Texts in Theoretical Computer Science An EATCS Series

Editors: W. Brauer G. Rozenberg A. Salomaa On behalf of the European Association

for Theoretical Computer Science (EATCS)

Advisory Board: G. Ausiello M. Broy C. S. Calude S. Even J. Hartmanis J. Hromkovič N. Jones T. Leighton M. Nivat C. Papadimitriou D. Scott

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH

Simona Ronchi Della Rocca Luca Paolini

The Parametric Lambda Calculus

A Metamodel for Computation



Authors

Prof. Simona Ronchi Della Rocca Università di Torino Dipartimento di Informatica corso Svizzera 185 10149 Torino, Italy ronchi@di.unito.it www.di.unito.it/~ronchi

Dr. Luca Paolini Università di Torino Dipartimento di Informatica corso Svizzera 185 10149 Torino, Italy paolini@di.unito.it www.di.unito.it/~paolini

Series Editors

Prof. Dr. Wilfried Brauer Institut für Informatik der TUM Boltzmannstr. 3, 85748 Garching, Germany Brauer@informatik.tu-muenchen.de

Prof. Dr. Grzegorz Rozenberg Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science University of Leiden Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands rozenber@liacs.nl

Prof. Dr. Arto Salomaa Turku Centre for Computer Science Lemminkäisenkatu 14 A, 20520 Turku, Finland asalomaa@utu.fi

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Ronchi Della Rocca, S. (Simona) The parametric lambda calculus : A metamodel for computation / Simona Ronchi Della Rocca, Luca Paolini. p. cm. – (Texts in theoretical computer science) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-3-642-05746-5 ISBN 978-3-662-10394-4 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-10394-4 1. Lambda calculus. I. Paolini, Luca, 1970– II. Title. III. Series. QA9.5.R66 2004 511.3'5-dc22 2003069100

ACM Computing Classification (1998): F.4, F.3, I.2.3, D.2

ISBN 978-3-642-05746-5

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH.

Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

springeronline.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2004 Originally published by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York in 2004

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 2004

The use of general descriptive names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and therefore free for general use.

Cover Design: KünkelLopka, Heidelberg *Typesetting:* Camera-ready by the authors Printed on acid-free paper 45/3142/GF - 5 4 3 2 1 0 To Corrado Böhm, from which Simona and – by transitivity – Luca learned the pleasure of research and the interest in λ -calculus

Preface

The λ -calculus was invented by Church in the 1930s with the purpose of supplying a logical foundation for logic and mathematics [25]. Its use by Kleene as a coding for computable functions makes it the first programming language, in an abstract sense, exactly as the Turing machine can be considered the first computer machine [57]. The λ -calculus has quite a simple syntax (with just three formation rules for terms) and a simple operational semantics (with just one operation, substitution), and so it is a very basic setting for studying computation properties.

The first contact between λ -calculus and real programming languages was in the years 1956-1960, when McCarthy developed the LISP programming language, inspired from λ -calculus, which is the first "functional" programming language, i.e., where functions are first-class citizens [66]. But the use of λ -calculus as an abstract paradigm for programming languages started later as the work of three important scientists: Strachey, Landin and Böhm. Strachev used the λ -notation as a descriptive tool to represent functional features in programming when he posed the basis for a formal semantics of programming languages [92]. Landin formalized the idea that the semantics of a programming language can be given by translating it into a simpler language that is easier to understand. He identified such a target language in λ -calculus and experimented with this idea by giving a complete translation of ALGOL60 into λ -calculus [64]. Moreover, he declared in [65] that a programming language is nothing more than λ -calculus plus some "syntactic sugar". Böhm was the first to use λ -calculus as an effective programming language, defining, with W. Gross, the CUCH language, which is a mixture of λ -calculus and the Curry combinators language, and showing how to represent in it the most common data structures [19].

But, until the end of the 1960s, λ -calculus suffered from the lack of a formal semantics. In fact, while it was possible to codify in it all the computable functions, the meaning of a generic λ -term not related to this coding was unclear. The attempt to interpret λ -terms as set-theoretic functions failed, since it would have been necessary to interpret it into a set D isomorphic to the set of functions from D to D, which is impossible since the two spaces always have different cardinality. Scott [88, 89] solved the problem by interpreting λ -calculus in a lattice isomorphic to the space of its continuous functions, thus giving it a clear mathematical interpretation. So the technique of interpretation by translation, first developed by Landin, became a standard tool to study the denotational semantics of programming languages; almost all textbooks in denotational semantics follow this approach [91, 98].

But there was a gap between λ -calculus and the real functional programming languages. The majority of real functional languages have a "call-byvalue" parameter passing policy, i.e., parameters are evaluated before being passed to a function, while the reduction rule of λ -calculus reflects a "callby-name" policy, i.e., a policy where parameters are passed without being evaluated. In the folklore there was the idea that a call-by-value behaviour could be mimicked in λ -calculus just by defining a suitable reduction strategy. Plotkin proved that this intuition was wrong and that λ -calculus is intrinsically call-by-name [78]. So, in order to describe the call-by-value evaluation, he proposed a different calculus, which has the same syntax as λ -calculus, but a different reduction rule.

The aim of this book is to introduce both the call-by-name and the callby-value λ -calculi and to study their syntactical and semantical properties, on which their status of paradigmatic programming languages is based. In order to study them in a uniform way we present a new calculus, the $\lambda\Delta$ -calculus, whose reduction rule is parametric with respect to a subset Δ of terms (called the set of input values) that enjoy some suitable conditions. Different choices of Δ allow us to define different languages, in particular the two λ -calculus variants we are speaking about. The most interesting feature of $\lambda\Delta$ -calculus is that it is possible to prove important properties (like confluence) for a large class of languages in just one step. We think that $\lambda\Delta$ -calculus can be seen as the foundation of functional programming.

Organization of the Book

The book is divided into four parts, each one composed of different chapters. The first part is devoted to the study of the syntax of $\lambda\Delta$ -calculus. Some syntactical properties, like confluence and standardization, can be studied for the whole Δ class. Other properties, like solvability and separability, cannot be treated in a uniform way, and they are therefore introduced separately for different instances of Δ .

In the second part the operational semantics of $\lambda\Delta$ -calculus is studied. The notion of operational semantics can be given in a parametric way, by supplying not only a set of input values but also a set of output values Θ , enjoying some very natural properties. A universal reduction machine is defined, parametric into both Δ and Θ , enjoying a sort of correctness property in the sense that, if a term can be reduced to an output value, then the machine stops, returning a term operationally equivalent to it. Then four particular reduction machines are presented, three for the call-by-name λ -calculus and one for the call-by-value λ -calculus, thereby presenting four operational behaviours that are particularly interesting for modeling programming languages. Moreover, the notion of extensionality is revised, giving a new parametric definition that depends on the operational semantics we want to consider.

The third part is devoted to denotational semantics. The general notion of a model of $\lambda\Delta$ -calculus is defined, and then the more restrictive and useful notion of a filter model, based on intersection types, is given. Then four particular filter models are presented, each one correct with respect to one of the operational semantics studied in the previous part. For two of them completeness is also proved. The other two models are incomplete: we prove that there are no filter models enjoying the completeness property with respect to given operational semantics, and we build two complete models by using a technique based on intersection types. Moreover, the relation between the filter models and Scott's models is given.

The fourth part deals with the computational power of $\lambda \Delta$ -calculus. It is well known that λ -calculus is Turing complete, in both its call-by-name and call-by-value variants, i.e. it has the power of the computable functions. Here we prove something more, namely that each one of the reduction machines we present in the third part of this book can be used for computing all the computable functions.

Use of the Book

This book is dedicated to researchers, and it can be used as a textbook for master's or PhD courses in Foundations of Computer Science. Moreover, we wish to advise the reader that its aim is not to cover all possible topics concerning λ -calculus, but just those syntactical and semantics properties which can be used as tools for the foundation of programming languages. The reader interested in studying λ -calculus in itself can use the classical textbook by Barendregt [9], or other more descriptive ones such as [51] or [60]. The reader interested in a typed approach can read Mitchell's text [69] for an introduction, in which two chapters are dedicated to simply typed λ calculus and its model, and the book of Hindley for a complete development of the topic [49].

Acknowledgement. Both authors would like to thank all the people of the "lambda-group" at the Dipartimento di Informatica of the Università di Torino for their support and collaboration. Moreover they are grateful to Roger Hindley and Elaine Pimentel for pointing out some inaccuracies. Luca Paolini thanks Pino Rosolini for the useful and interesting discussions about the topics of this book. Simona Ronchi Della Rocca did the final revision of the book during a sabbatical period. Some friends offered her hospitality and a stimulating scientific environment: Betti Venneri, Gigi Liquori, Rocco De Nicola, Pierre Lescanne and Philippe De Groote. To all of them she wants to

X Preface

express her gratitude. Last but not least, both the authors thank the publisher Ingeborg Mayer, whose patient assistance made possible the publication of this book.

Torino, May 2004

Simona Ronchi Della Rocca Luca Paolini

Contents

Part I. Syntax

1.	The	Parametric λ -Calculus	3
	1.1	The Language of λ -Terms	3
	1.2	The $\lambda \Delta$ -Calculus	6
		1.2.1 Proof of Confluence and Standardization Theorems	14
	1.3	Δ -Theories	21
2.	The	e Call-by-Name λ -Calculus	25
	2.1	The Syntax of $\lambda \Lambda$ -Calculus	25
		2.1.1 Proof of Λ -Solvability Theorem	27
		2.1.2 Proof of Böhm's Theorem	28
3.	The	e Call-by-Value λ -Calculus	35
	3.1		35
		3.1.1 $\Xi\ell$ -Confluence and $\Xi\ell$ -Standardization	41
		3.1.2 Proof of Potential Γ -Valuability and Γ -Solvability	
		Theorems	43
		3.1.3 Proof of Γ -Separability Theorem	49
	3.2	Potentially Γ -Valuable Terms and Λ -Reduction	58
4.	Fur	ther Reading	61

Part II. Operational Semantics

5.		ametric Operational SemanticsThe Universal Δ -Reduction Machine	
6.		l-by-Name Operational Semantics	
	6.1	H-Operational Semantics	73
	6.2	N-Operational Semantics	77
	6.3	L-Operational Semantics	81
		6.3.1 An Example	85

7.	Call-by-Value Operational Semantics		
	7.1 V-Operational Semantics	89	
	7.1.1 An Example	93	
8.	Operational Extensionality	95	
	8.1 Operational Semantics and Extensionality	95	
	8.1.1 Head-Discriminability	99	
9.	Further Reading	101	

Part III. Denotational Semantics

10.		Models	
	10.1	Filter $\lambda \Delta$ -Models	108
11.	Call	-by-Name Denotational Semantics	119
	11.1	The Model \mathcal{H}	119
		11.1.1 The \leq_{∞} -Intersection Relation	129
		11.1.2 Proof of the \mathcal{H} -Approximation Theorem	132
		11.1.3 Proof of Semiseparability, \mathcal{H} -Discriminability and	
		$\mathcal{H} ext{-} ext{Characterization Theorems}\dots$	136
	11.2	The Model $\mathcal N$	144
		11.2.1 The \leq_{\bowtie} -Intersection Relation	
		11.2.2 Proof of \mathcal{N} -Approximation Theorem	
		11.2.3 Proof of \mathcal{N} -Discriminability and \mathcal{N} -Characterization	
		Theorems	157
	11.3	The Model $\mathcal L$	162
		11.3.1 Proof of <i>L</i> -Approximation Theorem	168
		11.3.2 Proof of Theorems 11.3.15 and 11.3.16	170
	11.4	A Fully Abstract Model for the L-Operational Semantics	172
	11.5	Crossing Models	178
		11.5.1 The Model \mathcal{H}	178
		11.5.2 The Model \mathcal{N}	179
		11.5.3 The Model \mathcal{L}	179
12.	Call	-by-Value Denotational Semantics	181
	12.1	The Model \mathcal{V}	181
		12.1.1 The \leq_{\checkmark} -Intersection Relation	190
		12.1.2 Proof of Theorem 12.1.6	
		12.1.3 Proof of the V-Approximation Theorem	
		12.1.4 Proof of Theorems 12.1.24 and 12.1.25	
	12.2	A Fully Abstract Model for the V-Operational Semantics	201

13.	Filter $\lambda \Delta$ -Models and Domains	207
	13.1 Domains	207
	13.1.1 \mathcal{H} as Domain	214
	13.1.2 \mathcal{N} as Domain	216
	13.1.3 \mathcal{L} as Domain	217
	13.1.4 \mathcal{V} as Domain	218
	13.1.5 Another Domain	219
14.	Further Reading	221

Part IV. Computational Power

15.	Preliminaries 15.1 Kleene's Recursive Functions15.2 Representing Data Structures	225
16.	Representing Functions16.1 Call-by-Name Computational Completeness16.2 Call-by-Value Computational Completeness16.3 Historical Remarks	$\begin{array}{c} 233\\ 237 \end{array}$
Bib	liography	241
Ind	ex	247