Artificial Intelligence in Design'02

Artificial Intelligence in Design '02

Edited by

John S Gero

Key Centre of Design Computing and Cognition University of Sydney Australia



SPRINGER-SCIENCE+BUSINESS MEDIA, B.V.

A C.I.P Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress
ISBN 978-90-481-6059-4 ISBN 978-94-017-0795-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-94-017-0795-4
Printed on acid free paper
All rights received
All rights reserved © 2002 Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht Originally published by Kluwer Academic Publishers in 2002 No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilised in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	ix
Design Synthesis Synthesis in designing Tim Smithers	1 3
A graphical notation for mixed-initiative dialogue with generative design systems Sambit Datta and Robert F Woodbury	25
Web-based configuration of virtual private networks with multiple suppliers Alexander Felfernig, Gerhard Friedrich, Dietmar Jannach and Markus Zanker	41
Frameworks for Design Constructing design worlds Mihaly Lenart and Ana Pasztor	63 65
The situated function-behaviour-structure framework John S Gero and Udo Kannengiesser	89
Representational flexibility for design Rudi Stouffs and Ramesh Krishnamurti	105
Spatial Synthesis and Analysis Analysis of architectural space composition using inductive logic programming Noritoshi Sugiura and Shigeyuki Okazaki	129 131
Towards an architectural design system based on generic representations Sviataslau Pranovich, Henri Achten and Jarke J Van Wijk	153
Digital sandbox Ellen Yi-Luen Do	165
Conceptual Knowledge in Design MMforTED: A cognitive tool fostering the acquisition of conceptual knowledge about design products Elio Toppano	189 191
From concept to embodiment: challenge and strategy Zhi Gang Xu, Ming Xi Tang and John Hamilton Frazer	215
Artificial intelligence for the design and grading of precious stones Tony Holden and Matee Serearuno	237
Learning from Human Designers Using protocol analysis to investigate collective learning in design Zhichao Wu and Alex Duffy	259 261

5. 8 analogies per hour Pierre Leclercq and Ann Heylighen	285
Towards computational tools for supporting the reflective team Andrew W Hill, Andy Dong and Alice M Agogino	305
Evolutionary Approaches in Design An evolutionary approach to the inverse problem in rule-based design representations Stephan Rudolph and Rolf Alber	327 329
Evolving three-dimensional architecture form Luisa Caldas	351
Strategic shape design Toshiharu Taura, Takayuki Shiose and Ryohei Ishida	371
An evolutionary framework for enhancing design Kwai Hung Chan, John Hamilton Frazer and Ming-Xi Tang	383
Knowledge Support for Design Knowledge support for customer-based design for mass customization Xuan Fang Zha and Wen F Lu	405 407
Elucidating the design requirement for conventional and automated conceptual design Mansur Darlington and Stephen J Culley	431
Case-based design facilitated by the design exemplar Joshua J Summers, Zoe Lacroix and Jami J Shah	453
Systemic Support Connectivity as a key to supporting design Claudia Eckert and P John Clarkson	477 479
Automated (re-)design of software agents Frances MT Brazier and Niek JE Wijngaards	503
Automated toolset selection for feature manufacturing Farsad Badjgholi, Burkhard Kittl and Markus Stumptner	521
Components in Design and Design Models Requirements specification and automated evaluation of dynamic properties of a component-based design Catholijn M Jonker, Jan Treur and Wouter C A Wijngaards	545 547

Contact Authors' Email Addresses	641
Author Index	639
Product data exchange using ontologies Christel Dartigues and Parisa Ghodous	617
Perspectors John Haymaker, Martin Fischer and John Kunz	593
dentifying component modules Robert I Whitfield, Joanne S Smith and Alex B Duffy	571

International Review Panel

Henri Achten, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

Tomasz Arciszewski, George Mason University, USA Can Baykan, Middle East Technical University, Turkey

Peter Bentley, University College London, UK Joao Bento, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Portugal Bill Birmingham, University of Michigan, USA Frances Brazier, Vrije Universiteit, The Netherlands David Brown, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA Ken Brown, University of Aberdeen, UK Scott Chase, University of Strathclyde, UK Mao-Lin Chiu, National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan

Dave Corne, University of Reading, UK
Alex Duffy, University of Strathelyde, UK
Steven Fenves, NIST, USA
Susan Finger, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Urlich Flemming, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Haruyuki Fujii, Tokyo Institute of Technology,

Japan
John Gero, University of Sydney, Australia
Alberto Giretti , University of Ancona, Italy
David Gunaratnam, University of Sydney, Australia
John Haymaker, Stanford University, USA
Jeff Heisserman, Terabeam Corporation, USA
Ann Heylighen, KU Leuven, Belgium
Tony Holden, University of Cambridge, UK
Leo Joskowicz, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
Richard Junge, Technical University Munich,

Germany Vladimir Kazakov, University of Sydney, Australia Mark Klein, MIT, USA

Rudiger Klein, Daimler-Chrysler, Germany Janet Kolodner, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

Alex Koutamanis, Delft University, Netherlands Ramesh Krishnamurti, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Bimal Kumar, University of Strathclyde, UK John Lee, University of Edinburgh, UK Mark Lee, University of Wales, UK Mihaly Lenart, University of Kassel, Germany Udo Lindemann, Technische Universitat Munchen, Germany Hod Lipson, Brandies University, USA
Ray McCall, University of Colorado, USA
Mary Lou Maher, University of Sydney, Australia
Peter Matthews, Cambridge University, UK
Hari Narayanan, Auburn University, USA
Rivka Oxman, Technion Israel Institute of
Technology, Israel

Ian Parmee, Plymouth University, UK
Joan Peckham, University of Rhode Island, USA
Feniosky Pena-Mora, MIT, USA
Pearl Pu, EPFL, Switzerland
Terry Purcell, University of Sydney, Australia
Rabbee Reffat, University of Sydney, Australia
Yoram Reich, Tel Aviv University, Israel
Michael Rosenman, University of Sydney,
Australia

Stephan Rudolf, University of Stuttgart, Germany Linda Schmidt, University of Maryland, USA Thorsten Schnier, University of Birmingham, UK Mark Schwabacher, NIST, USA Stephen Scrivener, University of Derby, UK Simeon Simoff, University of Technology,

Sydney, Australia
Ian Smith, EPFL, Switzerland
Tim Smithers, VICOMTech, Spain
Ram Sriram, NIST, USA
Louis Steinberg, Rutgers University, USA
George Stiny, MIT, USA
Rudi Stouffs, Delft University of Technology,
Netherlands

Markus Stumptner, University of South Australia, Australia Masaki Suwa, Chukyo University, Japan

Hideaki Takeda, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan
Hsien-Hui Tang, University of Sydney, Australia
Toshiharu Taura, Kobe University, Japan

Wade Troxell, Colorado State University, USA Ziga Turk, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia George Turkiyyah, University of Washington, USA

Angi Voss, GMD, Germany Rob Woodbury, Technical University of British Columbia, Canada

PREFACE

One of the foundations for change in our society comes from designing. Its genesis is the notion that the world around us either is unsuited to our needs or can be improved. The need for designing is driven by a society's view that it can improve or add value to human existence well beyond simple subsistence. As a consequence of designing the world which we inhabit is increasingly a designed rather than a naturally occurring one. In that sense it is an "artificial" world. Designing is a fundamental precursor to manufacturing, fabrication, construction or implementation. Design research aims to develop an understanding of designing and to produce models of designing that can be used to aid designing.

Artificial intelligence has provided an environmental paradigm within which design research based on computational constructions, can be carried out.

Design research can be carried out in variety of ways. It can be viewed as largely an empirical endeavour in which experiments are designed and executed in order to test some hypothesis about some design phenomenon or design behaviour. This is the approach adopted in cognitive science. It often manifests itself through the use of protocol studies of designers. The results of such research form the basis of a computational model. A second view is that design research can be carried out by positing axioms and then deriving consequences from them. If the axioms can be mapped onto design situations then the consequences should follow. This is the approach adopted in mathematics and logic and forms the basis of a small but powerful area in design research. A third view, and the most common one in the computational domain is that design research can be carried out by conjecturing design processes and constructing computational models of those processes and then examining the behaviours of the resulting computational systems. Artificial intelligence in design research utilises all three approaches.

The papers in this volume are from the Seventh International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Design (AID'02) held in Cambridge University, UK. They represent the state-of-the-art and the cutting edge of research and development in artificial intelligence in design. They are of particular interest to researchers, developers and users of advanced computation in design.

In these proceedings the papers are grouped under the following nine headings, describing both advances in theory and application and

PREFACE

demonstrating the depth and breadth of the artificial intelligence paradigm in design:

Design Synthesis
Frameworks for Design
Spatial Synthesis and Analysis
Conceptual Knowledge in Design
Learning from Human Designers
Evolutionary Approaches in Design
Knowledge Support for Design
Systemic Support
Components in Design and Design Models

All papers were extensively reviewed by three referees drawn from the large international panel of referees listed earlier. Thanks go to them, for the quality of these papers depends on their efforts. The reviewers' recommendations were then assessed before the final recommendation was made.

Anne Christian deserves particular thanks for it was she who took what should have been consistently formatted submissions but were not, and turned them into a coherent whole – no mean effort. The final quality of the manuscript bears her mark.

John S. Gero
University of Sydney
and
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
April 2002