Chorded pancyclicity in k-partite graphs.

Daniela Ferrero^{*}, Linda Lesniak[†]

July 9, 2018

Abstract

We prove that for any integers $p \ge k \ge 3$ and any k-tuple of positive integers (n_1, \ldots, n_k) such that $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ and $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$, the condition $n_1 \le \frac{p}{2}$ is necessary and sufficient for every subgraph of the complete k-partite graph $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ with at least

$$\frac{4 - 2p + 2n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i)}{2}$$

edges to be chorded pancyclic. Removing all but one edge incident with any vertex of minimum degree in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ shows that this result is best possible. Our result implies that for any integers, $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$, a balanced k-partite graph of order kn with has at least $\frac{(k^2-k)n^2-2n(k-1)+4}{2}$ edges is chorded pancyclic. In the case k = 3, this result strengthens a previous one by Adamus, who in 2009 showed that a balanced tripartite graph of order 3n, $n \ge 2$, with at least $3n^2 - 2n + 2$ edges is pancyclic.

Keywords: hamiltonicity; pancyclicity; bipancyclicity; chorded pancyclicity; bipartite graphs; *k*-partite graphs.

AMS subject classification 05C45

1 Background

A graph G is *hamiltonian* if it has a spanning cycle. One of the earliest sufficient conditions for a graph to be hamiltonian is one due to Ore [12].

Theorem 1 (Ore 1960). Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If for every pair u and v of nonadjacent vertices, $d(u) + d(v) \ge p$, then G is hamiltonian.

Two immediate corollaries of Ore's theorem are a minimum degree condition due to Dirac [8] and a simple edge condition.

Corollary 2 (Dirac 1952). Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If $d(v) \ge p/2$ for every vertex v of G, then G is hamiltonian.

Corollary 3. Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If G has at least $\frac{p^2 - 3p + 6}{2}$ edges, then G is hamiltonian.

In [2] Bondy introduced the notion of pancylicity in graphs. A graph G of order $p \ge 3$ is *pancyclic* if it not only has a spanning cycle as do hamiltonian graphs, but also a cycle of order t for every $3 \le t \le p$. Thus every pancyclic graph is hamiltonian but not necessarily the converse. However, Bondy showed that our three sufficient conditions for hamiltonicity were "almost" sufficient for pancyclicity.

Theorem 4 (Bondy 1971). Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If for every pair u and v of nonadjacent vertices, $d(u) + d(v) \ge p$, then either G is pancyclic or p is even and G is the complete bipartite graph $K_{p/2,p/2}$.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX, USA (dferrero@txstate.edu)

[†]Department of Mathematics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI, USA (linda.lesniak@wmich.edu)

Corollary 5. Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If $d(v) \ge p/2$ for every vertex v of G, then either G is pancyclic or p is even and G is the complete bipartite graph $K_{p/2,p/2}$.

Corollary 6. Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If G has at least $\frac{p^2 - 3p + 6}{2}$ edges, then G is pancyclic.

The following result of Bondy [2] gives a sufficient condition for a hamiltonian graph to be pancyclic that we will refer to later in this paper.

Theorem 7 (Bondy 1971). Let G be a hamiltonian graph of order p. If G has at least $\frac{p^2}{4}$ edges, then G is pancyclic or p is even and G is the complete bipartite graph $K_{p/2,p/2}$.

In [11], Moon and Moser considered sufficient conditions for hamiltonicity in bipartite graphs. A bipartite graph G of order 2n is *balanced* if the vertex set of G can be partitioned into two sets with n vertices in each, such that every edge of G joins vertices in different sets. If G is a hamiltonian bipartite graph, then necessarily, G is balanced. The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for hamiltonicity in balanced bipartite graphs.

Theorem 8 (Moon and Moser 1963). Let G be a balanced bipartite graph of order p = 2n. If for every pair u and v of nonadjacent vertices in different partite sets, d(u) + d(v) > n, then G is hamiltonian.

Note that Theorem 8 improves the lower bound on degree sums in Theorem 1 essentially from p to p/2 if G is a balanced bipartite graph.

Corollary 9. Let G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $p = 2n \ge 4$. If d(v) > n/2 for every vertex v of G, then G is hamiltonian.

No bipartite graph is pancyclic since bipartite graphs contain no odd cycles. However, we can define a concept similar to pancyclicity for bipartite graphs. We call a bipartite graph G of order 2n bipancyclic if G contains a t- cycle for every even integer t between 4 and 2n. In [9] Entringer and Schmeichel established an analogue to Theorem 7 for bipancyclicity.

Theorem 10 (Entringer and Schmeichel 1988). Let G be a balanced bipartite graph of order $p = 2n \ge 4$. If G has at least $n^2 - n + 2$ edges, then G is bipancyclic.

Quite recently, the result of Bondy in Theorem 7 was improved by Chen, Gould, Gu and Saito [4]. The improved result uses the concept of *chorded pancyclicity*, introduced by Cream, Gould and Hirohata [6], which we recall now.

A chord of a cycle C is an edge joining two non-consecutive vertices of C. If a cycle C of order k has a chord, we call C a chorded k-cycle. A graph G of order $p \ge 4$ is called chorded pancyclic if G contains a chorded k-cycle for every integer k with $4 \le k \le p$. As observed in [4] and [6], chorded cycles are a fundamental tool for the study of the cycle distribution in a graph.

The following result by Chen et. al. appeared in [4] and will be used repeatedly later in this paper.

Theorem 11 (Chen, Gould, Gu and Saito 2018). Let G be a hamiltonian graph of order p. If G has at least $\frac{p^2}{4}$ edges, then G is chorded pancyclic, or p is even and $G = K_{p/2,p/2}$ or $G = K_3 \Box K_2$, the cartesian product of K_3 and K_2 .

In Section 2, we present some definitions and known sufficient conditions for hamiltonicity in balanced k-partite graphs of order kn, for any integers $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. In Section 3 we prove that for all integers $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$, every balanced k-partite graph with kn vertices and at least $\frac{(k^2-k)n^2-2n(k-1)+4}{2}$ edges is chorded pancyclic, and in Section 4, we present a similar edge condition that guarantees chorded pancyclicity in k-partite graphs that are not necessarily balanced.

2 Balanced *k*-partite graphs

A graph is *k*-partite if its vertex set can be partitioned into k disjoint sets, or parts, in such a way that vertices in the same part are not adjacent. A k-partite graph is balanced if all its parts have the same number of vertices. A k-partite graph is complete if any two vertices in different parts are adjacent. The balanced complete k-partite graph of order kn, denoted $K_k(n)$ is the k-partite graph with n vertices in each part, such that any two vertices in different parts are adjacent. Note that $K_k(1)$ is the complete graph of order k, also denoted by K_k .

Obviously, every graph G can be viewed as a balanced k-partite graph of order kn if we take n = 1, and k the order of G. The next theorem [5] and its corollary [3] extend Theorem 8 and Corollary 9 to balanced k-partite graphs for $k \ge 3$.

Theorem 12 (Chen and Jacobson 1997). Let k, n be integers, $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. Let G be a balanced k-partite graph of order p = kn.

Case 1. If k is even and $d(u) + d(v) > \left(k - \frac{4}{k+2}\right)n$ for every pair of nonadjacent vertices u, v in different particle sets, then G is hamiltonian.

Case 2. If k is odd and $d(u) + d(v) > \left(k - \frac{2}{k+1}\right)n$ for every pair of nonadjacent vertices u, v in different particle sets, then G is hamiltonian.

Corollary 13 (Chen, Faudree, Gould, Jacobson and Lesniak 1995). Let k, n be integers, $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. Let G be a balanced k-partite graph of order p = kn.

Case 1. If k is even and $d(v) > \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{2}{k+2}\right)n$ for every vertex v of G, then G is hamiltonian. **Case 2.** If k is odd and $d(v) > \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)n$ for every vertex v of G, then G is hamiltonian.

Note Bondy's result in Theorem 7 cannot be applied in the conditions of Theorem 12 or Corollary 13. Hence, Theorem 11 cannot be applied either.

3 Edge results for balanced *k*-partite graphs

Corollary 6 gives a sufficient edge condition for a graph to be pancyclic; Theorem 11 extends Corollary 6 to a sufficient edge condition for a graph to be chorded pancyclic. In [1], Adamus gave a sufficient condition for a balanced tripartite graph to be pancyclic.

Since minimum degree at least 2 is a necessary condition for a graph to be hamiltonian, Adamus noted that to guarantee that a balanced tripartite graph G of order 3n is hamiltonian, we can remove at most 2n-2 edges from the complete tripartite graph K(n, n, n) to obtain G. In other words, such a G must have at least $3n^2 - 2n + 2$ edges. This condition is also sufficient.

Theorem 14 (Adamus 2009). Let G be a balanced tripartite graph of order $3n, n \ge 2$. If G has at least $3n^2 - 2n + 2$ edges, then G is hamiltonian.

As Adamus pointed out in [1], while the edge condition in Corollary 3 follows directly from Ore's condition, the edge conditions in Theorem 10 and Theorem 14 follow from neither the Dirac minimum degree condition nor the Ore minimum degree sum condition. Adamus also noted that his edge condition for hamiltonicity does, in fact, give pancyclicity by Bondy's result in Theorem 7. Hence, by Theorem 11, the edge condition for hamiltonicity given by Adamus for balanced tripartite graphs actually gives chorded pancylicity.

In this section we give a sufficient edge condition for chorded pancyclicity in balanced k-partite graphs of order kn with $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. Again, since minimum degree at least 2 is necessary for hamiltonicity, we can remove at most (k-1)n-2 edges from the complete balanced k-partite graph $K_k(n)$ and still assure hamiltonicity.

The proof given by Adamus for k = 3 relied only on Ore's sufficient condition (Theorem 1). We include this case in our proof because the proof for all $k \ge 3$ follows rather quickly from the following classic theorem of Pósa [13]. Furthermore, although Theorem 16 will follow from results in Section 4, we include its simple proof here. Understanding the proof of Theorem 16 will help the reader follow the proof of Theorem 18, which uses the same method but with additional nuances.

Theorem 15 (Pósa 1962). Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 3$. If for every integer r, with $1 \le r < \frac{p}{2}$ the number of vertices of degree at most r is less than r, then G is hamiltonian.

Notation. If G = (V, E) is a graph and $S \subseteq V$, then G[S] denotes the subgraph of G induced by the vertices in S, i.e. V(G[S]) = S and $E(G[S]) = \{(x, y) \in E(G) : x \in S, y \in S\}$. We use ||G|| to denote the number of edges of G.

Theorem 16. Let k, n be integers, $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. Let G be a balanced k-partite graph of order p = kn. If G has at least

$$||K_k(n)|| - ((k-1)n - 2) = \frac{(k^2 - k)n^2 - 2n(k-1) + 4}{2}$$

edges, then G is hamiltonian.

Proof. We prove that G satisfies Pósa's condition by contradiction. If G does not satisfy Pósa's condition, there exists an integer $r, 1 \leq r < \frac{kn}{2}$, for which there are (at least) r vertices v_1, \ldots, v_r such that $d_i = d_G(v_i) \leq r$. Since, in fact, G has minimum degree at least 2, we can assume that $r \geq 2$.

We can view G as being obtained by deleting a set of edges from the complete k-partite graph $K_k(n)$. Since in $K_k(n)$ every vertex has degree (k-1)n, to obtain vertices v_1, \ldots, v_r with degrees d_1, \ldots, d_r it is necessary to remove at least $(k-1)n - d_i$ edges incident with vertex v_i , $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then, the total number of removed edges is at least:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left((k-1)n - d_i \right) - \left(||K_k(n)[\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}]|| - ||G[\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}]|| \right) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left((k-1)n - d_i \right) - ||K_k(n)[\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}]|| = ||G[\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}]||$$

where the term $||K_k(n)[\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}]|| - ||G[\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}]||$ corresponds to the deleted edges that joined pairs of vertices in the set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ and were counted twice in the summation.

The number $||K_k(n)[\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}]||$ depends on how the r vertices are distributed among the n parts. However,

$$||K_k(n)[\{v_1,\ldots,v_r\}]|| \le ||K_r|| = \frac{r(r-1)}{2},$$

so the number of edges removed from $K_k(n)$ to produce G is at least:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left((k-1)n - d_i \right) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2}.$$

Since for every $i = 1, \ldots, r, d_i \leq r$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left((k-1)n - d_i \right) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \ge r \left((k-1)n - r \right) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2}.$$

By assumption, at most (k-1)n-2 edges were removed from $K_k(n)$ to obtain G. It follows then, that

$$r((k-1)n-r) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \le (k-1)n-2$$

or equivalently,

$$r(k-1)n - r^2 - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} < (k-1)n - 1.$$

Using some some basic arithmetic, this last inequality can be reduced to

$$(r-1)\Big((k-1)n-\frac{r}{2}\Big) < r^2-1 = (r+1)(r-1).$$

Since we are assuming $r \ge 2$, dividing both sides by r - 1 we obtain

$$(k-1)n - \frac{r}{2} < r+1$$

and this last inequality can be written as $(k-1)n - \frac{r}{2} \leq r$, yielding

$$2(k-1)n \le 3r.\tag{1}$$

Since $2 \le r < \frac{kn}{2}$, we have

$$2(k-1)n \le 3r < \frac{3kn}{2}$$

so, 4(k-1)n < 3kn and this implies kn < 4n. This last inequality cannot hold if $k \ge 4$. Therefore, if $k \ge 4$ then G is hamiltonian by Pósa's condition.

In the case k = 3 (Adamus' result), we first show that Pósa's condition holds for r = 2, 3. From (1) we know $2(k-1)n \leq 3r$ and since k = 3 in we obtain $4n \leq 3r$. Besides, since $r < \frac{3n}{2}$, it must be $4n \leq 3r < \frac{9n}{2}$ and the two inequalities are not compatible. If r = 2, the leftmost equality is only possible for n = 1 but the rightmost inequality only holds for $n \geq 2$; if r = 3 the leftmost inequality holds if n = 1, 2 but the rightmost inequality only holds for n > 3.

Let us now prove Pósa's condition for $r \ge 4$. By contradiction, assume there exists $r, 4 \le r < \frac{3n}{2}$ such that G has r vertices v_1, \ldots, v_r such that $d_i = d_G(v_i) \le r$. Then, $r \ge 4$ and the fact that there can be at most 5 edges between any four vertices in $K_3(n)$, together imply that the number of edges deleted from $K_3(n)$ to create G is at least,

$$2n - d_1 + 2n - d_2 + 2n - d_3 + 2n - d_4 - 5 \ge 8n - 4r - 5$$

and the condition on the size of G guarantees that $8n - 4r - 5 \le 2n - 2$, which implies $\frac{3n}{2} \le r$ and contradicts the condition $r < \frac{kn}{2}$.

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 16 combined with Theorem 11.

Corollary 17. Let k, n be integers, $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$. Let G be a balanced k-partite graph of order p = kn. If G has at least $\frac{(k^2-k)n^2-2n(k-1)+4}{2}$ edges, then G is chorded pancyclic.

Proof. Observe that G is neither $K_{p/2,p/2}$ nor $K_3 \Box K_2$. Then, by Theorem 11, since G has order p = kn, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{(k^2 - k)n^2 - 2n(k - 1) + 4}{2} \ge \frac{(kn)^2}{4}$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{(k^2 - k)n^2 - 2n(k - 1) + 4}{2} > \frac{(kn)^2}{4} - 1.$$

Since $\frac{(kn)^2}{4} - 1 = \frac{(kn-2)(kn+2)}{4}$, this inequality can be written as

$$(k^{2} - k)n^{2} - 2n(k - 1) + 4 > \frac{(kn - 2)(kn + 2)}{2}.$$
(2)

It is straightforward to verify that 2 holds if k = 4, n = 1 and if k = 3, n = 1, 2. Assume that this is not the case. We then show

$$(k^{2} - k)n^{2} - 2n(k - 1) > \frac{(kn - 2)(kn + 2)}{2}$$
(3)

which suffices to complete the proof. Using basic arithmetic it can be shown that

$$(k^{2} - k)n^{2} - 2n(k - 1) = (k - 1)n(nk - 2)$$

so the previous inequality is equivalent to

$$2(k-1)n > kn+2$$

and can be reduced to kn > 2(n + 1). This inequality holds for any $k \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$, except when k = 4 and n = 1 or k = 3 and n = 1, 2. This completes the proof.

The previous two results are best possible since the graph obtained from $K_k(n)$ by removing all but one edge from any vertex gives a nonhamiltonian graph with exactly $\frac{(k^2-k)n^2-2n(k-1)+4}{2}-1$ edges.

Analogosuly to the edge conditions for bipartite graphs in Theorem 10 and for tripartite graphs in Theorem 14, the edge condition in Theorem 16 follows neither from the Dirac minimum degree condition nor the Ore minimum degree sum condition.

We close by noting that the number of edges required for bipancyclicity in Theorem 10 is that of Theorem 14 if k is replaced with 2.

4 Edge results for general k-partite graphs

We begin by setting up the notation needed to study general k-partite graphs.

Notation. For an integer $k \ge 3$, consider a k-tuple of positive integers (n_1, \ldots, n_k) such that $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$. Define $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ and let $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ denote the set of all k-partite graphs with parts V_1, \ldots, V_k such that $|V_i| = n_i$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Note that, as in the previous sections, p denotes the order of G.

If $n_1 > \frac{p}{2}$, then no graph in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ is hamiltonian, so a necessary condition for our work is that $n_1 \leq \frac{p}{2}$. The graphs in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ are the result of removing edges from the complete k-partite graph $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. The condition $n_1 \leq \frac{n}{2}$ guarantees that $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ is hamiltonian, and we want to determine the maximum integer m such that removing any set of at most m edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ yields a hamiltonian graph.

Another necessary condition for a graph to have a hamiltonian cycle is that every vertex must have at least degree 2. In the graph $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, each vertex in V_i has degree $p - n_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Thus, the condition $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$ implies that the minimum degree of $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ is $p - n_1$. Therefore, a necessary condition for the integer m that we want to determine, is that $m \le p - n_1 - 2$.

The following results show that if $n_1 \leq \frac{p}{2}$, any graph obtained by deleting at most $p - n_1 - 2$ edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ is hamiltonian. As a consequence, these two necessary conditions for hamiltonicity turn out to be sufficient. Our first theorem corresponds to the case when $n_1 < \frac{p}{2} - 1$ and its proof follows from Pósa's condition for hamiltonicity as in the balanced case.

Theorem 18. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and let (n_1, \ldots, n_k) be a k-tuple of positive integers such that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_k$. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. If $n_1 < \frac{p}{2} - 1$, then every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ with at least

$$||K(n_1,\ldots,n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2) = \frac{4 - 2p + 2n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i)}{2}$$

edges is hamiltonian.

Proof. We prove that G satisfies Pósa's condition for hamiltonicity by contradiction, as we did in the proof of Theorem 16. If G does not satisfy Pósa's condition, there exists an integer $r, 1 \le r < \frac{p}{2}$, for which there are (at least) r vertices v_1, \ldots, v_r such that $d_G(v_j) \le r$. As in the proof of Theorem 16, we may assume $r \ge 2$.

Furthermore, if there exists a vertex v with $d_G(v) = 2$ it is necessary for v to have minimum degree in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, and also that each of the edges removed from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ to produce G is incident with v. The only way to obtain a second vertex u with $d_G(u) = 2$ is if there exists a neighbor of v with degree 3 in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. However, this can only happen if p = 4, but in this case r < 2. Thus, we may assume $r \ge 3$.

As in the proof of Theorem 16 every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ is obtained by deleting some edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. If a vertex v has $d_G(v) \leq r$, at least $p - n_1 - r$ edges incident with v were removed from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. Since at most $p - n_1 - 2$ edges were removed from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ to produce G, it must be:

$$r(p-n_1-r) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} < p-n_1-1,$$

or equivalently,

$$(r-1)(p-n_1) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} < r^2 - 1 = (r+1)(r-1).$$

Then, $(r-1)(p-n_1) - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} < (r+1)(r-1)$, and since $r \ge 3$, dividing by r-1, we obtain

$$p - n_1 - \frac{r}{2} < r + 1.$$

As in balance case, this inequality can be reduced to

$$2(p-n_1) \le 3r \tag{4}$$

where $p - n_1$ in 4 is the same as (k - 1)n in 1.

Since $r < \frac{p}{2}$, from equation 4 we conclude $2(p - n_1) < \frac{3p}{2}$ and using some basic arithmetic this expression can be reduced to $n_1 > \frac{p}{4}$. Therefore, when $n_1 \leq \frac{p}{4}$ Pósa's condition guarantees that G is hamiltonian.

In the case $\frac{p}{4} < n_1 < \frac{p}{2} - 1$, let E' be the set of all t edges removed from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ to produce G, and assume

$$E' = \{a_i b_i : 1 \le i \le t\}$$
 with $t \le p - n_1 - 2$.

Define

$$V' = \{ v \in V(K(n_1, \dots, n_k)) : \exists i, 1 \le i \le t, \text{ such that } v = a_i \text{ or } v = b_i \}$$

so that G' = (V', E') is the subgraph of $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ induced by the edges removed from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ to produce G.

If G contains r vertices of degree at most r, then G' must contain at least r vertices of degree at least $p - n_1 - r$. Thus

$$\sum_{u \in V'} d_{G'}(u) \ge r(p - n_1 - r).$$
(5)

At the same time, $\sum_{u \in V'} d_{G'}(u) = 2||G'||$ and $||G'|| = |E'| \le p - n_1 - 2$, so it must be

$$\sum_{u \in V'} d_{G'}(u) \le 2(p - n_1 - 2).$$
(6)

Combining equations 5 and 6 we obtain

$$2(p - n_1 - 2) \ge \sum_{u \in V'} d_{G'}(u) \ge r(p - n_1 - r).$$

As a consequence, $2(p - n_1 - 2) \ge r(p - n_1 - r)$, and this expression can be rewritten as

 $(r-2)(r+2) = r^2 - 4 \ge (r-2)(p-n_1)$

Using that $r \geq 3$, this expression can be reduced to

$$r+2 \ge p-n_1,\tag{7}$$

and adding the condition $r < \frac{p}{2}$,

$$\frac{p}{2} + 2 > r + 2 \ge p - n_1.$$

From this expression we obtain $n_1 \ge \frac{p}{2} - 1$, which contradicts $n_1 < \frac{p}{2} - 1$.

We now consider the case $\frac{p}{2} - 1 \le n_1 \le \frac{p}{2}$. Depending on p being even or odd, there are three cases where this can happen:

- 1) p even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$.
- 2) p odd and $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and
- 3) *p* even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2} 1$.

Remark 19. The technique we used to prove Theorem 16 and Theorem 18 cannot be applied if $\frac{p}{2} - 1 \le n_1 \le \frac{p}{2}$. Indeed, the following examples show that for each of the cases above, it is possible to construct at least one family of graphs satisfying the edge the condition in Theorem 18 for which Pósa's condition does not hold. However, the graphs in the families we present are hamiltonian.

1) Assume p is even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$.

For any integer $a \ge 5$, let G be the graph in $\mathcal{G}(a, a-2, 2)$ obtained by choosing any a-2 vertices v_1, \ldots, v_{a-2} among the *a* vertices in V_1 , a vertex *u* in V_2 , and removing the a-2 edges $v_i u$, for every $i = 1, \ldots, a-2$. Then, G satisfies the edge condition in Theorem 18 but G fails Pósa's condition for $r = a - 1 < \frac{p}{2}$.

2) Assume p is odd and $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

For any integer $a \ge 3$, let G be the graph in $\mathcal{G}(a, a-1, 2)$ obtained by choosing a-1 vertices v_1, \ldots, v_{a-1} among the a vertices in V_1 , a vertex u in V_2 , and removing the a-1 edges $v_i u$, for $i = 1, \ldots, a-1$. Then, G satisfies the edge condition in Theorem 18 but G fails Pósa's condition for $r = a < \frac{p}{2}$.

3) Assume p is even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2} - 1$.

For an integer $a \ge 1$, consider K(4a, 4a, 2) and assume $V_1 = \{u_1^1, \ldots, u_a^1\} \cup \{u_1^2, \ldots, u_a^2\} \cup \{u_1^3, \ldots, u_a^3\} \cup \{u_1^4, \ldots, u_a^4\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_1^1, \ldots, v_a^1\} \cup \{v_1^2, \ldots, v_a^2\} \cup \{v_1^3, \ldots, v_a^3\} \cup \{v_1^4, \ldots, v_a^4\}$. Let G be the graph in $\mathcal{G}(4a, 4a, 2)$ obtained by removing from K(4a, 4a, 2) the 4a edges $u_i^1 v_i^1, u_i^2 v_i^2, u_i^1 v_i^2$ and $u_i^2 v_i^1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, a$. Then, G satisfies the edge condition in Theorem 18 but G fails Pósa's condition for $r = 4a = \frac{p}{2} - 1$.

Next, we prove the edge condition when $\frac{p}{2} - 1 \le n_1 \le \frac{p}{2}$. In the cases when Pósa's condition is not satisfied, we apply Theorem 10 to a balanced complete bipartite subgraph of $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$.

In the case *n* even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$, since exactly half of the vertices are in V_1 , if there is a hamiltonian cycle in a graph *G* in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, then every edge in the cycle must have an endpoint in V_1 and the other in $V \setminus V_1$. Therefore, edges having both endpoints in $V \setminus V_1$ do not affect the hamiltonicity of *G* and we can prove a stronger result in this case.

Theorem 20. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and let (n_1, \ldots, n_k) be a k-tuple of positive integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_k$. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. If p is even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$, then every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ having at least $\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{p}{2} + 2$ edges with an endpoint in V_1 , is hamiltonian.

Proof. Assume that all edges with both endpoints in $V \setminus V_1$ are deleted from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and as a result, G is obtained by deleting at most $p - n_1 - 2$ edges from $K_2(\frac{p}{2})$. Then, G has at least $||K_2(\frac{p}{2})|| - (p - n_1 - 2) = (\frac{p}{2})^2 - \frac{p}{2} + 2$ edges, and by Theorem 10 G is hamiltonian.

Corollary 21. Let $k \ge 3$ be an integer and let (n_1, \ldots, n_k) be a k-tuple of positive integers $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. If p is even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$, then every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ with at least

$$||K(n_1,...,n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2) = \frac{4 - 2p + 2n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i)}{2}$$

edges is hamiltonian.

Proof. Since the graph G is in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $||G|| \ge ||K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2)$, then G is the result of deleting at most $p - n_1 - 2 = \frac{p}{2} - 2$ edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. As a consequence, even if all the $\frac{p}{2} - 2$ deleted edges are selected from the $n_1(n - n_1) = \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^2$ edges incident with a vertex in V_1 , G has at least $\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{p}{2} + 2$ edges with an endpoint in V_1 , so Theorem 20 guarantees that G is hamiltonian.

In the case when p is odd and $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$, we have $|V \setminus V_1| = |V_1| + 1$. Therefore, if there is a hamiltonian cycle in in a graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, then there is exactly one edge in the cycle having both endpoints in $V \setminus V_1$.

Theorem 22. Let $k \ge 3$ be an integer and let (n_1, \ldots, n_k) be a k-tuple of positive integers $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. If p is odd and $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ with at least

$$||K(n_1,\ldots,n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2) = \frac{4 - 2p + 2n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i)}{2}$$

edges is hamiltonian.

Proof. Since G has at least $||K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2)$ edges, G is the result of deleting at most $p - n_1 - 2 = \frac{p-3}{2}$ edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$.

In $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ there are $\frac{p+1}{2}$ vertices in $V \setminus V_1$. Therefore, in every graph G obtained by removing at most $\frac{p-3}{2}$ edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, there exists a vertex w in $V \setminus V_1$ such that $V_1 \subseteq N_G(w)$. Define G' = G - w, and observe that since G is obtained by removing at most $\frac{p-3}{2}$ from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, then G' is the result of removing at most $\frac{p-3}{2}$ edges from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$.

Let us distinguish two types of edges in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$. Edges of type 1 are those with an endpoint in V_1 and the other in $V \setminus V_1$, while edges of type 2 are those with both endpoints in $V \setminus V_1$.

First, consider the case when G' is a graph obtained by removing from $K(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k) - w$ at most $\frac{p-5}{2}$ edges of type 1 and at most $\frac{p-3}{2}$ edges of type 2.

Since $k \ge 3$, the graph $K(n_1, n_2, ..., n_k) - w$ has at least $\frac{p-1}{2}$ edges of type 2. Thus, even when deleting exactly $\frac{p-3}{2}$ edges of type 2, there exists an edge e in G' with both endpoints in $V \setminus V_1$.

Observe that $K_2\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$, the balanced complete bipartite graph of order p-1, is a spanning subgraph of $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$ and it contains all edges of type 1 in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$. As a consequence, there is a spanning subgraph of G' that results from deleting at most $\frac{p-5}{2}$ edges from $K_2\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$. By Theorem 10, removing at most $\frac{p-5}{2}$ edges from $K_2\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ yields a hamiltonian graph. Thus, G' has a hamiltonian spanning subgraph so G' is hamiltonian.

We show next that if G' is hamiltonian, then G is also hamiltonian. To do this, we construct a hamiltonian cycle in G from a hamiltonian cycle in G', together with w and the edge e from above. Observe that G' is a k-partite graph of even order p-1 with exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ vertices in V_1 . Therefore, a hamiltonian cycle in G' is an alternating sequence of vertices in V_1 and vertices in $V \setminus V_1$. Let $C = v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}, v_1$ be a hamiltonian cycle in G', where the vertices with odd sub-indices are in V_1 and the vertices with even sub-indices are in $V \setminus V_1$. Since e has both endpoints in $V \setminus V_1$, there exist integers i and j, $1 \le i < j \le p-1$ such that $e = v_{2i}v_{2j}$. Then, $v_{2i-1} \in V_1$ and $v_{2j-1} \in V_1$ and $V_1 \subseteq N_G(w)$ implies that there is a path v_{2i-1}, w, v_{2j-1} in G, so $v_1, \ldots, v_{2i-1}, w, v_{2j-1}, v_{2j-2}, \ldots, v_{2i}, v_{2j}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ is a hamiltonian cycle in G.

Now consider the case when G' is obtained by deleting from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$, exactly $\frac{p-3}{2}$ edges of type 1. In this case, since no edges of type 2 are removed, we have $N_G(w) = N_{K(n_1,\ldots,n_k)}(w)$.

As in the previous case, $K_2(\frac{p-1}{2})$ is a spanning subgraph of $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$ and it contains all edges of type 1 in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k) - w$. Then, there is a spanning subgraph of G' that results from deleting at most $\frac{p-3}{2}$ edges from $K_2(\frac{p-1}{2})$. By Theorem 10, removing at most $\frac{p-5}{2}$ edges from $K_2(\frac{p-1}{2})$ yields a hamiltonian graph, so we conclude that G' has a hamiltonian path P. Assume $P = v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ where the vertices with odd sub-indices are in V_1 and the vertices with even sub-indices are in $V \setminus V_1$. If v_{p-1} and w are different parts, then the path v_1, w, v_{p-1} together with P form a hamiltonian cycle in G. If v_{p-1} and w are in the same part, since $k \geq 3$ and no edges of type 2 had been removed, v_{p-1} has a neighbor in $V \setminus V_1$, say v_{2i} . Since P alternate vertices in $V \setminus V_1$ and vertices in V_1, v_{2i+1} is in $V_1 \subseteq N_G(w)$. Then, $v_{p-1}, v_{2i}, v_{2i-1}, \ldots, v_1, w, v_{2i+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ is a hamiltonian cycle in G.

Theorem 23. Let $k \ge 3$ be an integer and let (n_1, \ldots, n_k) be a k-tuple of positive integers $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. If p is even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2} - 1$, then every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ with at least

$$||K(n_1,...,n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2) = \frac{4 - 2p + 2n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i)}{2}$$

edges is hamiltonian.

Proof. If $n_2 < n_1$ we will show that G satisfies Pósa's condition. By contradiction, assume there exists an integer $r, 1 \le r < \frac{p}{2}$ for which there exist r vertices v_1, \ldots, v_r with $d_G(v_i) \le r$. As in the proof of Theorem 18, this implies $r + 2 \ge p - n_1$ 7. Replacing $n_1 = \frac{p}{2} - 1$ we obtain $r \ge \frac{p}{2} - 1$ and thus, Pósa's condition holds for $r \le \frac{p}{2} - 2$. Since $r < \frac{p}{2}$, the only remaining possibility is $r = \frac{p}{2} - 1$. However, if $r = \frac{p}{2} - 1$, then $p - n_1 - r = 2$ and for each vertex v in V_1 with $d_G(v) \le r$, it is necessary to delete from $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ at least two edges incident with v. The assumption $n_2 < n_1$ implies $n_2 \le \frac{p}{2} - 2$, so $p - n_2 - r \ge 3$ and for each vertex u in $V \setminus V_1$ with $d_G(u) \le r$, it is necessary to remove at least three edges incident with u in $K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. As a result, there are at most $\lfloor \frac{p-2}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{p-2}{6} \rfloor < r$ vertices of degree at most r in G so Pósa's condition also holds for $r = \frac{p}{2} - 1$ and G is hamiltonian.

If $n_2 = n_1$, then $n_1 + n_2 = p - 2$. Thus, G is obtained by removing at most $p - n_1 - 2 = \frac{p}{2} - 1$ edges from $K(\frac{p}{2} - 1, \frac{p}{2} - 1, 2)$ or $K(\frac{p}{2} - 1, \frac{p}{2} - 1, 1, 1)$. Since $K(\frac{p}{2} - 1, \frac{p}{2} - 1, 1, 1)$ has one more edge than $K(\frac{p}{2} - 1, \frac{p}{2} - 1, 2)$, it is sufficient to show that any graph G obtained by removing $\frac{p}{2} - 1$ edges from $K(\frac{p}{2} - 1, \frac{p}{2} - 1, 2)$ is hamiltonian.

Note that $G[V_1 \cup V_2]$ is a sub-graph of $K_2(\frac{p}{2}-1)$. Then, Theorem 10 guarantees that if at most $\frac{p}{2}-3$ of the edges removed from $K(\frac{p}{2}-1,\frac{p}{2}-1,2)$ join a vertex in V_1 and a vertex in V_2 , then $G[V_1 \cup V_2]$ is hamiltonian. Therefore, there exists a cycle in G that contains all p-2 vertices in $V_1 \cup V_2$. Denote such cycle as $C = v_1, \ldots, v_{p-2}, v_1$, where the vertices with odd sub-indices are in V_1 , the vertices with even sub-indices are in V_2 and assume $V_3 = \{x, y\}$. If there exist two different edges $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$ and $v_{2j}v_{2j+1}$ in C such that v_{2i} , v_{2i+1} are in $N_G(x)$ and v_{2j}, v_{2j+1} are in $N_G(y)$, then respectively replacing these edges in C with v_{2i}, x, v_{2i+1} and v_{2j}, y, v_{2j+1} we obtain a hamiltonian cycle in G.

Since G results from deleting $\frac{p}{2} - 1$ edges from $K(\frac{p}{2} - 1, \frac{p}{2} - 1, 2)$, $d_G(x) + d_G(y) \ge 3(\frac{p}{2} - 1)$. If $d_G(x) = \frac{p}{2} - 1$, then $d_G(y) = p - 2$. If x has neighbors in V_1 and V_2 , then there exists an edge $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$ with v_{2i} , v_{2i+1} in $N_G(x)$, and for any other edge $v_{2j}v_{2j+1}$ we have v_{2j}, v_{2j+1} in $N_G(y)$, so we construct a hamiltonian cycle in G as above. If $N_G(x) = V_1$, then $v_1, x, v_3, v_2, y, v_4, \ldots, v_{p-2}, v_1$ is a hamiltonian cycle in G; if $N_G(x) = V_2$ we proceed in the same way as when $N_G(x) = V_1$. If $d_G(y) = \frac{p}{2} - 1$, then $d_G(x) = p - 2$, so we proceed as in the previous case. In all other cases, there exist two different edges $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$ and $v_{2j}v_{2j+1}$

in C such that v_{2i}, v_{2i+1} are in $N_G(x)$ and v_{2j}, v_{2j+1} are in $N_G(y)$, so we construct a hamiltonian cycle in G.

Now, consider that $\frac{p}{2} - 2$ of the edges removed from $K(\frac{p}{2}-1, \frac{p}{2}-1, 2)$, join a vertex in V_1 and a vertex in V_2 . After removing the first $\frac{p}{2} - 3$ edges, there is a cycle $C = v_1, \ldots, v_{p-2}, v_1$ containing the p-2 vertices in $V_1 \cup V_2$. Suppose that an edge $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$ in C is deleted. Since at most one additional edge can be removed, at least one of the two vertices in V_3 remain adjacent with all vertices in $V_1 \cup V_2$. Suppose $N_G(x) = V_1 \cup V_2$. Then, $v_1, \ldots, v_{2i}, x, v_{2i+1}, \ldots, v_{p-2}, v_1$ is a hamiltonian cycle in G.

Finally, if two edges in the hamiltonian cycle are removed, say $v_{2i}v_{2i+1}$ and $v_{2j}v_{2j+1}$ with i < j, then $N_G(x) = V_1 \cup V_2$, $N_G(y) = V_1 \cup V_2$, and $v_1, \ldots, v_{2i}, x, v_{2i+1}, \ldots, v_{2j}, y, v_{2j+1}, \ldots, v_{p-2}, v_1$ is a hamiltonian cycle in G.

Since every balanced k-partite graph satisfies the conditions of one of Theorems 18, 21, 22 or 23, Theorem 16 is a corollary of the results in this section.

Analogously to the balanced case, combining the hamiltonicity results in Theorems 18, 21, 22 and 23 with the minimum edge condition for chorded pancyclicity in Theorem 11 we obtain the primary result of this section.

Theorem 24. Let $k \ge 3$ be an integer and let (n_1, \ldots, n_k) be a k-tuple of positive integers $n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_k$. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. If $n_1 \le \frac{p}{2}$, then every graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ having at least

$$||K(n_1,...,n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2) = \frac{4 - 2p + 2n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i)}{2}$$

edges is chorded pancyclic.

Proof. By Theorem 11, it is sufficient to show $||K(n_1, \ldots, n_k)|| - (p - n_1 - 2) \ge \frac{p^2}{4}$, or equivalently,

$$4 - 2(p - n_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i(p - n_i) \ge \frac{p^2}{2}.$$

By hypothesis, for every integer i = 1, 2, ..., k, $n_1 \ge n_i$, and as a consequence $p - n_i \ge p - n_1$. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i (p - n_i) \ge (p - n_1) \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = p(p - n_1)$ and it is sufficient to show

$$4 + (p-2)(p-n_1) \ge \frac{p^2}{2}.$$

Case 1) If $n_1 \leq \frac{p-2}{2}$, then $p - n_1 \geq \frac{p+2}{2}$ and we conclude

$$4 + (p-2)(p-n_1) \ge 4 + (p-2)\left(\frac{p+2}{2}\right) = 2 + \frac{p^2}{2}.$$

Case 2) If $\frac{p-2}{2} < n_1$, imposing the necessary condition $n_1 \le \frac{p}{2}$ leaves only two possibilities: p is even and $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$, or p is odd and $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$. In these cases we write

$$4 - 2(p - n_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i(p - n_i) = 4 - 2(p - n_1) + n_1(p - n_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} n_i(p - n_i).$$

By hypothesis, again, for every integer $i, i = 2, ..., k, n_2 \ge n_i$, and this implies $p - n_i \le p - n_2$. Also, note that $\sum_{i=2}^{k} n_i = p - n_1$, and as a consequence, $\sum_{i=2}^{k} n_i (p - n_i) \ge (p - n_2) \sum_{i=2}^{k} n_i = (p - n_2)(p - n_1)$. Then, it is sufficient to prove

$$4 + (n_1 - 2)(p - n_1) + (p - n_2)(p - n_1) \ge \frac{p^2}{2}$$

Suppose $n_2 \le n_1 - 2$, so that $p - n_2 \ge p - (n_1 - 2)$. Then,

$$4 + (n_1 - 2)(p - n_1) + (p - n_2)(p - n_1) \ge 4 + p(p - n_1)$$

and it is sufficient to check that when $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$ (p even) or $n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$ (p odd), then $4 + p(p - n_1) \ge \frac{p^2}{2}$, a straightforward verification.

If $n_2 > n_1 - 2$, since $n_2 \le n_1$, either $n_1 = n_2$ or $n_2 = n_1 - 1$ and the only possibilities are:

- p even, k = 3, $n_1 = \frac{p}{2}$, $n_2 = \frac{p}{2} 1$ and $n_3 = 1$
- $p \text{ odd}, k = 3, n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}, n_2 = \frac{p-1}{2} \text{ and } n_3 = 1$
- $p \text{ odd}, k = 3, n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}, n_2 = \frac{p-3}{2} \text{ and } n_3 = 2$
- $p \text{ odd}, k = 4, n_1 = \frac{p-1}{2}, n_2 = \frac{p-3}{2}, n_3 = 1 \text{ and } n_4 = 1$

In these cases, it is straightforward to verify $4 - 2(p - n_1) + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(p - n_i) \ge \frac{p^2}{2}$.

We close by noting that in [7], DeBiasio et al. considered minimum degree conditions corresponding to Corollary 12 for k-partite graphs that are *fair*. They used a parameter λ and gave asymptotic results on λ -fair graphs with $\lambda \geq 2$. Our necessary condition, $n_1 \leq \frac{p}{2}$ is equivalent to $\lambda \geq 2$ but G does not need to be λ -fair for our results to hold.

Acknowledgments

We thank IPFW for its hospitality and Jay Bagga, Lowell Beineke and Marc Lipman for their comments at the beginning of this project. We thank the MAA - Tensor Program for Women and Mathematics for facilitating a research visit during this project. We also thank the anonymous referee who provided insightful comments, brought to our attention the work in [4] and suggested the study of chorded pancyclicity.

References

- J. Adamus. Edge condition for hamiltonicity in balanced tripartite graphs. Opuscula Math. 29, 337–343 (2009)
- [2] J.A. Bondy. Pancyclic graphs I. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 11, 80–84 (1971)
- [3] G. Chen, R. Faudree, R. Gould, M.S. Jacobson, L. Lesniak. Hamiltonicity of balanced k-partite graphs. Graphs Combin. 11, 221–231 (1995)
- [4] G. Chen, R. J. Gould, X. Gu, A. Saito. Cycles with a chord in dense graphs. Discrete Math. 341, 2131–2141 (2018)
- [5] G. Chen, M.S. Jacobson. Degree sum conditions for hamiltonicity on k-partite graphs. Graphs Combin. 13, 325–343 (1997)
- [6] M. Cream, R. J. Gould, K. Hirohata. A note on extending Bondy's meta-conjecture. Australas. J. Combin. 67(3), 463–469 (2017)
- [7] L. DeBiasio, R. A. Krueger, D. Pritikin, E. Thompson. Hamiltonian cycles in fair k-partite graphs. arXiv:1707.07633v2 [math.CO] (2017)
- [8] G.A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)2, 69–81 (1952)
- [9] R.C. Entringer, E. Schmeichel. Edge conditions and cycle structure in bipartite graphs. Ars Combin. 26, 229–232 (1988)
- M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson. Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness, W.H. Freeman & Co. New York, NY (1979)

- [11] J. Moon, L. Moser. On hamiltonian bipartite graphs. Israel J. Math. 1, 163–165 (1963)
- [12] O. Ore. Note on hamilton circuits. Amer. Math. Monthly 67(1), 55-55 (1960)
- [13] L. Pósa. A theorem concerning hamiltonian lines. Alkalmaz. Mat. Lapok. 7, 225–226 (1962)