On the number of linear multipartite hypergraphs with given size

Fang Tian

Department of Applied Mathematics

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics, Shanghai, 200433, China

tianf@mail.shufe.edu.cn

Abstract

For any given integer $r \ge 3$, let k = k(n) be an integer with $r \le k \le n$. A hypergraph is *r*-uniform if each edge is a set of *r* vertices, and is said to be linear if two edges intersect in at most one vertex. Let A_1, \ldots, A_k be a given *k*-partition of [n] with $|A_i| = n_i \ge 1$. An *r*-uniform hypergraph *H* is called *k*-partite if each edge *e* satisfies $|e \cap A_i| \le 1$ for $1 \le i \le k$. In this paper, the number of linear *k*-partite *r*-uniform hypergraphs on $n \to \infty$ vertices is determined asymptotically when the number of edges is $m(n) = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$. For k = n, it is the number of linear *r*-uniform hypergraphs on vertex set [n] with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$ edges.

Keywords: asymptotic enumeration, linear hypergraph, multipartite hypergraph, switching method.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A16

1 Introduction

For any given integer $r \ge 3$, a hypergraph H on vertex set [n] is an *r*-uniform hypergraph (r-graph for short) if each edge is a set of r vertices, and is said to be a linear hypergraph if two edges intersect in at most one vertex. Little is known about the number of distinct linear hypergraphs. An asymptotic enumeration formula for the logarithm of the number of linear hypergraphs on $n \to \infty$ vertices is obtained by Grable and Phelps [5]. They also obtained the logarithm of the number of partial Steiner (n, r, ℓ) -systems with $2 \le \ell \le r - 1$, where a partial Steiner (n, r, ℓ) -system is an r-graph H such that every subset of size ℓ lies in at most one edge of H; the (n, r, 2)-systems are linear hypergraphs. Asratian and Kuzjurin [1] gave another proof. Blinovsky and Greenhill [3, 4] used the switching method to obtain the asymptotic number of sparse uniform and linear uniform hypergraphs with given order and

degree sequence. Balogh and Li [2] obtained an upper bound on the number of linear uniform hypergraphs with given order and girth.

It is interesting to consider the enumeration of linear hypergraphs with given size. Let $\mathcal{H}_r(n,m)$ denote the set of *r*-graphs on vertex set [n] with *m* edges. Let $\mathcal{L}_r(n,m)$ denote the set of linear hypergraphs in $\mathcal{H}_r(n,m)$. The previous works most relevant to this one are [7, 8]. Hasheminezhad and McKay [7] obtained the asymptotic number of linear hypergraphs with a given number of edges of each size, assuming a constant bound on the edge size and $o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$ edges. McKay and Tian [8] obtained the asymptotic enumeration formula for the set of $\mathcal{L}_r(n,m)$ as far as $m = o(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$. Let $[x]_t = x(x-1)\cdots(x-t+1)$ be the falling factorial. The standard asymptotic notations o and O refer to $n \to \infty$. The floor and ceiling signs are omitted whenever they are not crucial.

Let s and k = k(n) be integers with $1 \leq s \leq r \leq k \leq n$, and N_s be an abbreviation for $\binom{n}{s}$. Let A_1, \ldots, A_k be a given k-partition of [n] with $|A_i| = n_i \geq 1$, $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $\sigma_s(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq k} n_{i_1} \cdots n_{i_s}$ be the s-th elementary symmetric function of $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$. We use $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_s}$ to denote the set of s-sets F_s of [n] such that $|F_s \cap A_{i_j}| = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$, and $\mathcal{E}_s(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq k} A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_s}$ for all $1 \leq s \leq r$. An r-graph H is called k-partite if each edge e satisfies $e \in \mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$. Let $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ denote the set of k-partite r-graphs with m edges and with vertex partition determined by $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$, and let $\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ denote the set of all linear hypergraphs in $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$. In this paper, we obtain an asymptotic enumeration formula for $|\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)|$ as far as $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.

Theorem 1.1. For a fixed integer $r \ge 3$, let s and k = k(n) be integers with $1 \le s \le r \le k \le n$, and let m = m(n) be an integer with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$. Let $\mathbf{\tilde{n}} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $\sigma_s(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_s \le k} n_{i_1} \cdots n_{i_s}$ be the s-th elementary symmetric function of $\mathbf{\tilde{n}}$. Suppose that there exists a constant C > 0 such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \le C\frac{k^2}{n}$. Then, as $n \to \infty$

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)\right| = \frac{\sigma_{r}^{m}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m!} \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma_{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_{2}}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}} + \frac{m^{3}}{n^{4}}\right)\right].$$

Note that if there exists a constant c > 0 such that $n_i \ge c_k^n$ for $1 \le i \le k$, Theorem 1.1 holds. Also, for example, if $n_1 = \ldots = n_p = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for some positive constant p < k, $n_{p+1} = \ldots = n_k = 1$, then $k = n + p - pn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and Theorem 1.1 holds; if $n_1 = \ldots = n_\ell = c'n$, $n_{\ell+1} = \ldots = n_k = 1$ for some positive constants $\ell < k$ and c' such that $c'\ell < 1$, then $k = (1 - c'\ell)n + \ell$ and Theorem 1.1 holds. For n sufficiently large, many cases satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \le C\frac{k^2}{n}$ for some constant C > 0. In particular, for k = n, k-partite r-graphs are general r-graphs, $\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = N_2$, $\sigma_{r-2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = N_{r-2}$ and $\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = N_r$. We have the following corollary on the number of linear r-graphs on [n] with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$ edges, which coincides with the uniform case in [7] and is a subcase in [8].

Corollary 1.2. For any fixed integer $r \ge 3$, let m = m(n) be an integer with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$. Then, as $n \to \infty$,

$$|\mathcal{L}_r(n,m)| = \frac{N_r^m}{m!} \exp\left[-\frac{[r]_2^2[m]_2}{4n^2} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4}\right)\right].$$

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Lemmas are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we complete the enumeration of $\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.

2 Some Lemmas

In order to identify several events which have low probabilities in the uniform probability space $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$, the following lemmas will be useful.

Lemma 2.1. For a fixed integer $r \ge 3$, let s and k = k(n) be integers with $1 \le s \le r \le k \le n$. Let $\sigma_s(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$ be the s-th elementary symmetric function of $\mathbf{\tilde{n}} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. Suppose that there exists a constant C > 0 such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \le C\frac{k^2}{n}$. Then $\sigma_s(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) = O(n^{s-r})\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$.

Proof. Let $S_j(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) = {k \choose j}^{-1} \sigma_j(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$ for all j = 0, ..., k. It is clear that $S_{k-1}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) = k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_1 \cdots n_k}{n_i}$ and $S_k(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) = n_1 \cdots n_k$. By Newton's inequality, we have $S_{j-1}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) S_{j+1}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) \leq S_j^2(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$, and then

$$\frac{S_{s-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{S_s(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \leqslant \frac{S_s(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{S_{s+1}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \leqslant \dots \leqslant \frac{S_{k-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{S_k(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \leqslant C \frac{k}{n}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\sigma_s(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} = \frac{[r]_{r-s}}{[k-s]_{r-s}} \frac{S_s(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{S_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \leqslant \frac{[r]_{r-s}}{[k-s]_{r-s}} \frac{C^{r-s}k^{r-s}}{n^{r-s}} = O(n^{s-r}),$$

where the last step holds since $s \leq r = O(1)$ and $k \geq r$ imply that $k^{r-s} = O([k-s]_{r-s})$. \Box

The following two lemmas are vector forms of [8, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2]. Their proofs are similar to those in [8], but Lemma 2.1 is a key requirement in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.2. For a fixed integer $r \ge 3$, let k = k(n) be an integer with $r \le k \le n$, and H be chosen uniformly at random from $\mathcal{H}_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}, m)$. Let $t = t(n) \ge 1$ be an integer and e_1, \ldots, e_t be distinct r-sets in $\mathcal{E}_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$. Then the probability that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_t\}$ are edges of H is at most $\left(\frac{m}{\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})}\right)^t$.

Proof. Since H is a k-partite r-graph that is chosen uniformly at random from $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$, the probability that e_1, \ldots, e_t are edges of H is

$$\frac{\binom{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})-t}{m-t}}{\binom{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m}} = \frac{[m]_t}{[\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})]_t} = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \frac{m-i}{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})-i} \leqslant \left(\frac{m}{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}\right)^t.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $r \ge 3$, t and α be integers such that $r, t, \alpha = O(1)$ and $0 \le \alpha \le rt$. For any integer k = k(n) with $r \le k \le n$, let H be chosen uniformly at random from $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$. If there exists a constant C > 0 such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \le C\frac{k^2}{n}$, then the expected number of sets of t edges whose union has $rt - \alpha$ or fewer vertices is $O(m^t n^{-\alpha})$. Proof. Let e_1, \ldots, e_t be distinct r-sets in $\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$. We first bound the number of sequences e_1, \ldots, e_t such that $|e_1 \cup \cdots \cup e_t| = rt - \beta$ for some β with $\alpha \leq \beta < rt$, regardless of whether they are edges of H. For $2 \leq i \leq t$, define $a_i = |(e_1 \cup \cdots \cup e_{i-1}) \cap e_i|$, thus we have $\sum_{i=2}^t a_i = \beta$. The first r-set e_1 can be chosen in $\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ ways, then for $2 \leq i \leq t$, the number of choices for e_i given e_1, \ldots, e_{i-1} is at most $(rt)^{a_i}\sigma_{r-a_i}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$. Note that by Lemma 2.1, $\sigma_{r-a_i}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = \sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})O(n^{-a_i})$. Therefore, the number of choices of e_1, \ldots, e_t for given β, a_2, \ldots, a_t is at most $O(1)\sigma_r^t(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\prod_{i=2}^t (rt)^{a_i}n^{-a_i} = O(\sigma_r^t(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})n^{-\beta})$.

The number of choices of a_2, \ldots, a_t given β is at most O(1) by r, t = O(1). Also, by Lemma 2.2, the probability that $e_1, \ldots, e_t \in H$ is at most $(m/\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}))^t$. Therefore, the expected number of sets of t edges of H whose union has size $rt - \beta$ is $O(m^t n^{-\beta})$, uniformly over β . Finally, the sum of this expression over $\beta \ge \alpha$ is bounded by a decreasing geometric series dominated by the term $\beta = \alpha$. This completes the proof.

We also need the following Lemma from [6], which was used to enumerate some hypergraphs in [3, 4, 7, 8].

Lemma 2.4 ([6], Corollary 4.5). Let $N \ge 2$ be an integer, and for $1 \le i \le N$, let real numbers A(i), B(i) be given such that $A(i) \ge 0$ and $1 - (i - 1)B(i) \ge 0$. Define $A_1 = \min_{i=1}^N A(i), A_2 = \max_{i=1}^N A(i), C_1 = \min_{i=1}^N A(i)B(i)$ and $C_2 = \max_{i=1}^N A(i)B(i)$. Suppose that there exists a real number \hat{c} with $0 < \hat{c} < \frac{1}{3}$ such that $\max\{A/N, |C|\} \le \hat{c}$ for all $A \in [A_1, A_2], C \in [C_1, C_2]$. Define h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_N by $h_0 = 1$ and $\frac{h_i}{h_{i-1}} = \frac{A(i)}{i}(1 - (i - 1)B(i))$ for $1 \le i \le N$, with the following interpretation: if A(i) = 0 or 1 - (i - 1)B(i) = 0, then $h_j = 0$ for $i \le j \le N$. Then $\Sigma_1 \le \sum_{i=0}^N h_i \le \Sigma_2$, where $\Sigma_1 = \exp[A_1 - \frac{1}{2}A_1C_2] - (2e\hat{c})^N$ and $\Sigma_2 = \exp[A_2 - \frac{1}{2}A_2C_1 + \frac{1}{2}A_2C_1^2] + (2e\hat{c})^N$.

3 Enumeration of $\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ with $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$

Let H be a k-partite r-graph in $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$. As defined in [8], a 2-set $\{x, y\} \subseteq [n]$ is called a *link* if there are two distinct edges e, f such that $\{x, y\} \subseteq e \cap f$. The two edges e and f are called *linked edges* if $|e \cap f| \ge 2$. Let G_H be the simple graph whose vertices are the edges of H, with two vertices of G adjacent iff the corresponding edges of H are linked. An edge-induced subgraph of H corresponding to a non-trivial component of G_H is called a *cluster* of H.

Let $\mathbb{P}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ denote the probability that a k-partite r-graph $H \in \mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ chosen uniformly at random is linear. Hence,

$$|\mathcal{L}_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)| = {\sigma_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) \choose m} \mathbb{P}_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m).$$
(3.1)

We will prove that $\mathbb{P}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ equals the exponential factor in Theorem 1.1.

Firstly, we show that most of $\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ has a simple structure. Define $\mathcal{H}_r^+(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ to be the set of k-partite r-graphs H which satisfy the following two properties (a) and (b).

- (a) Every cluster of H consists of two edges overlapping by two vertices.
- (b) The number of clusters in *H* is at most *M*, where $M = \left[\log n + \frac{56\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})m^2}{\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}\right]$.

We show that the expected number of k-partite r-graphs in $\mathcal{H}_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}, m)$ not satisfying the properties of $\mathcal{H}_r^+(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}, m)$ is quite small.

Lemma 3.1. For a fixed integer $r \ge 3$, let k = k(n) and m = m(n) be integers with $r \le k \le n$ and $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$. Then, as $n \to \infty$, $\frac{|\mathcal{H}_r^+(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)|}{|\mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)|} = 1 - O(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4})$.

Proof. Consider $H \in \mathcal{H}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ chosen uniformly at random. We apply Lemma 2.3 several times to show that H satisfies the properties (a) and (b) with probability $1 - O(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4})$.

If two edges overlap by three or more vertices, then they have at most 2r - 3 vertices in total, which has probability $O(\frac{m^2}{n^3})$ by Lemma 2.3. Similarly if there is a cluster of more than two edges, then three of those edges have at most 3r - 4 vertices in total, which has probability $O(\frac{m^3}{n^4})$ by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, H satisfies the property (**a**) with probability $1 - O(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4})$.

Note that if (\mathbf{a}) holds, all clusters have two edges and no two clusters share an edge or a link. Define the event

 $\mathcal{D} = \{ \text{there exist at least } d \text{ edge- and link-disjoint clusters in } H \},\$

where d = M + 1. Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{D}] = O\left(\sigma_{r-2}^{2d}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) \\ d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \end{pmatrix}^{2d} \right)$$
$$= O\left(\left(\frac{e\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})m^2}{d\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}\right)^d\right)$$
$$= O\left(\left(\frac{e}{56}\right)^d\right)$$
$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right),$$

where the last two inequalities are true because $d > \frac{56\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})m^2}{\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}$ and $d > \log n$. The proof is complete on noting that the event "(**a**) and (**b**) hold" is contained in the union of the events "(**a**) holds" and " \mathcal{D} doesn't hold".

From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have $|\mathcal{H}_r^+(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)| \neq 0$. Hence, there exists a nonnegative integer t such that the set of k-partite r-graphs with exactly t clusters in $\mathcal{H}_r^+(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ is nonempty and is denoted by \mathcal{C}_t^+ . By the definition of $\mathcal{H}_r^+(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ we have $|\mathcal{H}_r^+(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)| = \sum_{t=0}^M |\mathcal{C}_t^+|$. By the switching operations below, we will prove that $\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m) = \mathcal{C}_0^+ \neq \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)} = \left(1 - O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4}\right)\right) \sum_{t=0}^M \frac{|\mathcal{C}_t^+|}{|\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)|} = \left(1 - O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4}\right)\right) \sum_{t=0}^M \frac{|\mathcal{C}_t^+|}{|\mathcal{C}_0^+|}.$$
 (3.2)

In order to find the ratio $|\mathcal{C}_t^+|/|\mathcal{C}_0^+|$ when $1 \leq t \leq M$, we design switchings to find a relationship between the sizes of \mathcal{C}_t^+ and \mathcal{C}_{t-1}^+ . Let $H \in \mathcal{C}_t^+$. A forward switching from H is used to reduce the number of clusters in H. Take any cluster consisting of two edges e and f, and remove them from H. Define H_0 with the the same vertex set [n] and the edge set $E(H_0) = E(H) \setminus \{e, f\}$. Choose any r-set e_1 from $\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ such that e_1 does not share a link with any edge of H_0 , and define H' by setting $E(H') = E(H_0) \cup \{e_1\}$. Next, similarly choose another r-set e_2 from $\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ such that e_2 does not share a link with any edge of H'. Add edge e_2 to H' to produce H'', which is the result of the forward switching from H. Note that the two edges e_1 and e_2 may have at most one vertex in common and $H'' \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}^+$.

A reverse switching is the reverse of a forward switching. Let $H'' \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}^+$. Sequentially choose two edges e_1 and e_2 of H'' such that neither of them contains a link. Define H_0 with the same vertex set [n] and $E(H_0) = E(H'') \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$. Take two *r*-sets *e* and *f* in $\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ such that $|e \cap f| = 2$ and neither of them share a link with any edge of H_0 . Insert *e* and *f* into H_0 . Call the resulting graph *H*. Then, $H \in \mathcal{C}_t^+$.

Lemma 3.2. For any fixed integer $r \ge 3$, let k = k(n) and m = m(n) be integers with $r \le k \le n$ and $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$. Let t be some positive integer with $1 \le t \le M$. (a) Let $H \in \mathcal{C}_t^+$. The number of forward switchings for H is $t\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})(1 + O(\frac{m}{n^2}))$. (b) Let $H'' \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}^+$. The number of reverse switchings for H'' is $\binom{m-2(t-1)}{2}\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})(1 + O(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{m}{n^2}))$.

Proof. (a) Let $H \in C_t^+$. Let $\mathcal{R}(H)$ be the set of all forward switchings which can be applied to H. There are exactly t ways to choose a cluster; remove it from H to produce H_0 . The number of choices for the r-set e_1 is at most $\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$. From this we must subtract the number of r-sets that overlap some edge of H_0 in two or more vertices, which is at most $\binom{r}{2}(m-2)\sigma_{r-2}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) = O(\frac{m}{n^2})\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})$ by Lemma 2.1 and r = O(1). Thus, there are $\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})(1+O(\frac{m}{n^2}))$ ways to choose e_1 . Similarly, there are $\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})(1+O(\frac{m}{n^2}))$ ways to choose e_2 . We have $|\mathcal{R}(H)| = t\sigma_r^2(\mathbf{\tilde{n}})(1+O(\frac{m}{n^2}))$.

(b) Conversely, suppose that $H'' \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}^+$. Similarly, let $\mathcal{R}'(H'')$ be the set of all reverse switchings for H''. There are exactly $2\binom{m-2(t-1)}{2}$ ways to delete two edges in sequence such that neither of them contains a link in H''. Let the resulting graph be H_0 . There are at most $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ ways to choose two r-sets e and f in $\mathcal{E}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ such that e and f are linked edges. From this we firstly subtract the ones with $|e \cap f| \ge 3$, which is at most $\frac{r}{2}\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-3}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = \sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})O(\frac{1}{n})$ by Lemma 2.1, since r = O(1). Secondly, we subtract the cases where at least one of e, f (say e) shares a link with one of the m - 2edges e' in H_0 . Let ℓ_1 be the link shared by e, f and ℓ_2 be the link shared by e, e'. The number of cases for $|\ell_1 \cup \ell_2| = 2, 3, 4$ is at most $mr^2\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}), mr^2\sigma_1(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-3}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ and $mr^2\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-4}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$, respectively, where the last one is only possible if $r \ge 4$. By Lemma 2.1, each of these expressions is $\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})O(\frac{m}{r^2})$. This completes the proof. \Box

Corollary 3.3. With notation as above, for some $1 \le t \le M$, (a) $|\mathcal{C}_t^+| > 0$ iff $m \ge 2t$. (b) Let t' be the first value of $t \leq M$ such that $C_t^+ = \emptyset$, or t' = M + 1 if no such value exists. Then, as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for $1 \leq t < t'$,

$$\frac{|\mathcal{C}_t^+|}{|\mathcal{C}_{t-1}^+|} = \frac{\binom{m-2(t-1)}{2}\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{t\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{m}{n^2}\right)\right).$$

Proof. (a) Firstly, $m \ge 2t$ is necessary for $|\mathcal{C}_t^+| > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, there is some $0 \le \hat{t} \le M$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{t}}^+ \ne \emptyset$. We can move \hat{t} to t by a sequence of forward and reverse switchings while no greater than M. Note that since the values given in Lemma 3.2 at each step of this path are positive for any $0 \le t \le M$, we have $|\mathcal{C}_t^+| > 0$.

(b) By (a), if $C_t^+ = \emptyset$, then $C_{t+1}^+, \ldots, C_M^+ = \emptyset$. By the definition of t', if $1 \leq t < t'$, then the left hand ratio is well defined. By Lemma 3.2 completes the proof.

At last, we estimate the sum $\sum_{t=0}^{M} |\mathcal{C}_{t}^{+}|/|\mathcal{C}_{0}^{+}|$ by applying Lemma 2.4, which is used to count certain hypergraphs in [3, 4, 7, 8].

Lemma 3.4. For any given integer $r \ge 3$, let k = k(n) and m = m(n) be integers with $r \le k \le n$ and $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$. With notation above, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{M} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_{t}^{+}|}{|\mathcal{C}_{0}^{+}|} = \exp\left[\frac{\sigma_{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_{2}}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}} + \frac{m^{3}}{n^{4}}\right)\right].$$

Proof. Let t' be as defined in Corollary 3.3 (b). We know that $|\mathcal{C}_0^+| \neq 0$, then $t' \geq 1$. If t' = 1, then we have m < 2 from Corollary 3.3 (a), and the conclusion is obviously true. In the following, suppose $t' \geq 2$. Define h_0, \ldots, h_M by $h_0 = 1$, $h_t = |\mathcal{C}_t^+|/|\mathcal{C}_0^+|$ for $1 \leq t < t'$ and $h_t = 0$ for $t' \leq t \leq M$. By Corollary 3.3 (b), we have for $1 \leq t < t'$,

$$\frac{h_t}{h_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{t} \binom{m-2(t-1)}{2} \frac{\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{m}{n^2}\right)\right).$$
(3.3)

For $1 \leq t \leq M$, define

$$A(t) = \frac{\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_2}{2\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4}\right),$$

$$B(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(2m-2t+1)}{m(m-1)}, \text{ for } 1 \leq t < t'; \\ (t-1)^{-1}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Using the equations shown in (3.3) and (3.4), for $1 \leq t < t'$, we further have

$$\frac{h_t}{h_{t-1}} = \frac{A(t)}{t} \left(1 - (t-1)B(t) \right)$$

Following the notation of Lemma 2.4, we also have

$$A_1, A_2 = \frac{\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_2}{2\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4}\right).$$
 (3.5)

For $1 \leq t < t'$, we have

$$A(t)B(t) = \frac{\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})(2m-2t+1)}{\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m}{n^3} + \frac{m^2}{n^4}\right).$$

Then $A(t)B(t) = O(\frac{m}{n^2})$ because $\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})/\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = O(n^{-4})$ by applying Lemma 2.1, and $\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = O(n^2)$ based on the fact that $\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ is the number of edges of complete k-partite graphs and its maximum value occurs at the approximately equal partition. For the case $t' \leq t \leq M$ and $t' \geq 2$, by Corollary 3.3 (a), we have $2 \leq m < 2t$, and then $A(t)B(t) = O(\frac{m}{n^2})$ for $t' \leq t \leq M$. In both cases, following the notation of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$C_1, C_2 = O\left(\frac{m}{n^2}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Then |C| = o(1) for all $C \in [C_1, C_2]$ when $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.

Let $\hat{c} = \frac{1}{110}$. Note that $M = \left\lceil \log n + \frac{56\sigma_{r-2}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})m^2}{\sigma_r^2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \right\rceil$. We have $\frac{A}{M} \leq \frac{1+O(1/n+m/n^2)}{112}$ for all $A \in [A_1, A_2]$ as shown in (3.5). Thus, $\max\{\frac{A}{M}, |C|\} < \hat{c}$ and $(2e\hat{c})^M = O(\frac{1}{n^3})$ as $n \to \infty$. Using the equations shown in (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$A_1C_2, A_2C_1 = O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{m}{n^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{m^3}{n^4}\right) \text{ and } A_2C_1^2 = O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{m^2}{n^4}\right) = O\left(\frac{m^4}{n^6}\right).$$

Lemma 2.4 applies to obtain

$$\sum_{t=0}^{M} \frac{|\mathcal{C}_{t}^{+}|}{|\mathcal{C}_{0}^{+}|} = \exp\left[\frac{\sigma_{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_{2}}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}} + \frac{m^{3}}{n^{4}}\right)\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left[\frac{\sigma_{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_{2}}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}} + \frac{m^{3}}{n^{4}}\right)\right]$$

when $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By applying Lemma 3.4, using the equations shown in (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}},m)| &= \binom{\sigma_{r}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m} \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma_{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_{2}}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}} + \frac{m^{3}}{n^{4}}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{r}^{m}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m!} \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma_{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})\sigma_{r-2}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})[m]_{2}}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}} + \frac{m^{3}}{n^{4}}\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\binom{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m} = \frac{\sigma_r^m(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m!} \exp\left[O\left(\frac{m^2}{\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}\right)\right] = \frac{\sigma_r^m(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})}{m!} \exp\left[O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3}\right)\right]$$

because $\sigma_r(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}) \ge {\binom{k}{r}}c^r(\frac{n}{k})^r$ and $r \ge 3$.

г	-	ъ
L		L
		L
L		L

Proof of Corollary 1.2. If k = n, then $\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = N_2$, $\sigma_{r-2}(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = N_{r-2}$, $\sigma_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = N_r$ and k-partite r-graphs are r-graphs. By applying Theorem 1.1, it follows that

$$|\mathcal{L}_r(n,m)| = \frac{N_r^m}{m!} \exp\left[-\frac{[r]_2^2[m]_2}{4n^2} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{n^3} + \frac{m^3}{n^4}\right)\right]$$

$$a^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

when $m = o(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.

Remark 3.5. The formula in Corollary 1.2 coincides with the uniform case in [7] and is a subcase in [8]. Compared with the enumeration formula of $|\mathcal{L}_r(n,m)|$ in [8],

$$\left|\mathcal{L}_{r}(n,m)\right| = \frac{N_{r}^{m}}{m!} \exp\left[-\frac{[r]_{2}^{2}[m]_{2}}{4n^{2}} - \frac{[r]_{2}^{3}(3r^{2} - 15r + 20)m^{3}}{24n^{4}} + O\left(\frac{m^{2}}{n^{3}}\right)\right],$$

the term $\frac{[r]_2^3(3r^2-15r+20)m^3}{24n^4} = O\left(\frac{m^3}{n^4}\right)$ is under our conditions. It will take new ideas to handle larger m in $\mathcal{L}_r(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, m)$ and they will be more complicated than those in [7, 8]. We leave these problems for future work.

Acknowledgement

Most of this work was finished when Fang Tian was a visiting research fellow at Australian National University. She is very grateful for what she learned there. She is also immensely grateful to the anonymous reviewer for his/her detailed and helpful suggestions.

References

- A. S. Asratian and N. N. Kuzjurin, On the number of partial Steiner systems. J. Comb. Des., 81(5) (2000), 347-352.
- [2] J. Balogh and L. Li, On the number of linear hypergraphs of large girth. J. Graph Theor., 93(1) (2020), 113-141.
- [3] V. Blinovsky and C. Greenhill, Asymptotic enumeration of sparse uniform hypergraphs with given degrees. *Eur. J. Combin.*, **51** (2016), 287–296.
- [4] V. Blinovsky and C. Greenhill, Asymptotic enumeration of sparse uniform linear hypergraphs with given degrees. *Electron. J. Comb.*, **23**(3) (2016), P3.17.
- [5] D. A. Grable and K. T. Phelps, Random methods in design theory: a survey. J. Comb. Des., 4(4) (1996), 255-273.
- [6] C. Greenhill, B. D. McKay and X. Wang, Asymptotic enumeration of sparse 0-1 matrices with irregular row and column sums. J. Comb. Theory A, 113 (2006), 291-324.

- [7] M. Hasheminezhad and B. D. McKay, Asymptotic enumeration of non-uniform linear hypergraphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph T.*, (2020), in press.
- [8] B. D. McKay and F. Tian, Asymptotic enumeration of linear hypergraphs with given number of vertices and edges. Adv. Appl. Math., 115 (2020), 102000.