

Zhang-Gradient Control

Yunong Zhang • Binbin Qiu • Xiaodong Li

Zhang-Gradient Control

Yunong Zhang
School of Data and Computer Science
Sun Yat-sen University
Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Binbin Qiu
School of Data and Computer Science
Sun Yat-sen University
Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Xiaodong Li
School of Intelligent Systems Engineering
Sun Yat-sen University
Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

ISBN 978-981-15-8256-1 ISBN 978-981-15-8257-8 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8257-8>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.
The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

To our parents and ancestors, as always

Preface

The tracking-control problems of nonlinear systems have been widely encountered in various applications, such as flight control, pendulum control, and robot control. For the purpose of tracking control, we need to design a controller in terms of control input for nonlinear systems such that the actual output can track the desired output. For solving the tracking-control problems of nonlinear systems, a number of methods have been presented and investigated, such as the input–output linearization (IOL) method, the optimal control method, and the backstepping method. However, most of the conventional control methods are relatively complex for their design procedures of controllers and practical implementations. Therefore, it is necessary and significant for practitioners to propose, develop, and investigate a simple and effective control method for the design of controllers.

From the viewpoint of time-varying (or say, dynamic) problem solving, the tracking control of nonlinear systems can be investigated in a unique manner. In recent years, a special class of neural dynamics has been exploited for the online solution of time-varying problems. As this neural-dynamic method is proposed by Zhang et al. and zeroes out each element of error function, it is called Zhang dynamics (also known as zeroing dynamics, ZD). Specifically, ZD is designed on the basis of an indefinite matrix-/vector-/scalar-valued error function (termed Zhang function, ZF) and takes full advantage of the time-derivative information of time-varying parameters. The ZD method is an error-based dynamic method, of which the core is the ZD design formula that forces each element of ZF to converge to zero exponentially. Such an idea can actually be found in the control field, i.e., forcing the error between the actual output and the desired output to be zero (or near zero in practice). Differing from the ZD, the conventional gradient dynamics (GD) is designed on the basis of a scalar-valued nonnegative error function (termed energy function, EF). The GD method is an energy-based minimization method, of which the core is the GD design formula such that the minimum point of the EF can be reached along the negative gradient direction. Besides, the GD method designed intrinsically for time-invariant (or say, static, constant) problem solving has been extended to solve time-varying problems. It is worth pointing out that such two methods both aim at forcing the error functions to be zero, which is essentially

consistent with the objective of tracking control. However, in the previous studies, the ZD method and the GD method are generally exploited for problem solving individually and comparatively, and other researchers rarely consider combining them to utilize the advantage of each method as well as the superiority of their combination.

In this book, by effectively combining the ZD and GD methods together, a simple and effective controller-design method is developed and presented, which is termed Zhang-gradient (ZG) method. Accordingly, based on the ZG method, a special kind of controllers termed ZG controllers are designed, developed, and investigated for tracking control of various nonlinear systems (including linear systems as a special case), i.e., chaotic systems, integrator systems, pendulum systems, affine-form nonlinear (AFN) systems, as well as time-varying linear and nonlinear systems. In general, under the framework of the ZG method, a ZG controller obtained by adopting the ZD method m times and the GD method n times is called a *zmgn* controller. Specifically, the *zmg0* controllers are designed by adopting the ZD method m times and without using the GD method, which can be viewed as a special case of ZG controllers and thus often termed ZD controllers directly for comparisons with the ZG controllers using the GD method; besides, the *zmg1* controllers are designed by adopting the ZD method m times and the GD method 1 time. It is worth pointing out that, in most cases, the ZG controllers refer to the *zmg1* controllers, which can elegantly conquer the knotty division-by-zero (DBZ) problem. In traditional investigations, the DBZ problem is rarely considered and studied since it is a knotty problem for conventional controller design. In the conventional controller design, the divisor of a controller is simply assumed to be nonzero at any time instant, which often leads to contradictions between theoretical investigations and practical applications. Note that the DBZ problem has existed for thirteen centuries. However, past efforts have been spent on studying the problem under a time-invariant premise, i.e., studying the division operation with fixed operands at a certain time instant. By contrast, this book mainly focuses on investigating the DBZ problem from the perspective of temporal evolution instead of under a time-invariant premise. The simple and effective ZG method presented in this book is capable of designing the ZG controllers in a division-free manner. That is, the ZG controllers get rid of the potential possibility of encountering the DBZ problem and thus remain valid at the DBZ points encountered during the tracking-control process of nonlinear systems. Through the related theoretical analyses, the ZD and ZG controllers (more specifically, the *zmg0* and *zmg1* controllers under the framework of the ZG method) both possess the global and exponential convergence performance, which theoretically guarantee the efficacy of controllers. Computer simulations with various illustrative examples are further performed to substantiate the feasibility and efficacy of the presented ZD and ZG controllers (as well as the ZG method) for tracking control of various nonlinear systems. More importantly, the superiority of ZG controllers in conquering the DBZ problem is also illustrated by comparative simulation results. In brief, the main highlights of this book can be listed as follows.

- (1) This book is the first book on the ZG method for controller design in connection with nonlinear/linear, time-varying/time-invariant, and multi-class or various systems.
- (2) This book overcomes the challenges of control singularity and system collapse posed by the DBZ problem.
- (3) This book provides detailed theoretical analyses, as well as abundant and comparative simulation results.

The idea for this book on neural dynamics and control was conceived during the classroom teaching as well as the research discussion in the laboratory and at international academic meetings. Most of the materials of this book are derived from the authors' papers published in journals and proceedings of the international conferences. In fact, since the early 1980s, the field of neural dynamics has undergone the phases of exponential growth, generating many new theoretical concepts and tools (including the authors' ones). At the same time, these theoretical results have been successfully applied to the solution of many practical problems. Our first priority is thus to cover each central topic in enough details to make the material clear and coherent; in other words, each part (and even each chapter) is written in a relatively self-contained manner.

In this book, Chap. 1 presents the introduction, concepts, and preliminaries, and the remainder contains 16 chapters that are classified into the following 5 parts:

- Part I: Chaotic Systems Using ZG Control (Chaps. 2–4);
- Part II: Integrator Systems Using ZG Control (Chaps. 5–7);
- Part III: Pendulum Systems Using ZG Control (Chaps. 8–10);
- Part IV: AFN Systems Using ZG Control (Chaps. 11–14);
- Part V: Time-Varying Systems Using ZG Control (Chaps. 15–17).

Chapter 2—In this chapter, we investigate the tracking-control problems of Lorenz, Chen, and Lu (also written as Lü) chaotic systems. By combining the ZD and GD methods together, a simple and effective controller-design method, termed ZG method, is presented for tracking control of the three chaotic systems. Both theoretical analyses and simulative verifications substantiate that the presented ZG controllers can achieve satisfactory tracking accuracy and successfully conquer the DBZ problem encountered during the tracking-control process.

Chapter 3—In this chapter, the ZG method is investigated for chaos synchronization with multiple inputs (i.e., three or two inputs). Based on the ZG method, the traditional three-input chaos synchronization problem can be successfully solved with desirable convergence rate and satisfactory accuracy. Besides, an important extension of the ZG method is investigated to solve the thorny two-input chaos synchronization problem. Simulation results illustrate that the controller groups designed by the ZG method not only achieve satisfactory synchronization accuracy and exponential convergence rate on the three-input chaos synchronization problem but also successfully solve the chaos synchronization problem with only two inputs.

Chapter 4—In this chapter, the ZG method is studied for solving the tracking-control problem of the modified Lorenz nonlinear system via additive input or mixed

inputs (i.e., the mixture of additive and multiplicative inputs). Both theoretical analyses and simulative verifications validate that the ZG controllers with additive input or mixed inputs not only achieve satisfactory tracking accuracy but also successfully conquer the DBZ problem encountered during the tracking-control process.

Chapter 5—In this chapter, we apply the ZG method to the tracking control of Brockett integrator. Based on the ZG method, different types of controller groups are designed for Brockett integrator. Both theoretical analyses and simulative verifications indicate that the tracking errors are bounded and exponentially convergent. More importantly, comparative simulation results illustrate that the ZG controller group is superior to the ZD controller group in conquering the DBZ problem encountered during the tracking-control process.

Chapter 6—In this chapter, the ZG controllers for explicit and implicit tracking control of a double-integrator (DI) system are designed and presented. In addition, we conduct the corresponding computer simulations with different values of the design parameter λ used to illustrate the efficacy of ZG controllers. However, different settings of simulation options in MATLAB ordinary differential equation (ODE) solvers may lead to different simulation results (e.g., failure and success). The successful and failed simulation results are both presented to remind us to pay more attention to MATLAB defaults and options during conducting such simulations.

Chapter 7—In this chapter, the tracking-control problems of multiple-integrator (MI) systems are investigated by using the ZG method. Several types of ZD and ZG controllers are presented for tracking control of MI systems, e.g., triple-integrator (TI) systems. As an example, the design procedures of ZD and ZG controllers for TI systems with a linear output function (LOF) and a nonlinear output function (NOF) are presented. Corresponding theoretical analyses are given to guarantee the convergence performance of ZD and ZG controllers for TI systems. Computer simulations concerning the tracking control of MI systems with different types of output functions are further performed to substantiate the feasibility and efficacy of ZD and ZG controllers for tracking-control problem solving. Moreover, comparative simulation results for the tracking control of MI systems with NOFs substantiate that the ZG controllers can effectively conquer the DBZ problem.

Chapter 8—In this chapter, we firstly design ZD controllers for the explicit and implicit tracking control of a simple pendulum system. For achieving the DBZ-containing implicit tracking control, ZG controllers are further designed for conquering the DBZ problem. Computer simulations with an explicit tracking example and two implicit tracking examples are conducted. Comparative simulation results have substantiated the superiority of the ZG controllers for the DBZ-containing implicit tracking control of simple pendulum system.

Chapter 9—In this chapter, the cart path tracking control of an inverted-pendulum-on-a-cart (IPC) system is considered and investigated. Based on the ZG method, several types of ZD and ZG controllers are developed to achieve the tracking-control purpose. Besides, theoretical analyses are presented to guarantee the global and exponential convergence performance of both ZD and ZG controllers.

Computer simulations are further performed to illustrate the feasibility and efficacy of both ZD and ZG controllers. More importantly, comparative simulation results indicate that ZG controllers can effectively conquer the DBZ problem.

Chapter 10—In this chapter, two tracking controllers based on the ZG method are designed for the IPC system. Importantly, the presented ZG controller not only realizes the simultaneous control of pendulum swinging up and pendulum angle tracking but also conquers the DBZ problem elegantly without using any switching strategy. Besides, corresponding theoretical analyses on the convergence performance of both ZD and ZG controllers are provided. Computer simulations with three illustrative examples are further conducted to show the efficacy of both ZD and ZG controllers for the pendulum tracking control of the IPC system. In particular, comparative simulation results substantiate the superiority of the z2g1 controller for the control of pendulum tracking (including swinging up) of the IPC system in conquering the DBZ problem.

Chapter 11—In this chapter, we incorporate the GD into IOL, which leads to the GD-aided IOL method for conquering the DBZ problem encountered in the AFN system, with the proposition of the loose condition on relative degree. Corresponding theoretical analyses on tracking-error bound and convergence performance of the GD-aided IOL controller are provided. Moreover, comparative simulation results further substantiate that the GD-aided IOL controller is capable of fulfilling the tracking-control task with the DBZ problem conquered.

Chapter 12—In this chapter, a classic nonlinear system of Van der Pol oscillator in the affine-control form is investigated. By applying the ZG method, a ZG controller is designed for trajectory generation of the aforementioned nonlinear oscillator. Simulation results illustrate the feasibility and efficacy of the ZG controller with the DBZ problem conquered. In addition, the effects of ZD and GD design parameters on the performance of ZG controller are further studied.

Chapter 13—In this chapter, by following the ZG method, a ZD controller and a ZG controller are presented for tracking control of AFN system, which may encounter the DBZ problem. For comparison, the conventional IOL controller is also presented. The ZD, ZG, and IOL controllers are compared in different relative-degree cases, i.e., the standard relative-degree case, the pseudo-DBZ (PDBZ) relative-degree case, and the true-DBZ (TDBZ) relative-degree case. In addition, the theoretical analyses on ZD and ZG controllers are provided. Corresponding computer simulations are further performed to illustrate the tracking performance of the ZD, ZG, and IOL controllers, as well as to show the superiority of the ZG controller in conquering the TDBZ problem for tracking control of AFN system.

Chapter 14—In this chapter, according to the impact of DBZ points on the state variables of the controlled nonlinear system, the concepts of the PDBZ problem and the TDBZ problem are presented. Besides, the two classes of DBZ problems are solved under the framework of the ZG method. Specific examples are investigated to illustrate such two concepts and the efficacy of the ZG controllers in conquering PDBZ and TDBZ problems. The practical application to a two-wheeled mobile robot further substantiates the efficacy of the ZG method for tracking control of nonlinear system with physical meaning while conquering the TDBZ problem.

Chapter 15—In this chapter, the output tracking of time-varying linear (TVL) system is investigated. For solving such an output-tracking problem, three different types of controllers are presented, i.e., the conventional controller, ZD controller, and ZG controller. Simulation results with two illustrative examples show that such three types of controllers are feasible and effective for output-tracking problem solving. Especially, the presented ZG controller is capable of conquering the DBZ problem of TVL system.

Chapter 16—In this chapter, the stabilization of TVL system is investigated with PDBZ phenomenon shown. Based on the ZG method, a ZD stabilization controller and a ZG stabilization controller are designed. Simulation results indicate that the ZD stabilization controller is able to realize the stabilization of the TVL system in spite of the controller itself containing DBZ points, and that the ZG stabilization controller not only realizes the stabilization of the TVL system but also solves the PDBZ problem contained in the ZD stabilization controller.

Chapter 17—In this chapter, the ZG method is utilized to design ZD and ZG controllers for the output tracking of TVL and time-varying nonlinear (TVN) systems. Particularly, the investigated TVL and TVN systems may both have PDBZ phenomena. From the simulation results, although the presented ZD and ZG controllers fulfill well the output tracking of TVL and TVN systems, the infinite value of the former and the finite value of the latter at DBZ time instants indicate that the ZG controller is more effective in dealing with the PDBZ problem.

In summary, this book presents a simple and effective ZG method for solving the tracking-control problems of various nonlinear systems in the control field and further applies such a method to the tracking control of practical systems, e.g., IPC system and two-wheeled mobile robot (showing its application prospect). This book is written for undergraduate and postgraduate students as well as academic and industrial researchers studying in the developing fields of neural dynamics/neural networks, nonlinear control, computer mathematics, time-varying problem solving, modeling and simulation, analog hardware, and robotics. It provides a comprehensive view of the combined research of these fields, in addition to its accomplishments, potentials, and perspectives. We do hope that this book will generate curiosity and also happiness to its readers for learning more in the fields and the research, and that it will provide new challenges to seek new theoretical tools and practical applications.

At the end of this preface, it is worth pointing out that, in this book, a new and inspiring direction on the control method is provided for the design of controllers, together with the notorious DBZ problem conquered effectively, which has existed and has been investigated for more than 1300 years in academia and has stood in the tracking-control area of nonlinear systems for several decades (specifically, since the work of Alberto Isidori in 1985). This completely opens the door to the theoretical researches, simulative verifications, and practical/industrial applications of the DBZ-conquering ZG controllers designed by the ZG method, as the knotty DBZ problem has now been solved truly, systematically, and methodologically. It may promise to become a major inspiration for studies and researches in neural dynamics/neural networks, nonlinear control, computer mathematics, time-

varying problem solving, modeling and simulation, analog hardware, and robotics. Without doubt, this book can be extended. Any comments or suggestions are welcome. The authors can be contacted via e-mails: zhynong@mail.sysu.edu.cn, qiubb6@mail.sysu.edu.cn, and lixd@mail.sysu.edu.cn. The web page of Yunong Zhang is available at <http://sdc.sysu.edu.cn/content/2477>.

Guangzhou, China

Guangzhou, China

Guangzhou, China

July 2020

Yunong Zhang

Binbin Qiu

Xiaodong Li

Acknowledgements

This book is basically composed of many original research papers of the authors' research group, which have done a lot of meticulous and creative research work. Therefore, we are very grateful to our contributors for their high-quality work. During the process of preparing this book, we have the opportunity to discuss its various aspects and the results with many contributors and students. We highly appreciate their contributions, especially the great improvements in the presentation and quality of this book. We are very grateful for the valuable help and suggestions provided by Jinjin Guo, Min Yang, Jian Li, Yang Shi, Chaowei Hu, Dechao Chen, Huanchang Huang, Mengling Xiao, Huihui Gong, Zhiyuan Qi, Zhongxian Xue, Liu He, Shuo Yang, and so on.

The continuous aid by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (with number 61976230), the Project Supported by Guangdong Province Universities and Colleges Pearl River Scholar Funded Scheme (with number 2018), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (with number 2018M643306), the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (with number 2019A1515012128), the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangzhou (with number 202007030004), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Plan Project (with number JCYJ20170818154936083), and also the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (with number 19lgpy227) is gratefully acknowledged here.

Moreover, we would like to thank the editors (especially Editor Jasmine Dou) sincerely for their very important and constructive comments and suggestions provided, in addition to their time and effort spent in handling this book.

We are always very grateful to the nice people (especially the staff in Springer) for their strong support during the preparation and publishing of this book.

Contents

1	Introduction, Concepts and Preliminaries	1
1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.2	Concepts	2
1.2.1	Concept of ZF.....	2
1.2.2	Concept of EF.....	2
1.2.3	Concept of ZD	2
1.2.4	Concept of GD	3
1.2.5	Concept of ZG Control	3
1.2.6	Illustrative Example	4
1.3	Preliminaries.....	5
1.3.1	Principle of ZG Method for Control	5
1.3.2	Comparison with Other Methods	9
1.4	Chapter Summary	11
	References.....	11
 Part I Chaotic Systems Using ZG Control		
2	ZG Tracking Control of a Class of Chaotic Systems	15
2.1	Introduction.....	15
2.2	Systems and Controllers	17
2.2.1	Chaotic Systems with Single Input	18
2.2.2	Design of ZD and ZG Controllers	19
2.3	Convergence Performance Analyses	21
2.3.1	Analysis on ZD Controller	21
2.3.2	Analyses on ZG Controller.....	22
2.4	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	26
2.5	Chapter Summary	31
	References.....	35

3	ZG Synchronization of Lu and Chen Chaotic Systems	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	ZG Control via Three Inputs	38
3.2.1	Problem Description	38
3.2.2	Design of ZD and ZG Controller Groups	39
3.2.3	Simulation and Verification	40
3.3	ZG Control via Two Inputs	43
3.3.1	Problem Description	43
3.3.2	Design of ZG Controller Group	43
3.3.3	Simulation and Verification	45
3.4	Chapter Summary	45
	References	47
4	ZG Tracking Control of Modified Lorenz Nonlinear System	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	ZG Control via Additive Input	51
4.2.1	Design of ZG Controller	52
4.2.2	Convergence Performance Analyses on ZG Controller	53
4.2.3	Simulation, Verification and Comparison on ZG Controller	58
4.3	ZG Control via Mixed Inputs	61
4.3.1	Design of ZG Controller Group	63
4.3.2	Convergence Performance Analyses on ZG Controller Group	64
4.3.3	Simulation and Verification on ZG Controller Group	65
4.4	Chapter Summary	66
	References	67

Part II Integrator Systems Using ZG Control

5	ZG Tracking Control of Brockett Integrator	71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Preliminaries	72
5.3	Design of ZD and ZG Controller Groups	73
5.4	Convergence Performance Analyses	74
5.5	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	76
5.5.1	Efficacy of ZD and ZG Controller Groups	77
5.5.2	DBZ Conquering of ZG Controller Group	78
5.6	Chapter Summary	80
	References	82
6	ZG Tracking Control and Simulation of DI System	83
6.1	Introduction	83
6.2	Explicit Tracking Control of DI System via ZG Method (ETC-DI-ZG)	84
6.3	Successful Simulation of ETC-DI-ZG	86

6.4	Implicit Tracking Control of DI System via ZG Method (ITC-DI-ZG).....	88
6.5	Failed Simulation of ITC-DI-ZG	88
6.6	Finally Successful Simulation of ITC-DI-ZG.....	89
6.7	Chapter Summary	94
	References.....	97
7	ZG Tracking Control of MI Systems	99
7.1	Introduction.....	99
7.2	Design of Controllers	101
7.2.1	Design of ZD and ZG Controllers for LOF	101
7.2.2	Design of ZD and ZG Controllers for NOF	102
7.3	Convergence Performance Analyses on ZD Controllers	103
7.3.1	Analysis on Tracking Control with LOF	103
7.3.2	Analysis on Tracking Control with NOF	104
7.4	Convergence Performance Analyses on ZG Controllers	104
7.4.1	Analyses on Tracking Control with LOF.....	104
7.4.2	Analyses on Tracking Control with NOF	108
7.5	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	110
7.6	Chapter Summary	114
	References.....	119

Part III Pendulum Systems Using ZG Control

8	ZD and ZG Control of Simple Pendulum System.....	123
8.1	Introduction.....	123
8.2	ZD Controller for Explicit Tracking Control	124
8.3	ZG Controller for Implicit Tracking Control	125
8.4	Chapter Summary	129
	References.....	130
9	Cart Path Tracking Control of IPC System	131
9.1	Introduction.....	131
9.2	Mathematical Model of IPC System	133
9.3	Design of Controllers	135
9.3.1	Design of ZD Controllers	135
9.3.2	Design of ZG Controllers	136
9.3.3	Discussion on Controller Implementation.....	138
9.4	Convergence Performance Analyses	139
9.4.1	Analyses on ZD Controllers.....	139
9.4.2	Analyses on ZG Controllers.....	140
9.5	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	146
9.6	Chapter Summary	151
	References.....	155

10	Pendulum Tracking Control of IPC System	157
10.1	Introduction	157
10.2	Design of Controllers	159
10.2.1	Design of ZD Controller	159
10.2.2	Design of ZG Controller	160
10.3	Convergence Performance Analyses	161
10.4	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	167
10.5	Chapter Summary	174
	References	174
 Part IV AFN Systems Using ZG Control		
11	GD-Aided IOL Tracking Control of AFN System	179
11.1	Introduction	179
11.2	AFN System and Problem Description	181
11.2.1	AFN System	181
11.2.2	Problem Description	181
11.3	GD-Aided IOL Controller Design and Analyses	183
11.3.1	Loose Condition on Relative Degree	183
11.3.2	Design of GD-Aided IOL Controller	184
11.3.3	Convergence Performance Analyses	185
11.4	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	188
11.5	Chapter Summary	190
	References	193
12	ZG Trajectory Generation of Van der Pol Oscillator	195
12.1	Introduction	195
12.2	Design of ZD Controller	196
12.3	Design of ZG Controller	197
12.4	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	198
12.4.1	Comparison Between ZD and ZG Controllers	198
12.4.2	Effect of ZD Design Parameter on ZG Controller	200
12.4.3	Effect of GD Design Parameter on ZG Controller	203
12.5	Chapter Summary	205
	References	206
13	ZD, ZG and IOL Controllers for AFN System	207
13.1	Introduction	207
13.2	Design of Controllers	208
13.2.1	Design of ZD Controller	208
13.2.2	Design of ZG Controller	211
13.3	Convergence Performance Analysis on ZD Controller	212
13.4	Convergence Performance Analyses on ZG Controller	213
13.4.1	Tight Error Bound	213
13.4.2	Exponential Convergence Rate	215

13.5	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	216
13.5.1	Standard Relative-Degree Case	216
13.5.2	PDBZ Relative-Degree Case	218
13.5.3	TDBZ Relative-Degree Case	219
13.6	Chapter Summary	221
	References	226
14	PDBZ and TDBZ Problem Solving and Comparing	229
14.1	Introduction	229
14.2	DBZ Analysis and Classification	230
14.3	PDBZ Example	232
14.3.1	Problem Description	232
14.3.2	Design of ZD and ZG Controllers	232
14.3.3	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	234
14.4	TDBZ Example	236
14.4.1	Problem Description	236
14.4.2	Design of ZD and ZG Controllers	236
14.4.3	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	238
14.5	Application to Two-Wheeled Mobile Robot	240
14.6	Chapter Summary	243
	References	244
 Part V Time-Varying Systems Using ZG Control		
15	ZG Output Tracking of TVL System with DBZ Handled	249
15.1	Introduction	249
15.2	Problem Description	249
15.3	Design of Controllers	250
15.3.1	Design of Conventional Controller	250
15.3.2	Design of ZD Controller	251
15.3.3	Design of ZG Controller	251
15.4	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	252
15.5	Chapter Summary	256
	References	256
16	ZG Stabilization of TVL System with PDBZ Shown	257
16.1	Introduction	257
16.2	Problem Description	258
16.3	Design of ZD Controller	258
16.4	Design of ZG Controller	260
16.5	Simulation, Verification and Comparison	261
16.6	Chapter Summary	269
	References	270

17 ZG Output Tracking of TVL and TVN Systems 271

17.1 Introduction..... 271

17.2 Design of Controllers for TVL System..... 272

17.2.1 Design of ZD Controller for TVL System..... 272

17.2.2 Design of ZG Controller for TVL System..... 273

17.3 Design of Controllers for TVN System 273

17.3.1 Design of ZD Controller for TVN System 274

17.3.2 Design of ZG Controller for TVN System 274

17.4 Simulation, Verification and Comparison 275

17.5 Chapter Summary 279

References 280

Index..... 281

About the Authors

Yunong Zhang received his B.S. degree from Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, in 1996, M.S. degree from South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China, in 1999, and Ph.D. degree from Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China, in 2003. He is currently a Professor at School of Data and Computer Science, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. Before joining Sun Yat-sen University in 2006, he had been with National University of Singapore, University of Strathclyde, and National University of Ireland at Maynooth, since 2003. His main research interests include system control, neural dynamics/neural networks, robotics, computation, and optimization. He has been working on the researches and applications of neural dynamics/neural networks for 20 years. He has now published totally 562 scientific works of various types with the number of SCI citations being 2486 and the number of Google citations being 5560. These include 13 monographs/books, 153 SCI papers (with 72 SCI papers published in recent 5 years), 47 IEEE Transactions/Magazine papers, and 10 single-authored works, crosswise. He was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in Universities in 2007, was presented the Best Paper Award of ISSCAA in 2008 and the Best Paper Award of ICAL in 2011, and was among the Highly Cited Scholars of China selected and published by Elsevier from 2014 to 2019.

Binbin Qiu received his B.S. degree from Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou, China, in 2013, and Ph.D. degree from Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, in 2018. He is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow at School of Data and Computer Science, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. His main research interests include nonlinear systems, neural dynamics/neural networks, robotics, numerical computation, and optimization. He has authored/co-authored more than 60 scientific papers, including 23 SCI papers and 8 IEEE Transactions/Magazine papers, crosswise.

Xiaodong Li received his B.S. degree from Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China, in 1987, M.S. degree from Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, China, in 1990, and Ph.D. degree from City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, in 2007. He is currently a Professor at School of Intelligent Systems Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. His main research interests include intelligent control, 2D system theory, and artificial intelligence.

Acronyms

AFN	Affine-form nonlinear
DBZ	Division-by-zero
DI	Double-integrator
EF	Energy function
GD	Gradient dynamics
IOL	Input-output linearization
IPC	Inverted-pendulum-on-a-cart
LOF	Linear output function
MI	Multiple-integrator
MIMO	Multiple-input multiple-output
NOF	Nonlinear output function
ODE	Ordinary differential equation
PDBZ	Pseudo-DBZ
TDBZ	True-DBZ
TI	Triple-integrator
TVL	Time-varying linear
TVN	Time-varying nonlinear
UBIBS	Uniformly bounded-input bounded-state
ZD	Zhang dynamics
ZF	Zhang function
ZG	Zhang-gradient
ZNN	Zhang neural network

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Flowchart of controller design using ZG method for tracking control of MIMO nonlinear system	8
Fig. 2.1	Crash of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with conventional IOL controller (2.3) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.01$ when x_1 approaches zero. (a) Trajectory of x_1 . (b) Control input	19
Fig. 2.2	Tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (2.8) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 5$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	26
Fig. 2.3	Tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (2.11) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 5$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	27
Fig. 2.4	Effect of parameter γ on convergence error bound of absolute tracking error $ e $ for Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (2.11) to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 5$. (a) $ e $ in steady state with $\gamma = 10^3$. (b) $ e $ in steady state with $\gamma = 10^4$. (c) $ e $ in steady state with $\gamma = 10^5$. (d) $ e $ in steady state with $\gamma = 10^6$	27
Fig. 2.5	Tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (2.11) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.01$ encountering DBZ points. (a) Trajectory of x_1 . (b) Control input. (c) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (d) Absolute tracking error	28
Fig. 2.6	Tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (2.8) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.01$ encountering DBZ point. (a) Trajectory of x_1 . (b) Control input	28

Fig. 2.7	Tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with conventional IOL controller (2.3) for desired trajectory $y_d = 2 \cos(5t) + 3 \sin(2t)$ encountering DBZ point. (a) Trajectories of y , y_d and x_1 . (b) Control input. (c) Absolute tracking error. (d) System states	29
Fig. 2.8	Tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (2.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (2.11) for desired trajectory $y_d = 2 \cos(5t) + 3 \sin(2t)$ encountering many DBZ points. (a) Trajectories of y , y_d and x_1 . (b) Control input. (c) Absolute tracking error. (d) System states	30
Fig. 3.1	Synchronization performance between Lu chaotic system (2.1) and Chen chaotic system (3.1) equipped with three inputs and using z3g0 controller group (3.8). (a) Trajectories of x_{1r} and x_{1d} . (b) Trajectories of x_{2r} and x_{2d} . (c) Trajectories of x_{3r} and x_{3d} . (d) Three-dimensional trajectories. (e) Synchronization errors. (f) Orders of $ e_1 $, $ e_2 $ and $ e_3 $	41
Fig. 3.2	Synchronization performance between Lu chaotic system (2.1) and Chen chaotic system (3.1) equipped with three inputs and using z3g3 controller group (3.9). (a) Trajectories of x_{1r} and x_{1d} . (b) Trajectories of x_{2r} and x_{2d} . (c) Trajectories of x_{3r} and x_{3d} . (d) Three-dimensional trajectories. (e) Synchronization errors. (f) Orders of $ e_1 $, $ e_2 $ and $ e_3 $	42
Fig. 3.3	Synchronization performance between Lu chaotic system (2.1) and Chen chaotic system (3.10) equipped with two inputs and using z4g2 controller group (3.15). (a) Trajectories of x_{1r} and x_{1d} . (b) Trajectories of x_{2r} and x_{2d} . (c) Trajectories of x_{3r} and x_{3d} . (d) Three-dimensional trajectories. (e) Synchronization errors. (f) Orders of $ e_1 $, $ e_2 $ and $ e_3 $	46
Fig. 4.1	Crash of modified Lorenz nonlinear system (4.3) equipped with conventional IOL controller (4.4) for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(t) \sin(3t) + 3$. (a) Trajectory of x_1 . (b) Control input ...	59
Fig. 4.2	Tracking performance of modified Lorenz nonlinear system (4.3) equipped with ZG controller (4.11) via additive control input for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) System states. (b) Control input. (c) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (d) Absolute tracking error	59

Fig. 4.3	Tracking performance of modified Lorenz nonlinear system (4.3) equipped with ZG controller (4.11) via additive control input for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(t) \sin(3t) + 3$. (a) Trajectory of x_1 . (b) Control input's time derivative. (c) Control input. (d) System states. (e) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (f) Absolute tracking error	60
Fig. 4.4	Absolute tracking errors of modified Lorenz nonlinear system (4.3) equipped with ZG controller (4.27) disturbed by \tilde{d} via additive control input for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(t) \sin(3t) + 3$, with $ e $ shown in subfigures (e) and (f) suppressed by increasing γ value. (a) With $\tilde{d} = 0$. (b) With $\tilde{d} = 500$. (c) With $\tilde{d} = 5 \times 10^3$. (d) With $\tilde{d} = 5 \times 10^4$. (e) With $\tilde{d} = 5 \times 10^4$ but suppressed. (f) With $\tilde{d} = 5 \times 10^4$ but suppressed more	62
Fig. 4.5	Tracking performance of modified Lorenz nonlinear system (4.28) equipped with ZG controller group (4.33) for desired trajectories $y_{1d} = \sin(t) \cos(t)$ and $y_{2d} = \sin(t) + 1.01$. (a) System states. (b) Trajectories of x_1 and x_2 . (c) Output trajectory y_1 and desired trajectory y_{1d} . (d) Output trajectory y_2 and desired trajectory y_{2d}	65
Fig. 4.6	Mixed control inputs and absolute tracking errors of modified Lorenz nonlinear system (4.28) equipped with ZG controller group (4.33) for desired trajectories $y_{1d} = \sin(t) \cos(t)$ and $y_{2d} = \sin(t) + 1.01$. (a) Control inputs. (b) Absolute tracking errors.....	66
Fig. 5.1	Tracking performance of Brockett integrator (5.1) equipped with z2g0 controller group (5.4) and z2g1 controller group (5.5), respectively, for desired trajectories $y_{1d} = \sin(t) - 2$ and $y_{2d} = \cos(t)$. (a) Output trajectories with z2g0 controller group (5.4) and desired trajectories. (b) Output trajectories with z2g1 controller group (5.5) and desired trajectories. (c) Tracking errors with z2g0 controller group (5.4). (d) Tracking errors with z2g1 controller group (5.5)	77
Fig. 5.2	Tracking performance of Brockett integrator (5.1) equipped with z2g0 controller group (5.4) and z2g1 controller group (5.5), respectively, for desired trajectories $y_{1d} = \sin(t)$ and $y_{2d} = \cos(t) \exp(-t/20)$. (a) Output trajectories with z2g0 controller group (5.4) and desired trajectories. (b) Output trajectories with z2g1 controller group (5.5) and desired trajectories. (c) Trajectory of x_1 with z2g0 controller group (5.4). (d) Trajectory of x_1 with z2g1 controller group (5.5)	78

Fig. 5.3	Tracking errors of Brockett integrator (5.1) equipped with z2g1 controller group (5.5) for desired trajectories $y_{1d} = \sin(t) - \kappa_i$, with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and $y_{2d} = \cos(t)$. (a) With $\kappa_1 = 1.01$. (b) With $\kappa_2 = 2$. (c) With $\kappa_3 = 5$. (d) With $\kappa_4 = 10$	79
Fig. 6.1	Successful computer simulation with ZG controller (6.8) applied to DI system (6.2) for output $y = x_1$ to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + \cos(t)$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) System states. (c) Control input. (d) Absolute tracking error	86
Fig. 6.2	Absolute tracking errors with different values of parameter λ for ZG controller (6.8) applied to DI system (6.2), where output $y = x_1$ tracks desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + \cos(t)$. (a) With $\lambda = 10$. (b) With $\lambda = 50$. (c) With $\lambda = 100$. (d) With $\lambda = 200$	87
Fig. 6.3	Successful computer simulation with ZG controller (6.10) applied to DI system (6.9) for output $y = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \exp(-0.1t) + 2$ using ode15s with option “RelTol=1e-8” in comparison with Fig. 6.5. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) System states. (c) Control input. (d) Absolute tracking error	89
Fig. 6.4	Successful computer simulation with ZG controller (6.10) applied to DI system (6.9) for output $y = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 2$ using ode45 with option “MaxStep=1e-3” (i.e., upper bound on solver stepsize is 10^{-3}) in comparison with Fig. 6.6. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) System states. (c) Control input. (d) Absolute tracking error	90
Fig. 6.5	Failed computer simulation with ZG controller (6.10) applied to DI system (6.9) for output $y = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \exp(-0.1t) + 2$ using ode15s with option “AbsTol=1e-8”. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) System states. (c) Control input. (d) Absolute tracking error	91
Fig. 6.6	Failed computer simulation with ZG controller (6.10) applied to DI system (6.9) for output $y = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 2$ using ode45 with option “RelTol=1e-8”. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) System states. (c) Control input. (d) Absolute tracking error	92

Fig. 6.7	Tracking performance of system (6.1) equipped with ZG controller (6.11) for output $y = x_1 x_2$ to track desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \exp(-0.1t) + 2$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) System states. (c) Control input. (d) Absolute tracking error	96
Fig. 7.1	Output trajectories and control inputs of TI system (7.2) equipped with z3g0 controller (7.3) and z3g1 controller (7.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(2t) \cos(2t)$. (a) Output trajectory with z3g0 controller (7.3) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z3g1 controller (7.4) and desired trajectory. (c) Control input with z3g0 controller (7.3). (d) Control input with z3g1 controller (7.4)	110
Fig. 7.2	Tracking errors of TI system (7.2) equipped with z3g0 controller (7.3) and z3g1 controller (7.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(2t) \cos(2t)$. (a) Tracking error with z3g0 controller (7.3). (b) Tracking error with z3g1 controller (7.4). (c) Order of $ e $ with z3g0 controller (7.3). (d) Order of $ e $ with z3g1 controller (7.4).....	111
Fig. 7.3	Output trajectories, control inputs and absolute tracking errors of TI system (7.2) equipped with z3g0 controller (7.6) and z3g1 controller (7.7), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Output trajectory with z3g0 controller (7.6) and desired trajectory. (b) Order of $ e $ with z3g0 controller (7.6). (c) Control input with z3g0 controller (7.6). (d) Output trajectory with z3g1 controller (7.7) and desired trajectory. (e) Order of $ e $ with z3g1 controller (7.7). (f) Control input with z3g1 controller (7.7).....	113
Fig. 7.4	Output trajectories and absolute tracking errors of TI system (7.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (7.24) with $y = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ and z1g1 controller (7.25) with $y = x_1 x_2 x_3$, respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \exp(-0.1t) + 2$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (7.24) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z1g1 controller (7.25) and desired trajectory. (c) Order of $ e $ with z2g1 controller (7.24). (d) Order of $ e $ with z1g1 controller (7.25)	116
Fig. 7.5	Absolute tracking errors of quadruple-integrator system equipped with z4g0 controller (7.26) and z4g1 controller (7.27), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Order of $ e $ with z4g0 controller (7.26). (b) Order of $ e $ with z4g1 controller (7.27)	117

Fig. 7.6	Output trajectories and absolute tracking errors of quintuple-integrator system equipped with z4g0 controller (7.28) and z4g1 controller (7.29), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(t) + 2$. (a) Output trajectory with z4g0 controller (7.28) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z4g1 controller (7.29) and desired trajectory. (c) Order of $ e $ with z4g0 controller (7.28). (d) Order of $ e $ with z4g1 controller (7.29)	118
Fig. 8.1	Schematic of simple pendulum system	124
Fig. 8.2	Tracking performance of simple pendulum system (8.1) equipped with z2g0 controller (8.2) for explicit tracking control with desired trajectories (8.3) and (8.4), respectively. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory (8.3). (b) Output trajectory and desired trajectory (8.4). (c) Tracking error with desired trajectory (8.3). (d) Tracking error with desired trajectory (8.4)	126
Fig. 8.3	Tracking performance and crash of simple pendulum system (8.1) equipped with z1g0 controller (8.5) for DBZ-containing implicit tracking control with desired trajectory (8.3). (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Tracking error. (c) System states. (d) Control input	127
Fig. 8.4	Tracking performance of simple pendulum system (8.1) equipped with z1g1 controller (8.6) for DBZ-containing implicit tracking control with desired trajectories (8.3) and (8.4), respectively. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory (8.3). (b) Output trajectory and desired trajectory (8.4). (c) Tracking error with desired trajectory (8.3). (d) Tracking error with desired trajectory (8.4). (e) System states and control input with desired trajectory (8.3). (f) System states and control input with desired trajectory (8.4).....	128
Fig. 8.5	Tracking performance of simple pendulum system (8.1) equipped with z1g1 controller (8.7) for DBZ-containing implicit tracking control with desired trajectory (8.3), which gets through DBZ point of $\alpha_1 = 0$ successfully. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Tracking error. (c) System states and control input. (d) Trajectory of α_1	129
Fig. 9.1	Schematic of IPC system	133
Fig. 9.2	Block diagram of circuit implementation for z2g1 controller (9.7)	139

Fig. 9.3	Output trajectories and control inputs of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (9.5) and z2g1 controller (9.7), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(\pi t/10)$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (9.5) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (9.7) and desired trajectory. (c) Control input with z2g0 controller (9.5). (d) Control input with z2g1 controller (9.7)	147
Fig. 9.4	Tracking errors of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (9.5) and z2g1 controller (9.7), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(\pi t/10)$. (a) Tracking error with z2g0 controller (9.5). (b) Tracking error with z2g1 controller (9.7). (c) Order of $ e $ with z2g0 controller (9.5). (d) Order of $ e $ with z2g1 controller (9.7)	148
Fig. 9.5	Output trajectories and absolute tracking errors of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (9.6) and z2g1 controller (9.8), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \exp(-t/5) + 0.12$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (9.6) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (9.8) and desired trajectory. (c) Order of $ e $ with z2g0 controller (9.6). (d) Order of $ e $ with z2g1 controller (9.8)	149
Fig. 9.6	Control inputs and trajectories of denominator α_5 of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (9.6) and z2g1 controller (9.8), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \exp(-t/5) + 0.12$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (9.6). (b) Control input with z2g1 controller (9.8). (c) Trajectory of α_5 with z2g0 controller (9.6). (d) Trajectory of α_5 with z2g1 controller (9.8)	150
Fig. 9.7	Output trajectories and absolute tracking errors of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (9.6) and z2g1 controller (9.8), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \cos(t) + 0.25$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (9.6) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (9.8) and desired trajectory. (c) Order of $ e $ with z2g0 controller (9.6). (d) Order of $ e $ with z2g1 controller (9.8)	151
Fig. 9.8	Control inputs and trajectories of denominator α_5 for IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (9.6) and z2g1 controller (9.8), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) \cos(t) + 0.25$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (9.6). (b) Control input with z2g1 controller (9.8). (c) Trajectory of α_5 with z2g0 controller (9.6). (d) Trajectory of α_5 with z2g1 controller (9.8)	152

Fig. 9.9	Output trajectories, control inputs and tracking errors of IPC system in Remark 9.1 respectively equipped with z2g0 controller and z2g1 controller for explicit tracking control, shown in Table 9.3, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(\pi t/10)$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller and desired trajectory. (c) Control input with z2g0 controller. (d) Control input with z2g1 controller. (e) Tracking error with z2g0 controller. (f) Tracking error with z2g1 controller	154
Fig. 9.10	Tracking errors with different values of design parameters for IPC system in Remark 9.1 equipped with z2g1 controller for explicit tracking control, shown in Table 9.3, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(\pi t/10)$. (a) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 8$ and $\gamma = 10$. (b) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 8$ and $\gamma = 20$. (c) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 15$ and $\gamma = 20$. (d) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 15$ and $\gamma = 40$	155
Fig. 10.1	Output trajectory, control input and tracking error of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (10.4) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.1\pi t) \cos(0.2\pi t)$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Control input. (c) Tracking error. (d) Order of $ e $	168
Fig. 10.2	Output trajectory, control input and tracking error of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (10.5) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.1\pi t) \cos(0.2\pi t)$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Control input. (c) Tracking error. (d) Order of $ e $	169
Fig. 10.3	Output trajectories and tracking errors of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (10.4) and z2g1 controller (10.5), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.3\pi \cos(0.5t) \exp(-0.2t)$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (10.4) and desired trajectory. (b) Tracking error with z2g0 controller (10.4). (c) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (10.5) and desired trajectory. (d) Tracking error with z2g1 controller (10.5)	170
Fig. 10.4	Control inputs and trajectories of denominator $\cos x_3$ of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (10.4) and z2g1 controller (10.5), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.3\pi \cos(0.5t) \exp(-0.2t)$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (10.4). (b) Trajectory of $\cos x_3$ with z2g0 controller (10.4). (c) Control input with z2g1 controller (10.5). (d) Trajectory of $\cos x_3$ with z2g1 controller (10.5)	171

Fig. 10.5	Output trajectories and control inputs of IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (10.4) and z2g1 controller (10.5), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.5(\sin(t) + \cos(0.5\pi t))$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (10.4) and desired trajectory. (b) Control input with z2g0 controller (10.4). (c) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (10.5) and desired trajectory. (d) Control input with z2g1 controller (10.5).....	172
Fig. 10.6	Absolute tracking errors with different small values of design parameters for IPC system (9.2) equipped with z2g1 controller (10.5) for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.5(\sin(t) + \cos(0.5\pi t))$. (a) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 12$ and $\gamma = 30$. (b) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 12$ and $\gamma = 45$. (c) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 16$ and $\gamma = 45$. (d) With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 16$ and $\gamma = 60$	173
Fig. 11.1	Crash of AFN system (11.12) equipped with conventional IOL controller (11.2) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.05$ encountering DBZ point. (a) Trajectory of $L_h L_g^2 y$. (b) Control input	189
Fig. 11.2	Tracking performance of AFN system (11.12) equipped with GD-aided IOL controller (11.5) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.05$ encountering DBZ points. (a) Trajectory of $L_h L_g^2 y$. (b) Control input. (c) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (d) Absolute tracking error	190
Fig. 11.3	Absolute tracking errors of AFN system (11.12) equipped with GD-aided IOL controller (11.5) using different values of parameter γ for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.05$ encountering DBZ points. (a) With $\gamma = 10^2$. (b) With $\gamma = 10^3$. (c) With $\gamma = 10^4$. (d) With $\gamma = 10^5$	191
Fig. 12.1	Trajectory-generation performance of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g0 controller (12.3) and z2g1 controller (12.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (12.3) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (12.4) and desired trajectory. (c) System states with z2g0 controller (12.3). (d) System states with z2g1 controller (12.4). (e) Absolute tracking error with z2g0 controller (12.3). (f) Absolute tracking error with z2g1 controller (12.4)	199

Fig. 12.2	Control inputs of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g0 controller (12.3) and z2g1 controller (12.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (12.3). (b) Control input with z2g1 controller (12.4)	200
Fig. 12.3	Trajectory-generation performance of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g0 controller (12.3) and z2g1 controller (12.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(2t) \exp(0.1t)$. (a) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (12.3) and desired trajectory. (b) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (12.4) and desired trajectory. (c) System states with z2g0 controller (12.3). (d) System states with z2g1 controller (12.4). (e) Absolute tracking error with z2g0 controller (12.3). (f) Absolute tracking error with z2g1 controller (12.4)	201
Fig. 12.4	Control inputs of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g0 controller (12.3) and z2g1 controller (12.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(2t) \exp(0.1t)$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (12.3). (b) Control input with z2g1 controller (12.4)	202
Fig. 12.5	Absolute tracking errors of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g1 controller (12.4) using different values of ZD design parameter λ for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Absolute tracking error with $\lambda = 5$. (b) Absolute tracking error with $\lambda = 10$. (c) Absolute tracking error with $\lambda = 20$. (d) Absolute tracking error with $\lambda = 30$	202
Fig. 12.6	Control inputs of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g1 controller (12.4) using different values of ZD design parameter λ for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Control input with $\lambda = 5$. (b) Control input with $\lambda = 10$. (c) Control input with $\lambda = 20$. (d) Control input with $\lambda = 30$	203
Fig. 12.7	Absolute tracking errors of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g1 controller (12.4) using different values of GD design parameter γ for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(2t) \exp(0.1t)$. (a) Absolute tracking error with $\gamma = 10$. (b) Absolute tracking error with $\gamma = 10^3$. (c) Absolute tracking error with $\gamma = 10^5$. (d) Absolute tracking error with $\gamma = 10^7$	204

Fig. 12.8	Control inputs of Van der Pol oscillator (12.1) equipped with z2g1 controller (12.4) using different values of GD design parameter γ for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(2t) \exp(0.1t)$. (a) Control input with $\gamma = 10$. (b) Control input with $\gamma = 10^3$. (c) Control input with $\gamma = 10^5$. (d) Control input with $\gamma = 10^7$	205
Fig. 13.1	Tracking performance of AFN system (13.14) equipped with IOL, ZD and ZG controllers, respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Control inputs. (b) Trajectories of $L_h L_g y$. (c) Output trajectories and desired trajectory. (d) Absolute tracking errors	218
Fig. 13.2	Tracking performance of AFN system (13.15) equipped with IOL, ZD and ZG controllers, respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t)$. (a) Control inputs. (b) Trajectories of $L_h L_g y$. (c) Output trajectories and desired trajectory. (d) Absolute tracking errors	220
Fig. 13.3	Chaotic characteristic and tracking performance of Lu chaotic system (13.16) equipped with IOL, ZD and ZG controllers, respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(t) + 1.05$. (a) Chaotic characteristic. (b) Trajectories of $L_h L_g y$. (c) Output trajectories and desired trajectory. (d) Absolute tracking errors	221
Fig. 14.1	Tracking performance of system (14.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (14.3) and z2g1 controller (14.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.5t)$. (a) System states with z2g0 controller (14.3). (b) System states with z2g1 controller (14.4). (c) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (14.3) and desired trajectory. (d) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (14.4) and desired trajectory. (e) Absolute tracking error with z2g0 controller (14.3). (f) Absolute tracking error with z2g1 controller (14.4)	235
Fig. 14.2	Control inputs of system (14.2) equipped with z2g0 controller (14.3) and z2g1 controller (14.4), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.5t)$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (14.3). (b) Control input with z2g1 controller (14.4)	236
Fig. 14.3	Trajectories of denominator α_3 for system (14.5) equipped with z2g0 controller (14.9) and z2g1 controller (14.10), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.5 \sin(3t) + 0.25$. (a) Trajectory of α_3 with z2g0 controller (14.9). (b) Trajectory of α_3 with z2g1 controller (14.10)	238

Fig. 14.4	Tracking performance of system (14.5) equipped with z2g0 controller (14.9) and z2g1 controller (14.10), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.5 \sin(3t) + 0.25$. (a) System states with z2g0 controller (14.9). (b) System states with z2g1 controller (14.10). (c) Output trajectory with z2g0 controller (14.9) and desired trajectory. (d) Output trajectory with z2g1 controller (14.10) and desired trajectory. (e) Absolute tracking error with z2g0 controller (14.9). (f) Absolute tracking error with z2g1 controller (14.10)	239
Fig. 14.5	Control inputs of system (14.5) equipped with z2g0 controller (14.9) and z2g1 controller (14.10), respectively, for desired trajectory $y_d = 0.5 \sin(3t) + 0.25$. (a) Control input with z2g0 controller (14.9). (b) Control input with z2g1 controller (14.10)	240
Fig. 14.6	Schematic diagram of two-wheeled mobile robot.....	241
Fig. 14.7	Tracking performance of two-wheeled mobile robot (14.11) equipped with ZG controller group (14.15) for desired circular trajectory (14.16). (a) Actual trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking errors. (c) State variables. (d) Translational velocity and angular velocity	243
Fig. 15.1	Tracking performance of TVL system (15.9) equipped with conventional controller (15.2) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.5t)$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	252
Fig. 15.2	Tracking performance of TVL system (15.9) equipped with ZD controller (15.6) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.5t)$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	253
Fig. 15.3	Tracking performance of TVL system (15.9) equipped with ZG controller (15.8) for desired trajectory $y_d = \sin(0.5t)$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	253
Fig. 15.4	Tracking performance of TVL system (15.10) equipped with conventional controller (15.2) for desired trajectory $y_d = 10 \sin(2t) + 0.5t$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	254
Fig. 15.5	Tracking performance of TVL system (15.10) equipped with ZD controller (15.6) for desired trajectory $y_d = 10 \sin(2t) + 0.5t$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error	255

Fig. 15.6	Tracking performance of TVL system (15.10) equipped with ZG controller (15.8) for desired output trajectory $y_d = 10 \sin(2t) + 0.5t$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error. (c) System states. (d) Control input	255
Fig. 16.1	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZD controller (16.10) using $w = 0.2$ rad/s. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2	262
Fig. 16.2	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZG controller (16.17) using $w = 0.2$ rad/s. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2	263
Fig. 16.3	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZD controller (16.10) using $w = 2$ rad/s. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2	264
Fig. 16.4	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZG controller (16.17) using $w = 2$ rad/s. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2	265
Fig. 16.5	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZD controller (16.10) using $w = 20$ rad/s. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2	266
Fig. 16.6	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZG controller (16.17) using $w = 20$ rad/s. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2	267
Fig. 16.7	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZD controller (16.10) using $w = 2$ rad/s when specially simulated to DBZ time instant. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2 ...	268
Fig. 16.8	Stabilization performance of TVL system (16.1) equipped with ZG controller (16.17) using $w = 2$ rad/s when specially simulated to DBZ time instant. (a) System state x_1 . (b) System state x_2 . (c) Control input. (d) Square sum of x_1 and x_2 ...	269
Fig. 17.1	Tracking performance of TVL system (17.1) equipped with ZD controller (17.4) for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(t) + \sin(2t) + 2$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error. (c) Control input. (d) Control input from beginning to DBZ time instant $t \approx 5.236$ s	276

Fig. 17.2	Tracking performance of TVL system (17.1) equipped with ZG controller (17.5) for desired trajectory $y_d = \cos(t) + \sin(2t) + 2$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error. (c) Control input. (d) Control input from beginning to DBZ time instant $t \approx 5.236$ s	277
Fig. 17.3	Tracking performance of TVN system (17.6) equipped with ZD controller (17.8) for desired trajectory $y_d = \exp(\sin(t))$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error. (c) Control input. (d) Control input from beginning to DBZ time instant $t \approx 5.236$ s	278
Fig. 17.4	Tracking performance of TVN system (17.6) equipped with ZG controller (17.9) for desired trajectory $y_d = \exp(\sin(t))$. (a) Output trajectory and desired trajectory. (b) Absolute tracking error. (c) Control input. (d) Control input from beginning to DBZ time instant $t \approx 5.236$ s	279

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Conventional IOL controllers and DBZ problems of Lorenz, Chen and Lu chaotic systems with single control input	19
Table 2.2	Tracking controllers designed by ZG method for Lorenz, Chen and Lu chaotic systems with single control input	21
Table 5.1	ZD and ZG Controller groups with different output combinations.....	73
Table 5.2	Maximal steady-state tracking errors of Brockett integrator (5.1) equipped with z2g1 controller group (5.5) for desired trajectories $y_{1d} = \sin(t) - \kappa_i$, with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and $y_{2d} = \cos(t)$	80
Table 6.1	Statistics of failed and successful simulation tests	93
Table 8.1	Parameter values of simple pendulum system	125
Table 9.1	Parameter values of IPC system	134
Table 9.2	Controllers of z2g0 and z2g1 types for explicit and implicit tracking control of IPC system with pendulum rod being zero mass	137
Table 9.3	Controllers of z2g0 and z2g1 types for explicit and implicit tracking control of IPC system with pendulum rod being nonzero mass	138