

# Cognitive Systems Monographs

## Volume 16

---

Editors: Rüdiger Dillmann · Yoshihiko Nakamura · Stefan Schaal · David Vernon

Franziska Zacharias

---

# Knowledge Representations for Planning Manipulation Tasks

**Rüdiger Dillmann**, University of Karlsruhe, Faculty of Informatics, Institute of Anthropomatics, Humanoids and Intelligence Systems Laboratories, Kaiserstr. 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

**Yoshihiko Nakamura**, Tokyo University Fac. Engineering, Dept. Mechano-Informatics, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bukyo-ku Tokyo, 113-8656, Japan

**Stefan Schaal**, University of Southern California, Department Computer Science, Computational Learning & Motor Control Lab., Los Angeles, CA 90089-2905, USA

**David Vernon**, Khalifa University Department of Computer Engineering, PO Box 573, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

## **Author**

Dr. Franziska Zacharias

DLR German Aerospace Center  
Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics  
P.O. Box 1116  
82230 Wessling  
Germany  
E-Mail: Franziska.Zacharias@dlr.de

ISBN 978-3-642-25181-8

e-ISBN 978-3-642-25182-5

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-25182-5

Cognitive Systems Monographs

ISSN 1867-4925

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011940775

© 2012 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

*Typeset* by Scientific Publishing Services Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, India.

Printed in acid-free paper

5 4 3 2 1 0

springer.com

# Abstract

A complex manipulation task for a robot has to be planned on different levels of abstraction before it can be executed on a real system. At a high-level of abstraction, a task planner generates a sequence of subtasks from a given abstract command, its pre- and postconditions and a current world model. To restrict the already huge search space of the task planner, aspects like geometric information are not contained in the world model at this level. The task planner then assigns the subtasks to planners on a lower level of abstraction like path planners or grasp planners. These planners rely on geometric information to compute their solutions. However, without considering geometric information the task planner cannot determine good parameters for these low-level planners. Therefore, the derived plans may not be valid for a given situation and may require backtracking.

Knowledge representations can bridge the gap between these planning levels and thereby enable scene reasoning. The kinematic capabilities of a robot are one aspect the task planner has to be aware of. Therefore this book introduces a novel general representation of the kinematic capabilities of a robot arm. The *versatile workspace* is defined to describe in which orientations the end effector attached to a robot arm can reach a position. The *capability map* is a calculable representation of the versatile workspace that allows to determine how well regions of the workspace are reachable. It accurately represents the versatile workspace of arbitrary arm kinematics and enables autonomous task-specific reasoning. Furthermore, its visualization scheme is intuitively comprehensible for the human.

The versatile applicability of the capability map is shown by examples from several distinct application domains. The workspace of several robot arms is visualized and analyzed. It is shown how the capability map can be used to compare the abilities of different robot arms. In human-robot interaction, the capability map is used to objectively evaluate a bi-manual interface for tele-operation. The results can also supplement the design process for humanoid robot design. In low-level geometric planning, the capability map is used to reduce the search space and to parameterize path planners and grasp planners. Thus, in a manipulation task for a humanoid robot more human-like motion is planned while simultaneously the computation time is reduced. In high-level task reasoning, the capability map is used to evaluate how

well a robot is suited for a task. In an example a humanoid robot has to perform a task involving 3D trajectories. Regions are extracted from the capability map that permit the task execution and the suitability of the robot is inferred.

# Acknowledgments

This book was written during my employment at the Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics at the German Aerospace Center (DLR) in Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany. First of all, I would like to thank the Head of the Institute, Prof. Gerd Hirzinger, for his support and for his great efforts in providing the best possible research conditions. I would like to thank Prof. Michael Beetz from the Intelligent Autonomous Systems (IAS) group at the Technical University of Munich for his continuous support and advice. My special thanks go to my colleagues at the DLR for providing such a nice working atmosphere.

The research covered by this book has been partially funded by the EC Seventh Framework Programme (FP7) under grant agreement no. 216239 as part of the IP DEXMART.

Wessling, September 2011

Franziska Zacharias

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Statement	1
1.2	Contributions of the Book	5
1.3	Outline of the Book	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Review of the Literature</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Robotics Technical Terms	7
2.2	Robots in Industrial Applications	8
2.3	Service Robots	8
2.4	Solving Complex Tasks Autonomously	10
2.4.1	Overview of Planner Types	11
2.4.2	Planning Benchmarks	11
2.4.3	Household Tasks	11
2.5	High-Level Planning	12
2.5.1	Classical Task Planning	12
2.5.2	Planner Integration	14
2.5.3	Task Planning for Robotics Applications	15
2.5.4	Summary	16
2.6	Grasp Planning	16
2.6.1	Summary	19
2.7	Path Planning	19
2.7.1	Planning Algorithms	19
2.7.2	Path Planner Inputs	21
2.7.3	Selection of Goal Configurations	21
2.7.4	Summary	22
2.8	Robot Placement	22
2.8.1	Selection of Fixed Base Positions	22
2.8.2	Base Movement during Task	24
2.8.3	Summary	25
2.9	Motion Primitives	25

2.10	Robot-Specific Knowledge . . . . .	26
2.11	Summary . . . . .	26
<b>3</b>	<b>Robot Performance Indices . . . . .</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1	Robot Design Criteria . . . . .	27
3.1.1	Task-Oriented Design . . . . .	27
3.1.2	General Purpose Robot Design . . . . .	28
3.2	Workspace Analysis . . . . .	31
3.3	Naive Sampling Based Approach . . . . .	32
3.4	Model Requirements . . . . .	34
3.5	Summary . . . . .	35
<b>4</b>	<b>Modeling the Robot Workspace . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1	The Tool Frame (TCP) . . . . .	37
4.2	The Reachability Sphere Map . . . . .	38
4.2.1	Discretization of $\mathbb{R}^3$ . . . . .	39
4.2.2	Construction of the Model . . . . .	40
4.2.3	Reachability Predictions . . . . .	45
4.2.4	Visualization of the Model . . . . .	46
4.3	Characteristics of the Representation . . . . .	49
4.3.1	The Number of Samples . . . . .	49
4.3.2	The Sphere Diameter $l_c$ . . . . .	51
4.3.3	The Number of Points $n_p$ per Sphere . . . . .	54
4.3.4	The z-Orientation Step Size $\Delta_o$ . . . . .	55
4.3.5	Reachability of TCP Poses . . . . .	55
4.4	Visualization of Workspace Structure . . . . .	56
4.4.1	Analyzing the Structures in the Workspace . . . . .	57
4.4.2	Capturing the Structure to Construct a Map . . . . .	57
4.4.3	Evaluation of the Shape Maps . . . . .	63
4.4.4	Reachability Estimation Using the Mixed Shape Map . . . . .	66
4.4.5	Memory and Computation Time . . . . .	67
4.5	Summary . . . . .	68
<b>5</b>	<b>Visualization and Setup Evaluation . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Robot Arm Workspace Visualization . . . . .	71
5.1.1	Visualization for Industrial Robots . . . . .	71
5.1.2	Visualization for Specific Tasks . . . . .	74
5.2	Workspace Comparisons for HRI . . . . .	76
5.2.1	Detailed Problem Analysis . . . . .	76
5.2.2	Kinematic Descriptions . . . . .	77
5.2.3	Workspace Comparison . . . . .	82
5.2.4	Evaluation . . . . .	85
5.3	Summary . . . . .	92



<b>6</b>	<b>Application in Planning</b>	93
6.1	Placement for 3D Trajectories	94
6.1.1	Detailed Problem Analysis	94
6.1.2	The Search Pattern	96
6.1.3	The Search for the Trajectory in the Workspace	97
6.1.4	Computing the Robot Base Position	99
6.1.5	Computational Complexity	101
6.1.6	Discretization Issues	102
6.1.7	Brute-Force Search vs. Model-Based Search	102
6.1.8	Validation of Solutions	103
6.1.9	Robots Working in the Kitchen	104
6.1.10	Following the Motion of a Tumbling Satellite	105
6.2	Human-Like Path Planning	109
6.2.1	The RULA Evaluation Criterion	110
6.2.2	Combining RULA and Capability Maps	113
6.2.3	RULA and the Inverse Kinematics	114
6.2.4	RULA Sampling for Path Planners	118
6.2.5	Evaluation	119
6.3	Summary	123
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion and Outlook</b>	125
7.1	Conclusion	125
7.2	Future Work	126
<b>A</b>	<b>Abbreviations and Glossary</b>	129
A.1	Abbreviations	129
A.2	Mathematical Symbols	130
A.3	Mathematical Notations	130
A.4	Random Sampling	130
<b>B</b>	<b>Kinematics Descriptions</b>	131
B.1	Homogeneous Matrices	131
B.2	DLR LWR Kinematics	132
B.3	Kuka LWR Kinematics	132
B.4	Schunk PowerCube Arm Kinematics	133
B.5	Kuka Kr16 Kinematics	135
B.6	Human Kinematics	135
B.7	TCP Frames	136
B.7.1	TCP Frames Used in Section 4.3	136
B.7.2	TCP Frames Used in Section 5.2.2.3	136
	<b>References</b>	137