Cut-edges and regular factors in regular graphs of odd degree

Alexander V. Kostochka^{*}, André Raspaud[†], Bjarne Toft[‡], Douglas B. West[§], Dara Zirlin[¶]

June 15, 2018

Abstract

We study 2k-factors in (2r+1)-regular graphs. Hanson, Loten, and Toft proved that every (2r + 1)-regular graph with at most 2r cut-edges has a 2-factor. We generalize their result by proving for $k \leq (2r+1)/3$ that every (2r+1)-regular graph with at most 2r - 3(k - 1) cut-edges has a 2k-factor. Both the restriction on k and the restriction on the number of cut-edges are sharp. We characterize the graphs that have exactly 2r - 3(k - 1) + 1 cut-edges but no 2k-factor. For k > (2r + 1)/3, there are graphs without cut-edges that have no 2k-factor, as studied by Bollobás, Saito, and Wormald.

1 Introduction

An ℓ -factor in a graph is an ℓ -regular spanning subgraph. In this paper we study the relationship between cut-edges and 2k-factors in regular graphs of odd degree. In fact, all our results are for multigraphs, allowing loops and multiedges, so the model we mean by "graph" allows loops and multiedges.

^{*}University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana IL 61801, and Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia: kostochk@math.uiuc.edu. Research supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1600592 and grants 18-01-00353A and 16-01-00499 of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

[†]Université de Bordeaux, LaBRI UMR 5800, F-33400 Talence, France: raspaud@labri.fr.

[‡]University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark: btoft@imada.sdu.dk.

[§]Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China 321004 and University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, Urbana IL 61801: dwest@math.uiuc.edu. Research supported by Recruitment Program of Foreign Experts, 1000 Talent Plan, State Administration of Foreign Experts Affairs, China.

[¶]University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, Urbana IL 61801: zirlin2@illinois.edu.

The relationship between edge-connectivity and 1-factors in regular graphs is well known. Petersen [9] proved that every 3-regular graph with no cut-edge decomposes into a 1-factor and a 2-factor, noting that the conclusion also holds when all cut-edges lie along a path. Schönberger [11] proved that in a 3-regular graph with no cut-edge, every edge lies in some 1-factor. Berge [3] obtained the same conclusion for r-regular (r-1)-edge-connected graphs of even order. Finally, a result of Plesník [10] implies most of these statements: If G is an r-regular (r-1)-edge-connected multigraph with even order, and G' is obtained from G by discarding at most r-1 edges, then G' has a 1-factor. The edge-connectivity condition is sharp: Katerinis [7] determined the minimum number of vertices in an r-regular (r-2)-edge-connected graph of even order having no 1-factor. Belck [2] and Bollobás, Saito, and Wormald [4] (independently) determined all (r, t, k) such that every r-regular t-edgeconnected graph has a k-factor; Niessen and Randerath [8] further refined this in terms of also the number of vertices.

Petersen was in fact more interested in 2-factors. The result about 3-regular graphs whose cut-edges lie on a path implies that every 3-regular graph with at most two cut-edges has a 2-factor. Also, there are 3-regular graphs with three cut-edges having no 2-factor (communicated to Petersen by Sylvester in 1889). As a tool in a result about interval edge-coloring, Hanson, Loten, and Toft [6] generalized Petersen's result to regular graphs with larger odd degree.

Theorem 1.1 ([6]). For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, every (2r + 1)-regular graph with at most 2r cut-edges has a 2-factor.

Petersen [9] also proved that every regular graph of even degree has a 2-factor. Thus when $k \leq r$ every 2*r*-regular graph has a 2*k*-factor. As a consequence, regular factors of degree 2*k* become harder to guarantee as *k* increases. That is, a decomposition of a (2r + 1)regular graph into a 2-factor and (2r - 1)-factor is easiest to find, while decomposition into a 2*r*-factor and 1-factor is hardest to find (and implies the others).

In this paper, we generalize Theorem 1.1 to find the corresponding best possible guarantee for 2k-factors. Limiting the number of cut-edges suffices when k is not too large.

Theorem 1.2. For $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq (2r+1)/3$, every (2r+1)-regular graph with at most 2r - 3(k-1) cut-edges has a 2k-factor. Furthermore, both inequalities are sharp.

Earlier, Xiao and Liu [14] proved a relationship between cut-edges and 2k-factors, showing that a (2kr + s)-regular graph with at most k(2r - 3) + s cut-edges has a 2k-factor avoiding any given edge. Their number of cut-edges in terms of degree and k is similar to ours, since (2kr + s) - 1 - 3(k - 1) = k(2r - 3) + s + 2, but their range of validity of k in terms of the degree of the full graph is more restricted than ours.

Our result is sharp in two ways. First, when $k \leq (2r+1)/3$ and there are 2r+1-3(k-1) cut-edges, there may be no 2k-factor. Sylvester found examples of such graphs (forbidding 2-factors in a regular graph of odd degree greater than 1 forbids all regular factors). We complete the Petersen–Sylvester investigation by describing all the extremal graphs without 2k-factors for general k.

Theorem 1.3. For $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq (2r+1)/3$, a (2r+1)-regular graph with exactly 2r+1-3(k-1) cut-edges fails to have a 2k-factor if and only if it satisfies the constructive structural description stated in Theorem 3.2.

When k > (2r+1)/3, the condition in Theorem 1.2 cannot be satisfied, and in fact there are (2r+1)-regular graphs that have no 2k-factor even though they have no cut-edges. A 2kfactor can instead be guaranteed by edge-connectivity requirements. The result of Berge [3] implies that (2r + 1)-regular 2r-edge-connected graphs have 1-factors and hence factors of all even degrees, by the 2-factor theorem of Petersen [9]. Therefore, when k > (2r + 1)/3the natural question becomes what edge-connectivity suffices to guarantee a 2k-factor.

As mentioned earlier, this problem was solved by Bollobás, Saito, and Wormald [4], who determined all triples (r, t, k) such that every *r*-regular *t*-edge-connected multigraph has a *k*factor (the triples are the same for simple graphs). As noted by Häggkvist [5] and by Niessen and Randerath [8], earlier Belck [2] obtained the result (in 1950). Earlier still, Baebler [1] proved the weaker result that 2k-edge-connected (2r + 1)-regular graphs have 2k-factors.

The special case of the result of [4] that applies here (even-regular factors of odd-regular multigraphs) is that all (2r + 1)-regular 2t-edge-connected or (2t + 1)-edge-connected multigraphs have 2k-factors if and only if $k \leq \frac{t}{2t+1}(2r+1)$. The general construction given in [4], which covers additional cases, is quite complicated. Here we provide a very simple construction that completes our investigation and shows necessity of their condition for even-regular factors of odd-regular graphs. In particular, for $1 \leq t < r$ and $k > \frac{t}{2t+1}(2r+1)$ we present an easily described (2t + 1)-connected simple graph that has no 2k-factor.

Our results use the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of ℓ -factors that was initially proved by Belck [2] and is a special case of the *f*-Factor Theorem of Tutte [12, 13]. When *T* is a set of vertices in a graph *G*, let $d_G(T) = \sum_{v \in T} d_G(v)$, where $d_G(v)$ is the degree of *v* in *G*. With |T| for the size of a vertex set *T*, we also write ||T|| for the number of edges induced by *T* and ||A, B|| for the number of edges having endpoints in both *A* and *B* (when $A \cap B = \emptyset$). The characterization is the following.

Theorem 1.4 ([2, 12, 13]). A multigraph G has a ℓ -factor if and only if

$$q(S,T) - d_{G-S}(T) \le \ell(|S| - |T|)$$
(1)

for all disjoint subsets $S, T \subset V(G)$, where q(S,T) is the number of components Q of G-S-T such that $||V(Q), T|| + \ell |V(Q)|$ is odd.

Since we consider only the situation where $\ell = 2k$, the criterion for a component Q of G - S - T to be counted by q(S, T) simplifies to ||V(Q), T|| being odd.

2 Cut-edges and 2k-factors

In this section we generalize Theorem 1.1 to 2k-factors.

Theorem 2.1. For $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq (2r+1)/3$, every (2r+1)-regular multigraph with at most 2r - 3(k-1) cut-edges has a 2k-factor.

Proof. Let G be a (2r+1)-regular multigraph having no 2k-factor, and let p be the number of cut-edges in G. We prove p > 2r - 3(k-1). By setting $\ell = 2k$ in Theorem 1.4, lack of a 2k-factor requires disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ such that $q(S,T) > 2k(|S| - |T|) + d_{G-S}(T)$.

Letting R = V(G) - S - T, the quantity q(S,T) becomes the number of components Qof G[R] such that ||V(Q), T|| is odd. Thus q(S,T) has the same parity as ||R,T||. In turn, ||R,T|| has the same parity as $d_{G-S}(T)$, since the latter counts edges from R to T once and edges within T twice. Hence the two sides of the inequality above have the same parity. We conclude

$$q(S,T) \ge d_{G-S}(T) + 2k(|S| - |T|) + 2.$$
(2)

Say that a subgraph H of G - T is T-odd if ||V(H), T|| is odd. The components of G - S - T that are T-odd are the components counted by q(S, T). Each T-odd component contributes at least 1 to $d_{G-S}(T)$. Hence (2) cannot hold with $|S| \ge |T|$, and we may assume |T| > |S|.

Let q_1 be the number of T-odd components having one edge to T and no edges to S; since that edge is a cut-edge, $q_1 \leq p$. Let q_2 be the number of T-odd components having one edge to T and at least one edge to S; note that $q_2 \leq ||R, S||$. Let q_3 be the number of T-odd components having at least three edges to T; thus $q_1 + q_2 + 3q_3 \leq d_{G-S}(T)$. Note also that $q(S,T) = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$. Summing the last inequality with two copies of the first two yields

$$3q(S,T) = 3(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \le 2p + 2 ||R, S|| + d_{G-S}(T).$$

Combining this inequality with (2) yields

$$2p + 2 ||R, S|| + d_{G-S}(T) \ge 3d_{G-S}(T) + 6k(|S| - |T|) + 6,$$

which simplifies to

$$|R, S|| \ge 3 - p + d_{G-S}(T) + 3k(|S| - |T|).$$
(3)

On the other hand, since G is (2r+1)-regular,

 $d_{G-S}(T) = (2r+1)|T| - ||T, S|| \ge (2r+1)|T| - [(2r+1)|S| - ||R, S||].$

Using this inequality, (3), and $|T| - |S| \ge 1$, the given hypothesis $2r + 1 - 3k \ge 0$ yields

$$||R, S|| \ge 3 - p + (2r + 1 - 3k)(|T| - |S|) + ||R, S|| \ge 3 - p + (2r + 1 - 3k) + ||R, S||$$

This simplifies to $p \ge 2r + 1 - 3(k - 1)$, as claimed.

3 Fewest cut-edges with no 2k-factor

To describe the extremal graphs, we begin with a definition. Keep in mind that here "graph" allows loops and multiedges.

Definition 3.1. In a (2r + 1)-regular graph G, the result of *blistering* an edge $e \in E(G)$ by a (2r+1)-regular graph H having no cut-edge is a graph G' obtained from the disjoint union G + H by deleting e and an edge $e' \in E(H)$ (where e' may be a loop if r > 1), followed by adding two disjoint edges to make each endpoint of e adjacent to one endpoint of e'. The resulting graph G' is (2r + 1)-regular.

Figure 1 illustrates blistering of one edge joining S and T in a 3-regular graph G with three cut-edges and no 2-factor to obtain a larger such graph G'. The components of G' - S - T labeled Q_i are components counted by q_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.



Figure 1: A class of 3-regular graphs with three cut-edges and no 2-factor.

Theorem 3.2. For $k \leq (2r+1)/3$, a (2r+1)-regular graph with 2r+4-3k cut-edges has no 2k-factor if and only if the vertex set V(G) has a partition into sets R, S, T such that (a) S and T are independent sets with |T| > |S|, (b) all cut-edges join T to distinct components of G[R], (c) all edges incident to S lead to T (possibly via blisters that are components of G[R]),

(d) exactly k(|T| - |S|) - 1 components of G[R] are joined to T by exactly three edges each,

(e) each remaining component of R is (2r+1)-regular, with no cut-edge, and

(f) if k < (2r+1)/3, then |T| - |S| = 1.

Proof. Sufficiency: Let G be a graph G with 2r + 4 - 3k cut-edges, and suppose that such a partition $\{R, S, T\}$ of V(G) exists. Let q_2 be the number of components of G[R] that blister edges from S to T. Each cut-edge joins T to a T-odd component, by (b). The k(|T| - |S|) - 1 components of G[R] joined to T by three edges (according to (d)) are also T-odd, as are the q_2 components of G[R] arising as blisters. Hence $q(S,T) \ge 2r + 4 - 3k + k(|T| - |S|) - 1 + q_2$. The number of edges joining S and T is $(2r + 1) |S| - q_2$, by (c). Using also (a), we have $d_{G-S}(T) = (2r + 1)(|T| - |S|) + q_2$. We compute

$$q(S,T) - d_{G-S}(T) \ge (2r+1-3k) + 2 + (k-2r-1)(|T| - |S|)$$

= $-(2r+1-3k)(|T| - |S| - 1) + 2k(|S| - |T|) + 2 = 2k(|S| - |T|) + 2,$

where the last equality uses (f) and the restriction $k \leq (2r+1)/3$. Hence the given partition R, S, T satisfies (2), and G has no 2k-factor.

Necessity: Suppose that G has 2r + 1 - 3(k - 1) cut-edges and no 2k-factor; we obtain the described partition of V(G). The proof of Theorem 2.1 considers (2r + 1)-regular graphs with no 2k-factor and produces $p \ge 2r + 4 - 3k$, where p is the number of cut-edges. To avoid having more cut-edges, we must have equality in all the inequalities used to produce this lower bound.

Recall that q(S,T) counts the components Q of G[R] with ||V(Q),T|| odd. Also $q(S,T) = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$, where q_1, q_2, q_3 count the components having one edge to T and none to S, one edge to T and at least one to S, and at least three edges to T, respectively. Equality in the computation of Theorem 2.1 requires all of the following.

$$q_1 = p \tag{4}$$

$$q_2 = \|R, S\| \tag{5}$$

$$q_1 + q_2 + 3q_3 = d_{G-S}(T) \tag{6}$$

$$(2r+1)|S| = ||T,S|| + ||R,S||$$
(7)

$$|T| - |S| \ge 1, \text{ with equality when } k < (2r+1)/3 \tag{8}$$

By (6), contributions to $d_G(T)$ not in ||T, S|| are counted in ||T, R||, so T is independent. By (7), all edges incident to S lead to T or R, so S is independent, proving (a). The first observation in proving Theorem 2.1 was |T| > |S|, and equality in the last step requires |T| - |S| = 1 when 2r + 1 > 3k, as stated in (8) and desired in (f). By (4), the cut-edges join T to distinct components of G[R], proving (b).

By (5) and (7), $q_2 = 0$ implies (2r + 1)|S| = ||T, S||, making all edges incident to S incident also to T. Since $(2r + 1)|S| = ||T, S|| + q_2$, each component of G[R] counted by q_2 generates only one edge from R to S. Thus each such component blisters an edge joining S and T in a smaller such graph. This explains all the edges counted by ||S, R||. Hence we view the edges incident to S as edges to T with possible blisters, proving (c).

We have accounted for (2r+1)|S| edges incident to T leading to S, including through q_2 blisters. There are also p cut-edges leading to components of G[R], where p = 2r+1-3(k-1). This leaves (2r+1)|T| - (2r+1) + 3(k-1) - (2r+1)|S| edges incident to T that are not cut-edges and join T to components of G[R] not counted by q_2 .

When k < (2r+1)/3 and |T| - |S| = 1, this expression simplifies to 3(k-1). When k = (2r+1)/3, it simplifies to 3[k(|T|-|S|)-1], which is valid for both cases. By (6), all remaining edges incident to T connect vertices of T to T-odd components of G[R] counted by q_3 , using exactly three edges for each such component. Hence there are exactly k(|T| - |S|) - 1 such components of G[R], proving (d). This completes the description of the T-odd components.

Since we have described all edges incident to S and T, any remaining components of G[R] are actually (2r+1)-regular components of G without cut-edges, proving (e). They do not affect the number of T-odd components or the existence of a 2k-factor.

Theorem 3.2 can be viewed as a constructive procedure for generating all extremal examples from certain base graphs. Given r and k with $k \leq (2r+1)/3$, we start with a bipartite graph having parts T and $R \cup S$, where $|T| - |S| \geq 1$, with equality if k < (2r+1)/3. Also, vertices in $T \cup S$ have degree 2r + 1, and R has 2r + 4 - 3k vertices of degree 1 and k(|T| - |S|) - 1 vertices of degree 3. We expand the vertices of R to obtain a (2r+1)-regular multigraph G. This is a base graph. We can then blister edges from S to T and/or add (2r + 1)-regular 2-edge-connected components.

The case |T| = 1 and |S| = 0 gives the graphs found by Sylvester. When k > (2r+1)/3, an inequality used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is not valid. In this range no restriction on cut-edges can guarantee a 2k-factor; we present a simple general construction. As mentioned earlier, this is a sharpness example for the result of Bollobás, Saito, and Wormald [4] that every (2r+1)-regular 2t-edge-connected or (2t+1)-edge-connected multigraph has a 2kfactor if and only if $k \leq \frac{t}{2t+1}(2r+1)$. It is simpler than their more general construction. **Theorem 3.3.** For $1 \le t < r$ and $k > \frac{t}{2t+1}(2r+1)$, there is a (2t+1)-connected (2r+1)-regular graph having no 2k-factor.

Proof. Let $H_{r,t}$ be the complement of $C_{2t+1} + (r-t+1)K_2$. That is, $H_{r,t}$ is obtained from the complete graph K_{2r+3} by deleting the edges of a (2t + 1)-cycle and r - t + 1 other pairwise disjoint edges not incident to the cycle. Note that in $H_{r,t}$ the vertices of the deleted cycle have degree 2r, while the remaining vertices have degree 2r + 1. Let G be the graph formed from the disjoint union of 2r + 1 copies of $H_{r,t}$ by adding a set T of 2t + 1 vertices and 2r + 1 matchings joining T to the vertices of the deleted cycle in each copy of $H_{r,t}$ (see Figure 2).

Deleting 2t vertices cannot separate any copy of $H_{r,t}$ from T, and any two vertices of T are connected by 2r + 1 disjoint paths through the copies of $H_{r,t}$, so G is (2t + 1)-connected.

Suppose that G has a 2k-factor F. Every edge cut in an even factor is crossed by an even number of edges, since the factor decomposes into cycles. Hence F has at most 2t edges joining T to each copy of $H_{r,t}$. On the other hand, since T is independent, F must have 2k|T| edges leaving T. Thus $2k(2t+1) \leq 2t(2r+1)$.



Figure 2: (2r+1)-regular, (2t+1)-connected, no 2k-factor ((r,t,k) = (2,1,2) shown).

References

- F. Baebler, Uber die Zerlegung regulärer Streckenkromplexe ungerader Ordnung (German). Comment. Math. Helv. 10 (1937–38), 275–287.
- [2] H.-B. Belck, Reguläre Faktoren von Graphen (German). J. Reine Angew. Math. 188 (1950), 228–252.
- [3] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs* (North-Holland, 1973). (translation and revision of Graphes et Hypergraphes, Dunod, 1970), p. 162.
- [4] B. Bollobás, A. Saito, and N.C. Wormald, Regular factors of regular graphs. J. Graph Theory 9 (1985), 97–103.

- [5] R. Häggkvist, Factors galore: Extending theorems of Petersen, Baebler, Belck and Gallai, lecture at "Combinatorics in Cambridge", August 4, 2003.
- [6] D. Hanson, C.O.M. Loten, and B. Toft, On interval colourings of bi-regular bipartite graphs. Ars Combin. 50 (1998), 23–32.
- [7] P. Katerinis, Maximum matchings in a regular graph of specified connectivity and bounded order. J. Graph Theory 11 (1987), 53–58.
- [8] T. Niessen and B. Randerath, Regular factors of simple regular graphs and factor-spectra. Discrete Math. 185 (1998), 89–103.
- [9] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären graphs. Acta Math. 15 (1891), 193–220.
- [10] J. Plesník, Connectivity of regular graphs and the existence of 1-factors. Mat. Casopis Sloven. Akad. Vied 22 (1972), 310–318.
- T. Schönberger, Ein Beweis des Petersenschen Graphensatzes. Acta Scientia Mathematica Szeged 7 (1934), 51–57.
- [12] W.T. Tutte, The factors of graphs. Canad. J. Math. 4 (1952), 314–328.
- [13] W.T. Tutte, A short proof of the factor theorem for finite graphs. Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954), 347–352.
- [14] L. Xiao and Y. Liu, Even regular factor of regular graphs and number of cut edges. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 31 (2007), 1019–1026.