

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison, UK

Takeo Kanade, USA

Josef Kittler, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg, USA

Friedemann Mattern, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell, USA

Moni Naor, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan, India

Bernhard Steffen, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos, USA

Doug Tygar, USA

Gerhard Weikum, Germany

FoLLI Publications on Logic, Language and Information

Subline of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Subline Editors-in-Chief

Valentin Goranko, *Technical University, Lyngby, Denmark*

Michael Moortgat, *Utrecht University, The Netherlands*

Subline Area Editors

Nick Bezhanishvili, *Utrecht University, The Netherlands*

Anuj Dawar, *University of Cambridge, UK*

Philippe de Groote, *Inria-Lorraine, Nancy, France*

Gerhard Jäger, *University of Tübingen, Germany*

Fenrong Liu, *Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*

Eric Pacuit, *University of Maryland, USA*

Ruy de Queiroz, *Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil*

Ram Ramanujam, *Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India*

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7407>

Michał Skrzypczak

Descriptive Set Theoretic Methods in Automata Theory

Decidability and Topological Complexity



Springer

Author

Michał Skrzypczak
University of Warsaw
Warsaw
Poland

This work was carried out at:
Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics,
University of Warsaw,
Warsaw, Poland
and accepted there as a PhD thesis.

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Computer Science
ISBN 978-3-662-52946-1 ISBN 978-3-662-52947-8 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-52947-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016944816

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer-Verlag GmbH Berlin Heidelberg

Preface

This thesis is devoted to the study of problems of automata theory from the point of view of descriptive set theory. The analyzed structures are ω -words and infinite trees. Most of the results presented here have the form of an effective decision procedure that operates on representations of regular languages.

Special effort is put into providing effective characterizations of regular languages of infinite trees that are definable in weak monadic second-order logic (wMSO). Although no such characterization is known for all regular languages of infinite trees, the thesis provides characterizations in some special cases: for game automata, for languages of thin trees (i.e., trees with countably many branches), and for Büchi automata. Additionally, certain relations between wMSO-definable languages and Borel sets are proved.

Another problem studied in the thesis is the alternating index problem (also called the Rabin–Mostowski index problem). Again, the problem in its full generality seems to be out of the reach of currently known methods. However, a decision procedure for the class of game automata is proposed in the thesis. These automata form the widest class of automata for which the problem is currently known to be decidable.

The thesis also addresses the problem of providing an algebraic framework for regular languages of infinite trees. For this purpose, the notion of prophetic thin algebras is introduced. It is proved that finite prophetic thin algebras recognize exactly the bi-unambiguous languages — languages L such that both L and the complement L^c can be recognized by unambiguous automata. Additionally, a new conjecture about the definability of choice functions is stated. It is proved that this conjecture is strongly related to the class of prophetic thin algebras. In particular, the conjecture implies an effective characterization of the class of bi-unambiguous languages.

Finally, the thesis studies contemporary quantitative extensions of the class of regular languages. First, lower bounds (that match upper bounds) on the topological complexity of $\text{MSO} + \text{U}$ -definable languages of ω -words are given. These lower bounds can be used to prove that $\text{MSO} + \text{U}$ logic is undecidable on infinite trees in a specific sense. It is also shown that languages of ω -words recognizable by certain counter automata have a separation property with respect to ω -regular languages. The proof relies on topological methods in the profinite monoid.

Acknowledgements

I would like to emphasize my gratitude to my supervisors Mikołaj Bojańczyk and Igor Walukiewicz for their assistance, without which this thesis would not have been possible. Both of them were always helpful and ready to discuss scientific problems, share their experience, and exchange new ideas. Additionally, I would like to thank them for encouraging me to attend a number of conferences, workshops, and scientific visits that allowed me to establish international cooperation. Moreover, I would like to thank Henryk Michalewski for posing a number of inquiring and inspiring questions that provided good motivation in developing some of the results presented in the thesis.

I owe the formation of my scientific background to the Automata Group in Warsaw, especially to: Damian Niwiński, Mikołaj Bojańczyk, Henryk Michalewski, and Filip Murlak. Additionally, I wish to thank Szczepan Hummel, Tomasz Idziąszek, Denis Kuperberg, and Nathanaël Fijalkow for the time they devoted to our discussions.

During my PhD studies I had the opportunity to make several scientific visits. First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisor Igor Walukiewicz for a number of invitations to LaBRI. Moreover, I would like to thank Jacques Duparc and Alex Rabinovich for inviting me to work together (the results obtained during these visits are not included in the thesis).

I wish to express my gratitude to the reviewers of the thesis. Their suggestions helped me to increase the readability of the final version of the document.

Last but not least, I list my co-authors, whom I would like to thank for the cooperation: M. Bilkowski, M. Bojańczyk, U. Boker, A. Facchini, O. Finkel, T. Gogacz, S. Hummel, T. Idziąszek, D. Kuperberg, O. Kupferman, H. Michalewski, M. Mio, F. Murlak, D. Niwiński, A. Rabinovich, A. Radziwończyk-Syta, and S. Toruńczyk.

My work during my PhD studies was supported by Poland's National Science Centre (decision DEC-2012/05/N/ST6/03254) and by ERC Starting Grant SOSNA.

Contents

1 Basic Notions	1
1.1 Structures	1
1.1.1 Finite Words and ω -words	2
1.1.2 Infinite Trees	3
1.2 Logic	5
1.3 Games	6
1.3.1 Positional Strategies	6
1.3.2 Parity Games	7
1.4 Automata	7
1.4.1 Parity Index of an Automaton	10
1.5 Algebra	10
1.5.1 Semigroups and Monoids	11
1.5.2 Wilke Algebras	11
1.5.3 Recognition	13
1.5.4 Ramsey's Theorem for Semigroups	13
1.6 Topology	14
1.6.1 Borel and Projective Hierarchy	14
1.6.2 Topological Complexity	16
1.6.3 Ranks	17
1.6.4 The Boundedness Theorem	17
1.6.5 Co-inductive Definitions	18
1.7 Regular Languages	19
1.7.1 Classes of Regular Tree Languages	21
1.7.2 Index Hierarchies	22
1.7.3 Topological Complexity of Regular Languages	23
1.7.4 The languages W_{ij}	23
1.7.5 Separation Property	24
1.7.6 Deterministic Languages	26

Subclasses of Regular Languages

2 Introduction	29
2.1 Motivations	30
2.1.1 Definability in wMSO	30
2.1.2 Index Problem	30
2.1.3 Bi-unambiguous Languages	31
2.1.4 Borel Languages	32
2.1.5 Topological Complexity vs. Decidability	32
2.1.6 Separation Property	33
2.2 Overview of the Parts	33
2.2.1 Part I: Subclasses of Regular Languages	34
2.2.2 Part II: Thin Algebras	35
2.2.3 Part III: Extensions of Regular Languages	36
3 Collapse for Unambiguous Automata	37
3.1 Unique Runs	38
3.2 Construction of the Automaton	40
3.2.1 Soundness	41
3.2.2 Completeness	42
3.3 Conclusions	43
4 When a Büchi Language Is Definable in wMSO	45
4.1 The Ordinal of a Büchi Automaton	46
4.1.1 Truncated Runs	47
4.1.2 The Reduction	48
4.1.3 Ranks	50
4.2 Extending Runs	52
4.2.1 K -reach Implies Big Rank	53
4.2.2 Big Rank Implies K -reach	54
4.3 Automata for K -safe Trees	56
4.4 Boundedness Game	58
4.4.1 Rules of the Game	59
4.4.2 Winning Condition	61
4.5 Equivalence	62
4.5.1 Implication (1) \Rightarrow (2)	62
4.5.2 Implication (2) \Rightarrow (1)	66
4.6 Conclusions	68
5 Index Problems for Game Automata	71
5.1 Runs of Game Automata	72
5.2 Non-deterministic Index Problem	74
5.3 Partial Objects	76
5.3.1 Trees	76
5.3.2 Games	77
5.3.3 Automata	77

5.3.4	Composing Automata	78
5.3.5	Resolving	78
5.4	Alternating Index Problem	79
5.4.1	The Algorithm	79
5.4.2	Upper Bounds	81
5.4.3	Lower Bounds	84
5.5	Conclusions	89
 Thin Algebras		
6	When a Thin Language Is Definable in wMSO	93
6.1	Basic Notions	95
6.1.1	Thin Trees	95
6.1.2	Automata	96
6.1.3	Examples of Skurczyński	97
6.1.4	Ranks	98
6.1.5	Skeletons	100
6.2	Thin Algebra	102
6.2.1	The Automaton Algebra	104
6.3	Upper Bounds	105
6.3.1	Embeddings and Quasi-skeletons	106
6.3.2	Proof of Proposition 6.13	109
6.4	Characterisation of wMSO-definable Languages	110
6.4.1	Implication (2) \Rightarrow (3)	110
6.4.2	Implication (5) \Rightarrow (1)	115
6.5	Conclusions	118
7	Recognition by Thin Algebras	121
7.1	Prophetic Thin Algebras	122
7.2	Bi-unambiguous Languages	125
7.2.1	Prophetic Thin Algebras Recognise only Bi-unambiguous Languages	125
7.2.2	Markings by the Automaton Algebra for an Unambiguous Automaton	126
7.2.3	Every Bi-unambiguous Language is Recognised by a Prophetic Thin Algebra	129
7.3	Consequences of Conjecture 2.1	130
7.4	Decidable Characterisation of the Bi-unambiguous Languages	131
7.4.1	Pseudo-syntactic Morphisms	132
7.4.2	Decidable Characterisation	134
7.5	Conclusions	135
8	Uniformization on Thin Trees	137
8.1	Basic Notions	138
8.2	Transducer for a Uniformized Relation	139

8.3	Choice Hypothesis	143
8.3.1	Equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2)	144
8.3.2	Implication (2) \Rightarrow (3)	145
8.3.3	Implication (4) \Rightarrow (2)	150
8.4	Negative Results	151
8.4.1	Green's Relations	151
8.4.2	A Marking of a Thick Tree	152
8.4.3	Non-uniformizability of Skeletons	154
8.4.4	Ambiguity of Thin Trees	155
8.5	Conclusions	156

Extensions of Regular Languages

9	Descriptive Complexity of MSO+U	159
9.1	Basic Notions	160
9.1.1	Languages IF^i	162
9.2	Languages H_i	163
9.3	Functions c_i , d_i , and r_i	166
9.4	Reductions	168
9.5	Upper Bounds	169
9.5.1	Proof of Theorem 9.7	170
9.6	Conclusions	171
10	Undecidability of MSO+U	173
10.1	Basic Notions	175
10.1.1	Gödel's Constructible Universe	175
10.1.2	Projective MSO	176
10.2	Reduction	177
10.3	Projective Determinacy	178
10.4	Undecidability of proj- MSO on $\{L, R\}^\omega$	180
10.4.1	Proof of Theorem 10.88	180
10.5	Conclusions	181
11	Separation for ωB- and ωS-regular Languages	183
11.1	Basic Notions	184
11.1.1	Monoid of Runs	184
11.1.2	Profinite Monoid	185
11.1.3	Ramsey-Type Arguments	187
11.1.4	Notation	188
11.2	Automata	188
11.2.1	ω B- and ω S-automata	189
11.2.2	B- and S-automata	190
11.2.3	Languages	191
11.3	Separation for Profinite Languages	193

11.4 Reduction	195
11.4.1 Implication (1) \Rightarrow (2).	197
11.4.2 Implication (2) \Rightarrow (3).	199
11.4.3 Implication (3) \Rightarrow (1).	199
11.5 Separation for ω -languages	200
11.6 Conclusions	202
12 Conclusions	205
References	207