</b>	219
	Alejandro Arbelaez, Youssef Hamadi, and Michèle Sebag	
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	219
9.2	Background and Notations . . . . .	220
9.2.1	Constraint Satisfaction Problems . . . . .	220
9.2.2	Search Heuristics . . . . .	221
9.2.3	Supervised Machine Learning . . . . .	222
9.3	Continuous Search in Constraint Programming . . . . .	223
9.4	Dynamic Continuous Search . . . . .	224
9.4.1	Representing Instances: Feature Definition . . . . .	226
9.4.1.1	Static Features . . . . .	226
9.4.1.2	Dynamic Features . . . . .	226
9.4.2	Feature Preprocessing . . . . .	227
9.4.3	Learning and Using the Heuristics Model . . . . .	227
9.4.4	Generating Examples in Exploration Mode . . . . .	228
9.4.5	Imbalanced Examples . . . . .	229
9.5	Experimental Validation . . . . .	230
9.5.1	Experimental Setting . . . . .	230
9.5.2	Practical Performances . . . . .	231
9.5.3	Exploration Time . . . . .	235
9.5.4	The Power of Adaptation . . . . .	235
9.6	Related Works . . . . .	236
9.6.1	Per-Instance Learning . . . . .	236
9.6.2	Per-Class Learning . . . . .	237
9.6.3	Other Work . . . . .	238
9.7	Discussion and Perspectives . . . . .	238
	References . . . . .	239
<b>10</b>	<b>Control-Based Clause Sharing in Parallel SAT Solving . . . . .</b>	245
	Youssef Hamadi, Said Jabbour, and Lakhdar Sais	
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	245

10.2	Previous Works . . . . .	246
10.2.1	Parallel SAT . . . . .	247
10.2.2	Relevance Strategy . . . . .	248
10.3	Technical Background . . . . .	248
10.3.1	Computational Features of Modern SAT Solvers . . . . .	249
10.3.2	ManySAT: A Parallel SAT Solver . . . . .	250
10.3.2.1	Restart Policies . . . . .	250
10.3.2.2	Heuristic and Polarity . . . . .	251
10.3.2.3	Learning . . . . .	252
10.3.2.4	Clause Sharing . . . . .	252
10.3.2.5	Summary . . . . .	253
10.3.3	AIMD Feedback Control-Based Algorithm . . . . .	253
10.4	Control-Based Clause Sharing in Parallel SAT Solving . . . . .	254
10.4.1	Motivation . . . . .	254
10.4.2	Throughput and Quality-Based Control Policies . . . . .	255
10.4.2.1	Throughput-Based Control . . . . .	256
10.4.2.2	Throughput- and Quality-Based Control . . . . .	257
10.5	Evaluation . . . . .	259
10.5.1	Experiments . . . . .	259
10.5.2	Industrial Problems . . . . .	259
10.5.3	Crafted Problems . . . . .	262
10.5.4	The Dynamic of $\bar{e}$ . . . . .	264
10.6	Conclusion . . . . .	264
	References . . . . .	265
<b>11</b>	<b>Learning Feature-Based Heuristic Functions . . . . .</b>	<b>269</b>
	Marek Petrik and Shlomo Zilberstein	
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	269
11.2	Search Framework . . . . .	273
11.2.1	Heuristic Search . . . . .	274
11.2.2	Metrics of Heuristic Quality and Search Complexity . . . . .	275
11.3	Learning Heuristic Functions . . . . .	276
11.3.1	Feature-Based Methods . . . . .	280
11.3.2	Sample-Based Methods . . . . .	280
11.3.3	Feature- and Sample-Based Methods . . . . .	282
11.3.4	Abstraction-Based Methods . . . . .	285
11.4	Feature Combination as a Linear Program . . . . .	287
11.4.1	Upper Bound Constraints . . . . .	288
11.4.2	Lower Bound Constraints . . . . .	290
11.4.3	Linear Program Formulation . . . . .	291
11.5	Approximation Bounds . . . . .	292
11.6	Empirical Results . . . . .	298
11.7	Conclusion . . . . .	300
	References . . . . .	301

# List of Contributors

Youssef Hamadi

Microsoft Research, Cambridge, CB3 0FB, UK,  
LIX, École Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau, France,  
e-mail: [youssef@microsoft.com](mailto:youssef@microsoft.com)

Eric Monfroy

Universidad Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile,  
e-mail: [Eric.Monfroy@inf.utfsm.cl](mailto:Eric.Monfroy@inf.utfsm.cl)  
and LINA, Université de Nantes, France,  
e-mail: [Eric.Monfroy@univ-nantes.fr](mailto:Eric.Monfroy@univ-nantes.fr)

Frédéric Saubion

LERIA, Université d'Angers, France,  
e-mail: [Frederic.Saubion@univ-angers.fr](mailto:Frederic.Saubion@univ-angers.fr)

A. E. Eiben

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,  
e-mail: [gusz@cs.vu.nl](mailto:gusz@cs.vu.nl)

S. K. Smit

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,  
e-mail: [sksmits@cs.vu.nl](mailto:sksmits@cs.vu.nl)

Holger H. Hoos

Department of Computer Science, University of British Columbia,  
2366 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada,  
e-mail: [hoos@cs.ubc.ca](mailto:hoos@cs.ubc.ca)

Derek Bridge

Cork Constraint Computation Centre, University College Cork, Ireland,  
e-mail: [d.bridge@4c.ucc.ie](mailto:d.bridge@4c.ucc.ie)

Eoin O'Mahony

Cork Constraint Computation Centre, University College Cork, Ireland,  
e-mail: [e.omahony@4c.ucc.ie](mailto:e.omahony@4c.ucc.ie)

Barry O’Sullivan

Cork Constraint Computation Centre, University College Cork, Ireland,  
e-mail: [b.osullivan@4c.ucc.ie](mailto:b.osullivan@4c.ucc.ie)

Susan L. Epstein

Department of Computer Science, Hunter College and The Graduate Center  
of The City University of New York, New York, New York,  
e-mail: [susan.epstein@hunter.cuny.edu](mailto:susan.epstein@hunter.cuny.edu)

Smiljana Petrovic

Department of Computer Science, Iona College, New Rochelle, New York,  
e-mail: [spetrovic@iona.edu](mailto:spetrovic@iona.edu)

Roberto Battiti

DISI – Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienza dell’Informazione,  
Università di Trento, Italy,  
e-mail: [battiti@disi.unitn.it](mailto:battiti@disi.unitn.it)

Paolo Campigotto

DISI – Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienza dell’Informazione,  
Università di Trento, Italy,  
e-mail: [campigotto@disi.unitn.it](mailto:campigotto@disi.unitn.it)

Jorge Maturana

Instituto de Informática, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile,  
e-mail: [jorge.maturana@inf.uach.cl](mailto:jorge.maturana@inf.uach.cl)

Álvaro Fialho

Microsoft Research – INRIA Joint Centre, Orsay, France,  
e-mail: [alvaro.fialho@inria.fr](mailto:alvaro.fialho@inria.fr)

Marc Schoenauer

Project-Team TAO, INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France and LRI (UMR CNRS 8623),  
Orsay, France and Microsoft Research – INRIA Joint Centre, Orsay, France,  
e-mail: [marc.schoenauer@inria.fr](mailto:marc.schoenauer@inria.fr)

Frédéric Lardeux

LERIA, Université d’Angers, Angers, France,  
e-mail: [lardeux@info.univ-angers.fr](mailto:lardeux@info.univ-angers.fr)

Michèle Sebag

Project-Team TAO, LRI (UMR CNRS 8623) and INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France,  
Orsay, France and Microsoft Research – INRIA Joint Centre, Orsay, France,  
e-mail: [michele.sebag@inria.fr](mailto:michele.sebag@inria.fr)

Thomas Stützle,

IRIDIA, CoDE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium,  
e-mail: [stuetzle@ulb.ac.be](mailto:stuetzle@ulb.ac.be)

Manuel López-Ibáñez

IRIDIA, CoDE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium,  
e-mail: [manuel.lopez-ibanez@ulb.ac.be](mailto:manuel.lopez-ibanez@ulb.ac.be)

Marco Montes de Oca

IRIDIA, CoDE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium,  
e-mail: [mmontes@ulb.ac.be](mailto:mmontes@ulb.ac.be)

Mauro Birattari

IRIDIA, CoDE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium,  
e-mail: [mbiro@ulb.ac.be](mailto:mbiro@ulb.ac.be)

Marco Dorigo

IRIDIA, CoDE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium,  
e-mail: [mdorigo@ulb.ac.be](mailto:mdorigo@ulb.ac.be)

Michael Maur

Fachbereich Rechts- und Wirtschaftswissenschaften, TU Darmstadt,  
Darmstadt, Germany,  
e-mail: [maur@stud.tu-darmstadt.de](mailto:maur@stud.tu-darmstadt.de)

Paola Pellegrini

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata, Università Ca' Foscari Venezia,  
Venezia, Italia,  
e-mail: [paolap@unive.it](mailto:paolap@unive.it)

Alejandro Arbelaez

Microsoft-INRIA joint lab, Orsay, France,  
e-mail: [alejandro.arbelaez@inria.fr](mailto:alejandro.arbelaez@inria.fr)

Said Jabbour

Université Lille-Nord de France, CRIL – CNRS UMR 8188,  
Lens, France,  
e-mail: [jabbour@cril.fr](mailto:jabbour@cril.fr)

Lakhdar Sais

Université Lille-Nord de France, CRIL – CNRS UMR 8188,  
Lens, France,  
e-mail: [sais@cril.fr](mailto:sais@cril.fr)

Marek Petrik

Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts Amherst,  
Amherst, MA, USA,  
e-mail: [petrik@cs.umass.edu](mailto:petrik@cs.umass.edu)

Shlomo Zilberstein

Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts Amherst,  
Amherst, MA, USA,  
e-mail: [shlomo@cs.umass.edu](mailto:shlomo@cs.umass.edu)