ERRATUM

Mark Voorneveld · Willemien Kets Henk Norde

An axiomatization of minimal curb sets

Published online: 5 April 2006 © Springer-Verlag 2006

Int J Game Theory (2005) 33:479-490

On page 485, the proof of step 4 should read as follows:

Step 4: $\varphi(G) \in \text{min-curb}(G)$.

Let $X \in \varphi(G)$. By Step 1, $X \in \operatorname{curb}(G)$. Suppose $X \notin \operatorname{min-curb}(G)$: there is a $Y \in \operatorname{min-curb}(G)$ with $Y \subset X$. By Step 3, there is a $Z \in \varphi(G)$ with $Z \subseteq Y$. But since $Z \subseteq Y \subset X$ and $X, Z \in \varphi(G)$, we have a contradiction with the assumption that φ is *nonnested*. Conclude that $X \in \operatorname{min-curb}(G)$.

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00182-005-0208-6

M. Voorneveld · W. Kets · H. Norde Department of Econometrics and Operations Research, Tilburg University, The Netherlands

M. Voorneveld (⊠) Department of Economics, Stockholm School of Economics, Sweden E-mail: Mark.Voorneveld@hhs.se