# Lecture Notes in Computer Science

Edited by G. Goos and J. Hartmanis

Advisory Board: W. Brauer D. Gries J. Stoer



# Information Processing in Medical Imaging

13th International Conference, IPMI '93 Flagstaff, Arizona, USA, June 14-18, 1993 Proceedings

## Springer-Verlag

Berlin Heidelberg New York London Paris Tokyo Hong Kong Barcelona Budapest Series Editors

Gerhard Goos Universität Karlsruhe Postfach 69 80 Vincenz-Priessnitz-Straße 1 W-7500 Karlsruhe, FRG Juris Hartmanis Cornell University Department of Computer Science 4130 Upson Hall Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Volume Editors

Harrison H. Barrett Arthur F. Gmitro Department of Radiology, Arizona Health Sciences Center The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85724, USA

CR Subject Classification (1991): I.4, I.2.5-6, J.3

ISBN 3-540-56800-X Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0-387-56800-X Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1993 Printed in Germany

Typesetting: Camera ready by author Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 45/3140-543210 - Printed on acid-free paper

#### PREFACE

The thirteenth biennial International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging (IPMI) was held June 14-18, 1993, on the campus of Northern Arizona University in Flagstaff, Arizona. Following the highly successful 1991 IPMI in Kent, England, this conference was the latest in a series of unique and important meetings where new developments in the acquisition, analysis and utilization of medical images are presented, discussed, dissected and extended. Over the last two decades IPMI has evolved along with the field it represents. Today it is widely recognized as a preeminent international forum for presentation of cutting-edge research in medical imaging and image analysis.

The topics discussed at IPMI are always new, often controversial and occasionally revolutionary. They are vital to virtually every medical specialty. To the radiologist analyzing an angiogram, to the cardiologist assessing myocardial viability, to the surgeon performing a needle biopsy, to the oncologist planning a course of radiation therapy, image is everything. Without the modern science of medical imaging, these specialists would be groping in the dark.

It is difficult to think of a field more dynamic, more rapidly evolving than medical imaging. Barely two decades ago, computed tomography came on the clinical scene, truly revolutionizing the practice of radiology. Yet this revolution was short-lived; a second revolution, magnetic resonance imaging, followed close on its heels. Computerized ultrasound, singlephoton emission tomography, positron emission tomography, digital subtraction angiography -- the litany of vital medical techniques that did not exist a mere quarter-century ago is a long one.

All of these exciting developments were made possible by an exquisite synergy of mathematics, physics, and computer science, precisely the domain of IPMI. In a field as complex as this one, it is essential that researchers and practitioners from many disciplines and from around the world come together to share insights and ideas. Virtually any past attendee at an IPMI would attest that there is no better forum for such interchanges.

One thing that sets IPMI apart from other meetings is its free, informal spirit. A first-time IPMI attendee is certain to be amazed at one unique rule: discussion is never limited for any reason. It is not uncommon for debate to rage on for an hour or two after a presentation, to continue into the wee hours and then to be resumed the next morning. Neither preconceived schedules nor arbitrary decisions by a session chair nor even dinner can stem the flow of ideas and viewpoints at an IPMI. Another ingredient that distinguishes IPMI from other meetings in medical imaging is its emphasis on information processing. Image is information, but extraction and effective use of this information is far from trivial. The processing of this information may be carried out by a human observer, a 3D reconstruction algorithm, a neural network for pattern recognition or a machine-vision system that distinguishes organs by analyzing their shape. All of these approaches are well represented at every IPMI.

This volume contains the text of the papers presented orally at IPMI XIII. Over 100 full manuscripts were submitted, of which only 35 could be accepted for oral presentation. Each manuscript was critically reviewed by two or more members of the scientific committee, and many excellent contributions had to be rejected or presented only as posters. The 35 papers that survived this highly competitive process represent a broad cross-section of current research in the field. In this volume they are arranged into nine categories, corresponding to the sessions at the meeting.

The first two sessions are broadly designated as shape description. Analysis of a medical image requires a compact, easily manipulable description of the major organs and other objects in the image. This description can be based on identifying landmarks in the image and fitting them to models of the objects involved, as in most of the papers in the first session. The result is both a segmentation of the image into regions representing major organs and a parameterized description of the shape of the organs. This approach has been particularly effective for the brain and heart, where realistic models are readily constructed.

The second session deals with more abstract, mathematical approaches to shape description. A prominent concept here is that of scale space, where a given image is processed at different scales or levels of resolution. Mathematical techniques from differential geometry, topology and graph theory are brought to bear in this session.

The objectives of shape description are further pursued in session 3, where the image data are supplemented with other medical knowledge for object recognition, visualization and registration. The papers in session 4 apply artificial neural networks to these same problems.

Session 5 is labelled with the catch-all phrase "novel imaging methods", though admittedly this designation could be applied to virtually any IPMI paper. Two of the papers in this session deal with new modalities (electrical impedance tomography and optical tomography) that hold considerable promise but have not yet had significant clinical impact. The other two deal with magnetic resonance imaging, a modality that has made

a dramatic transition from novel to conventional in barely over a decade. Still, the two papers on this modality here amply demonstrate that the potential for novel approaches is hardly exhausted.

Session 6 deals with tomographic image reconstruction, largely in the context of emission tomography (PET and SPECT). Two dominant themes that emerge are Bayesian estimation and accurate system modelling.

Session 7 focusses on sequences of images such as obtained in a dynamic cardiac study. The uses of Bayesian methods and image registration recapitulate concepts from earlier session, while factor analysis is introduced here for the first time in the conference.

Sessions 8 and 9 are essentially statistical in nature, 8 dealing with statistical pattern recognition and 9 with statistical approaches to the objective assessment of image quality. The essential unity of these two fields is emphasized nicely by the paper by Wagner et al., which could equally be classified as pattern recognition or image quality.

It is worth commenting on the aspects of medical imaging that are *not* represented here. First, there is virtually no mention of radiation detectors or imaging hardware. In a way, this is not surprising since the conference theme is information processing rather than data acquisition. Still, the editors cannot escape the feeling that important progress could be made by viewing data acquisition and information extraction more holistically.

Second, there is little discussion of clinical validation of the methods proposed. It could be argued that these aspects are better treated in a more clinically oriented forum such as the meeting of the Radiological Society of North America or the Society of Nuclear Medicine, but there is always a risk if our mathematically oriented enterprises are not regularly brought back to clinical reality. For many of the papers the reader is left to wonder just how the proposed mathod will impact clinical medicine and whether any objective benefit can ever be established.

Finally, there is no discussion of methods of image archiving, storage and retrieval, aspects usually lumped under the rubric PACS or the broader HIS/PACS (hospital information systems/ picture archiving, communication and storage). Though a comprehensive meeting on medical imaging would clearly include such aspects, the essential issues are rather different from the IPMI theme.

Tucson, Arizona

Harrison H. Barrett

March, 1993

Arthur F. Gmitro

#### FRANCOIS ERBSMANN PRIZE

Francois Erbsmann, one of the founders of IPMI, died tragically soon after the first IPMI. In his honor a cash award is given at each meeting for the best paper presented by a young scientist making his or her first IPMI presentation. The winner of this prestigious award at IPMI XII in 1991 was:

> Dr. H. Isil Bozma Yale University New Haven, Connecticut

Congratulations to Dr. Bozma for this outstanding accomplishment.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IPMI XIII would not have been possible without the dedicated efforts of many people. The organizers are particulary grateful to Jane Lockwood, Deborah Spargur and Lynn Mascarella of the University of Arizona for their assistance. Ms. Lockwood, with the able assistance of Ms. Spargur, did a spectacular job of handling the review process and assembling the manuscripts for this volume, while Ms. Mascarella and her staff at the Office of Continuing Medical Education handled the mailings and many of the budgetary matters. We also thank Marcy Biesemeyer and her staff at Northern Arizona University for capably handling the local arrangements. The conference intern at N. A. U., Stephanie Truitt, was especially helpful.

We are very appreciative of the efficient and critical reviews contributed by members of the Scientific Committee. We also thank the many researchers who submitted manuscripts for the meeting, with a special nod and request for indulgence to those whose manuscripts could not be accepted for lack of space. The IPMI rejects this year were probably equal in quality to most papers on medical imaging presented at other venues, and we are thankful that so many of these rejected authors shared their work through IPMI posters.

#### **SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE**

Simon R. Arridge Department of Computer Science University College London London United Kingdom

Stephen L. Bacharach Department of Nuclear Medicine National Institutes of Health Bethesda, MD USA

Harrison H. Barrett Department of Radiology University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona USA

Yves Bizais Faculte de Medecine, HGRL University Hospital Nantes Nantes France

Fred L. Bookstein Center for Human Growth & Development University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI USA

A. Bertrand Brill Department of Nuclear Medicine Univ. of Massachusetts Medical Center Worcester, MA USA

Arthur Burgess Center for Imaging Science Rochester Institute of Technology Rochester, NY USA

Chin-Tu Chen Franklin McLean Institute University of Chicago Chicago, IL USA

James M. Coggins Department of Computer Science University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC USA Alan Colchester Department of Neurology London UMDS, Guy's Hospital United Kingdom

William J. Dallas Department of Radiology University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona USA

Frank G. Deconinck Department of Computer Science Vrije Universiteit Brussel Brussels Belgium

Michel DeFrise Division of Nuclear Medicine Vrije Universiteit Brussel Brussels Belgium

Robert DiPaola INSERM Villejuif France

James S. Duncan Department of Diagnostic Radiology Yale University New Haven, CT USA

Guido Gerig Communication Technology Laboratory ETH-Zurich Zurich Switzerland

Gene R. Gindi Department of Radiology State University of New York Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY USA

Arthur F. Gmitro Department of Radiology University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona USA Michael L. Goris Division of Nuclear Medicine Stanford University Medical Center Stanford, CA USA

Kees de Graaf Utrecht University Hospital Utrecht The Netherlands

Kenneth M. Hanson Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM USA

David J. Hawkes Department of Radiological Sciences UMDS, Guy's Hospital London United Kingdom

Karl-Heinz Höhne Institut fur Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung in Medizin Hamburg Germany

Valen E. Johnson Department of Statistics Duke University Durham, NC USA

Nicholas Lange Division of Biology and Medicine Brown University Providence, RI USA

Richard M. Leahy Department of Electrical Engineering University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA USA

Robert M. Lewitt Medical Image Processing Group University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA USA

Jorge Llacer Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, CA USA Charles E. Metz Radiology Department University of Chicago Chicago, Il USA

Douglas A. Ortendahl Radiologic Imaging Laboratory University of California South San Francisco, CA USA

Charles Pelizzari Department of Radiation and Cellular Oncology University of Chicago Chicago, IL USA

Stephen M. Pizer Department of Computer Science University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC USA

Jannick P. Rolland Department of Computer Science University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC USA

Peter Schmidlin Institut fur Radiologie und Pathophysiologie Deutsche Krebsforschungszentrum Heidelberg Germany

Manbir Singh Biomedical Engineering Department University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA USA

Andrew Todd-Pokropek Department of Medical Physics University College London London United Kingdom

Max A. Viergever Utrecht University Hospital Utrecht The Netherlands

Robert Wagner Center for Devices & Radiological Health Food and Drug Administration Rockville, MD USA

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Shape Description with Deformable Models
A Feature Space for Derivatives of Deformations F.L. Bookstein, W.D.K. Green
Non-Rigid Motion Analysis in Medical Images: a Physically Based Approach C. Nastar, N. Ayache
The Use of Active Shape Models for Locating Structures in Medical Images T.F. Cootes A. Hill, C.I. Taylor, I. Haslam
Parameterized Feasible Boundaries in Gradient Vector Fields M. Worring, A.W.M. Smeulders, L.H. Staib, J.S. Duncan
Multi-Resolution Stochastic 3D Shape Models for Image Segmentation B.C. Vemuri, A. Radisavljevic, C.M. Leonard
2. Abstract Shape Description
Higher Order Differential Structure of Images B.M. ter Haar Romeny, L.M.J. Florack, A.H. Salden, M.A. Viergever 77
Symbolic Description of 3-D Structures Applied to Cerebral Vessel Tree Obtained from MR Angiography Volume Data G. Gerig, Th. Koller, G. Szekely, Ch. Brechbühler, O. Kübler
Multiscale Medial Analysis of Medical Images B.S. Morse, S.M. Pizer, A. Liu
Arrangement: A Spatial Relation Comparing Part Embeddings and Its Use in Medical Image Comparisons H.D. Tagare, F. Vos, C.C. Jaffe, J.S. Duncan
Characterizing First and Second Order Patches Using Geometry-Limited Diffusion <i>R.T. Whitaker</i>

### XIV

## 3. Knowledge-Based Systems

Spatial Knowledge Representation for Visualization of Human Anatomy and Function	
R. Schubert, K.H. Höhne, A. Pommert, M. Riemer, Th. Schiemann, U. Tiede	168
A Strategy for Automated Multimodality Image Registration Incorporating Anatomical Knowledge and Imager Characteristics D.L.G. Hill, D.J. Hawkes, N. Harrison, C.F. Ruff	182
Model-Based Recognition of Anatomical Objects from Medical Images G.P. Robinson, A.C.F. Colchester, L.D. Griffin	197
4. Neural Networks	
A Multiscale Approach to Image Segmentation Using	
S. Haring, M.A. Viergever, J.N. Kok	212
Segmentation of Magnetic Resonance Brain Images Using Analog Constraint Satisfaction Neural Networks A. I. Worth, D. N. Kennedy	225
<i>I.o. Holm, D.O.</i> Ronnouy	<i>4</i>
5. Novel Imaging Methods	
Fast, Non-Linear Inversion for Electrical Impedance TomographyK. Paulson, W. Lionheart, M. Pidcock	244
Inverse Methods for Optical Tomography S.R. Arridge, M. Schweiger	259
Feature-Guided Acquisition and Reconstruction of MR Images Y. Cao, D.N. Levin	278
Reconstruction of a Three-Dimensional Volume from a Motion- Corrupted Two-Dimensional Data Set in Magnetic Resonance Imaging W.E. Smith, J.K. Riek, A. Murat Tekalp	293

## xv

# 6. Tomographic Reconstruction

## 8. Statistical Pattern Recognition

Using Statistical Pattern Recognition Techniques to Control Variable Conductance Diffusion	
T.S. Yoo, J.M. Coggins	459
Adaptive Noise Equalization and Image Analysis in Mammography N. Karssemeijer	472
Continuous Voxel Classification by Stochastic Relaxation: Theory and Application to MR Imaging and MR Angiography D. Vandermeulen, R. Verbeeck, L. Berben, P. Suetens, G. Marchal	487

## 9. Image Quality

Multivariate Gaussian Pattern Classification: Effects of Finite Sample Size and the Addition of Correlated or Noisy Features on Summary	
R.F. Wagner, D.G. Brown, JP. Guedon, K.J. Myers, K.A. Wear	507
Meanwine Detection and Leasting Performance	
R.G. Swensson	525
Methods for Estimating the Efficiency of Human and Computational Observers in Ultrasonography	
M.F. Insana, T.J. Hall	542
Gabor Function Based Medical Image Compression	
M.P. Anderson, M.H. Loew, D.G. Brown	553