A Computational Complexity-Theoretic Elaboration of Weak Truth-Table Reducibility*

Kohtaro Tadaki

Research and Development Initiative, Chuo University
JST CREST
1–13–27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan
E-mail: tadaki@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp
http://www2.odn.ne.jp/tadaki/

Abstract. The notion of weak truth-table reducibility plays an important role in recursion theory. In this paper, we introduce an elaboration of this notion, where a computable bound on the use function is explicitly specified. This elaboration enables us to deal with the notion of asymptotic behavior in a manner like in computational complexity theory, while staying in computability theory. We apply the elaboration to sets which appear in the statistical mechanical interpretation of algorithmic information theory. We demonstrate the power of the elaboration by revealing a critical phenomenon, i.e., a phase transition, in the statistical mechanical interpretation, which cannot be captured by the original notion of weak truth-table reducibility.

Key words: algorithmic information theory, algorithmic randomness, weak truth-table reducibility, Chaitin Ω number, partition function, halting problem, phase transition, statistical mechanics, computational complexity theory, program-size complexity

1 Introduction

The notion of weak truth-table reducibility plays an important role in recursion theory (see e.g. [17, 16, 11]). For any sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$, we say that A is weak truth-table reducible to B, denoted $A \leq_{wtt} B$, if there exist an oracle Turing machine M and a total recursive function $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that A is Turing reducible to B via M and, on every input $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M only queries natural numbers at most g(n). In this paper, we introduce an elaboration of this notion, where the total recursive bound g on the use of the reduction is explicitly specified. In doing so, in particular we try to follow the fashion in which computational complexity theory is developed, while staying in computability theory. We apply the elaboration to sets which appear in the theory of program-size, i.e., algorithmic

^{*}A preliminary version of this work was presented under the title "One-wayness and two-wayness in algorithmic randomness", at the 5th Conference on Logic, Computability and Randomness, May 24-28, 2010, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA.

information theory (AIT, for short) [9, 2, 16, 11]. The elaboration, called *reducibility in query size* f, is introduced as follows.

Definition 1.1 (reducibility in query size f). Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, and let $A, B \subset \{0, 1\}^*$. We say that A is reducible to B in query size f if there exists an oracle deterministic Turing machine M such that

(i) A is Turing reducible to B via M, and

(ii) on every input
$$x \in \{0,1\}^*$$
, M only queries strings of length at most $f(|x|)$.

For any fixed sets A and B, the above definition allows us to consider the notion of asymptotic behavior for the function f which bounds the use of the reduction, i.e., which imposes the restriction on the use of the computational resource (i.e., the oracle B). Thus, by the above definition, even in the context of computability theory, we can deal with the notion of asymptotic behavior in a manner like in computational complexity theory. Recall here that the notion of input size plays a crucial role in computational complexity theory since computational complexity such as time complexity and space complexity is measured based on it. This is also true in AIT since the program-size complexity is measured based on input size. Thus, in Definition 1.1 we consider a reduction between subsets of $\{0,1\}^*$ and not a reduction between subsets of \mathbb{N} as in the original weak truth-table reducibility. Moreover, in Definition 1.1 we require the bound f(|x|) to depend only on input size |x| as in computational complexity theory, and not on input x itself as in the original weak truth-table reducibility. We pursue a formal correspondence to computational complexity theory in this manner, while staying in computability theory.

In this paper we demonstrate the power of the notion of reducibility in query size f in the context of AIT. In [8] Chaitin introduced Ω number as a concrete example of random real. His Ω is defined as the probability that an optimal prefix-free machine U halts, and plays a central role in the development of AIT. Here the notion of optimal prefix-free machine is used to define the notion of program-size complexity H(s) for a finite binary string s. The first n bits of the base-two expansion of Ω solve the halting problem of the optimal prefix-free machine U for all binary inputs of length at most n. Using this property, Chaitin showed Ω to be a random real. Let dom U be the set of all halting inputs for U. Calude and Nies [5], in essence, showed the following theorem on the relation between the base-two expansion of Ω and the halting problem dom U.

Theorem 1.2 (Calude and Nies [5]). Ω and dom U are weak truth-table equivalent. Namely, $\Omega \leq_{wtt} \operatorname{dom} U$ and dom $U \leq_{wtt} \Omega$.

In [21] we generalized Ω to Z(T) by

$$Z(T) = \sum_{p \in \text{dom } U} 2^{-\frac{|p|}{T}} \tag{1}$$

so that the partial randomness of Z(T) equals to T if T is a computable real with $0 < T \le 1$. Here the notion of partial randomness of a real is a stronger representation of the compression rate of the real by means of program-size complexity. The real function Z(T) of T is a function of class C^{∞} on (0,1) and an increasing continuous function on (0,1]. In the case of T=1, Z(T) results in Ω , i.e., $Z(1)=\Omega$. We can show Theorem 1.3 below for Z(T). This theorem follows immediately from stronger results, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, which are two of the main results of this paper.

¹In [21], Z(T) is denoted by Ω^T .

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Then Z(T) and dom U are weak truth-table equivalent.

When comparing Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we see that there is no difference between T=1 and T<1 with respect to the weak truth-table equivalence between Z(T) and $\mathrm{dom}\,U$. In this paper, however, we show that there is a critical difference between T=1 and T<1 in the relation between Z(T) and $\mathrm{dom}\,U$ from the point of view of the reducibility in query size f. Based on the notion of reducibility in query size f, we introduce the notions of unidirectionality and bidirectionality between two sets A and B in this paper. These notions enable us to investigate the relative computational power between A and B.

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 below are two of the main results of this paper. Theorem 4.1 gives a succinct equivalent characterization of f for which Ω is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} U$ in query size f and reversely Theorem 4.2 gives a succinct equivalent characterization of f for which $\operatorname{dom} U$ is reducible to Ω in query size f, both in a general setting. Based on them, we show in Theorem 4.3 below that the computation from Ω to $\operatorname{dom} U$ is unidirectional and the computation from $\operatorname{dom} U$ to Ω is also unidirectional. On the other hand, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 below are also two of the main results of this paper. Theorem 6.1 gives a succinct equivalent characterization of f for which Z(T) is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} U$ in query size f and reversely Theorem 6.2 gives a succinct equivalent characterization of f for which $\operatorname{dom} U$ is reducible to Z(T) in query size f, both in a general setting, in the case where T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Based on them, we show in Theorem 6.3 below that the computations between Z(T) and $\operatorname{dom} U$ are bidirectional if T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. In this way the notion of reducibility in query size f can reveal a critical difference of the behavior of Z(T) between T = 1 and T < 1, which cannot be captured by the original notion of weak truth-table reducibility.

In our former work [25] we considered some elaboration of weak truth-table equivalence between Ω and dom U and showed the unidirectionality between them in a certain form. Compared with this paper, however, the treatments of [25] were insufficient in the correspondence to computational complexity theory. In this paper, based on the notion of reducibility in query size f, we sharpen the results of [25] with a thorough emphasis on a formal correspondence to computational complexity theory.

1.1 Statistical Mechanical Interpretation of AIT as Motivation

In this subsection we explain the motivation of this work. The readers can skip this subsection if they are not interested in the motivation.

In [23] we introduced and developed the statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT. We there introduced the thermodynamic quantities at temperature T, such as partition function Z(T), free energy F(T), energy E(T), statistical mechanical entropy S(T), and specific heat C(T), into AIT. These quantities are real functions of a real argument T > 0, and are introduced based on dom U in the following manner.

In statistical mechanics, the partition function $Z_{\rm sm}(T)$, free energy $F_{\rm sm}(T)$, energy $E_{\rm sm}(T)$,

entropy $S_{\rm sm}(T)$, and specific heat $C_{\rm sm}(T)$ at temperature T are given as follows:

$$Z_{\rm sm}(T) = \sum_{x \in X} e^{-\frac{E_x}{k_{\rm B}T}}, \qquad F_{\rm sm}(T) = -k_{\rm B}T \ln Z_{\rm sm}(T),$$

$$E_{\rm sm}(T) = \frac{1}{Z_{\rm sm}(T)} \sum_{x \in X} E_x e^{-\frac{E_x}{k_{\rm B}T}}, \qquad S_{\rm sm}(T) = \frac{E_{\rm sm}(T) - F_{\rm sm}(T)}{T},$$

$$C_{\rm sm}(T) = \frac{d}{dT} E_{\rm sm}(T),$$
(2)

where X is a complete set of energy eigenstates of a quantum system and E_x is the energy of an energy eigenstate x. The constant k_B is called the Boltzmann Constant, and the ln denotes the natural logarithm. For the meaning and importance of these thermodynamic quantities in statistical mechanics, see e.g. Chapter 16 of [1] or Chapter 2 of [29].²

In [23] we introduced thermodynamic quantities into AIT by performing Replacements 1 below for the thermodynamic quantities (2) in statistical mechanics.

Replacements 1.

- (i) Replace the complete set X of energy eigenstates x by the set $\operatorname{dom} U$ of all programs p for U.
- (ii) Replace the energy E_x of an energy eigenstate x by the length |p| of a program p.

(iii) Set the Boltzmann Constant
$$k_{\rm B}$$
 to $1/\ln 2.3$

For example, based on Replacements 1, the partition function Z(T) at temperature T is introduced from (2) as $Z(T) = \sum_{p \in \text{dom } U} 2^{-|p|/T}$. This is precisely Z(T) defined by (1). In general, the thermodynamic quantities in AIT are variants of Chaitin Ω number.

In [23] we proved that if the temperature T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1 then, for each of the thermodynamic quantities Z(T), F(T), E(T), E(T), and E(T), the partial randomness of its value equals to T. Thus, the temperature T plays a role as the partial randomness (and therefore the compression rate) of all the thermodynamic quantities in the statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT. In [23] we further showed that the temperature T plays a role as the partial randomness of the temperature T itself, which is a thermodynamic quantity of itself in thermodynamics or statistical mechanics. Namely, we proved the fixed point theorem for partial randomness, which states that, for every $T \in (0,1)$, if the value of the partition function T(T) at temperature T(T) is a computable real, then the partial randomness of T(T) equals to T(T), and therefore the compression rate of T(T) equals to T(T), i.e., $\lim_{T\to\infty} H(T) = T(T)$, where T(T) is the first T(T) bits of the base-two expansion of T(T).

In our second work [24] on the interpretation, we showed that a fixed point theorem of the same form as for Z(T) holds also for each of free energy F(T), energy E(T), and statistical mechanical entropy S(T). Moreover, based on the statistical mechanical relation $F(T) = -T \log_2 Z(T)$, we

 $^{^{2}}$ To be precise, the partition function is not a thermodynamic quantity but a statistical mechanical quantity.

³The so-called Boltzmann's entropy formula has the form $S_{\rm sm} = k_{\rm B} \ln W$, where W is the number of microstates consistent with a given macrostate. By setting $k_{\rm B} = 1/\ln 2$, the Boltzmann formula results in the form $S_{\rm sm} = \log_2 W$. Thus, since the logarithm is to the base 2 in the resultant formula, Replacements 1 (iii) is considered to be natural from the points of view of AIT and classical information theory.

⁴The fixed point theorem for partial randomness is called a fixed point theorem on compression rate in [23].

showed that the computability of F(T) gives completely different fixed points from the computability of Z(T).

In the third work [27], we pursued the formal correspondence between the statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT and normal statistical mechanics further, and then unlocked the properties of the sufficient conditions (i.e., the computability of Z(T), F(T), E(T), or S(T) for T) for the fixed points for partial randomness further. Recall that the thermodynamic quantities in AIT are defined based on the domain of definition of an optimal prefix-free machine U. In [27], we showed that there are infinitely many optimal prefix-free machines which give completely different sufficient conditions in all of the thermodynamic quantities in AIT. We did this by introducing the notion of composition of prefix-free machines into AIT, which corresponds to the notion of composition of systems in normal statistical mechanics.

How are Replacements 1 justified? Generally speaking, in order to give a statistical mechanical interpretation to a framework which looks unrelated to statistical mechanics at first glance, it is important to identify a microcanonical ensemble in the framework. Once we can do so, we can easily develop an equilibrium statistical mechanics on the framework according to the theoretical development of normal equilibrium statistical mechanics. Here, the microcanonical ensemble is a certain sort of uniform probability distribution. In fact, in the work [22] we developed a statistical mechanical interpretation of the noiseless source coding scheme in information theory by identifying a microcanonical ensemble in the scheme. Then, based on this identification, in [22] the notions in statistical mechanics such as statistical mechanical entropy, temperature, and thermal equilibrium are translated into the context of noiseless source coding.

Thus, in order to develop a total statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT, it is appropriate to identify a microcanonical ensemble in the framework of AIT. Note, however, that AIT is not a physical theory but a purely mathematical theory. Therefore, in order to obtain significant results for the development of AIT itself, we have to develop a statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT in a mathematically rigorous manner, unlike in normal statistical mechanics in physics where arguments are not necessarily mathematically rigorous. A fully rigorous mathematical treatment of statistical mechanics is already developed (see Ruelle [19]). At present, however, it would not as yet seem to be an easy task to merge AIT with this mathematical treatment in a satisfactory manner. In our former works [23, 24, 27] mentioned above, for mathematical strictness we developed a statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT in a different way from the idealism above. We there introduced the thermodynamic quantities at temperature T into AIT by performing Replacements 1 for the corresponding thermodynamic quantities (2) at temperature T in statistical mechanics. We then obtained the various rigorous results, as reviewed in the above.

On the other hand, in the work [28] we showed that, if we do not stick to the mathematical strictness of an argument, we can certainly develop a total statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT which attains a perfect correspondence to normal statistical mechanics. In the total interpretation, we identify a microcanonical ensemble in AIT in a similar manner to [22], based on the probability measure which gives Chaitin Ω number the meaning of the halting probability actually. This identification clarifies the meaning of the thermodynamic quantities of AIT, which are originally introduced by [23] in a rigorous manner based on Replacements 1.

In the present paper, we continue the rigorous treatment of the statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT performed by our former works [23, 24, 27]. As a result, we reveal a new aspect of the thermodynamic quantities of AIT. The work [23] showed that the values of all the thermodynamic quantities, including Z(T), diverge when the temperature T exceeds 1. This phenomenon may be

regarded as phase transition in statistical mechanics. The present paper reveals a new aspect of the phase transition by showing the critical difference of the behavior of Z(T) between T=1 and T<1 in terms of reducibility in query size f.

1.2 Organization of the Paper

We begin in Section 2 with some preliminaries to AIT and partial randomness. In Section 3 we investigate simple properties of the notion of reducibility in query size f and introduce the notions of unidirectionality and bidirectionality between two sets based on it. We then show in Section 4 the unidirectionality between Ω and dom U in a general setting. In Section 5 we present theorems which play a crucial role in establishing the bidirectionality in Section 6. Based on them, we show in Section 6 the bidirectionality between Z(T) and dom U with a computable real $T \in (0,1)$ in a general setting. We conclude this paper with the remarks on the origin of the phase transition of the behavior of Z(T) between T = 1 and T < 1 in Section 7.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic Notation

We start with some notation about numbers and strings which will be used in this paper. $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is the set of natural numbers, and \mathbb{N}^+ is the set of positive integers. \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers, and \mathbb{Q} is the set of rationals. \mathbb{R} is the set of reals. A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of numbers (rationals or reals) is called *increasing* if $a_{n+1} > a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Normally, o(n) denotes any function $f: \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)/n = 0$. On the other hand, O(1) denotes any function $g: \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that there is $C \in \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $|g(n)| \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

 $\{0,1\}^* = \{\lambda,0,1,00,01,10,11,000,001,010,\dots\}$ is the set of finite binary strings where λ denotes the *empty string*, and $\{0,1\}^*$ is ordered as indicated. We identify any string in $\{0,1\}^*$ with a natural number in this order, i.e., we consider $\varphi \colon \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi(s) = 1s - 1$ where the concatenation 1s of strings 1 and s is regarded as a dyadic integer, and then we identify s with $\varphi(s)$. For any $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, |s| is the *length* of s. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\{0,1\}^n$ the set $\{s \mid s \in \{0,1\}^* \& |s| = n\}$. A subset S of $\{0,1\}^*$ is called *prefix-free* if no string in S is a prefix of another string in S. For any subset S of $\{0,1\}^*$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $S \upharpoonright n$ the set $\{s \in S \mid |s| \le n\}$. For any function f, the domain of definition of f is denoted by dom f. We write "r.e." instead of "recursively enumerable."

Let α be an arbitrary real. $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to α , and $\lceil \alpha \rceil$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to α . For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright_n \in \{0,1\}^*$ the first n bits of the base-two expansion of $\alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ with infinitely many zeros. For example, in the case of $\alpha = 5/8$, $\alpha \upharpoonright_6 = 101000$. On the other hand, for any non-positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\alpha \upharpoonright_n = \lambda$.

A real α is called r.e. if there exists a computable, increasing sequence of rationals which converges to α . An r.e. real is also called a *left-computable* real. We say that a real α is *computable* if there exists a computable sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of rationals such that $|\alpha - a_n| < 2^{-n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is then easy to see that, for every real α , the following four conditions are equivalent: (i) α is computable. (ii) α is r.e. and $-\alpha$ is r.e. (iii) If $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $f(n) = \lceil \alpha n \rceil$ then f is a total recursive function. (iv) If $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $g(n) = |\alpha n|$ then g is a total recursive function.

2.2 Algorithmic Information Theory

In the following we concisely review some definitions and results of AIT [8, 9, 2, 16, 11]. A prefix-free machine is a partial recursive function $F: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that dom F is a prefix-free set. For each prefix-free machine F and each $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, $H_F(s)$ is defined by $H_F(s) = \min\{|p| \mid p \in \{0,1\}^* \& F(p) = s\}$ (may be ∞). A prefix-free machine U is said to be optimal if for each prefix-free machine F there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property; if $p \in \text{dom } F$, then there is $q \in \text{dom } U$ for which U(q) = F(p) and $|q| \leq |p| + d$. It is then easy to see that there exists an optimal prefix-free machine. We choose a particular optimal prefix-free machine U as the standard one for use, and define H(s) as $H_U(s)$, which is referred to as the program-size complexity of s, the information content of s, or the Kolmogorov complexity of s [12, 14, 8]. For any $s, t \in \{0,1\}^*$, we define H(s,t) as H(b(s,t)), where $b: \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ is a particular bijective total recursive function.

Chaitin [8] introduced Ω number as follows. For each optimal prefix-free machine V, the halting probability Ω_V of V is defined by

$$\Omega_V = \sum_{p \in \text{dom } V} 2^{-|p|}.$$

For every optimal prefix-free machine V, since dom V is prefix-free, Ω_V converges and $0 < \Omega_V \le 1$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that α is weakly Chaitin random if there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n-c \le H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ [8, 9]. Chaitin [8] showed that Ω_V is weakly Chaitin random for every optimal prefix-free machine V. Therefore $0 < \Omega_V < 1$ for every optimal prefix-free machine V.

Let M be a deterministic Turing machine with the input and output alphabet $\{0,1\}$, and let F be a prefix-free machine. We say that M computes F if the following holds: for every $p \in \{0,1\}^*$, when M starts with the input p, (i) M halts and outputs F(p) if $p \in \text{dom } F$; (ii) M does not halt forever otherwise. We use this convention on the computation of a prefix-free machine by a deterministic Turing machine throughout the rest of this paper. Thus, we exclude the possibility that there is $p \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that, when M starts with the input p, M halts but $p \notin \text{dom } F$. For any $p \in \{0,1\}^*$, we denote the running time of M on the input p by $T_M(p)$ (may be ∞). Thus, $T_M(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $p \in \text{dom } F$ if M computes F.

We define $L_M = \min\{|p| \mid p \in \{0,1\}^* \& M \text{ halts on input } p\}$ (may be ∞). For any $n \geq L_M$, we define I_M^n as the set of all halting inputs p for M with $|p| \leq n$ which take longest to halt in the computation of M, i.e., as the set $\{p \in \{0,1\}^* \mid |p| \leq n \& T_M(p) = T_M^n\}$ where T_M^n is the maximum running time of M on all halting inputs of length at most n. In the work [25], we slightly strengthened the result presented in Chaitin [9] to obtain Theorem 2.1 below (see Note in Section 8.1 of Chaitin [9]). We include the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Appendix A since the proof is omitted in the work [25].

Theorem 2.1 (Chaitin [9] and Tadaki [25]). Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine, and let M be a deterministic Turing machine which computes V. Then n = H(n,p) + O(1) = H(p) + O(1) for all (n,p) with $n \ge L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$.

2.3 Partial Randomness

In the work [21], we generalized the notion of the randomness of a real so that the degree of the randomness, which is often referred to as the partial randomness recently [6, 18, 7], can be characterized by a real T with $0 \le T \le 1$ as follows.

Definition 2.2. Let $T \in [0,1]$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that α is weakly Chaitin T-random if there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $Tn - c \leq H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)$.

In the case of T=1, the weak Chaitin T-randomness results in the weak Chaitin randomness.

Definition 2.3. Let $T \in [0,1]$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that α is T-compressible if $H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) \leq Tn + o(n)$, namely, if $\limsup_{n \to \infty} H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)/n \leq T$. We say that α is strictly T-compressible if there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) \leq Tn + d$.

For every $T \in [0,1]$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if α is weakly Chaitin T-random and T-compressible, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)/n = T$, i.e., the compression rate of α equals to T.

In the work [21], we generalized Chaitin Ω number to Z(T) as follows. For each optimal prefixfree machine V and each real T > 0, the partition function $Z_V(T)$ of V at temperature T is defined by

$$Z_V(T) = \sum_{p \in \text{dom } V} 2^{-\frac{|p|}{T}}.$$

Thus, $Z_V(1) = \Omega_V$. If $0 < T \le 1$, then $Z_V(T)$ converges and $0 < Z_V(T) < 1$, since $Z_V(T) \le \Omega_V < 1$. The following theorem holds for $Z_V(T)$.

Theorem 2.4 (Tadaki [21]). Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine.

(i) If $0 < T \le 1$ and T is computable, then $Z_V(T)$ is an r.e. real which is weakly Chaitin T-random and T-compressible.

(ii) If
$$1 < T$$
, then $Z_V(T)$ diverges to ∞ .

An r.e. real has a special property on partial randomness, as shown in Theorem 2.6 below. For any r.e. reals α and β , we say that α dominates β if there are computable, increasing sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ of rationals and $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \alpha$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \beta$, and $c(\alpha - a_n) \geq \beta - b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [20].

Definition 2.5 (Tadaki [26]). Let $T \in (0,1]$. An increasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ of reals is called T-convergent if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{n+1} - a_n)^T < \infty$. An r.e. real α is called T-convergent if there exists a T-convergent computable, increasing sequence of rationals which converges to α . An r.e. real α is called $\Omega(T)$ -like if it dominates all T-convergent r.e. reals.

Theorem 2.6 (equivalent characterizations of partial randomness for an r.e. real, Tadaki [26]). Let T be a computable real in (0,1], and let α be an r.e. real. Then the following three conditions are equivalent: (i) α is weakly Chaitin T-random. (ii) α is $\Omega(T)$ -like. (iii) For every T-convergent r.e. real β there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $H(\beta \upharpoonright_n) \leq H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) + d$.

3 Reducibility in Query Size f

In this section we investigate some properties of the notion of reducibility in query size f and introduce the notions of unidirectionality and bidirectionality between two sets.

Note first that, for every $A \subset \{0,1\}^*$, A is reducible to A in query size n, where "n" denotes the identity function $I: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ with I(n) = n. We follow the notation in computational complexity theory.

The following are simple observations on the notion of reducibility in query size f.

Proposition 3.1. Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, and let $A, B, C \subset \{0, 1\}^*$.

- (i) If A is reducible to B in query size f and B is reducible to C in query size g, then A is reducible to C in query size $g \circ f$.
- (ii) Suppose that $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If A is reducible to B in query size f then A is reducible to B in query size g.
- (iii) Suppose that A is reducible to B in query size f. If A is not recursive then f is unbounded. \Box

Definition 3.2. An order function is a non-decreasing total recursive function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = \infty$.

Let f be an order function. Intuitively, the notion of the reduction of A to B in query size f is equivalent to that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if n and $B \upharpoonright_{f(n)}$ are given, then $A \upharpoonright_n$ can be calculated. We introduce the notions of unidirectionality and bidirectionality between two sets as follows.

Definition 3.3. Let $A, B \subset \{0,1\}^*$. We say that the computation from A to B is unidirectional if the following holds: For every order functions f and g, if B is reducible to A in query size f and A is reducible to B in query size g then the function g(f(n)) - n of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is unbounded. We say that the computations between A and B are bidirectional if the computation from A to B is not unidirectional and the computation from B to A is not unidirectional.

The notion of unidirectionality of the computation from A to B in the above definition is, in essence, interpreted as follows: No matter how a order function f is chosen, if f satisfies that $B \upharpoonright_n$ can be calculated from n and $A \upharpoonright_{f(n)}$, then $A \upharpoonright_{f(n)}$ cannot be calculated from n and $B \upharpoonright_{n+O(1)}$.

In order to apply the notion of reducibility in query size f to a real, we introduce the notion of prefixes of a real as follows.

Definition 3.4. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the prefixes $Pf(\alpha)$ of α is the subset of $\{0,1\}^*$ defined by $Pf(\alpha) = \{\alpha \upharpoonright_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

The notion of prefixes of a real is a natural notion in AIT. For example, the notion of weak Chaitin randomness of a real α can be rephrased as that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $x \in \operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$, $|x| \leq H(x) + d$. The following proposition is a restatement of the well-known fact that, for every optimal prefix-free machine V, the first n bits of the base-two expansion of Ω_V solve the halting problem of V for inputs of length at most n.

Proposition 3.5. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then dom V is reducible to $Pf(\Omega_V)$ in query size n.

4 Unidirectionality

In this section we show the unidirectionality between Ω_U and dom U in a general setting. Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 below are two of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 4.1 (elaboration of $\Omega_U \leq_{wtt} \text{dom } U$). Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines, and let f be an order function. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) Pf(Ω_V) is reducible to dom W in query size f(n) + O(1).

(ii)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-f(n)} < \infty.$$

Theorem 4.1 is proved in Subsection 4.1 below. Theorem 4.1 corresponds to Theorem 4 of Tadaki [25], and is proved by modifying the proof of Theorem 4 of [25]. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 4.1 results in, for example, that $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ is reducible to dom W in query size $n + \lfloor (1+\varepsilon) \log_2 n \rfloor + O(1)$ for every real $\varepsilon > 0$. On the other hand, the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 4.1 results in, for example, that $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ is not reducible to dom W in query size $n + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + O(1)$ and therefore, in particular, $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ is not reducible to dom W in query size n + O(1).

Theorem 4.2 (elaboration of dom $U \leq_{wtt} \Omega_U$). Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines, and let f be an order function. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) dom W is reducible to Pf(Ω_V) in query size f(n) + O(1).

(ii)
$$n \leq f(n) + O(1)$$
.

Theorem 4.2 is proved in Subsection 4.2 below. Theorem 4.2 corresponds to Theorem 11 of Tadaki [25], and is proved by modifying the proof of Theorem 11 of [25]. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 4.2 results in that, for every optimal prefix-free machines V and W, dom W is reducible to $Pf(\Omega_V)$ in query size n + O(1). On the other hand, the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 4.2 says that this upper bound "n + O(1)" of the query size is, in essence, tight.

Theorem 4.3. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines. Then the computation from $Pf(\Omega_V)$ to dom W is unidirectional and the computation from dom W to $Pf(\Omega_V)$ is also unidirectional.

Proof. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines. For arbitrary order functions f and g, assume that dom W is reducible to $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ in query size f and $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ is reducible to dom W in query size g. It follows from the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 4.2 that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $n \leq f(n) + c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it follows from the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 4.1 that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-g(n)} < \infty$ and therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} g(n) - n = \infty$. Since g is an order function, we have $g(f(n)) - n \geq g(n-c) - (n-c) - c$ for all $n \geq c$. Thus, the computation from $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ to dom W is unidirectional. On the other hand, we have $f(g(n)) - n \geq g(n) - n - c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the computation from dom W to $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ is unidirectional.

4.1 The Proof of Theorem 4.1

Theorem 4.1 follows from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 below, and the fact that Ω_V is a weakly Chaitin random r.e. real for every optimal prefix-free machine V.

Theorem 4.4. Let α be an r.e. real, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For every total recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-f(n)} < \infty$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} V$ in query size f(n) + c.

Theorem 4.5. Let α be a real which is weakly Chaitin random, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For every order function f, if $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} V$ in query size f then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-f(n)} < \infty$.

We first prove Theorem 4.4. For that purpose, we need Theorems 4.6 and 4.8 below.

Theorem 4.6 (Kraft-Chaitin Theorem, Chaitin [8]). Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a total recursive function such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-f(n)} \leq 1$. Then there exists a total recursive function $g: \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that (i) g is an injection, (ii) the set $\{g(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is prefix-free, and (iii) |g(n)| = f(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

We refer to Theorem 4.7 below from Tadaki [25]. Theorem 4.8 is a restatement of it.

Theorem 4.7 (Tadaki [25]). Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then, for every prefix-free machine F there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $p \in \{0,1\}^*$, if p and the list of all halting inputs for V of length at most |p| + d are given, then the halting problem of the input p for F can be solved.

Theorem 4.8. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then, for every prefix-free machine F there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that dom F is reducible to dom V in query size n + d.

Based on Theorems 4.6 and 4.8, Theorem 4.4 is then proved as follows.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Let α be an r.e. real, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For an arbitrary total recursive function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-f(n)} < \infty$. In the case of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, the result is obvious. Thus, in what follows, we assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and therefore the base-two expansion of $\alpha - |\alpha|$ is unique and contains infinitely many ones.

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-\tilde{f}(n)} < \infty$, there exists $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n-f(n)-d_0} \leq 1$. Hence, by the Kraft-Chaitin Theorem, i.e., Theorem 4.6, there exists a total recursive function $g : \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that (i) the function g is an injection, (ii) the set $\{g(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is prefix-free, and (iii) $|g(n)| = f(n) - n + d_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since α is r.e., there exists a total recursive function $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that $h(k) \leq \alpha$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} h(k) = \alpha$.

Now, let us consider a prefix-free machine F such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, $g(n)s \in \text{dom } F$ if and only if (i) |s| = n and (ii) $0.s < h(k) - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that such a prefix-free machine F exists. We then see that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{0,1\}^n$,

$$g(n)s \in \text{dom } F \text{ if and only if } s \le \alpha \upharpoonright_n,$$
 (3)

where s and $\alpha \upharpoonright_n$ are regarded as a dyadic integer. Then, by the following procedure, we see that $Pf(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom F in query size $f(n) + d_0$.

Given $t \in \{0,1\}^*$, based on the equivalence (3), one determines $\alpha \upharpoonright_n$ by putting the queries g(n)s to the oracle dom F for all $s \in \{0,1\}^n$, where n = |t|. Note here that all the queries are of length $f(n) + d_0$, since $|g(n)| = f(n) - n + d_0$. One then accepts if $t = \alpha \upharpoonright_n$ and rejects otherwise.

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.8, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that dom F is reducible to dom V in query size n+d. Thus, by Proposition 3.1 (i), $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom V in query size $f(n)+d_0+d$, as desired.

We next prove Theorem 4.5. For that purpose, we need Theorem 2.1 and the Ample Excess Lemma below.

Theorem 4.9 (Ample Excess Lemma, Miller and Yu [15]). For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, α is weakly Chaitin random if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)} < \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Let α be a real which is weakly Chaitin random, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For an arbitrary order function f, assume that $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom V in query size f. Since f is an order function, $S_f = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) < f(n+1)\}$ is an infinite recursive

set. Therefore there exists an increasing total recursive function $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $h(\mathbb{N}) = S_f$. It is then easy to see that f(n) = f(h(k+1)) for every k and n with $h(k) < n \le h(k+1)$. Thus, for each $k \ge 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{n=h(0)+1}^{h(k)} 2^{n-f(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=h(j)+1}^{h(j+1)} 2^{n-f(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{-f(h(j+1))} \sum_{n=h(j)+1}^{h(j+1)} 2^n$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^{-f(h(j+1))} \left(2^{h(j+1)+1} - 2^{h(j)+1} \right) < 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} 2^{h(j)-f(h(j))}.$$
(4)

On the other hand, let M be a deterministic Turing machine which computes V. For each $n \geq L_M$, we choose a particular p_n from I_M^n . Note that, given $(n, p_{f(n)})$ with $f(n) \geq L_M$, one can calculate the finite set $\operatorname{dom} V \upharpoonright_{f(n)}$ by simulating the computation of M with the input q until at most the time step $T_M(p_{f(n)})$, for each $q \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $|q| \leq f(n)$. This can be possible because $T_M(p_{f(n)}) = T_M^{f(n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) \geq L_M$. Thus, since $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} V$ in query size f by the assumption, we see that there exists a partial recursive function $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N} \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) \geq L_M$, $\Psi(n,p_{f(n)}) = \alpha \upharpoonright_n$. It follows from the optimality of U that $H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) \leq H(n,p_{f(n)}) + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) \geq L_M$. On the other hand, since the mapping $\mathbb{N} \ni k \mapsto f(h(k))$ is an increasing total recursive function, it follows also from the optimality of U that $H(h(k),s) \leq H(f(h(k)),s) + O(1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{0,1\}^*$. Therefore, using Theorem 2.1 we see that

$$H(\alpha|_{h(k)}) \le f(h(k)) + O(1) \tag{5}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since α is weakly Chaitin random, using the Ample Excess Lemma, i.e., Theorem 4.9, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-H(\alpha|_n)} < \infty$. Note that the function h is injective. Thus, using (5) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{h(j)-f(h(j))} \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{h(j)-H(\alpha \upharpoonright_{h(j)})+O(1)} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n-H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)+O(1)} < \infty.$$

It follows from (4) that $\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{n=h(0)+1}^{h(k)}2^{n-f(n)}<\infty$. Thus, since $2^{n-f(n)}>0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty}h(k)=\infty$, we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2^{n-f(n)}<\infty$, as desired.

4.2 The Proof of Theorem 4.2

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 4.2 follows immediately from Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.1 (ii). On the other hand, the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 4.2 is proved as follows.

Proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 4.2. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines, and let f be an order function. Suppose that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that dom W is reducible to $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ in query size f(n)+c. Then, by considering the following procedure, we first see that $n < H(n, \Omega_V |_{f(n)+c}) + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given n and $\Omega_V \upharpoonright_{f(n)+c}$, one first calculates the finite set dom $W \upharpoonright_n$. This is possible since dom W is reducible to $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ in query size f(n) + c and $f(k) \leq f(n)$ for all $k \leq n$. Then, by calculating the set $\{W(p) \mid p \in \operatorname{dom} W \upharpoonright_n\}$ and picking any one finite binary string s which is not in this set, one can obtain $s \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that $n < H_W(s)$.

Thus, there exists a partial recursive function $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N} \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n < H_W(\Psi(n,\Omega_V \upharpoonright_{f(n)+c}))$. It follows from the optimality of W that

$$n < H(n, \Omega_V \upharpoonright_{f(n)+c}) + O(1) \tag{6}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, let us assume contrarily that the function n-f(n) of $n\in\mathbb{N}$ is unbounded. Recall that f is an order function. Hence it is easy to show that there exists a total recursive function $g\colon\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ such that the function f(g(k)) of k is increasing and the function g(k)-f(g(k)) of k is also increasing. For clarity, we define a total recursive function $m\colon\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ by m(k)=f(g(k))+c. Since m is injective, it is then easy to see that there exists a partial recursive function $\Phi\colon\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ such that $\Phi(m(k))=g(k)$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Therefore, based on the optimality of U, it is shown that $H(g(k),\Omega_V|_{m(k)})\leq H(\Omega_V|_{m(k)})+O(1)$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. It follows from (6) that $g(k)< H(\Omega_V|_{m(k)})+O(1)$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, we can show that $H(s)\leq |s|+H(|s|)+O(1)$ for all $s\in\{0,1\}^*$. Therefore we have g(k)-f(g(k))< H(m(k))+O(1) for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Then, since the function g(k)-f(g(k)) of k is unbounded, it is easy to see that there exists a total recursive function $\Theta\colon\mathbb{N}^+\to\mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $l\in\mathbb{N}^+$, $l\leq H(\Theta(l))$. It follows from the optimality of U that $l\leq H(l)+O(1)$ for all $l\in\mathbb{N}^+$. On the other hand, we can show that $H(l)\leq 2\log_2 l+O(1)$ for all $l\in\mathbb{N}^+$. Thus we have $l\leq 2\log_2 l+O(1)$ for all $l\in\mathbb{N}^+$. However, we have a contradiction on letting $l\to\infty$ in this inequality. This completes the proof.

5 T-Convergent R.E. Reals

Let T be an arbitrary computable real with $0 < T \le 1$. The parameter T plays a crucial role in the present paper.⁵ In this section, we investigate the relation of T-convergent r.e. reals to the halting problems. In particular, Theorem 5.7 below is used to show Theorem 6.1 in the next section, and plays a major role in establishing the bidirectionality in the next section. On the other hand, Theorem 5.5 below is used to show Theorem 6.2 in the next section.

Recently, Calude, Hay, and Stephan [4] showed the existence of an r.e. real which is weakly Chaitin T-random and strictly T-compressible, in the case where T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1, as follows.

Theorem 5.1 (Calude, Hay, and Stephan [4]). Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Then there exist an r.e. real $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $|H(\alpha|_n) - Tn| \le d$. \square

We first show that the same r.e. real α as in Theorem 5.1 has the following property.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then there exists an r.e. real $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that α is weakly Chaitin T-random and $Pf(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom V in query size $\lfloor Tn \rfloor + O(1)$.

Calude, et al. [4] use Lemma 5.3 below to show Theorem 5.1. We also use it to show Theorem 5.2. We include the proof of Lemma 5.3 in Appendix B for completeness.

Lemma 5.3 (Reimann and Stephan [18] and Calude, Hay, and Stephan [4]). Let T be a real with T > 0, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine.

 $^{^{5}}$ The parameter T corresponds to the notion of "temperature" in the statistical mechanical interpretation of AIT introduced by Tadaki [23].

- (i) Suppose that T < 1. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, there exists $t \in \{0,1\}^c$ for which $H_V(st) \geq H_V(s) + Tc$.
- (ii) There exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, $H_V(s0^c) \leq H_V(s) + Tc 1$ and $H_V(s1^c) \leq H_V(s) + Tc 1$.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is then given as follows.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then it follows from Lemma 5.3 that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, there exists $t \in \{0,1\}^c$ for which

$$H_V(st) \ge H_V(s) + Tc. \tag{7}$$

For each prefix-free machine G and each $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, we denote by S(G;s) the set

$$\{u \in \{0,1\}^{|s|+c} \mid s \text{ is a prefix of } u \& H_G(u) > T |u| \}.$$

Now, we define a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite binary strings recursively on $k\in\mathbb{N}$ by $a_k:=\lambda$ if k=0 and $a_k:=\min S(V;a_{k-1})$ otherwise. First note that a_0 is properly defined as λ and therefore satisfies $H_V(a_0)>T\,|a_0|$. For each $k\geq 1$, assume that $a_0,a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{k-1}$ are properly defined. Then $H_V(a_{k-1})>T\,|a_{k-1}|$ holds. It follow from (7) that there exists $t\in\{0,1\}^c$ for which $H_V(a_{k-1}t)\geq H_V(a_{k-1})+Tc$, and therefore $a_{k-1}t\in\{0,1\}^{|a_{k-1}|+c}$ and $H_V(a_{k-1}t)\geq T\,|a_{k-1}t|$. Thus $S(V;a_{k-1})\neq\emptyset$, and therefore a_k is properly defined. Hence, a_k is properly defined for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$. We thus see that, for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $a_k\in\{0,1\}^{ck}$, $H_V(a_k)>T\,|a_k|$, and a_k is a prefix of a_{k+1} . Therefore, it is easy to see that, for every $m\in\mathbb{N}^+$, there exists $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that a_k contains m zeros. Thus, we can uniquely define a real $\alpha\in[0,1)$ by the condition that $\alpha\upharpoonright_{ck}=a_k$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}^+$. It follows that $H_V(\alpha\upharpoonright_{ck})>T\,|\alpha\upharpoonright_{ck}|$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}^+$. Note that there exists $d_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $s,t\in\{0,1\}^*$, if $t\in\{0,1\}^*$, if $t\in\{0,1\}^*$, if $t\in\{0,1\}^*$, which implies that α is weakly Chaitin T-random and therefore $\alpha\in(0,1)$.

Next, we show that $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} V$ in query size $\lceil Tn \rceil + O(1)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by F_k the set $\{s \in \{0,1\}^* \mid H_V(s) \leq \lfloor Tck \rfloor\}$. It follows that

$$a_k = \min\{ u \in \{0, 1\}^{ck} \mid a_{k-1} \text{ is a prefix of } u \& u \notin F_k \}$$
 (8)

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. By the following procedure, we see that $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom V in query size |Tn| + O(1).

Given $s \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $s \neq \lambda$, one first calculates the k_0 finite sets $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{k_0}$, where $k_0 = \lceil |s|/c \rceil$, by putting queries to the oracle dom V. Note here that all the queries can be of length at most $\lfloor T(|s|+c) \rfloor$. One then calculates $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k_0}$ in this order one by one from $a_0 = \lambda$ based on the relation (8) and $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{k_0}$. Finally, one accepts s if s is a prefix of a_{k_0} and rejects otherwise. This is possible since $\alpha \upharpoonright_{ck_0} = a_{k_0}$ and $|s| \leq ck_0$.

Finally, we show that α is an r.e. real. Let p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots be a particular recursive enumeration of the infinite r.e. set dom V. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we define a prefix-free machine $V^{(l)}$ by the following two conditions (i) and (ii): (i) dom $V^{(l)} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_l\}$. (ii) $V^{(l)}(p) = V(p)$ for every $p \in \text{dom } V^{(l)}$. It is easy to see that such prefix-free machines $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, V^{(3)}, \ldots$ exist. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and each $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, note that $H_{V^{(l)}}(s) \geq H_V(s)$ holds, where $H_{V^{(l)}}(s)$ may be ∞ . For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we

define a sequence $\{a_k^{(l)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite binary strings recursively on $k\in\mathbb{N}$ by $a_k^{(l)}:=\lambda$ if k=0 and $a_k^{(l)}:=\min(S(V^{(l)};a_{k-1}^{(l)})\cup\{a_{k-1}^{(l)}1^c\})$ otherwise. It follows that $a_k^{(l)}$ is properly defined for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Note, in particular, that $a_k^{(l)}\in\{0,1\}^{ck}$ and $a_k^{(l)}$ is a prefix of $a_{k+1}^{(l)}$ for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$. We show that $a_k^{(l)} \leq a_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. To see this, assume that $a_{k-1}^{(l)} = a_{k-1}$. Then, since $H_{V^{(l)}}(s) \geq H_V(s)$ holds for every $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, based on the constructions of $a_k^{(l)}$ and a_k from $a_{k-1}^{(l)}$ and a_{k-1} , respectively, we see that $a_k^{(l)} \leq a_k$. Thus, based on the constructions of $\{a_k^{(l)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ we see that $a_k^{(l)} \leq a_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

We define a sequence $\{r_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of rationals by $r_k=0.a_k^{(k)}$. Obviously, $\{r_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a computable sequence of rationals. Based on the result in the previous paragraph, we see that $r_k \leq \alpha$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Based on the constructions of prefix-free machines $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, V^{(3)}, \ldots$ from V, it is also easy to see that $\lim_{k\to\infty} r_k = \alpha$. Thus we see that α is an r.e. real.

Note that, using Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 5.2, we can give to Theorem 5.1 a different proof from Calude, et al. [4] as follows.

Different Proof of Theorem 5.1 from Calude, et al. [4]. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. We choose a particular optimal prefix-free machine V and a particular deterministic Turing machine M such that M computes V. For each n with $\lceil Tn \rceil \geq L_M$, we choose a particular p_n from $I_M^{\lceil Tn \rceil}$. By Theorem 5.2, there exist an r.e. real $\alpha \in (0,1)$, an oracle deterministic Turing machine M_0 , and $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that α is weakly Chaitin T-random and, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $M_0^{\text{dom }V \lceil \lceil Tn \rceil}(n) = \alpha \upharpoonright_{n-c}$. Then, by the following procedure, we see that there exists a partial recursive function $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N} \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for all n with $\lceil Tn \rceil \geq L_M$,

$$\Psi(n, p_n) = \alpha \upharpoonright_{n-c} . \tag{9}$$

Given (n, p_n) with $\lceil Tn \rceil \geq L_M$, one first calculates the finite set dom $V \upharpoonright_{\lceil Tn \rceil}$ by simulating the computation of M with the input q until at most the time step $T_M(p_n)$, for each $q \in \{0, 1\}^*$ with $|q| \leq \lceil Tn \rceil$. This can be possible because $T_M(p_n) = T_M^{\lceil Tn \rceil}$ for every n with $\lceil Tn \rceil \geq L_M$. One then calculates $\alpha \upharpoonright_{n-c}$ by simulating the computation of M_0 with the input n and the oracle dom $V \upharpoonright_{\lceil Tn \rceil}$. It follows from (9) that

$$H(\alpha \upharpoonright_{n-c}) \le H(n, p_n) + O(1) \tag{10}$$

for all n with $\lceil Tn \rceil > L_M$.

On the other hand, given $\lceil Tn \rceil$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, one only need to specify one of $\lceil 1/T \rceil$ possibilities of n in order to calculate n, since T is a computable real and $T \neq 0$. Thus, there exists a partial recursive function $\Phi \colon \mathbb{N}^+ \times \{0,1\}^* \times \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{N}^+ \times \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and every $p \in \{0,1\}^*$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ with the properties that $1 \leq k \leq \lceil 1/T \rceil$ and $\Phi(\lceil Tn \rceil, p, k) = (n, p)$. It follows that $H(n,p) \leq H(\lceil Tn \rceil, p) + \max\{H(k) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \& 1 \leq k \leq \lceil 1/T \rceil\} + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and all $p \in \{0,1\}^*$. Hence, using (10) and Theorem 2.1 we have

$$H(\alpha \upharpoonright_{n-c}) \le H(\lceil Tn \rceil, p_n) + O(1) \le \lceil Tn \rceil + O(1) \le Tn + O(1)$$

for all n with $\lceil Tn \rceil \geq L_M$. It follows that $H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) \leq Tn + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, which implies that α is strictly T-compressible. This completes the proof.

Using Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 5.1 we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. For every r.e. real β , if β is T-convergent then β is strictly T-compressible.

Proof. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. It follows from Theorem 5.1 that there exists an r.e. real α such that α is weakly Chaitin T-random and

$$H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) \le Tn + O(1) \tag{11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Since α is weakly Chaitin T-random, using the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii) of Theorem 2.6 we see that, for every T-convergent r.e. real β , there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $H(\beta \upharpoonright_n) \leq H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n) + d$. Thus, for each T-convergent r.e. real β , using (11) we see that $H(\beta \upharpoonright_n) \leq Tn + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, which implies that β is strictly T-compressible.

Using Theorem 7 of Tadaki [26], Theorem 5.4, and Theorem 2.4 (i), we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $|H(Z_V(T)|_n) - Tn| \le d$.

Proof. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. By Theorem 7 of Tadaki [26], $Z_V(T)$ is a T-convergent r.e. real. It follows from Theorem 5.4 that $Z_V(T)$ is strictly T-compressible. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.4 (i), $Z_V(T)$ is weakly Chaitin T-random. This completes the proof.

Calude, et al. [4], in essence, showed the following result. For completeness, we include its proof.

Theorem 5.6 (Calude, Hay, and Stephan [4]). If a real β is weakly Chaitin T-random and strictly T-compressible, then there exists $d \geq 2$ such that a base-two expansion of β has neither a run of d consecutive zeros nor a run of d consecutive ones.

Proof. Let β be a real which is weakly Chaitin T-random and strictly T-compressible. Then there exists $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|H(\beta|_n) - Tn| \le d_0. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3 (ii) we see that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$, $H(s0^c) \leq H(s) + Tc - 1$ and $H(s1^c) \leq H(s) + Tc - 1$. We choose a particular $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ with $k_0 > 2d$. Assume first that a base-two expansion of β has a run of ck_0 consecutive zeros. Then $\beta \upharpoonright_{n_0} 0^{ck_0} = \beta \upharpoonright_{n_0 + ck_0}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we have $H(\beta \upharpoonright_{n_0 + ck_0}) - T(n_0 + ck_0) + k_0 \leq H(\beta \upharpoonright_{n_0}) - Tn_0$, and therefore $-|H(\beta \upharpoonright_{n_0 + ck_0}) - T(n_0 + ck_0)| + k_0 \leq |H(\beta \upharpoonright_{n_0}) - Tn_0|$ where we used the triangle inequality. It follows from (12) that $-d_0 + k_0 \leq d_0$ and therefore $k_0 \leq 2d_0$. This contradicts the fact that $k_0 > 2d$. Hence, a base-two expansion of β does not have a run of ck_0 consecutive zeros. In a similar manner we can show that a base-two expansion of β does not have a run of ck_0 consecutive ones, as well.

Theorem 5.7. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For every r.e. real β , if β is T-convergent and weakly Chaitin T-random, then $Pf(\beta)$ is reducible to dom V in query size $\lfloor Tn \rfloor + O(1)$.

Proof. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then, by Theorem 5.2, there exist an r.e. real $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that α is weakly Chaitin T-random and $Pf(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom V in query size $\lfloor Tn \rfloor + d_0$. Since α is an r.e. real which is weakly Chaitin T-random, it follow from the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 2.6 that α is $\Omega(T)$ -like.

Now, for an arbitrary r.e. real β , assume that β is T-convergent and weakly Chaitin T-random. Then, by Theorem 5.4, β is strictly T-compressible. It follows from Theorem 5.6 that there exists $c \geq 2$ such that the base-two expansion of β has neither a run of c consecutive zeros nor a run of c consecutive ones. On the other hand, since the r.e. real α is weakly Chaitin T-random, from the definition of $\Omega(T)$ -likeness we see that α dominates β . Therefore, there are computable, increasing sequences $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of rationals and $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k = \alpha$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} b_k = \beta$ and, for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $\alpha - a_k \geq 2^{-d_1}(\beta - b_k)$ and $\lfloor\beta\rfloor = \lfloor b_k\rfloor$. Let $d_2 = d_1 + c + 2$. Then, by the following procedure, we see that $Pf(\beta)$ is reducible to dom V in query size $\lfloor T(n+d_2)\rfloor + d_0$.

Given $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, one first calculates $\alpha \upharpoonright_{n+d_2}$ by putting the queries t to the oracle dom V, where n = |s|. This is possible since $\operatorname{Pf}(\alpha)$ is reducible to dom V in query size $\lfloor Tn \rfloor + d_0$. Note here that all the queries can be of length at most $\lfloor T(n+d_2) \rfloor + d_0$. One then find $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0.(\alpha \upharpoonright_{n+d_2}) < a_{k_0}$. This is possible since $0.(\alpha \upharpoonright_{n+d_2}) < \alpha$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k = \alpha$. It follows that $2^{-(n+d_2)} > \alpha - 0.(\alpha \upharpoonright_{n+d_2}) > \alpha - a_{k_0} \ge 2^{-d_1}(\beta - b_{k_0})$. Thus, $0 < \beta - b_{k_0} < 2^{-(n+c+2)}$. Let t be the first n+c+2 bits of the base-two expansion of the rational number $b_{k_0} - \lfloor b_{k_0} \rfloor$ with infinitely many zeros. Then, $|b_{k_0} - \lfloor b_{k_0} \rfloor - 0.t| \le 2^{-(n+c+2)}$. It follows from $|\beta - \lfloor \beta \rfloor - 0.(\beta \upharpoonright_{n+c+2})| < 2^{-(n+c+2)}$ that $|0.(\beta \upharpoonright_{n+c+2}) - 0.t_n| < 3 \cdot 2^{-(n+c+2)} < 2^{-(n+c)}$. Hence, $|\beta \upharpoonright_{n+c+2} - t| < 2^2$, where $\beta \upharpoonright_{n+c+2}$ and t in $\{0,1\}^{n+c+2}$ are regarded as a dyadic integer. Thus, t is obtained by adding to $\beta \upharpoonright_{n+c+2}$ or subtracting from $\beta \upharpoonright_{n+c+2}$ a 2 bits dyadic integer. Since the base-two expansion of β has neither a run of c consecutive zeros nor a run of c consecutive ones, it can be checked that the first n bits of t equals to $\beta \upharpoonright_n$. Thus, one accepts s if s is a prefix of t and rejects otherwise. Recall here that |s| = n.

6 Bidirectionality

In this section we show the bidirectionality between $Z_U(T)$ and dom U with a computable real $T \in (0,1)$ in a general setting. Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 below are two of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 6.1 (elaboration of $Z_U(T) \leq_{wtt} \text{dom } U$). Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines, and let f be an order function. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) Pf($Z_V(T)$) is reducible to dom W in query size f(n) + O(1).

(ii)
$$Tn \leq f(n) + O(1)$$
.

Theorem 6.2 (elaboration of dom $U \leq_{wtt} Z_U(T)$). Suppose that T is a computable real with $0 < T \leq 1$. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines, and let f be an order function. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) dom W is reducible to $Pf(Z_V(T))$ in query size f(n) + O(1).

(ii)
$$n/T \leq f(n) + O(1)$$
.

Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2 are proved in Subsection 6.1 and Subsection 6.2 below, respectively. Note that the function Tn in the condition (ii) of Theorem 6.1 and the function n/T in the condition (ii) of Theorem 6.2 are the inverse functions of each other. This implies that the computations between $Pf(Z_V(T))$ and dom W are bidirectional in the case where T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. The formal proof is as follows.

Theorem 6.3. Suppose that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines. Then the computations between $Pf(Z_V(T))$ and dom W are bidirectional.

Proof. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines. It follows from the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 6.2 that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which dom W is reducible to $\operatorname{Pf}(Z_V(T))$ in query size f with $f(n) = \lfloor n/T \rfloor + c$. On the other hand, it follows from the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 6.1 that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\operatorname{Pf}(Z_V(T))$ is reducible to dom W in query size g with $g(n) = \lfloor Tn \rfloor + d$. Since T is computable, f and g are order functions. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $g(f(n)) \leq Tf(n) + d \leq n + Tc + d$. Thus, the computation from $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ to dom W is not unidirectional. In a similar manner, we see that the computation from dom W to $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega_V)$ is not unidirectional. This completes the proof.

6.1 The Proof of Theorem 6.1

Let T be a computable real with 0 < T < 1, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. Then, by Theorem 7 of Tadaki [26], $Z_V(T)$ is a T-convergent r.e. real. Moreover, by Theorem 2.4 (i), $Z_V(T)$ is weakly Chaitin T-random. Thus, the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 6.1 follows immediately from Theorem 5.7 and Proposition 3.1 (ii).

On the other hand, the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 6.1 follows immediately from Theorem 2.4 (i) and Theorem 6.4 below. In order to prove Theorem 6.4, we use Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 6.4. Suppose that T is a computable real with $0 < T \le 1$. Let β be a real which is weakly Chaitin T-random, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For every order function f, if $Pf(\beta)$ is reducible to dom V in query size f then $Tn \le f(n) + O(1)$.

Proof. Suppose that T is a computable real with $0 < T \le 1$. Let β be a real which is weakly Chaitin T-random, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. For an arbitrary order function f, assume that $\operatorname{Pf}(\beta)$ is reducible to $\operatorname{dom} V$ in query size f. Let M be a deterministic Turing machine which computes V. For each n with $f(n) \ge L_M$, we choose a particular p_n from $I_M^{f(n)}$. Then, by the following procedure, we see that there exists a partial recursive function $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N} \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for all n with $f(n) \ge L_M$,

$$\Psi(n, p_n) = \beta \upharpoonright_n . \tag{13}$$

Given (n, p_n) with $f(n) \geq L_M$, one first calculates the finite set dom $V \upharpoonright_{f(n)}$ by simulating the computation of M with the input q until at most the time step $T_M(p_n)$, for each $q \in \{0, 1\}^*$ with $|q| \leq f(n)$. This can be possible because $T_M(p_n) = T_M^{f(n)}$ for every n with $f(n) \geq L_M$. One then calculates $\beta \upharpoonright_n$ using dom $V \upharpoonright_{f(n)}$ and outputs it. This is possible since $Pf(\beta)$ is reducible to dom V in query size f.

It follows from (13) that

$$H(\beta \upharpoonright_n) \le H(n, p_n) + O(1) \tag{14}$$

for all n with $f(n) \geq L_M$.

Now, let us assume contrarily that the function Tn-f(n) of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is unbounded. Recall that f is an order function and T is computable. Hence it is easy to show that there exists a total recursive function $g \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that the function f(g(k)) of k is increasing and the function Tg(k)-f(g(k)) of k is also increasing. Since the function f(g(k)) of k is injective, it is then easy to see that there exists a partial recursive function $\Phi \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Phi(f(g(k))) = g(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, based on the optimality of U, it is shown that $H(g(k),s) \leq H(f(g(k)),s) + O(1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{0,1\}^*$. Hence, using (14) and Theorem 2.1 we have $H(\beta|_{g(k)}) \leq H(f(g(k)), p_{g(k)}) + O(1) \leq f(g(k)) + O(1)$ for all k with $f(g(k)) \geq L_M$. Since β is weakly Chaitin T-random, we have $Tg(k) \leq H(\beta|_{g(k)}) + O(1) \leq f(g(k)) + O(1)$ for all k with $f(g(k)) \geq L_M$. However, this contradicts the fact that the function Tg(k) - f(g(k)) of k is unbounded, and the proof is completed. \square

6.2 The Proof of Theorem 6.2

The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 6.2 can be proved based on Theorem 5.5 as follows.

Proof of $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ of Theorem 6.2. In the case of T = 1, the implication $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ of Theorem 6.2 results in the implication $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ of Theorem 4.2. Thus, we assume that T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1 in what follows. Let V and W be optimal prefix-free machines, and let f is an order function. Suppose that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that dom W is reducible to $Pf(Z_V(T))$ in query size f(n) + c. Then, by considering the following procedure, we first see that $n < H(n, Z_V(T)) \upharpoonright_{f(n)+c} + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Given n and $Z_V(T) \upharpoonright_{f(n)+c}$, one first calculates the finite set dom $W \upharpoonright_n$. This is possible since dom W is reducible to $\operatorname{Pf}(Z_V(T))$ in query size f(n) + c and $f(k) \leq f(n)$ for all $k \leq n$. Then, by calculating the set $\{W(p) \mid p \in \operatorname{dom} W \upharpoonright_n\}$ and picking any one finite binary string s which is not in this set, one can obtain $s \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that $n < H_W(s)$.

Thus, there exists a partial recursive function $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N} \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n < H_W(\Psi(n, Z_V(T) \upharpoonright_{f(n)+c}))$. It follows from the optimality of W that

$$n < H(n, Z_V(T)|_{f(n)+c}) + O(1)$$
 (15)

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, let us assume contrarily that the function n/T - f(n) of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is unbounded. Recall that f is an order function and T is computable. Hence it is easy to show that there exists a total recursive function g(k)/T - f(g(k)) of k is also increasing. For clarity, we define a total recursive function $m: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by m(k) = f(g(k)) + c. Since m is injective, it is then easy to see that there exists a partial recursive function $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Phi(m(k)) = g(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, based on the optimality of U, it is shown that $H(g(k), Z_V(T)|_{m(k)}) \leq H(Z_V(T)|_{m(k)}) + O(1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (15) that $g(k) < H(Z_V(T)|_{m(k)}) + O(1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since T is a computable real with 0 < T < 1, it follows from Theorem 5.5 that $H(Z_V(T)|_{n}) \leq Tn + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore we have g(k) < Tf(g(k)) + O(1) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, this contradicts the fact that the function g(k)/T - f(g(k)) of k is unbounded, and the proof is completed.

On the other hand, the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 6.2 follows immediately from Theorem 6.5 below and Proposition 3.1 (ii).

Theorem 6.5. Suppose that T is a computable real with $0 < T \le 1$. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine, and let F be a prefix-free machine. Then dom F is reducible to $Pf(Z_V(T))$ in query size $\lceil n/T \rceil + O(1)$.

Proof. In the case where $\operatorname{dom} F$ is a finite set, the result is obvious. Thus, in what follows, we assume that $\operatorname{dom} F$ is an infinite set.

Let $p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$ be a particular recursive enumeration of dom F, and let G be a prefix-free machine such that dom G = dom F and $G(p_i) = i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall here that we identify $\{0, 1\}^*$ with \mathbb{N} . It is also easy to see that such a prefix-free machine G exists. Since V is an optimal prefix-free machine, from the definition of optimality of a prefix-free machine there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $q \in \{0, 1\}^*$ for which V(q) = i and $|q| \leq |p_i| + Td$. Thus, $H_V(i) \leq |p_i| + Td$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we define $Z_V(T; s)$ as $\sum_{V(p)=s} 2^{-|p|/T}$. Then, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Z_V(T;i) \ge 2^{-H_V(i)/T} \ge 2^{-|p_i|/T-d}.$$
 (16)

Then, by the following procedure, we see that dom F is reducible to $Pf(Z_V(T))$ in query size $\lceil n/T \rceil + d$.

Given $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, one first calculates $Z_V(T) \upharpoonright_{\lceil n/T \rceil + d}$ by putting the queries t to the oracle $\operatorname{Pf}(Z_V(T))$ for all $t \in \{0,1\}^{\lceil n/T \rceil + d}$, where n = |s|. Note here that all the queries are of length $\lceil n/T \rceil + d$. One then find $k_e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k_e} Z_V(T;i) > 0.(Z_V(T)) \upharpoonright_{\lceil n/T \rceil + d}$. This is possible because $0.(Z_V(T)) \upharpoonright_{\lceil n/T \rceil + d} > Z_V(T)$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^k Z_V(T;i) = Z_V(T)$, and T is a computable real. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=k_e+1}^{\infty} Z_V(T;i) = Z_V(T) - \sum_{i=0}^{k_e} Z_V(T;i) < Z_V(T) - 0.(Z_V(T) \upharpoonright_{\lceil n/T \rceil + d})$$

$$< 2^{-\lceil n/T \rceil - d} \le 2^{-n/T - d}.$$

Therefore, by (16),

$$\sum_{i=k_e+1}^{\infty} 2^{-|p_i|/T} \le 2^d \sum_{i=k_e+1}^{\infty} Z_V(T;i) < 2^{-n/T}.$$

It follows that, for every $i > k_e$, $2^{-|p_i|/T} < 2^{-n/T}$ and therefore $n < |p_i|$. Hence, dom $F \upharpoonright_n = \{ p_i \mid i \le k_e \& |p_i| \le n \}$. Thus, one can calculate the finite set dom $F \upharpoonright_n$. Finally, one accepts if $s \in \text{dom } F \upharpoonright_n$ and rejects otherwise.

7 Concluding Remarks

Suppose that T is a computable real with $0 < T \le 1$. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine. It is worthwhile to clarify the origin of the difference of the behavior of $Z_V(T)$ between T=1 and T<1 with respect to the notion of reducibility in query size f. In the case of T=1, the Ample Excess Lemma [15] (i.e., Theorem 4.9) plays a major role in establishing the unidirectionality of the computation from Ω_V to dom V. However, in the case of T<1, this is not true because the weak Chaitin T-randomness of a real α does not necessarily imply that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{Tn-H(\alpha \upharpoonright_n)} < \infty$ [18].

On the other hand, in the case of T < 1, Lemma 5.3 (i) plays a major role in establishing the bidirectionality of the computations between $Z_V(T)$ and dom V. However, this does not hold for the case of T = 1.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by KAKENHI (20540134) and KAKENHI (23340020), by CREST from Japan Science and Technology Agency, and by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry of Japan.

References

- [1] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Singapore, 1985.
- [2] C. S. Calude, *Information and Randomness*, 2nd ed., *Revised and Extended*. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [3] C. S. Calude, P. H. Hertling, B. Khoussainov, and Y. Wang, "Recursively enumerable reals and Chaitin Ω numbers," *Theoret. Comput. Sci*, vol. 255, pp. 125–149, 2001.
- [4] C. S. Calude, N. J. Hay, and F. C. Stephan, "Representation of left-computable ε -random reals," J. Comput. Syst. Sci., vol. 77, pp. 812–819, 2011.
- [5] C. S. Calude and A. Nies, "Chaitin Ω numbers and strong reducibilities," *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 1162–1166, 1997.
- [6] C. S. Calude, L. Staiger, and S. A. Terwijn, "On partial randomness," *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 138, pp. 20–30, 2006.
- [7] C. S. Calude and M. A. Stay, "Natural halting probabilities, partial randomness, and zeta functions," *Inform. and Comput.*, vol. 204, pp. 1718–1739, 2006.
- [8] G. J. Chaitin, "A theory of program size formally identical to information theory," J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., vol. 22, pp. 329–340, 1975.
- [9] G. J. Chaitin, Algorithmic Information Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [10] G. J. Chaitin, "Program-size complexity computes the halting problem," Bulletin of the European Association for Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 57, p. 198, October 1995.
- [11] R. G. Downey and D. R. Hirschfeldt, *Algorithmic Randomness and Complexity*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
- [12] P. Gács, "On the symmetry of algorithmic information," Soviet Math. Dokl., vol. 15, pp. 1477–1480, 1974; correction, ibid. vol. 15, pp. 1480, 1974.
- [13] A. Kučera and T. A. Slaman, "Randomness and recursive enumerability," SIAM J. Comput., vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 199–211, 2001.

- [14] L. A. Levin, "Laws of information conservation (non-growth) and aspects of the foundations of probability theory," *Problems of Inform. Transmission*, vol. 10, pp. 206–210, 1974.
- [15] J. Miller and L. Yu, "On initial segment complexity and degrees of randomness," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 360, pp. 3193–3210, 2008.
- [16] A. Nies, Computability and Randomness. Oxford University Press, Inc., New York, 2009.
- [17] P. G. Odifreddi, Classical Recursion Theory. Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 1989.
- [18] J. Reimann and F. Stephan, On hierarchies of randomness tests. Proceedings of the 9th Asian Logic Conference, World Scientific Publishing, August 16-19, 2005, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- [19] D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics*, *Rigorous Results*, 3rd ed. Imperial College Press and World Scientific Publishing Co., Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 1999.
- [20] R. M. Solovay, "Draft of a paper (or series of papers) on Chaitin's work ... done for the most part during the period of Sept.—Dec. 1974," unpublished manuscript, IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York, May 1975, 215 pp.
- [21] K. Tadaki, "A generalization of Chaitin's halting probability Ω and halting self-similar sets," *Hokkaido Math. J.*, vol. 31, pp. 219–253, February 2002.
- [22] K. Tadaki, A statistical mechanical interpretation of instantaneous codes. Proceedings of 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT2007), pp.1906–1910, June 24-29, 2007, Nice, France.
- [23] K. Tadaki, A statistical mechanical interpretation of algorithmic information theory. Local Proceedings of Computability in Europe 2008 (CiE 2008), pp.425–434, June 15-20, 2008, University of Athens, Greece. Electronic Version Available: http://www.cs.swan.ac.uk/cie08/cie2008-local.pdf An Extended Version also Available at arXiv:0801.4194
- [24] K. Tadaki, Fixed point theorems on partial randomness. Proceedings of the Symposium on Logical Foundations of Computer Science 2009 (LFCS'09), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, Vol.5407, pp.422–440, 2009.
- [25] K. Tadaki, Chaitin Ω numbers and halting problems. Proceedings of Computability in Europe 2009 (CiE 2009), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, Vol.5635, pp.447–456, 2009.
- [26] K. Tadaki, Partial randomness and dimension of recursively enumerable reals. Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2009), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, Vol.5734, pp.687–699, 2009. An Earlier Full Version Available at arXiv:0805.2691
- [27] K. Tadaki, "A statistical mechanical interpretation of algorithmic information theory III: Composite systems and fixed points," To appear in *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*.

- [28] K. Tadaki, "A statistical mechanical interpretation of algorithmic information theory: Total statistical mechanical interpretation based on physical argument," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series (JPCS)*, vol. 201, 012006 (10pp), 2010.
- [29] M. Toda, R. Kubo, and N. Saitô, Statistical Physics I. Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

A The proof of Theorem 2.1

We here prove Theorem 2.1. For that purpose, we need Lemma A.1 below. Let V be an optimal prefix-free machine, and let M be a deterministic Turing machine which computes V throughout this Appendix A.

Lemma A.1. There exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $p \in \text{dom } V$, there exists $q \in \text{dom } V$ for which $|q| \leq |p| + d$ and $T_M(q) > T_M(p)$.

Proof. Consider the prefix-free machine F such that (i) $\operatorname{dom} F = \operatorname{dom} V$ and (ii) for every $p \in \operatorname{dom} V$, $F(p) = 1^{2|p|+T_M(p)+1}$. It is easy to see that such a prefix-free machine F exists. Then, since V is an optimal prefix-free machine, from the definition of an optimal prefix-free machine there exists $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property; if $p \in \operatorname{dom} F$, then there is q for which V(q) = F(p) and $|q| \leq |p| + d_1$.

Thus, for each $p \in \text{dom } V$ with $|p| \ge d_1$, there is q for which V(q) = F(p) and $|q| \le |p| + d_1$. It follows that

$$|V(q)| = 2|p| + T_M(p) + 1 > |p| + d_1 + T_M(p) \ge |q| + T_M(p).$$
(17)

Note that exactly |q| cells on the tapes of M have the symbols 0 or 1 in the initial configuration of M with the input q, while at least |V(q)| cells on the tape of M, on which the output is put, have the symbols 0 or 1 in the resulting final configuration of M. Since M can write at most one 0 or 1 on the tape, on which an output is put, every one step of its computation, the running time $T_M(q)$ of M on the input q is bounded to the below by the difference |V(q)| - |q|. Thus, by (17), we have $T_M(q) > T_M(p)$.

On the other hand, since dom V is not a recursive set, the function T_n^M of $n \geq L_M$ is not bounded to the above. Therefore, there exists $r_0 \in \text{dom } V$ such that, for every $p \in \text{dom } F$ with $|p| < d_1, T_M(r_0) > T_M(p)$. By setting $d_2 = |r_0|$ we then see that, for every $p \in \text{dom } F$ with $|p| < d_1$, $|r_0| \leq |p| + d_2$.

Thus, by setting $d = \max\{d_1, d_2\}$ we see that, for every $p \in \text{dom } V$, there is $q \in \text{dom } V$ for which $|q| \leq |p| + d$ and $T_M(q) > T_M(p)$. This completes the proof.

Then the proof of Theorem 2.1 is given as follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By considering the following procedure, we first show that $n \leq H(n,p) + O(1)$ for all (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$.

Given (n,p) with $n \ge L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$, one first calculates the finite set dom $V \upharpoonright_n$ by simulating the computation of M with the input q until at most $T_M(p)$ steps, for each $q \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $|q| \le n$. Then, by calculating the set $\{V(q) \mid q \in \text{dom } V \upharpoonright_n\}$ and picking any one finite binary string s which is not in this set, one can obtain $s \in \{0,1\}^*$ such that $n < H_V(s)$.

Hence, there exists a partial recursive function $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N}^+ \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for all (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$, $n < H_V(\Psi(n,p))$. It follows from the optimality of V and U that n < H(n,p) + O(1) for all (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$.

We next show that $H(n,p) \leq H(p) + O(1)$ for all (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$. From Lemma A.1 we first note that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $p \in \text{dom } V$, there exists $q \in \text{dom } V$ for which $|q| \leq |p| + d$ and $T_M(q) > T_M(p)$. Then, for each (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$, $|p| \leq n$ due to the definition of I_M^n , and also there exists $q \in \text{dom } V$ for which $|q| \leq |p| + d$ and $T_M(q) > T_M(p)$. Note here that $T_M(q) > T_M^n$ due to the definition of I_M^n again, and therefore |q| > n due to the definition of T_M^n . Thus $|p| \leq n < |p| + d$ and $d \geq 1$. Hence, given p such that $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$, one needs only $\lceil \log_2 d \rceil$ bits more to determine n, since there are still only d possibilities of n, given such a string p.

Thus, there exists a partial recursive function $\Phi \colon \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{N}^+ \times \{0,1\}^*$ such that, for every (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$, there exists $s \in \{0,1\}^*$ with the properties that $|s| = \lceil \log_2 d \rceil$ and $\Phi(p,s) = (n,p)$. It follows that $H(n,p) \leq H(p) + \max\{H(s) \mid s \in \{0,1\}^* \& |s| = \lceil \log_2 d \rceil\} + O(1)$ for all (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$.

Finally, we show that $H(p) \leq n + O(1)$ for all (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$. Let us consider the prefix-free machine F such that (i) dom F = dom V and (ii) for every $p \in \text{dom } V$, F(p) = p. Obviously, such a prefix-free machine F exists. Then we see that, for every $p \in \text{dom } V$, $H(p) \leq |p| + O(1)$. For each (n,p) with $n \geq L_M$ and $p \in I_M^n$, it follows from the definition of I_M^n that $p \in \text{dom } V$ and $|p| \leq n$, and therefore we have $H(p) \leq |p| + O(1) \leq n + O(1)$. This completes the proof.

B The proof of Lemma 5.3

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let T be a real with T > 0, and let V be an optimal prefix-free machine.

(i) Chaitin [8] showed that

$$H(s,t) = H(s) + H(t/s) + O(1)$$
 (18)

for all $s, t \in \{0, 1\}^*$. This is Theorem 3.9. (a) in [8]. For the definition of H(s/t), see Section 2 of Chaitin [8]. We here only use the property that, for every $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that

$$H(t/s) \ge n. \tag{19}$$

This is easily shown from the definition of H(t/s) by counting the number of binary strings of length less than n.

On the other hand, it is easy to show that

$$H(st, |t|) = H(s, t) + O(1)$$
 (20)

for all $s, t \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Since $H(st) + H(|t|) \ge H(st, |t|) - O(1)$ for all $s, t \in \{0, 1\}^*$, it follows from (20), (18), and (19) that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t \in \{0, 1\}^n$ for which $H(st) \ge H(s) + n - H(n) - d$. Using the optimality of U and V, we then see that there exists $d' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t \in \{0, 1\}^n$ for which

$$H_V(st) \ge H_V(s) + n - H(n) - d'.$$
 (21)

Now, suppose that T < 1. It follows from the optimality of U that $H(n) \le 2\log_2 n + O(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Therefore there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $(1 - T)c - H(c) - d' \ge 0$. Hence, by (21) we see that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, there exists $t \in \{0,1\}^c$ for which $H_V(st) \ge H_V(s) + Tc$.

(ii) Since V is optimal, it is easy to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$H_V(s0^n) \le H_V(s) + H(n) + d,$$

 $H_V(s1^n) \le H_V(s) + H(n) + d.$ (22)

Since T > 0, it follows from the optimality of U that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $H(c) + d \leq Tc - 1$. Hence, by (22) we see that there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that, for every $s \in \{0,1\}^*$, $H_V(s0^c) \leq H_V(s) + Tc - 1$ and $H_V(s1^c) \leq H_V(s) + Tc - 1$.