

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Alfred Kobsa

University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Oscar Nierstrasz

University of Bern, Switzerland

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Germany

Madhu Sudan

Microsoft Research, Cambridge, MA, USA

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum

Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbruecken, Germany

Youssef Hamadi Marc Schoenauer (Eds.)

Learning and Intelligent Optimization

6th International Conference, LION 6
Paris, France, January 16-20, 2012
Revised Selected Papers

Volume Editors

Youssef Hamadi
Microsoft Research
Cambridge CB3 0FB, UK
E-mail: youssefh@microsoft.com

Marc Schoenauer
INRIA Saclay, Université Paris Sud
91405 Orsay Cedex, France
E-mail: marc.schoenauer@inria.fr

ISSN 0302-9743
ISBN 978-3-642-34412-1
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-34413-8

e-ISSN 1611-3349
e-ISBN 978-3-642-34413-8

Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012949747

CR Subject Classification (1998): F.2.2, I.2.8, G.1.6, F.1.1, G.2.2, J.3

LNCS Sublibrary: SL 1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting: Camera-ready by author, data conversion by Scientific Publishing Services, Chennai, India

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

This LION conference (Learning and Intelligent OptimizationN) was the sixth in a series of conferences that target the interface between optimization and machine learning, and the ever increasing success of these events bears witness to the growing interest of the scientific community in this research area today, as confirmed by the 109 submissions from 39 different countries that we received for this year's event. We would like to thank all of the authors for submitting some of their best work to LION 6.

Of the 109 submissions, there were 78 long papers and 21 short papers presenting original work, and 10 papers presenting work that had already been published. Due to this very high pressure, and the single-track format of the conference, we chose to give room to original works rather than works already published, regardless of the quality of the papers.

Out of these 99 original submissions, 24 papers were accepted as long papers (hence an acceptance rate of 31%), and 30 papers were accepted as short papers (19 that had been submitted as long papers, and 5 that had been submitted as short papers). All long papers were assigned to 3 independent reviewers, and all papers received at least 2 reviews. Note that the papers submitted to the special sessions were assigned by the special session chairs, except the ones that were authored by some of the session chairs. These were handled by the conference chairs, to ensure the anonymity of the reviewers (similarly, papers authored by one of the conference co-chairs were handled by the other co-chair and one member of the steering committee, unknown by the authors). We wish to heartily thank here all the reviewers (not anonymous any more, see next pages) for their hard and timely work, emphasizing the importance of such peer review, the best (if not only) way we know today to make a review process as fair as possible.

Because LION is a unique occasion for people from different research communities, the conference was single track (no parallel sessions) and the program left room for interaction among attendees with long coffee breaks. For the same reason, though the presentations of long papers (resp. short papers) were scheduled with 25minute (resp. 15minute) slots, the presentations themselves were not allowed more than 20minutes (resp. 12minutes), allowing time for questions and discussions. We want to thank here the session chairs, who were very strict on respecting these constraints, and thus made sure that the conference ran smoothly.

The final program of the conference also included 3 invited speakers, who presented forefront research results and frontiers, and 3 tutorial talks, which were crucial in bringing together the different components of the LION community. We wish to thank all these speakers who focused on different aspects of LION themes, and thus contributed to a better view and understanding of intelligent optimization at large.

Beside the authors, the reviewers, and the invited speakers, there are other people who made this event possible whom we wish to thank: Pierre-Louis Xech (Microsoft France), for arranging the venue at Microsoft France Technology Center, and smoothing out many small details that would otherwise have become incredibly time-consuming; Pietro Zaroni (Reactive Search Inc.), for setting up and diligently maintaining the conference Web site; Mireille Moulin (INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, Finance Department), for taking care of all the financial details with efficiency and flexibility; Esther Slamitz (INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, Events Department), for looking up and planning all local arrangements; Emmanuelle Perrot (INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, Communication Department), for providing many goodies, ...including the printing of the conference booklet; Chantal Girodon (INRIA Rocquencourt, Conferences & Seminars Office), for managing the registration system; and last but not least, Marie-Carol Lopes, for her tremendous help in gathering and formatting the material for these proceedings.

Finally, we would like to thank our sponsors, Microsoft Research, Microsoft France, and INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, for their financial support, which helped to keep the registration fees reasonable.

January 2012

Youssef Hamadi
Marc Schoenauer

Organization

LION Steering Committee

Roberto Battiti (Chair)	Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy
Christian Blum	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Mauro Brunato	Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy
Martin Charles Golumbic	University of Haifa, Israel
Holger Hoos	University of British Columbia, Canada
Thomas Stützle	Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Benjamin W. Wah	The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China
Xin Yao	University of Birmingham, UK

Scientific Liaison with Springer

Thomas Stützle	Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
----------------	--

Web Chair

Pietro Zanon	Reactive Search SrL, Italy
--------------	----------------------------

Program Committee

Patrick Albert	IBM France
Ethem Alpaydin	Bogazici University, Turkey
Carlos Ansótegui	Universitat de Lleida, Spain
Josep Argelich	Universitat de Lleida, Spain
Anne Auger	INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, France
Ender Özcan	University of Nottingham, UK
Roberto Battiti	University of Trento, Italy
Mauro Birattari	Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Christian Blum	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Lucas Bordeaux	Microsoft Research Cambridge, UK
Peter Bosman	CWI, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Jürgen Branke	University of Warwick, UK
Dimo Brockhoff	INRIA Lille Nord-Europe, France
Mauro Brunato	Università di Trento, Italy
Alba Cabiscol	Universitat de Lleida, Spain
Philippe Codognet	Japanese-French Laboratory for Informatics, and Université Paris 6, France
Carlos Coello Coello	CINVESTAV, Mexico

Pierre Collet	Université de Strasbourg, France
Carlos Cotta	Universidad de Malaga, Spain
Peter Demeester	KaHo Sint-Lieven, Belgium
Clarisse Dhaenens	University of Lille 1 and INRIA Lille Nord-Europe, France
Luca Di Gaspero	DIEGM - Università degli Studi di Udine, Italy
Karl F. Doerner	Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria
Andries Engelbrecht	University of Pretoria, South Africa
Antonio J. Fernandez Leiva	Universidad de Málaga, Spain
Álvaro Fialho	Nokia Institute of Technology, Brazil
Valerio Freschi	University of Urbino, Italy
Cyril Furtlehner	INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, France
Ruben Ruiz Garcia	Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain
Walter J. Gutjahr	University of Vienna, Austria
Nikolaus Hansen	INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, France
Jin-Kao Hao	University of Angers, France
Geir Hasle	SINTEF Applied Mathematics, Oslo, Norway
Federico Heras	University College Dublin, Ireland
Francisco Herrera	University of Granada, Spain
Tomio Hirata	Nagoya University, Japan
Holger Hoos	University of British Columbia, Canada
Frank Hutter	University of British Columbia, Canada
Matthew Hyde	University of Nottingham, UK
Mark Jelasity	University of Szeged, Hungary
Yaochu Jin	University of Surrey, UK
Laetitia Jourdan	LIFL and INRIA Lille Nord-Europe, France
Narendra Jussien	Ecole des Mines de Nantes, France
Zeynep Kiziltan	University of Bologna, Italy
Jiri Kubalik	Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic
Arnaud Lallouet	Université de Caen, France
Frédéric Lardeux	Université d'Angers, France
Manuel López-Ibáñez	IRIDIA, CoDE, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Jordi Levy	IIIA-CSIC, Spain
Chu-Min Li	Université de Picardie Jules Verne, France
Arnaud Liefoghe	Université Lille 1, France
Vittorio Maniezzo	University of Bologna, Italy
Felip Manyà	IIIA-CSIC, Spain
Ruben Martins	INESC-ID Lisboa, Portugal
Bernd Meyer	Monash University, Australia
Zbigniew Michalewicz	University of Adelaide, Australia
Nicolas Monmarché	Université de Tours, France
Nysret Musliu	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Amir Nakib	University of Paris-Est Créteil, France

Gabriela Ochoa	University of Nottingham, UK
Djamila Ouelhadj	University of Portsmouth, UK
Gisele Pappa	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil
Panos M. Pardalos	University of Florida, USA
Marcello Pelillo	University of Venice, Italy
Vincenzo Piuri	Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy
Jordi Planes	Universitat de Lleida, Spain
Günther R. Raidl	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Celso C. Ribeiro	Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil
Florian Richoux	CNRS / University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrea Roli	Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Italy
Wheeler Ruml	University of New Hampshire, USA
Thomas Runarsson	University of Iceland, Iceland
Ilya Safro	Argonne National Laboratory, USA
Lakhdar Sais	Université de Lens, France
Horst Samulowitz	IBM Research, USA
Frédéric Saubion	Université d'Angers, France
Pierre Savéant	Thalès Research & Technology, France
Andrea Schaerf	University of Udine, Italy
Michèle Sebag	CNRS - LRI, Université Paris-Sud, France
Yaroslav D. Sergeyev	Università della Calabria, Italy
Patrick Siarry	Université Paris-Est Creteil, France
Thomas Stützle	Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Ke Tang	University of Science and Technology of China, China
Olivier Teytaud	INRIA Saclay Île-de-France, France
Dirk Thierens	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
Jose Torres Jimenez	CINVESTAV, Mexico
Tamara Ulrich	ETH Zurich, Switzerland
Greet Vanden-Berghe	CODeS - KAHO Sint-Lieven, Belgium
Sébastien Vérel	Université de Nice, France
Stefan Voss	University of Hamburg, Germany
Toby Walsh	NICTA and UNSW, Australia
David L. Woodruff	University of California, Davis, USA
Shin Yoo	University College London, UK
Zhu Zhu	Université de Picardie, France

Invited Talks

Optimization problems and algorithms for the high-level control of dynamic systems

Gérard Verfaillie
ONERA, France



Abstract: The high-level control of dynamic systems, such as aircraft, airports, air traffic, or spacecraft, consists in deciding at each control step on which action(s) to be performed as a function of current observations and objectives. Successive decisions must entail that the dynamics of the controlled system satisfies user objectives as best as possible. To do so, a usual approach, inspired from the Model Predictive Approach in Automatic Control consists at each control step in (i) collecting current observations and objectives (ii) solving a deterministic planning problem over a given horizon ahead, (iii) extracting the first action from the best plan produced, (iv) applying it, and (v) considering the next step. From the optimization point of view, this implies to be able to solve quickly many successive similar planning problems over a sliding horizon, maybe not in an optimal way. I will try to present and illustrate this approach and to explain the potential impact of learning techniques.

Short bio:

Graduated from école Polytechnique (Paris) in 1971 and from SUPAéRO (French national engineering school in aeronautics and space, Computer science specialization, Toulouse) in 1985, Gérard Verfaillie is now Research supervisor at ONERA (The French Aerospace Lab). His research activity is related to models, methods, and tools for combinatorial optimization and constrained optimization, especially for planning and decision-making.

Autonomous Search

Frédéric Saubion
Université d'Angers, France



Abstract: Decades of innovations in combinatorial problem solving have produced better and more complex algorithms. These new methods are better since they can solve larger problems and address new application domains. They are also more complex, which means that they are hard to reproduce and often harder to fine tune to the peculiarities of a given problem. This last point has created a paradox where efficient tools became out of reach for practitioners. Autonomous search represents a new research field defined to precisely address the above challenge. Its major strength and originality consist in the fact that problem solvers can now perform self-improvement operations based on analysis

of the performances of the solving process – including short-term reactive reconfiguration and long-term improvement through self-analysis of the performance, offline tuning and online control, and adaptive control and supervised control. Autonomous search “crosses the chasm” and provides engineers and practitioners with systems that are able to autonomously self-tune their performance while effectively solving problems. In this talk, we review existing works and we attempt to classify the different paradigms that have been proposed during past years to build more autonomous solvers. We also draw some perspectives and futures directions.

Short bio: Frédéric Saubion coheads the Metaheuristics, Optimization and Applications team at the Université d’Angers (France); his research topics include hybrid and adaptive evolutionary algorithms and applications of metaheuristics to various domains such as information retrieval, nonmonotonic reasoning and biology. www.info.univ-angers.fr/pub/saubion

Surrogate-Assisted Evolutionary Optimisation: Past, Present and Future

Yaochu Jin

Nature-Inspired Computing and Engineering Group, Department
of Computing,
University of Surrey, UK



Abstract: Surrogate-assisted (or meta-model based) evolutionary computation uses efficient computational models, often known as surrogates or meta-models, for approximating the fitness function in evolutionary algorithms. Research on surrogate-assisted evolutionary computation began over a decade ago and has received considerably increasing interest in recent years. Very interestingly, surrogate-assisted evolutionary computation has found successful applications not only in solving computationally expensive single- or multi-objective optimization problems, but also in addressing dynamic optimization problems, constrained optimization problems and multi-modal optimization problems. This talk provides an up-to-date overview of the history and recent developments in surrogate-assisted evolutionary computation and suggests a few future trends in this research area.

Short bio: Yaochu Jin received the B.Sc., M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees from Zhejiang University, China, in 1988, 1991, and 1996, respectively, and the Dr.-Ing. Degree from Ruhr University Bochum, Germany, in 2001. He is a Professor of Computational Intelligence and Head of the Nature Inspired Computing and Engineering (NICE) Group, Department of Computing, University of Surrey, UK. He was a Principal Scientist with the Honda Research Institute Europe in Germany. His research interests include understanding evolution, learning and development in biology and bio-inspired approaches to solving engineering problems. He (co)authored over 130 peer-reviewed journal and conference papers. He

is an Associate Editor of BioSystems, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Reviews, IEEE Transactions on Nanobioscience, and IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine. He has delivered over ten Plenary/Keynote speeches at international conferences on multi-objective machine learning, computational modeling of neural development, morphogenetic robotics and evolutionary design optimization. He is the General Chair of the 2012 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Bioinformatics and Computational Biology. He presently chairs the Intelligent System Applications Technical Committee of the IEEE Computational Intelligence Society. Professor Jin is a Fellow of BCS and Senior Member of IEEE.

Tutorial Talks

Addressing Numerical Black-Box Optimization: CMA-ES

Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen
INRIA Saclay Île-de-France



Abstract: Evolution Strategies (ESs) and many continuous domain Estimation of Distribution Algorithms (EDAs) are stochastic optimization procedures that sample a multivariate normal (Gaussian) distribution in the continuous search space, \mathbb{R}^n . Many of them can be formulated in a unified and comparatively simple framework. This introductory tutorial focuses on the most relevant algorithmic question: how should the parameters of the sample distribution be chosen and, in particular, updated in the generation sequence? First, two common approaches for step-size control are reviewed, one-fifth success rule and path length control. Then, Covariance Matrix Adaptation (CMA) is discussed in depth: rank-one update, the evolution path, rank-mu update. Invariance properties and the interpretation as natural gradient descent are touched upon. In the beginning, general difficulties in solving non-linear, non-convex optimization problems in continuous domain are revealed, for example non-separability, ill-conditioning and ruggedness. Algorithmic design aspects are related to these difficulties. In the end, the performance of the CMA-ES is related to other well-known evolutionary and non-evolutionary optimization algorithms, namely BFGS, DE, PSO,...

Short bios: Anne Auger is a permanent researcher at the French National Institute for Research in Computer Science and Control (INRIA). She received her diploma (2001) and PhD (2004) in mathematics from the Paris VI University. Before to join INRIA, she worked for two years (2004–2006) at ETH in Zurich. Her main research interest is stochastic continuous optimization including theoretical aspects and algorithm designs. She is a member of ACM-SIGECO executive committee and of the editorial board of *Evolutionary Computation*. She has been organizing the biannual Dagstuhl seminar “Theory of Evolutionary Algorithms” in 2008 and 2010. Nikolaus Hansen is researcher at The French National Institute for Research in Computer Science and Control (INRIA). He received a Ph.D. in civil engineering in 1998 from the Technical University Berlin under Ingo Rechenberg. Before joining INRIA, he has been working in applied artificial intelligence and in genomics, and he has been researching in evolutionary computation and computational science at the Technical University Berlin and the ETH Zurich. His main research interests are learning and adaptation in evolutionary computation and the development of algorithms applicable in

practice. He has been a main driving force behind the development of CMA-ES over many years.

Intelligent Optimization with Submodular Functions

Andreas Krause

ETH Zurich, Switzerland



Abstract: In recent years, submodularity, a discrete analogue of convexity, has emerged as very useful in a variety of machine learning problems. Similar to convexity, submodularity allows to efficiently find provably (near-) optimal solutions. In this tutorial, I will introduce the notion of submodularity, discuss examples and properties of submodular functions, and review algorithms for submodular optimization. I will also cover recent extensions to the online (no-regret) and adaptive (closed-loop) setting. A particular focus will be on relevant applications such as active learning and optimized information gathering, ranking and algorithm portfolio optimization.

Short bio: Andreas Krause received his Diplom in Computer Science and Mathematics from the Technical University of Munich, Germany (2004) and his Ph.D. in Computer Science from Carnegie Mellon University (2008). He joined the California Institute of Technology as an assistant professor of computer science in 2009, and is currently assistant professor in the Department of Computer Science at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich. His research is in adaptive systems that actively acquire information, reason and make decisions in large, distributed and uncertain domains, such as sensor networks and the Web. Dr. Krause is a 2010 Kavli Frontiers Fellow, and received an NSF CAREER award, the Okawa Foundation Research Grant recognizing top young researchers in telecommunications, as well as awards at several premier conferences (AAAI, KDD, IPSN, ICML, UAI) and the ASCE Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management.

Symmetry in Mathematical Programming

Leo Liberti

Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France



Abstract: This tutorial will introduce some basic concepts about group theory and how it applies to mathematical programming. We shall give an overview of the main existing research streams on this subjects, and then discuss the latest developments. We shall show how to put together existing computational tools (GAP, AMPL, CPLEX, Couenne, Rose, kept together using shell scripts) in order to automatically detect and exploit symmetry in a given mathematical programming instance.

Short bio: Leo Liberti received his PhD in 2004 from Imperial College, London. He then obtained a postdoctoral fellowship at Politecnico di Milano, and has been at LIX, Ecole Polytechnique ever since 2006, where he is an associate professor. He co-founded (and currently heads) the System Modelling and Optimization (SYSMO) team, he is co-director of the Optimization and Sustainable Development (OSD) Microsoft-CNRS sponsored chair, and is vice-president of the Computer Science department. He is Editor-in-Chief of 4OR, and holds associate editorships with several international journals (DAM, JOGO, ITOR, EURJCO, CMS). He has published more than 100 papers on mathematical programming and optimization techniques and applications.

Table of Contents

Long Papers

Iterative-Deepening Search with On-Line Tree Size Prediction	1
<i>Ethan Burns and Wheeler Ruml</i>	
A Learning Optimization Algorithm in Graph Theory: Versatile Search for Extremal Graphs Using a Learning Algorithm	16
<i>Gilles Caporossi and Pierre Hansen</i>	
A Math-Heuristic Dantzig-Wolfe Algorithm for the Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem	31
<i>Marco Caserta and Stefan Voß</i>	
Application of the Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation Algorithm to the Traveling Salesman Problem with Time Windows	42
<i>Tristan Cazenave and Fabien Teytaud</i>	
Parallel Algorithm Configuration	55
<i>Frank Hutter, Holger H. Hoos, and Kevin Leyton-Brown</i>	
Community Detection in Social and Biological Networks Using Differential Evolution	71
<i>Guanbo Jia, Zixing Cai, Mirco Musolesi, Yong Wang, Dan A. Tennant, Ralf J.M. Weber, John K. Heath, and Shan He</i>	
A Study on Large Population MOEA Using Adaptive ε -Box Dominance and Neighborhood Recombination for Many-Objective Optimization . . .	86
<i>Naoya Kowatari, Akira Oyama, Hernán E. Aguirre, and Kiyoshi Tanaka</i>	
A Non-adaptive Stochastic Local Search Algorithm for the CHeSC 2011 Competition	101
<i>Franco Mascia and Thomas Stützle</i>	
Local Search and the Traveling Salesman Problem: A Feature-Based Characterization of Problem Hardness	115
<i>Olaf Mersmann, Bernd Bischl, Jakob Bossek, Heike Trautmann, Markus Wagner, and Frank Neumann</i>	
Evaluating Tree-Decomposition Based Algorithms for Answer Set Programming	130
<i>Michael Morak, Nysret Musliu, Reinhard Pichler, Stefan Rümmele, and Stefan Woltran</i>	

High-Dimensional Model-Based Optimization Based on Noisy Evaluations of Computer Games	145
<i>Mike Preuss, Tobias Wagner, and David Ginsbourger</i>	
Pilot, Rollout and Monte Carlo Tree Search Methods for Job Shop Scheduling	160
<i>Thomas Philip Runarsson, Marc Schoenauer, and Michèle Sebag</i>	
Minimizing Time When Applying Bootstrap to Contingency Tables Analysis of Genome-Wide Data	175
<i>Francesco Sambo and Barbara Di Camillo</i>	
Quantifying Homogeneity of Instance Sets for Algorithm Configuration	190
<i>Marius Schneider and Holger H. Hoos</i>	
Automatically Configuring Algorithms for Scaling Performance	205
<i>James Styles, Holger H. Hoos, and Martin Müller</i>	
Upper Confidence Tree-Based Consistent Reactive Planning Application to MineSweeper	220
<i>Michèle Sebag and Olivier Teytaud</i>	
Bounding the Effectiveness of Hypervolume-Based $(\mu + \lambda)$ -Archiving Algorithms	235
<i>Tamara Ulrich and Lothar Thiele</i>	
Optimization by ℓ_1 -Constrained Markov Fitness Modelling	250
<i>Gabriele Valentini, Luigi Malagò, and Matteo Matteucci</i>	
Vehicle Routing and Adaptive Iterated Local Search within the <i>HyFlex</i> Hyper-heuristic Framework	265
<i>James D. Walker, Gabriela Ochoa, Michel Gendreau, and Edmund K. Burke</i>	
Quasi-elementary Landscapes and Superpositions of Elementary Landscapes	277
<i>Darrell Whitley and Francisco Chicano</i>	
Fast Permutation Learning	292
<i>Tony Wauters, Katja Verbeeck, Patrick De Causmaecker, and Greet Vanden Berghe</i>	
Parameter-Optimized Simulated Annealing for Application Mapping on Networks-on-Chip	307
<i>Bo Yang, Liang Guang, Tero Särntti, and Juha Plosila</i>	
Learning Algorithm Portfolios for Parallel Execution	323
<i>Xi Yun and Susan L. Epstein</i>	

Short Papers

Bayesian Optimization Using Sequential Monte Carlo	339
<i>Romain Benassi, Julien Bect, and Emmanuel Vazquez</i>	
Influence of the Migration Period in Parallel Distributed GAs for Dynamic Optimization	343
<i>Yesnier Bravo, Gabriel Luque, and Enrique Alba</i>	
A Hyper-Heuristic Inspired by Pearl Hunting	349
<i>C.Y. Chan, Fan Xue, W.H. Ip, and C.F. Cheung</i>	
Five Phase and Genetic Hive Hyper-Heuristics for the Cross-Domain Search	354
<i>Tomasz Cichowicz, Maciej Drozdowski, Michał Frankiewicz, Grzegorz Pawlak, Filip Rytwiński, and Jacek Wasilewski</i>	
Implicit Model Selection Based on Variable Transformations in Estimation of Distribution	360
<i>Emanuele Corsano, Davide Cucci, Luigi Malagò, and Matteo Matteucci</i>	
Improving the Exploration in Upper Confidence Trees	366
<i>Adrien Couëtoux, Hassen Doghmen, and Olivier Teytaud</i>	
Parallel GPU Implementation of Iterated Local Search for the Travelling Salesman Problem	372
<i>Audrey Delévacq, Pierre Delisle, and Michaël Krajecki</i>	
Constraint-Based Local Search for the Costas Array Problem	378
<i>Daniel Diaz, Florian Richoux, Philippe Codognet, Yves Caniou, and Salvador Abreu</i>	
Evaluation of a Family of Reinforcement Learning Cross-Domain Optimization Heuristics	384
<i>Luca Di Gaspero and Tommaso Urli</i>	
Autonomous Local Search Algorithms with Island Representation	390
<i>Adrien Goëffon and Frédéric Lardeux</i>	
An Approach to Instantly Use Single-Objective Results for Multi-objective Evolutionary Combinatorial Optimization	396
<i>Christian Grimme and Joachim Lepping</i>	
Lower Bounds and Upper Bounds for MaxSAT	402
<i>Federico Heras, Antonio Morgado, and Joao Marques-Silva</i>	
Determining the Characteristic of Difficult Job Shop Scheduling Instances for a Heuristic Solution Method	408
<i>Helga Ingimundardottir and Thomas Philip Runarsson</i>	

Expected Improvements for the Asynchronous Parallel Global Optimization of Expensive Functions: Potentials and Challenges	413
<i>Janis Janusevskis, Rodolphe Le Riche, David Ginsbourger, and Ramunas Girdziusas</i>	
Effect of SMS-EMOA Parameterizations on Hypervolume Decreases	419
<i>Leonard Judt, Olaf Mersmann, and Boris Naujoks</i>	
Effects of Speciation on Evolution of Neural Networks in Highly Dynamic Environments	425
<i>Peter Krčáh</i>	
Natural Max-SAT Encoding of Min-SAT	431
<i>Adrian Kügel</i>	
A New Hyperheuristic Algorithm for Cross-Domain Search Problems . . .	437
<i>Andreas Lehrbaum and Nysret Musliu</i>	
Brain Cine-MRI Sequences Registration Using B-Spline Free-Form Deformations and MLSDO Dynamic Optimization Algorithm	443
<i>Julien Lepagnot, Amir Nakib, Hamouche Oulhadj, and Patrick Siarry</i>	
Global Optimization for Algebraic Geometry – Computing Runge–Kutta Methods	449
<i>Ivan Martino and Giuseppe Nicosia</i>	
Clause Sharing in Parallel MaxSAT	455
<i>Ruben Martins, Vasco Manquinho, and Inês Lynce</i>	
An Intelligent Hyper-Heuristic Framework for CHeSC 2011	461
<i>Mustafa Mısıır, Katja Verbeeck, Patrick De Causmaecker, and Greet Vanden Berghe</i>	
An Efficient Meta-heuristic Based on Self-control Dominance Concept for a Bi-objective Re-entrant Scheduling Problem with Outsourcing	467
<i>Atefeh Moghaddam, Farouk Yalaoui, and Lionel Amodeo</i>	
A Tree Search Approach to Sparse Coding	472
<i>Rui Rei, João P. Pedroso, Hideitsu Hino, and Noboru Murata</i>	
Adaptive Control of the Number of Crossed Genes in Many-Objective Evolutionary Optimization	478
<i>Hiroyuki Sato, Carlos A. Coello Coello, Hernán E. Aguirre, and Kiyoshi Tanaka</i>	
Counter Implication Restart for Parallel SAT Solvers	485
<i>Tomohiro Sonobe and Mary Inaba</i>	
Learning the Neighborhood with the Linkage Tree Genetic Algorithm . . .	491
<i>Dirk Thierens and Peter A.N. Bosman</i>	

A Comparison of Operator Utility Measures for On-Line Operator Selection in Local Search	497
<i>Nadarajen Veerapen, Jorge Maturana, and Frédéric Saubion</i>	
Monte Carlo Methods for Preference Learning	503
<i>Paolo Viappiani</i>	
Hybridizing Reactive Tabu Search with Simulated Annealing	509
<i>Stefan Voß and Andreas Fink</i>	
Author Index	513