Nonrepetitive Colouring via Entropy Compression

Vida Dujmović[§] (

Gwenaël Joret †

† Jakub Kozik ¶

David R. Wood[‡]

Abstract

A vertex colouring of a graph is *nonrepetitive* if there is no path whose first half receives the same sequence of colours as the second half. A graph is nonrepetitively *k*-choosable if given lists of at least *k* colours at each vertex, there is a nonrepetitive colouring such that each vertex is coloured from its own list. It is known that every graph with maximum degree Δ is $c\Delta^2$ -choosable, for some constant *c*. We prove this result with c = 1 (ignoring lower order terms). We then prove that every subdivision of a graph with sufficiently many division vertices per edge is nonrepetitively 5-choosable. The proofs of both these results are based on the Moser-Tardos entropy-compression method, and a recent extension by Grytczuk, Kozik and Micek for the nonrepetitive choosability of paths. Finally, we prove that every graph with pathwidth *k* is nonrepetitively $O(k^2)$ colourable.

1 Introduction

A colouring of a graph¹ is *nonrepetitive* if there is no path P such that the first half of P receives the same sequence of colours as the second half of P. More precisely, a k-colouring

Date: 23 Dec 2011; revised 24 Feb 2012. Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C15, 05D40.

[§]School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada (vida@scs.carleton.ca). Supported by NSERC and an Endeavour Fellowship from the Australian Government.

[†]Département d'Informatique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium (gjoret@ulb.ac.be). Postdoctoral Researcher of the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS). Supported by an Endeavour Fellowship from the Australian Government.

[¶]Theoretical Computer Science Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University, ul. Prof. St. Lojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Krakow, Poland (jkozik@tcs.uj.edu.pl). Supported by the Polish National Science Center (DEC-2011/01/D/ST1/04412).

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia (woodd@unimelb.edu.au). Supported by a QEII Fellowship and a Discovery Project from the Australian Research Council.

¹We consider simple, finite, undirected graphs G with vertex set V(G), edge set E(G), maximum degree $\Delta(G)$, and maximum clique size $\omega(G)$. The *neighbourhood* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $N_G(v) := \{w \in V(G) : vw \in E(G)\}$. The *neighbourhood* of a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is $N_G(S) := \bigcup\{N_G(x) : x \in S\} \setminus S$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $\deg_G(v) := |N_G(v)|$. We use N(v) and N(S) and $\deg(v)$ if the graph G is clear from the context.

of a graph G is a function ψ that assigns one of k colours to each vertex of G. A path is even if its order is even. An even path v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2t} of G is repetitively coloured by ψ if $\psi(v_i) = \psi(v_{t+i})$ for all $i \in [1, t] := \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$. A colouring ψ is nonrepetitive if no path of G is repetitively coloured by ψ . The nonrepetitive chromatic number $\pi(G)$ is the minimum integer k such that G has a nonrepetitive k-colouring.

Observe that every nonrepetitive colouring is *proper*, in the sense that adjacent vertices receive distinct colours. Moreover, a nonrepetitive colouring has no 2-coloured P_4 (a path on four vertices). A proper colouring with no 2-coloured P_4 is called a *star colouring* since each bichromatic subgraph is a star forest; see [1, 7, 15, 18, 36, 41]. The *star chromatic number* $\chi_{st}(G)$ is the minimum number of colours in a star colouring of G. Thus

$$\chi(G) \le \chi_{\rm st}(G) \le \pi(G)$$

The seminal result in this field is by Thue [40], who in 1906 proved^2 that every path is nonrepetitively 3-colourable. Nonrepetitive colourings have recently been widely studied [2–4, 4–6, 8, 9, 12–14, 16, 19, 21, 23, 24, 26–28, 31–33, 37–39]; see the surveys [11, 20–22].

The contributions of this paper concern three different generalisations of the result of Thue: bounded degree graphs, graph subdivisions, and graphs of bounded pathwidth.

1.1 Bounded Degree

In a sweeping generalisation of Thue's result, Alon et al. [3] proved³ that for some constant c and for every graph G with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 1$,

$$\pi(G) \le c\,\Delta^2 \quad . \tag{1}$$

Moreover, the bound in (1) is almost tight—Alon et al. [3] proved that there are graphs with maximum degree Δ that are not nonrepetitively $(c\Delta^2/\log \Delta)$ -colourable for some constant c.

The bound in (1), in fact, holds in the stronger setting of nonrepetitive list colourings. A list assignment of a graph G is a function L that assigns a set L(v) of colours to each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then G is nonrepetitively L-colourable if there is a nonrepetitive colouring of G, such that each vertex $v \in V(G)$ is assigned a colour in L(v). And G is nonrepetitively k-choosable if for every list assignment L of G such that $|L(v)| \ge k$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$,

²The nonrepetitive 3-colouring of P_n by Thue [40] is obtained as follows. Given a nonrepetitive sequence over $\{1, 2, 3\}$, replace each 1 by the sequence 12312, replace each 2 by the sequence 131232, and replace each 3 by the sequence 1323132. Thue [40] proved that the new sequence is nonrepetitive. Thus arbitrarily long paths can be nonrepetitively 3-coloured.

³The theorem of Alon et al. [3] was actually for edge colourings, but it is easily seen that the method works in the more general setting of vertex colourings.

there is a nonrepetitive *L*-colouring of *G*. The nonrepetitive choice number $\pi_{ch}(G)$ is the minimum integer k such that *G* is nonrepetitively k-choosable. By definition, $\pi(G) \leq \pi_{ch}(G)$.

All the known proofs of (1) are based on the Lovász Local Lemma, and thus are easily seen to prove the stronger result that

$$\pi_{\rm ch}(G) \le c\,\Delta(G)^2 \quad . \tag{2}$$

Alon et al. [3] originally proved (2) with $c = 2e^{16}$, which was improved to 36 by Grytczuk [21] and then to 16 again by Grytczuk [20]. The current best bound, assuming $\Delta(G) \ge 2$, is $\pi_{\rm ch}(G) \le 12.92(\Delta(G) - 1)^2$ by Haranta and Jendrol' [26]. We improve the constant c to 1.

Theorem 1. For every graph G with maximum degree Δ ,

$$\pi_{\rm ch}(G) \le (1+o(1))\Delta^2$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the celebrated entropy-compression method of Moser and Tardos [35], and more precisely on an extension by Grytczuk et al. [23] for nonrepetitive sequences (or equivalently, nonrepetitive colourings of paths). The latter authors considered the following variant of the Moser-Tardos algorithm for nonrepetitively colouring paths. Start at the first vertex of the path and repeat the following step until a valid colouring is produced: Randomly colour the current vertex. If doing so creates a repetitively coloured subpath P, then uncolour the second half of P and let the new current vertex be the first uncoloured vertex on the path. Otherwise, go to the next vertex in the path. Grytczuk et al. [23] used this algorithm to obtain a short proof that paths are nonrepetitively 4-choosable, which was first proved by Grytczuk et al. [24] using the Lovász Local Lemma. (It is open whether every path is nonrepetitively 3-choosable.) Our proof of Theorem 1 generalises this method for graphs of bounded degree. While the main conclusion of the Moser-Tardos method was a constructive proof of the Lovász Local Lemma, as Kolipaka and Szegedy [29] write, "variants of the Moser-Tardos algorithm can be useful in existence proofs". Our result is further evidence of this claim.

We expect that this method can also be used to make constant-factor improvements to other bounds proved using the Lovász Local Lemma, such as the bound of $\chi_{\rm st}(G) \leq 20\Delta^{3/2}$ by Fertin et al. [15].

1.2 Subdivisions

A subdivision of a graph G is a graph obtained from G by replacing the edges of G with internally disjoint paths, where the path replacing vw has endpoints v and w. In a beautiful generalisation of Thue's theorem, Pezarski and Zmarz [39] proved that every graph has a nonrepetitively 3-colourable subdivision (improving on analogous 5- and 4-colour results by Grytczuk [20] and Barát and Wood [6] respectively). For each of these theorems, the number of division vertices per edge is $\mathcal{O}(n)$ or $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ for *n*-vertex graphs. Improving these bounds, Nešetřil et al. [37] proved that every graph has a nonrepetitively 17-colourable subdivision with $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ division vertices per edge, and that $\Omega(\log n)$ division vertices are needed on some edge of a nonrepetitively $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -colourable subdivision of K_n . Here we prove that every graph has a nonrepetitively $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -choosable subdivision, which solves an open problem by Grytczuk et al. [24]. All logarithms are binary.

Theorem 2. Let G be a subdivision of a graph H, such that each edge $vw \in E(H)$ is subdivided at least $\lceil 10^5 \log(\deg(v) + 1) \rceil + \lceil 10^5 \log(\deg(w) + 1) \rceil + 2$ times in G. Then

$$\pi_{\rm ch}(G) \le 5$$

Theorem 2 is stronger than the above subdivision results in the following respects: (1) it is for choosability not just colourability; (2) it applies to every subdivision with *at least* a certain number of division vertices per edge, and (3) the required number of division vertices per edge is asymptotically fewer than for the above results. Of course, Theorem 2 is weaker than the results in [6, 39] mentioned above in that the number of colours is 5.

Theorem 2 is also proved using the entropy-compression method mentioned above. An analogous theorem with more colours and $\mathcal{O}(\log \Delta(G))$ division vertices per edges can be proved using the Lovász Local Lemma; see Appendix A.

1.3 Pathwidth

Thue's result was generalised in a different direction by Brešar et al. [8], who proved that every tree is nonrepetitively 4-colourable⁴. This result was further generalised by considering treewidth⁵, which is a parameter that measures how similar a graph is to a tree. Kündgen and Pelsmajer [31] and Barát and Varjú [4] independently proved that graphs of bounded treewidth have bounded nonrepetitive chromatic number. The best upper bound is due to Kündgen and Pelsmajer [31], who proved that $\pi(G) \leq 4^k$ for every graph G with treewidth k. The best lower bound is due to Albertson et al. [1], who described graphs G with treewidth k and $\pi(G) \geq \chi_{\rm st}(G) = \binom{k+2}{2}$. Thus there is a quadratic lower bound on π in

⁴No such result is possible for choosability—Fiorenzi et al. [16] proved that trees have arbitrarily high nonrepetitive choice number. On the other hand, Kozik and Micek [30] proved that $\pi_{ch}(T) \leq O(\Delta^{1+\varepsilon})$ for every tree T with maximum degree Δ .

⁵A tree decomposition of a graph G consists of a tree T and a set $\{B_x \subseteq V(G) : x \in V(T)\}$ of subsets of vertices of G, called *bags*, indexed by the vertices of T, such that (1) the endpoints of each edge of G appear in some bag, and (2) for each vertex v of G the set $\{x \in V(T) : v \in B_x\}$ is nonempty and induces a connected subtree of T. The width of the tree decomposition is $\max\{|B_x| - 1 : x \in V(T)\}$. The treewidth of G is the minimum width of a tree decomposition of G. A path decomposition is a tree decomposition whose underlying tree is a path. Thus a path decomposition is simply defined by a sequence of bags B_1, \ldots, B_p . The pathwidth of G is the minimum width of a path decomposition of G.

terms of treewidth. It is open whether π is bounded from above by a polynomial function of treewidth. We prove the following related result.

Theorem 3. For every graph G with pathwidth k,

$$\pi(G) \le 2k^2 + 6k + 1$$

It is open whether $\pi(G) \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ for every graph G with pathwidth k. For treewidth, a quadratic lower bound on π follows from the quadratic lower bound on χ_{st} , as explained above. However, we show that no such result holds for pathwidth.

Theorem 4. For every graph G with pathwidth k,

$$\chi_{\rm st}(G) \leq 3k+1$$
.

2 An Algorithm

This section presents and analyses an algorithm for nonrepetitively list colouring a graph. This machinery will be used to prove Theorems 1 and 2 in the following sections.

If a set X is linearly ordered according to some fixed ordering, then the *index* of an element $e \in X$ in X is the position of e in this ordering of X. Such an ordering induces in a natural way an ordering of each subset Y of X, so that the index of an element $e \in Y$ in Y is well defined.

Let G be a fixed n-vertex graph. Assume that V(G) is ordered according to some arbitrary linear ordering. Let L be a list assignment for G. Assume each list in L has size ℓ . Identify colours with nonnegative integers. Thus the colours in L(v) are ordered in a natural way, for each $v \in V(G)$. Without loss of generality, the colour 0 is in none of these lists. In what follows, we consider an uncoloured vertex to be coloured 0. A *precolouring* of G is a colouring ψ of G such that $\psi(v) \in L(v) \cup \{0\}$ for each $v \in V(G)$. If $\psi(v) \neq 0$ then v is said to be *precoloured* by ψ .

For each path P of G with 2k vertices, for each subset $X \subseteq V(G) - V(P)$, and for each vertex $v \in V(P)$, define s(P, X, v) to be the sequence (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}) obtained as follows: Let x, y be the two endpoints of P, with v closer to y than to x in P. Let v_1, \ldots, v_p be the vertices of P from $v_1 := v$ to $v_p := x$ defined by P, in order. (Observe that $p \ge 2$ since $v \ne x$.) Let s_1 be the index of v_2 in $N(v_1) - X$, and for each $i \in [2, p - 1]$, let s_i be the index of v_{i+1} in $N(v_i) - (X \cup \{v_{i-1}\})$. Let $s_p := -1$. If v = y then p = 2k and the sequence (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}) is completely defined. If $v \ne y$ then let q := 2k - p + 1 and let w_1, \ldots, w_q be the vertices of P from $w_1 := v$ to $w_q := y$ defined by P, in order. Let s_{p+1} be the index of w_2 in $N(w_1) - (X \cup \{v_2\})$, and for each $i \in [2, q - 1]$, let s_{p+i} be the index of w_{i+1} in $N(w_i) - (X \cup \{v_2\})$.

An important feature of the above encoding of the triple (P, X, v) as a sequence s(P, X, v) is that it can be reversed, as we now explain.

Lemma 5. Suppose s = s(P, X, v) for some even path P of G such that $X \subseteq V(G) - V(P)$, and $v \in V(P)$. Then, given s, X, and v, one can uniquely determine the path P.

Proof. Let $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k})$ and let $p \in [2, 2k]$ be the unique index such that $s_p = -1$. Let $u_p := v$, let u_{p-1} be the s_1 -th vertex in $N(u_p) - X$, and for $i = p - 2, \ldots, 1$, let u_i be the s_{p-i} -th vertex in $N(u_{i+1}) - (X \cup \{u_{i+2}\})$. Next, for $j = p + 1, \ldots, 2k$, let u_j be the s_j -th vertex in $N(u_{j-1}) - (X \cup \{u_{j-2}\})$. Then the vertices u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2k} , in this order, determine a path P of G such that s(P, X, v) = s.

Observe that if P' is an even path of G such that $X \subseteq V(G) - V(P')$, $v \in V(P')$, and P' is distinct from P, then $s(P', X, v) \neq s(P, X, v)$. Therefore, the path P above is uniquely determined.

Let S be the set of all sequences s(P, X, v) where P is an even path in $G, X \subseteq V(G) - V(P)$, and v is a vertex of P. A record is a mapping $R : \mathbb{N} \to S \cup \{\emptyset\}$. The empty record is the record R such that $R(i) = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

A priority function is a function f that associates to each nonempty subset X of V(G) a vertex $f(X) \in X$. Consider Algorithm 1, which (for a fixed graph G, a list assignment L, a priority function f, and a precolouring ψ) takes as input a positive integer t and a vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in [1, \ell]^t$. Note that precoloured vertices and a specific priority function will only be needed when proving the result on subdivisions. We thus invite the reader to first consider the set Q of precoloured vertices to be empty, and the priority function f to be arbitrary (for instance, f(X) could be the first vertex in X in the fixed ordering of V(G)). Also note that the choice of the repetitively coloured path P in the algorithm is assumed to be consistent; that is, according to some (arbitrary) fixed deterministic rule.

Say that the algorithm succeeds if it terminates with $X = \emptyset$, and fails otherwise. It is easily seen that if the algorithm succeeds, then the produced colouring ϕ is a nonrepetitive L-colouring of G. For a given integer $t \ge 1$, let \mathcal{F}_t be the set of vectors $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in [1, \ell]^t$ on which the algorithm fails. Let \mathcal{A}_t be the set of distinct pairs (ϕ, R) that are produced by the algorithm on vectors in \mathcal{F}_t . Let \mathcal{R}_t be the set of distinct records R that can be produced by the algorithm on vectors in \mathcal{F}_t . For $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$, let $\mathcal{F}_{t,R}$ be the set of vectors $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ on which the algorithm produces record R. (Thus $\mathcal{F}_{t,R} \neq \emptyset$.) For a vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$, let the trace $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ be the vector (X_1, \ldots, X_t) where X_i is the set X at the beginning of the *i*-th iteration of the while-loop of the algorithm on input (c_1, \ldots, c_t) , for each $i \in [1, t]$. (Observe that X_1 always equals V(G) - Q.) Finally, let $\mathcal{T}_t := \{\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t) : (c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t\}.$

The next lemma shows that for a fixed record $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$, all the vectors in $\mathcal{F}_{t,R}$ have the same

Algorithm 1 *L*-colouring the graph *G*, where *f* is a priority function, ψ is a precolouring of *G*, and *Q* is the set of precoloured vertices under ψ .

Input: $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in [1, \ell]^t$ **Output:** a (possibly invalid) colouring ϕ and a record R $i \leftarrow 1$ $\phi \leftarrow \psi$ $R \leftarrow \text{empty record}$ $X \leftarrow V(G) - Q$ while $i \leq t$ and $X \neq \emptyset$ do $v \leftarrow f(X)$ $\phi(v) \leftarrow c_i$ -th colour in L(v)if G contains a repetitively coloured path P then divide P into first half P_1 and second half P_2 so that $v \in V(P_2)$ for $w \in V(P_2) - Q$ do $\phi(w) \leftarrow 0$ end for $R(i) \leftarrow s(P, X, v)$ $X \leftarrow X \cup (V(P_2) - Q)$ else $R(i) \leftarrow \emptyset$ $X \leftarrow X - \{v\}$ end if $i \leftarrow i + 1$ end while **return** return ϕ , R

trace.

Lemma 6. For every $t \ge 1$ there exists a function $h_t : \mathcal{R}_t \to \mathcal{T}_t$ such that for each $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ and each $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_{t,R}$ we have $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t) = h_t(R)$.

Proof. We construct h_t by induction on t. For t = 1 simply let $h_t(R) := (V(G) - Q)$ for each $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$.

Now assume that $t \ge 2$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$. Let R' be the record obtained from R by setting R'(i) := R(i) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \ne t$, and $R'(t) := \emptyset$. Then $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}$, and by induction, $h_{t-1}(R') = (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1})$ for some $(X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{T}_{t-1}$. Let $v_{t-1} := f(X_{t-1})$.

First suppose that $R(t-1) = \emptyset$. Let $X_t := X_{t-1} - \{v_{t-1}\}$ and $h_t(R) := (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t)$. Consider a vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_{t,R}$. Then $(c_1, \ldots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1,R'}$, and by induction $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_{t-1}) = (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1})$. Thus at the beginning of the (t-1)-th iteration of the while-loop in the algorithm on input (c_1, \ldots, c_t) , the current record is R', and $v = v_{t-1}$ and $X = X_{t-1}$. Since $R(t-1) = \emptyset$, the algorithm subsequently coloured v_{t-1} without creating any repetitively coloured path, implying that $X = X_{t-1} - \{v_{t-1}\} = X_t$ at the beginning of the t-th iteration. Hence $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t) = (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) = h_t(R)$, as desired.

Now assume that R(t-1) = s for some $s \in S$. Using Lemma 5, let P be the path of G determined by s, X_{t-1} , and v_{t-1} . Let P_1 and P_2 denote the two halves of P, so that $v_{t-1} \in V(P_2)$. Let $X_t := X_{t-1} \cup (V(P_2) - Q)$ and $h_t(R) := (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t)$. Consider a vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_{t,R}$. Then $(c_1, \ldots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1,R'}$, and by induction $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_{t-1}) = (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1})$. As before, at the beginning of the (t-1)-th iteration of the while-loop in the algorithm on input (c_1, \ldots, c_t) , the current record is R', and $v = v_{t-1}$ and $X = X_{t-1}$. Then, after colouring v, the path P is repetitively coloured, and all vertices in P_2 are subsequently uncoloured, except for those in Q. Hence we have $X = X_{t-1} \cup (V(P_2) - Q) = X_t$ at the beginning of the t-th iteration. Therefore $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t) = (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) = h_t(R)$.

Lemma 7. For every $(\phi, R) \in A_t$ there is a unique vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ such that the algorithm produces (ϕ, R) on input (c_1, \ldots, c_t) .

Proof. The proof is by induction on t. This claim is true for t = 1 since in that case the unique vector $(c_1) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ yielding (ϕ, R) is the one where c_1 is the index of colour $\phi(v_1)$ in the list $L(v_1)$, where $v_1 := f(V(G) - Q)$.

Now assume that $t \geq 2$. Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_t) := h_t(R)$, where h_t is the function in Lemma 6. Let $v_t := f(X_t)$. (Recall that $X_t \neq \emptyset$.) Let R' be the record obtained from R by setting R'(i) := R(i) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \neq t$, and $R'(t) := \emptyset$. Then $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{t-1}$, and $h_{t-1}(R') = (X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1})$.

First suppose that $R(t) = \emptyset$. Let ϕ' be the colouring obtained from ϕ by setting $\phi'(v_t) := 0$ and $\phi'(w) := \phi(w)$ for each $w \in V(G) - \{v_t\}$. Then $(\phi', R') \in \mathcal{A}_{t-1}$, and by induction there is a unique input vector $(c'_1, \ldots, c'_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1}$ for which the algorithm produces (ϕ', R') . It follows that every vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ resulting in the pair (ϕ, R) satisfies $c_i = c'_i$ for each $i \in [1, t-1]$. But then c_t is also uniquely determined, since it is the index of colour $\phi(v_t)$ in the list $L(v_t)$. Hence there is a unique such vector (c_1, \ldots, c_t) .

Now assume that R(t) = s for some $s \in S$. Using Lemma 5, let P be the path of G determined by s, X_t , and v_t . Let P_1 and P_2 denote the two halves of P, so that $v_t \in V(P_2)$. Let w_1, \ldots, w_{2k} denotes the vertices of P, in order, so that $V(P_1) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ and $V(P_2) = \{w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_{2k}\}$. Let $j \in [1, k]$ be the index such that $w_{k+j} = v_t$. Let ϕ' be the colouring obtained from ϕ by setting $\phi'(v_t) := 0$, $\phi'(w_{k+i}) := \phi(w_i)$ for each $i \in [1, k]$ such that $i \neq j$ and $w_{k+i} \notin Q$, and $\phi'(w) := \phi(w)$ for each $w \in V(G) - (V(P_2) - Q)$. Then $(\phi', R') \in \mathcal{A}_{t-1}$, and by induction there is a unique vector $(c'_1, \ldots, c'_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1}$ on the input of which the algorithm produces (ϕ', R') . It follows that every vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ resulting in the pair (ϕ, R) satisfies $c_i = c'_i$ for each $i \in [1, t-1]$. Moreover, c_t is the index of colour $\phi(w_i)$ in the list $L(w_i)$, and therefore is also uniquely determined. Lemma 7 implies that $|\mathcal{A}_t| = |\mathcal{F}_t|$ for all $t \ge 1$.

Recall that S is the set of all sequences s(P, X, v) where P is an even path in $G, X \subseteq V(G) - V(P)$, and $v \in V(P)$. Once we fix a precolouring ψ of G and a priority function f, as we did above, some triples (P, X, v) will never be considered by the algorithm on any input. (For instance, this is the case if X contains a precoloured vertex.) This leads us to define \overline{S} as the set of sequences $s \in S$ such that R(i) = s for some $t \ge 1$, $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$, and $i \in [1, t]$; such sequences are said to be *realisable* (with respect to ψ and f).

For each $k \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$, let α_k be the number of sequences of length 2k in \overline{S} . Define

$$\beta := \max\{1, \max\{(\alpha_k)^{1/k} : 1 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}\}$$

Thus $\beta \geq 1$ and $\alpha_k \leq \beta^k$ for each $k \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$.

Recall that a Dyck word of length 2t is a binary sequence with t zeroes and t ones such that the number of zeroes is at least the number of ones in every prefix of the sequence.

Let $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$. For each $i \in [1, t]$, let r_i be half the length of the sequence R(i) (in particular $r_i = 0$ if $R(i) = \emptyset$), and let $z(R) := \sum_{i=1}^t r_i$. Then z(R) is exactly the number of coloured vertices at the end of any execution of the algorithm that produces the record R. (Recall that a vertex of colour 0 is interpreted as being uncoloured.) In particular, $z(R) \ge 1$, since there is always at least one coloured vertex, and $z(R) \le n$. Associate with R the word

$$D(R) := 01^{r_1} 01^{r_2} \dots 01^{r_t} 1^{z(R)}.$$

Then D(R) is a Dyck word of length 2t.

Conversely, a Dyck word d is *realisable* if there exist $t \ge 1$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ such that D(R) = d. The set of realisable Dyck words of length 2t is denoted \mathcal{D}_t .

3 Bounded Degree Proof

The proof of Theorem 1 makes use of the symbolic approach to combinatorial enumeration via generating functions. We refer the reader to the book by Flajolet and Sedgewick [17] for background on this topic, as well as for undefined terms and notations.

First we introduce a result from [17] that will be used in our proof.

Definition 1 (Definition IV.5 from [17]). Let B(z) be a function analytic at 0. Then B admits a span of d if for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : [z^n]B(z) \neq 0\} \subseteq r + d\mathbb{N} .$$

If the largest span that B(z) admits is 1, then B is *aperiodic*.

Definition 2 (Definition VII.3 from [17]). Let B(z) be a function analytic at 0. It is said to belong to the smooth inverse-function schema if there exists a function $\phi(u)$ analytic at 0, such that in a neighbourhood of 0,

$$B(z) = z \phi(B(z)),$$

and $\phi(u)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(H1) The function $\phi(u)$ is such that

$$\phi(0) \neq 0, \quad [u^n]\phi(u) \ge 0, \quad \phi(u) \not\equiv \phi_0 + \phi_1 u.$$

(H2) Within the open disc of convergence of ϕ at 0, |u| < R, there exists a (necessarily unique) positive solution $\tau \in (0, R)$ to the characteristic equation $\phi(\tau) - \tau \phi'(\tau) = 0$.

The schema is said to be *aperiodic* if $\phi(u)$ is an aperiodic function of u.

Theorem 8 (Theorem VII.2 from [17]). Let B(z) belong to the aperiodic smooth inversefunction schema $B(z) = z \phi(B(z))$. Let τ be the positive root of the characteristic equation and let $\rho := \tau/\phi(\tau)$. Then

$$[z^t]B(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\phi(\tau)}{2\phi'(\tau)}} \frac{\rho^{-t}}{\sqrt{\pi t^3}}$$

A substring of some sequence or word is a subsequence of consecutive elements.

The next result is a precise version of Theorem 1. Note that we do not attempt to optimise the lower order terms.

Theorem 9. For every graph G with maximum degree $\Delta > 1$,

$$\pi_{\rm ch}(G) \le \left\lceil \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}\right) \Delta^2 \right\rceil$$

Proof. Let G be a graph with maximum degree Δ . Fix an ordering of V(G). Let n := |V(G)| and let L be a list assignment of G. Assume each list in L has size $\ell := \left\lceil \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}\right) \Delta^2 \right\rceil$. Let f be an arbitrary priority function. Consider the algorithm on G, where none of the vertices of G are precoloured (thus $Q = \emptyset$).

We will prove that $|\mathcal{A}_t| = o\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}\right)^t \Delta^{2t}\right)$. It suffices to show that $|\mathcal{R}_t| = o\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}\right)^t \Delta^{2t}\right)$ since the number of distinct colourings that can be produced by the algorithm is at most $(\ell + 1)^n$ (taking into account the extra colour 0).

Let $s \in \overline{S}$ and suppose $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k})$. Observe, that $s_j \neq \Delta$ for each $j \in [2, 2k]$. Also, there is a unique index $p \in [k + 1, 2k]$ such that $s_p = -1$. Thus $s_1 \in [1, \Delta]$, $s_p = -1$, and $s_j \in [1, \Delta - 1]$ for each $j \in [1, 2k] \setminus \{1, p\}$. Hence there are at most $k\Delta(\Delta - 1)^{2(k-1)}$ sequences of length 2k in \overline{S} . Hence $\alpha_k < k\Delta^{2k-1}$.

Let $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t})$ be a realisable Dyck word of length 2t. Suppose that d has the form $0^{l_1}1^{k_1}0^{l_2}1^{k_2}\ldots 0^{l_q}1^{k_q}1$, for some positive $q, l_1, \ldots, l_q, k_1, \ldots, k_q$. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^q k_j = t - 1$. Associate with the word d a weight $w(d) := k_1k_2\ldots k_q\Delta^{-q}$. Clearly, for every $i \in [0, k_q]$ the number of distinct records $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ with z(R) = i + 1 such that D(R) = d does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{k_1} \cdots \alpha_{k_{q-1}} \alpha_{k_q-i} &< k_1 \Delta^{2k_1-1} \cdots k_{q-1} \Delta^{2k_{q-1}-1} (k_q-i) \Delta^{2(k_q-i)-1} \\ &\leq k_1 \Delta^{2k_1-1} \cdots k_q \Delta^{2k_q-1} \\ &= w(d) \Delta^{2t} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|\mathcal{R}_t| < n \cdot \Delta^{2t} \cdot \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_t} w(d)$$
.

Claim 10.

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_t} w(d) = o\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}} \right)^t \right)$$

Proof. Let D' be the set of words on the alphabet $\{0, 1, 2\}$ that

- do not contain substrings 21 and 02,
- in every nonempty prefix the number of nonzero elements is strictly less than the number of zeroes, and
- the number of ones and twos in the whole word is one less than the number of zeroes.

Let γ be the function that, given a word in D', replaces each 2 by 1 and appends 1. Observe that the image of γ is a Dyck word. Let d be a realisable Dyck word of length 2t. Then for every proper, nonempty prefix of d, the number of ones is strictly less than the number of zeroes. In particular, d belongs to the image of γ . We are interested in the size of the preimage of d. Suppose that d has the form $0^{l_1}1^{k_1}0^{l_2}1^{k_2}\dots 0^{l_q}1^{k_q}1$, for some positive $q, l_1, \dots, l_q, k_1, \dots, k_q$. By the definition of γ , the elements of the preimage of d are exactly the words of the form $0^{l_1}1^{a_1}2^{b_1}0^{l_2}1^{a_2}2^{b_2}\dots 0^{l_q}1^{a_q}2^{b_q}$ where for every $i \in [1, q]$ we have $a_i + b_i = k_i$ and $a_i > 0$ and $b_i \ge 0$. Hence the size of this preimage is $k_1k_2\dots k_q$, which equals $w(d)\Delta^q$. Moreover, every element of the preimage of d has t zeroes and exactly q substrings 01. Let $F_{t,q}$ be the number of words from D' with exactly t zeroes and exactly q substrings 01. It follows from the above observations that

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_t} w(d) \le \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} F_{t,q} \Delta^{-q}$$

Define the formal power series

$$F(z,y):=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}F_{t,q}z^ty^q~.$$

Then

$$B(z) := F(z, \Delta^{-1}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} z^t \left(\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} F_{t,q} \Delta^{-q} \right) \quad .$$

Hence

$$\sum_{d\in\mathcal{D}_t} w(d) \leq [z^t] B(z) \ .$$

We now derive a functional equation defining F(z, y) by decomposing elements of D' recursively along the last sequence of nonzero letters. For $(d_1, \ldots, d_{2t-1}) \in D'$ we say that *position j visits level k* if the number of zeroes in (d_1, \ldots, d_j) exceeds the number of nonzero symbols by k. The sequence (0) is the unique sequence in D' that contains only one zero. Every other sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t-1})$ from D' with t zeroes that ends with exactly p > 0nonzero symbols can be uniquely decomposed into p sequences $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_p \in D'$, of join length 2t - p - 2, and the remaining sequence of the form $01^a 2^b$ with a + b = p and a > 0 and $b \ge 0$. Precisely, the sequence δ_i of this decomposition is the substring of $(d_1, \ldots, d_{2t-p-2})$ from the next position after the last position that visits level i - 1 (or from the beginning, when i = 1) to the last position that visits level i.

Let $\operatorname{SEQ}(D')$ denote the set of finite sequences of sequences from D'. Let $\operatorname{SEQ}_{\geq 1}(D')$ denote the set of nonempty finite sequences of sequences from D'. Let

$$D'' := \{(0)\} \times \operatorname{SeQ}_{\geq 1}(D') \times \operatorname{SeQ}(D')$$

Let *h* be the function that maps a sequence $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t-1}) \in D' \setminus \{(0)\}$ to the triple $((0), (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_a), (\delta_{a+1}, \ldots, \delta_{a+b}))$, where *a*, *b*, and the δ_i 's are defined as above. Observe that *h* is a bijection between $D' \setminus \{(0)\}$ and D''.

Let $C_{t,q}$ be the number of elements of D'' with t zeroes and q substrings 01. Define the formal power series

$$C(z,y) := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} C_{t,q} z^t y^q .$$

Observe that d and h(d) have the same number of zeroes, for every $d \in D' \setminus \{(0)\}$. (Indeed, this is the reason for the leading (0) in the definition of D''.) Also, the total number of occurrences of substring 01 in h(d) is one less than in d. Thus $F_{t,q} = C_{t,q-1}$ for every $t \ge 1$, and $F(z, y) - z = y \cdot C(z, y)$. On the other hand, it follows from the definition of D'' that

$$C(z,y) = z\left(\sum_{i\geq 1} F(z,y)^i\right)\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} F(z,y)^i\right) = z\left(\frac{F(z,y)}{1-F(z,y)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-F(z,y)}\right)$$

This justifies that F(z, y) satisfies the following equation:

$$F(z,y) = z + zy \frac{F(z,y)}{(1 - F(z,y))^2}$$

In particular,

$$B(z) = z \left(1 + \Delta^{-1} \frac{B(z)}{(1 - B(z))^2} \right) .$$

Hence B(z) belongs to the smooth inverse-function schema with the function $\phi(u) = 1 + \Delta^{-1}u/(1-u)^2$. It is straightforward to check that ϕ satisfies conditions (H1) and (H2) of Definition 2, and that it is aperiodic. By Theorem 8,

$$[z^t]B(z) = o\left(\left(\frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau}\right)^t\right) ,$$

where τ is the unique solution of $\phi(\tau) - \tau \phi'(\tau) = 0$ belonging to (0, 1). This also means that τ minimizes $\phi(u)/u$ in the interval (0, 1). Hence for any $\tau' \in (0, 1)$ we have $[z^t]B(z) = o((\phi(\tau')/\tau')^t)$. If we choose $\tau' := 1 - \Delta^{-1/3}$ then

$$\frac{\phi(\tau')}{\tau'} = 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}$$

and we obtain

$$[z^{t}]B(z) = o\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}\right)^{t}\right) .$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

Returning to the proof of Theorem 9, Claim 10 implies

$$|\mathcal{R}_t| = o\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3} - 1} + \frac{1}{\Delta^{1/3}}\right)^t \Delta^{2t}\right)$$
.

Thus, if t is large enough, then $|\mathcal{A}_t|$ is strictly smaller than ℓ^t , implying that there is at least one vector (c_1, \ldots, c_t) among the ℓ^t vectors in $[1, \ell]^t$ on which the algorithm succeeds. Therefore G admits a nonrepetitive L-colouring.

4 Subdivision Proof

We now begin the proof of Theorem 2. A sequence (s_1, \ldots, s_q) of positive integers is *c-spread* if each entry equal to 1 can be mapped to an entry greater than 1 such that for each $i \in [1, q]$ such that $s_i \ge 2$, there are at least $\lceil c \log s_i \rceil$ entries, either all immediately before s_i or all immediately after s_i , that are equal to 1 and are mapped to s_i .

Lemma 11. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $w := (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1/2} < 1$. Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2^{2/c} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $w^c \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(1-w)$. Then for each $q \geq 1$ the number of distinct c-spread sequences of length q is at most $(1 + \varepsilon)^q$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on q. Let f(q) be the number of c-spread sequences of length q. The claim holds when $q \leq c$ since the length-q sequence $(1, \ldots, 1)$ is the only c-spread sequence of length q in that case.

Now assume that $q \ge c+1$. Here are three ways of obtaining *c*-spread sequences of length q from smaller ones:

- 1. If (s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}) is *c*-spread then so is $(1, s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1})$.
- 2. If $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \geq 2$ and $\lceil c \log r \rceil = q 1$ then the two length-q sequences $(1, \ldots, 1, r)$ and $(r, 1, \ldots, 1)$ are c-spread.
- 3. If $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \geq 2$ and $z := \lceil c \log r \rceil \leq q 2$, and if (s_1, \ldots, s_{q-z-1}) is a *c*-spread sequence, then the two length-*q* sequences $(1, \ldots, 1, r, s_1, \ldots, s_{q-z-1})$ and $(r, 1, \ldots, 1, s_1, \ldots, s_{q-z-1})$ are *c*-spread.

It is not difficult to see that each c-spread sequence of length q can be obtained using the three constructions above. Notice that if $z, r \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $r \ge 2$ and $z = \lceil c \log r \rceil$, then in particular $z \ge c$ and $r \le 2^{z/c}$. Letting f(0) := 1, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} f(q) &\leq f(q-1) + 2\sum_{z=c}^{q-1} 2^{z/c} f(q-z-1) \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} + 2\sum_{z=c}^{q-1} (1+\varepsilon)^{z/2} (1+\varepsilon)^{q-z-1} \\ &= (1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} + 2(1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} \sum_{z=c}^{q-1} w^z \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} + 2(1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} \sum_{z=c}^{\infty} w^z \\ &= (1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} + 2(1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} \frac{w^c}{1-w} \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} + \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)^{q-1} \\ &= (1+\varepsilon)^q. \end{split}$$

A Dyck word d is said to be *special* if d does not contain 0110110 as a substring. The following crude upper bound on the number of such words will be used in our proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 12. The number of special Dyck words of length 2t is at most 3.992^{t+1} .

Proof. For $k \ge 1$, let g(k) be the number of binary words not containing 0110110. Let $\gamma := (2^7 - 1)^{1/7}$. Then $g(k) \le 2^k \le \gamma^{k+1}$ for $k \in [1, 7]$, and $g(k) \le \gamma^7 \cdot g(k-7)$ for $k \ge 8$, since such binary words cannot start with 0110110. Thus $g(k) \le \gamma^{k+1}$ for all $k \ge 1$. Since every special Dyck word of length 2t is a binary word not containing 0110110, it follows that the number of such Dyck words is at most $\gamma^{2t+1} < 3.992^{t+1}$.

Theorem 2. Let G be a subdivision of a graph H, such that each edge $vw \in E(H)$ is subdivided at least $\lceil 10^5 \log(\deg(v) + 1) \rceil + \lceil 10^5 \log(\deg(w) + 1) \rceil + 2$ times in G. Then $\pi_{ch}(G) \leq 5$.

Proof. Let n := |V(G)|. Let L'(v) denote a list of available colours for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, and assume all these lists have size 5. Let ψ be an arbitrary precolouring of G with precoloured set Q := V(H) and with $\psi(v) \in L'(v)$ for each $v \in Q$. Fix an ordering of V(G) such that V(G) - Q precedes Q.

Let $c := 10^5$. For each $v \in Q$, let $g(v) := \lceil c \log(\deg(v) + 1) \rceil$ and let M(v) be the set of vertices of G at distance at most g(v) + 1 from v. Thus $M(v) \cap M(w) = \emptyset$ for distinct vertices $v, w \in Q$; we say that $u \in V(G) - Q$ belongs to $v \in Q$ if $u \in M(v)$.

For each edge $vw \in E(H)$, let P_{vw} denote the path of G induced by the subdivision vertices introduced on the edge vw in G. Note that $v, w \notin V(P_{vw})$. A set $X \subseteq V(G) - Q$ is nice if $X \neq \emptyset$ and, for each edge $vw \in E(H)$, the graph $P_{vw} - X$ is either connected or empty. The boundary $\partial(X)$ of a nice set X is the set of vertices $y \in X$ such that $X - \{y\}$ is either nice or empty. Observe that $\partial(X)$ is always nonempty.

Fix an arbitrary ordering of the edges in E(H). For each edge $vw \in E(H)$, orient the path P_{vw} from an arbitrarily chosen endpoint to the other. If Y is a set of consecutive vertices of a path P_{vw} and $x \in V(P_{vw}) - Y$, then x is either before Y or after Y, depending on the orientation of P_{vw} .

Let f be a priority function defined as follows: For every nice set X, let vw be the first edge in the ordering of E(H) such that $V(P_{vw}) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. If $V(P_{vw}) \subseteq X$, then $V(P_{vw}) \subseteq \partial(X)$, and we let f(X) be an arbitrary vertex in $V(P_{vw})$. If $V(P_{vw}) - X \neq \emptyset$ and there is a vertex $x \in \partial(X) \cap V(P_{vw})$ before $V(P_{vw}) - X$ on P_{vw} , then x is uniquely determined, and we let f(X) := x. If $V(P_{vw}) - X \neq \emptyset$ but there is no such vertex x, then we let f(X) be the unique vertex in $\partial(X) \cap V(P_{vw})$ that is after $V(P_{vw}) - X$ on P_{vw} .

For each $u \in V(G) - Q$, let L(u) be the list $L'(u) - \{\phi(v)\}$ if u belongs to $v \in Q$ and $\phi(v) \in L'(u)$, otherwise let L(u) be obtained from L'(u) by removing one arbitrary colour from L'(u). This defines a list L(u) of available colours for each vertex $u \in V(G) - Q$, and all

these lists have size $\ell := 4$. Consider the algorithm on G with the latter lists, with priority function f, and with precolouring ψ . By the definition of the lists L(u), if the algorithm succeeds on some input $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in [1, \ell]^t$ then it produces a nonrepetitive L'-colouring of G.

Claim 13. Let $t \ge 1$. Then for each vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$, all the sets appearing in the trace $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ are nice.

Proof. The proof is by induction on t. The claim is true for t = 1 since $X_1 = V(G) - Q$ is nice. Now assume that $t \ge 2$. Let $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and let $\operatorname{tr}(c_1, \ldots, c_t) = (X_1, \ldots, X_t)$. Then $(c_1, \ldots, c_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1}$, and by induction the sets X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1} are nice. Let $v_{t-1} := f(X_{t-1})$.

First suppose that $R(t-1) = \emptyset$. Then $X_t = X_{t-1} - \{v_{t-1}\}$, which is a nice set since $v_{t-1} \in \partial(X_{t-1})$ and $X_t \neq \emptyset$.

Now assume that R(t-1) = s for some $s \in S$. Using Lemma 5, let P be the path of G determined by s, X_{t-1} , and v_{t-1} . Let P_1 and P_2 denote the two halves of P, so that $v_{t-1} \in V(P_2)$. Then $X_t = X_{t-1} \cup (V(P_2) - Q)$. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that X_t is not nice. Then there exists $vw \in E(H)$ such that $P_{vw} - X_t$ has at least two components. Let x, y be two vertices in distinct components of $P_{vw} - X_t$ that are as close as possible on the path P_{vw} . Then the set Z of vertices strictly between x and y on P_{vw} is a subset of X_t . On the other hand, $Z \cap X_{t-1} = \emptyset$ since otherwise x and y would be in distinct components of $P_{vw} - X_{t-1}$, contradicting the fact that X_{t-1} is nice. Thus $Z \subseteq V(P_2) - Q$, and also $\partial(X_{t-1}) \cap Z = \emptyset$. Since P_2 is connected and avoids x and y, we deduce that $Z = V(P_2)$ (and thus $Q \cap V(P_2) = \emptyset$). However, $v_{t-1} \in \partial(X_{t-1})$ and $v_{t-1} \in V(P_2) = Z$, contradicting $\partial(X_{t-1}) \cap Z = \emptyset$.

Claim 14. $\beta \le 1.001$.

Proof. We need to show that $\alpha_k \leq 1.001^k$ for each $k \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$. Fix such an integer k. Let \mathcal{W} be the set of triples (P, X, v) that can be considered by the algorithm in the uncolouring step, over all $t \geq 1$ and vectors $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_t$, such that P has exactly 2k vertices.

Observe that if $(P, X, v) \in \mathcal{W}$, then X is a nice subset of $V(G) - (Q \cup V(P))$ by Claim 13; also, $v \in V(P)$ and $X \cup \{v\}$ is again a nice set. By the definition of \mathcal{W} , every sequence $s \in \overline{S}$ of length 2k is 'produced' by at least one triple in \mathcal{W} , in the sense that there exists $(P, X, v) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that s = s(P, X, v). We may assume that \mathcal{W} is not empty, since otherwise $\alpha_k = 0$, and we are trivially done.

Let $(P, X, v) \in \mathcal{W}$ and let $s(P, X, v) = (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k})$. Let v_1, \ldots, v_{2k} be the vertices of P. Note that P may contain vertices of Q. Since v is not in Q, it has degree 2, and thus $s_1 \in \{1, 2\}$. (Note that we could have $s_1 = 2$ if the neighbour of v in P is in Q.) We have $s_p = -1$ for a unique $p \in [2, 2k]$. We claim that the sequence $s' := (s_{p-1}, \ldots, s_2, 1, s_{p+1}, \ldots, s_{2k})$ obtained from (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}) by removing the *p*-th entry, reversing the (s_1, \ldots, s_{p-1}) prefix, and replacing s_1 by 1, is *c*-spread.

Case 1. $2k \leq c+1$: Then P has no vertex u in Q, since otherwise P would have at least $g(u) + 2 \geq \lceil c \log 2 \rceil + 2 > c + 1$ vertices. It follows that there is an edge $xy \in E(H)$ such that P is a subpath of P_{xy} . Then v must be an endpoint of P. Indeed, if not, then the two neighbours of v in P are in distinct components of $P_{xy} - (X \cup \{v\})$, contradicting the fact that $X \cup \{v\}$ is nice. Clearly $s_i = 1$ for each $i \in [2, 2k - 1]$ and $s_{2k} = -1$. If v is an internal vertex of P_{xy} , then one of the two neighbours of v is in X, and it follows that $s_1 = 1$. If v is an endpoint of P_{xy} , then s_1 is the index in the set N(v) of the only neighbour w of v that is in P_{xy} . This index is always 1 by our choice of the ordering of V(G). Hence we again have $s_1 = 1$. Therefore $(s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}) = (1, \ldots, 1, -1)$ and s' is the sequence of 2k - 1 one's, which is c-spread.

Case 2. $2k \ge c+2$: If $s_i > 1$ for some $i \in [2, p]$, then v_i is in Q; in this case, our goal is to show that s' contains $g(v_i)$ one's immediately before or after s_i that can be mapped to s_i . Similarly, if $s_{p+j} > 1$ for some $j \in [1, q]$, then w_j is in Q; in this case, our goal is to show that s' contains $g(w_j)$ one's immediately before or after s_{p+j} that can be mapped to s_{p+j} .

Consider a vertex $u \in V(P) \cap Q$. By the definition of L, the colour assigned to u is assigned to no vertex that belongs to u (those in the set M(u)) when the algorithm considers the triple (P, X, v). At that stage, P is repetitively coloured. Let x be the unique vertex at distance k from v in P. Then v and x are assigned the same colour, and x is not in M(u). Walk from u towards x and stop after g(u) + 1 steps. This defines a subpath P' of P consisting of exactly g(u) + 1 vertices that belong to u, either all immediately before u or all immediately after u in P. Consider the following six possible values of u and P':

- If $u = v_i$ and $P' = (v_{i+g(u)+1}, v_{i+g(u)}, \dots, v_{i+1})$ then $s_{i+g(u)} = s_{i+g(u)-1} \dots = s_{i+1} = 1$ and s' contains g(u) one's immediately before s_i that can be mapped to s_i .
- If $u = v_i$ and $P' = (v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, \dots, v_{i-g(u)-1})$ and $i g(u) 1 \neq 1$, then $s_{i-1} = s_{i-2} = \dots = s_{i-g(u)} = 1$ and s' contains g(u) one's immediately after s_i that can be mapped to s_i .
- If $u = w_j$ and $P' = (w_{j+1}, w_{j+2}, \dots, w_{j+g(u)+1})$ then $s_{p+j+1} = s_{p+j+2} = \dots = s_{p+j+g(u)} = 1$ and s' contains g(u) one's immediately after s_{p+j} that can be mapped to s_{p+j} .
- If $u = w_j$ and $P' = (w_{j-g(u)-1}, w_{j-g(u)}, \dots, w_{j-1})$ then $s_{p+j-g(u)} = s_{p+j-g(u)+1} = \dots = s_{p+j-1} = 1$ and s' contains g(u) one's immediately before s_{p+j} that can be mapped to s_{p+j} .
- If $u = v_i$ and $P' = (v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, \dots, v_1 = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{g(u)-i+3})$ then $s_{i-1} = s_{i-2} = \dots =$

 $s_2 = 1$ and $s_{p+1} = s_{p+2} = \cdots = s_{p+q(u)-i+2} = 1$, implying that

$$s_{i-1}, s_{i-2}, \ldots, s_2, 1, s_{p+1}, s_{p+2}, \ldots, s_{p+q(u)-i+1}$$

is a sequence of g(u) one's immediately after s_i in s' that can be mapped to s_i .

• If $u = w_j$ and $P' = (v_{g(u)-j+3}, v_{g(u)-j+2}, \dots, v_1 = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{j-1})$ then $s_{g(u)-j+2} = s_{g(u)-j+1} = \dots = s_2 = 1$ and $s_{p+1} = s_{p+2} = \dots = s_{p+j-1} = 1$, implying that

$$s_{q(u)-j+2}, s_{q(u)-j+1}, \ldots, s_2, 1, s_{p+1}, s_{p+2}, \ldots, s_{p+j-1}$$

is a sequence of g(u) one's immediately before s_{p+j} in s' that can be mapped to s_{p+j} .

Hence s' is *c*-spread, as claimed.

Therefore (s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}) is obtained from a *c*-spread sequence s' of length 2k - 1 by choosing an index $p \in [1, 2k - 1]$, inserting -1 between the *p*-th and (p + 1)-th entries, reversing the prefix of s' up to the *p*-th entry, and possibly changing the first entry to a 2. Hence the number of distinct sequences in \overline{S} of length 2k is at most 2(2k - 1) times the number of *c*-spread sequences of length 2k - 1. Let $\varepsilon := 0.0002$. Then ε and *c* satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 11, and we deduce from that lemma that

$$\alpha_k \le (4k-2) \cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{2k-1} \le 4k(1+\varepsilon)^{2k} \le 1.001^k$$
.

(The rightmost inequality holds because $2k \ge c$ and $2c(1+\varepsilon)^c \le 1.001^{c/2}$.)

Next we show that every realisable Dyck word is special. Consider a word $d \in \mathcal{D}_t$ for some $t \geq 1$, and let $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ be a record such that D(R) = d. Suppose that d contains 0110110 as a subsequence. Then there is an index $i \in [1, t-2]$ such that |R(i)| = |R(i+1)| = 4. Fix an arbitrary vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_t) \in \mathcal{F}_{t,R}$, and let (P, X, v) and (P', X', v') be the triples such that s(P, X, v) = R(i) and s(P', X', v') = R(i+1), respectively, in the execution of the algorithm on input (c_1, \ldots, c_t) . Then P contains no vertex from Q, since otherwise P would need to have at least c + 2 > 4 vertices, as explained in Case 1 of the proof of Claim 14. Since our ordering of V(G) puts vertices in V(G) - Q before those in Q, and since X is nice, it follows that s(P, X, v) = R(i) = (1, 1, 1, -1). By the same argument s(P', X', v') = R(i+1) = (1, 1, 1, -1). Let v_1, \ldots, v_4 denote the vertices of P, with $v_4 = v$. Then in the *i*-th iteration of the while-loop of the algorithm, immediately after colouring v_4 , we have $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_3)$ and $\phi(v_2) = \phi(v_4)$. Vertices v_3 and v_4 are subsequently uncoloured. Thus $X' = X \cup \{v_3\}$.

By our choice of the priority function f, we have $f(X') = v' = v_3$. Indeed, $f(X) = v_4$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V(P_{vw}) - X'$, where $vw \in E(H)$ is the edge such that $P \subseteq P_{vw}$. In particular, $v_3 \in \partial(X')$ and $V(P_{vw}) - X' \neq \emptyset$. Thus either v_4 is before $V(P_{vw}) - X$ on P_{vw} , in which case v_3 is before $V(P_{vw}) - X'$ on P_{vw} , implying $f(X') = v_3$; or v_4 is after $V(P_{vw}) - X$ on P_{vw} , in

which case v_3 is after $V(P_{vw}) - X'$ and there is no vertex in $\partial(X')$ before $V(P_{vw}) - X'$ on P_{vw} , implying again $f(X') = v_3$.

It follows that the vertices of P' are v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 in order, where $v_0 \in V(P_{vw})$ (and obviously $v_0 \neq v_4$). In the (i + 1)-th iteration of the while-loop, immediately after colouring $v_3 (=v')$, we have $\phi(v_0) = \phi(v_2)$ and $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_3)$. However, the colours of v_0, v_1, v_2 have not changed since the beginning of the *i*-th iteration, and $\phi(v_1) = \phi(v_3)$ at that point. This imples that P' was already repetitively coloured at the start of the *i*-th iteration, a contradiction. Therefore, realisable Dyck words are special, as claimed.

Let $t \geq 1$, let $m := \min\{n, t\}$, let $i \in [1, m]$ and let (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t}) be a realisable Dyck word of length 2t such that $d_{2t-j} = 1$ for each $j \in [0, i-1]$. Say there are q maximal subsequences of consecutive ones in (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t-i}) . If q > 0 then let k_1, \ldots, k_q be the lengths of these sequences. If $q \geq 1$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{q} k_j \leq t-i$, and we deduce that there are at most $\alpha_{k_1}\alpha_{k_2}\cdots\alpha_{k_q} \leq \beta^{k_1}\beta^{k_2}\cdots\beta^{k_q} \leq \beta^{t-i} \leq \beta^t$ distinct records $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ with z(R) = i such that $D(R) = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t})$. If q = 0, then there is at most $1 \leq \beta^t$ records $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ with z(R) = isuch that $D(R) = (d_1, \ldots, d_{2t})$.

Since for each $i \in [1, m]$, there are at most β^t distinct records $R \in \mathcal{R}_t$ with z(R) = i that have the same Dyck word D(R), and since there are exactly $|\mathcal{D}_t|$ distinct realisable special Dyck words of length 2t, it follows that $|\mathcal{R}_t| \leq m|\mathcal{D}_t|\beta^t \leq n|\mathcal{D}_t|\beta^t$. Using Claim 14 and Lemmas 7 and 12, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_t| = |\mathcal{A}_t| \le n(\ell+1)^n |\mathcal{D}_t| \beta^t \le n(\ell+1)^n 3.992^{t+1} 1.001^t < n(\ell+1)^n 3.996^{t+1} = o(\ell^t)$$

Hence, if t is sufficiently large then there is at least one vector (c_1, \ldots, c_t) among the ℓ^t many vectors in $[1, \ell]^t$ on which the algorithm succeeds. Therefore G admits a nonrepetitive L'-colouring.

Note that we made no effort to optimise the constant 10^5 in the proof of Theorem 2.

5 Pathwidth Proofs

The proof of Theorem 3 depends on the following lemma of independent interest.

Lemma 15. Let B_1, \ldots, B_m be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices in a graph G, such that no two vertices in distinct B_i are adjacent. Let H be the graph obtained from G by deleting B_i and adding a clique on $N_G(B_i)$ for each $i \in [1, m]$. Then

$$\pi(G) \le \pi(H) + \max \pi(G[B_i]) .$$

Proof. Nonrepetitively colour $G[B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_m]$ with $\max_i \pi(G[B_i])$ colours. Nonrepetitively colour H with a different set of $\pi(H)$ colours. Suppose on the contrary that G contains

a repetitively coloured path P. Let P' be the set of vertices in P that are in H, ordered according to P. Then $P' \neq \emptyset$, as otherwise P is contained in some B_i , implying B_i contains a repetitively coloured path. Consider a maximal subpath S in P that is not in H. So S was deleted from P in the construction of P'. Since no two vertices in distinct B_i are adjacent, S is contained in a single set B_i . Thus the vertices in P immediately before and after S(if they exist) are in $N_G(B_i)$, and are thus adjacent in H. Hence P' is a path in H. Since the vertices in $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_m$ receive distinct colours from the vertices in H, the path P' is repetitively coloured. This contradiction proves that G is nonrepetitively coloured.

The next lemma provides a useful way to think about graphs of bounded pathwidth. Let $G \cdot K_k$ denote the *lexicographical product* of a graph G and the complete graph K_k . That is, $G \cdot K_k$ is obtained by replacing each vertex of G by a copy of K_k , and replacing each edge of G by a copy of $K_{k,k}$.

Lemma 16. Every graph G with pathwidth k contains pairwise disjoint sets B_1, \ldots, B_m of vertices, such that:

- no two vertices in distinct B_i are adjacent,
- $G[B_i]$ has pathwidth at most k-1 for each $i \in [1,m]$, and
- if H is the graph obtained from G by deleting B_i and adding a clique on $N_G(B_i)$ for each $i \in [1, m]$, then H is a subgraph of $P_m \cdot K_{k+1}$.

Proof. Consider a path decomposition \mathcal{D} of G with width k. Let X_1, \ldots, X_m be the set of bags in \mathcal{D} , such that X_1 is the first bag in \mathcal{D} , and for each $i \geq 2$, the bag X_i is the first bag in \mathcal{D} that is disjoint from X_{i-1} . Thus X_1, \ldots, X_m are pairwise disjoint. For $i \in [1, m]$, let B_i be the set of vertices that only appear in bags strictly between X_i and X_{i+1} (or strictly after X_m if i = m). By construction, each such bag intersects X_i . Hence $G[B_i]$ has pathwidth at most k-1. Since each X_i separates B_{i-1} and B_{i+1} (for $i \neq m$), no two vertices in distinct B_i are adjacent. Moreover, the neighbourhood of B_i is contained in $X_i \cup X_{i+1}$ (or X_i if i = m). Hence the graph H (defined above) has vertex set $X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_m$ where $X_i \cup X_{i+1}$ is a clique for each $i \in [1, m - 1]$. Since $|X_i| \leq k + 1$, the graph H is a subgraph of $P_m \cdot K_{k+1}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We proceed by induction on $k \ge 0$. Every graph with pathwidth 0 is edgeless, and is thus nonrepetitively 1-colourable, as desired. Now assume that G is a graph with pathwidth $k \ge 1$. Let B_1, \ldots, B_m be the sets that satisfy Lemma 16. Let H be the graph obtained from G by deleting B_i and adding a clique on $N_G(B_i)$ for each $i \in [1, m]$. Then H is a subgraph of $P_{m+1} \cdot K_{k+1}$, which is nonrepetitively 4(k+1)-colourable by a theorem of Kündgen and Pelsmajer [31]⁶. By induction, $\pi(G[B_i]) \le 2(k-1)^2 + 6(k-1) - 4$.

⁶Say V_1, \ldots, V_t is a partition of V(G) such that for all $i \in [1, t]$, we have $N_G(V_i) \subseteq V_{i-1} \cup V_{i+1}$ and $N_G(V_i) \cap V_{i-1}$ is a clique. Kündgen and Pelsmajer [31] proved that $\pi(G) \leq 4 \max_i \pi(G[V_i])$. Clearly $P_m \cdot K_{k+1}$ has such a partition with each V_i a (k+1)-clique. Thus $\pi(P_m \cdot K_{k+1}) \leq 4(k+1)$.

By Lemma 15, $\pi(G) \le \pi(H) + \max_i \pi(G[B_i]) \le 4(k+1) + 2(k-1)^2 + 6(k-1) - 4 = 2k^2 + 6k - 4$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4. We proceed by induction on $k \ge 0$. Every graph with pathwidth 0 is edgeless, and is thus star 1-colourable, as desired. Now assume that G is a graph with pathwidth $k \ge 1$. We may assume that G is connected. Let G' be an interval graph such that G' contains G as a spanning subgraph and $\omega(G') = k + 1$. Let I(v) be the interval representing each vertex v. Let X be an inclusion-wise minimal set of vertices in G' such that for every vertex w,

$$I(w) \subseteq \bigcup \{ I(v) : v \in X \} \quad . \tag{3}$$

The set X exists since X = V(G) satisfies (3). It is easily seen that G[X] is an induced path, say (x_1, \ldots, x_n) . Colour x_i by $i \mod 3$ (in $\{0, 1, 2\}$). Observe that G'[X] is star 3coloured. By (3), the subgraph G' - X is an interval graph with $\omega(G' - X) \leq k$. Thus, by induction, G' - X is star-colourable with colours $\{3, 4, \ldots, 3k\}$. Suppose on the contrary that G' contains a 2-coloured path (u, v, w, x). First suppose that u is in X. Then w is also in X. If v is also in X then so is x, which contradicts the fact that G'[X] is star-coloured. So $v \notin X$. Since u and v receive the same colour, there are at least two vertices p and q between u and v in the path G'[X]. Thus replacing p and q by v gives a shorter path that satisfies (3). This contradiction proves that $u \notin X$. By symmetry $x \notin X$. Since X and G' - X are assigned disjoint sets of colours, $v \notin X$ and $w \notin X$. Hence (u, v, w, x) is a 2-coloured path in G' - X, which is the desired contradiction. Hence G' is star-coloured with 3k+1 colours. \Box

6 Open problems

We conclude with a number of open problems:

- Whether there is a relationship between nonrepetitive choosability and pathwidth is an interesting open problem. It is easily seen⁷ that graphs with pathwidth 1 (i.e., caterpillars) are nonrepetitively *c*-choosable for some constant *c*. Is every graph (or tree) with pathwidth 2 nonrepetitively *c*-choosable for some constant *c*?
- Except for a finite number of examples, every cycle is nonrepetitively 3-colourable [12]. Every cycle is nonrepetitively 5-choosable. (*Proof.* Precolour one vertex, remove

⁷Proof Sketch. A coloured path (v_1, \ldots, v_{2p+1}) with $p \ge 1$ is almost repetitively coloured if $\psi(v_i) = \psi(v_{p+i+1})$ for all $i \in [1, p]$. By the Lovász Local Lemma, for some constant c, every path is c-choosable such that no subpath is repetitively coloured and no subpath is almost repetitively coloured (see Appendix B). Now, let T be a caterpillar with spine P (that is, T is a tree such that deleting the leaves of T gives a path P). Apply the above result to P, and then colour each leaf of T by a colour in its list distinct from the colour assigned to its neighbour in P. There is no repetitive path, as otherwise P would contain a repetitively coloured path.

this colour from every other list, and apply the nonrepetitive 4-choosability result for paths.) Is every cycle nonrepetitively 4-choosable? Which cycles are nonrepetitively 3-choosable?

- Does every graph have a nonrepetitively 4-choosable subdivision? Even 3-choosable might be possible.
- Is there a function f such that every graph G has a nonrepetitively $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -colourable subdivision with $f(\pi(G))$ division vertices per edge?
- Is there a function f such that $\pi(G/M) \leq f(\pi(G))$ for every graph G and for every matching M of G, where G/M denotes the graph obtained from G by contracting the edges in M? This would generalise a result of Nešetřil et al. [37] about subdivisions (when each edge in M has one endpoint of degree 2).
- Is there a polynomial-time Monte Carlo algorithm that nonrepetitively $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^2)$ -colours a graph with maximum degree Δ ? Haeupler et al. [25] show that $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^{2+\varepsilon})$ colours suffice for all fixed $\varepsilon > 0$; also see [10, 29] for related results. Note that testing whether a given colouring of a graph is nonrepetitive is co-NP-complete, even for 4-colourings [33].

References

- Glenn [1] MICHAEL О. ALBERTSON, G. CHAPPELL, HAL Α. KIER-André KÜNDGEN, AND RADHIKA RAMAMURTHI. Color-STEAD, J. Combin., ing with no 2-colored P_4 's. Electron. 11 #R26, 2004.http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_11/Abstracts/v11i1r26.html. MR: 2056078.
- [2] NOGA ALON AND JAROSLAW GRYTCZUK. Breaking the rhythm on graphs. Discrete Math., 308:1375–1380, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.063. MR: 2392054.
- [3] NOGA ALON, JAROSŁAW GRYTCZUK, MARIUSZ HAŁUSZCZAK, AND OLIVER RIORDAN. Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 21(3-4):336–346, 2002. doi: 10.1002/rsa.10057. MR: 1945373.
- [4] JÁNOS BARÁT AND PÉTER P. VARJÚ. On square-free vertex colorings of graphs. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 44(3):411–422, 2007. doi:10.1556/SScMath.2007.1029. MR: 2361685.
- [5] JÁNOS BARÁT AND PÉTER P. VARJÚ. On square-free edge colorings of graphs. Ars Combin., 87:377–383, 2008. MR: 2414029.

- [6] János Barát R. WOOD. Notes AND DAVID nonrepeton itive graph colouring. Electron. J. Combin., 15:R99, 2008.http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_15/Abstracts/v15i1r99.html. MR: 2426162.
- [7] OLEG V. BORODIN. On acyclic colorings of planar graphs. Discrete Math., 25(3):211–236, 1979. doi: 10.1016/0012-365X(79)90077-3. MR: 534939.
- [8] BOŠTJAN BREŠAR, JAROSŁAW GRYTCZUK, SANDI KLAVŽAR, STANISŁAW NIWCZYK, AND IZTOK PETERIN. Nonrepetitive colorings of trees. *Discrete Math.*, 307(2):163–172, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2006.06.017. MR: 2285186.
- BOŠTJAN BREŠAR AND SANDI KLAVŽAR. Square-free colorings of graphs. Ars Combin., 70:3–13, 2004. MR: 2023057.
- [10] KARTHEKEYAN CHANDRASEKARAN, NAVIN GOYAL, AND BERNHARD HAEUPLER. Deterministic algorithms for the Lovász local lemma. In Proc. 21st Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA '10), pp. 992–1004. SIAM, 2010. http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1873601.1873681. To appear in SIAM J. Computing.
- [11] PANAGIOTIS CHEILARIS, ERNST SPECKER, AND STATHIS ZACHOS. Neochromatica. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 51(3):469-480, 2010. http://www.dml.cz/dmlcz/140723. MR:2741880.
- There [12] JAMES D. CURRIE. circular are ternary square-free words of length >18. Electron. J. nfor nCombin.. 9(1),2002.http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_9/Abstracts/v9i1n10.html. MR: 1936865.
- [13] JAMES D. CURRIE. Pattern avoidance: themes and variations. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 339(1):7–18, 2005. doi: 10.1016/j.tcs.2005.01.004. MR: 2142070.
- Czerwiński and JAROSŁAW GRYTCZUK. [14] SEBASTIAN Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs. Electron. Notes DiscreteMath., 28:453-459,2007.doi: 10.1016/j.endm.2007.01.063. MR: 2324051.
- [15] GUILLAUME FERTIN, ANDRÉ RASPAUD, AND BRUCE REED. Star coloring of graphs. J. Graph Theory, 47(3):163–182, 2004. doi: 10.1002/jgt.20029. MR: 2089462.
- [16] FRANCESCA FIORENZI, PASCAL OCHEM, PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ, AND XUDING ZHU. Thue choosability of trees. *Discrete Applied Math.*, 159(17):2045–2049, 2011. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2011.07.017. MR: 2832329.
- [17] PHILIPPE FLAJOLET AND ROBERT SEDGEWICK. Analytic combinatorics. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

- [18] BRANKO GRÜNBAUM. Acyclic colorings of planar graphs. Israel J. Math., 14:390–408, 1973. doi: 10.1007/BF02764716. MR: 0317982.
- [19] JAROSLAW GRYTCZUK. Thue-like sequences and rainbow arithmetic progressions. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 9(1):R44, 2002. http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_9/Abstracts/v9i1r44.html. MR: 1946146.
- [20] JAROSLAW GRYTCZUK. Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs—a survey. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 74639, 2007. doi: 10.1155/2007/74639. MR: 2272338.
- [21] JAROSLAW GRYTCZUK. Nonrepetitive graph coloring. In Graph Theory in Paris, Trends in Mathematics, pp. 209–218. Birkhauser, 2007.
- [22] JAROSLAW GRYTCZUK. Thue type problems for graphs, points, and numbers. *Discrete Math.*, 308(19):4419–4429, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.039. MR: 2433769.
- [23] JAROSLAW GRYTCZUK, JAKUB KOZIK, AND PIOTR MICEK. A new approach to nonrepetitive sequences. 2011. arXiv: 1103.3809. To appear in *Random Structures Algorithms*.
- [24] JAROSŁAW GRYTCZUK, JAKUB PRZYBYŁO, AND XUDING ZHU. Nonrepetitive list colourings of paths. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 38(1-2):162–173, 2011. doi: 10.1002/rsa.20347. MR: 2768888.
- [25] BERNHARD HAEUPLER, BARNA SAHA, AND ARAVIND SRINIVASAN. New constructive aspects of the Lovász local lemma. J. ACM, 58(6):28, 2011. doi: 10.1145/2049697.2049702.
- [26] JOCHEN HARANTA AND STANISLAV JENDROL'. Nonrepetitive vertex colorings of graphs. Discrete Math., 312(2):374–380, 2012. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2011.09.027.
- [27] FRÉDÉRIC HAVET, STANISLAV JENDROĽ, ROMAN SOTÁK, AND ERIKA ŠKRABUĽÁKOVA. Facial non-repetitive edge-coloring of plane graphs. J. Graph Theory, 66(1):38–48, 2011. doi: 10.1002/jgt.20488. MR: 2742187.
- [28] STANISLAV JENDROL AND ERIKA ŠKRABUL'ÁKOVÁ. Facial non-repetitive edge colouring of semiregular polyhedra. Acta Univ. M. Belii Ser. Math., 15:37–52, 2009. http://actamath.savbb.sk/acta1503.shtml. MR: 2589669.
- [29] KASHYAP KOLIPAKA AND MARIO SZEGEDY. Moser and Tardos meet Lovász. In Proc. 43rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '11), pp. 235–244. ACM, 2011. doi: 10.1145/1993636.1993669.
- [30] JAKUB KOZIK AND PIOTR MICEK. Nonrepetitive colorings of trees. 2011. Preprint.
- [31] ANDRE KÜNDGEN AND MICHAEL J. PELSMAJER. Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs of bounded tree-width. *Discrete Math.*, 308(19):4473-4478, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.043. MR: 2433774.

- [32] FEDOR MANIN. The complexity of nonrepetitive edge coloring of graphs, 2007. arXiv: 0709.4497.
- [33] DÁNIEL MARX AND MARCUS SCHAEFER. The complexity of nonrepetitive coloring. Discrete Appl. Math., 157(1):13–18, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2008.04.015. MR: 2479374.
- [34] MICHAEL MOLLOY AND BRUCE REED. Graph colouring and the probabilistic method, vol. 23 of Algorithms and Combinatorics. Springer, 2002.
- [35] ROBIN A. MOSER AND GÁBOR TARDOS. A constructive proof of the general Lovász local lemma. J. ACM, 57(2), 2010. doi: 10.1145/1667053.1667060.
- [36] JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL AND PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ. Colorings and homomorphisms of minor closed classes. In BORIS ARONOV, SAUGATA BASU, JÁNOS PACH, AND MICHA SHARIR, eds., Discrete and Computational Geometry, The Goodman-Pollack Festschrift, vol. 25 of Algorithms and Combinatorics, pp. 651–664. Springer, 2003. MR: 2038495.
- [37] JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL, PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ, AND DAVID R. WOOD. Characterisations and examples of graph classes with bounded expansion. *European J. Combinatorics*, 33(3):350–373, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.ejc.2011.09.008.
- [38] WESLEY PEGDEN. Highly nonrepetitive sequences: winning strategies from the local lemma. Random Structures Algorithms, 38(1-2):140–161, 2011. doi: 10.1002/rsa.20354. MR: 2768887
- [39] ANDRZEJ MICHAŁ ZMARZ. Pezarski AND Non-repetitive 3-coloring Electron. of subdivided graphs. J. Combin., 16(1):N15,2009.http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume_16/Abstracts/v16i1n15.html. MR: 2515755.
- [40] AXEL THUE. Uber unendliche Zeichenreihen. Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiania, 7:1–22, 1906.
- [41] DAVID R. WOOD. Acyclic, star and oriented colourings of graph subdivisions. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., 7(1):37-50, 2005.http://www.dmtcs.org/dmtcs-ojs/index.php/dmtcs/article/view/60. MR: 2164057.

A Subdivisions via the Lovász Local Lemma

For the sake of comparing related proof techniques, we now give a proof of a qualitatively similar result to Theorem 2 that uses the Lovász Local Lemma instead of entropy compression. In particular, we use the following 'weighted' version of the Lovász Local Lemma; see [34].

Lemma 17. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$ be a set of "bad" events such that each A_i is mutually independent of $\mathcal{E} \setminus (\mathcal{D}_i \cup \{A_i\})$ for some $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. Let p be a real number such that $0 . Let <math>t_1, \ldots, t_n \geq 1$ be integers called weights, such that for all $i \in [1, n]$,

(a) $\mathbf{Pr}(A_i) \leq p^{t_i}$, and

(b)
$$2\sum_{A_j\in\mathcal{D}_i}(2p)^{t_j} \leq t_i$$
.

Then with positive probability, no event in \mathcal{E} occurs.

Theorem 18. For every graph H with maximum degree Δ , every subdivision G of H with at least $3 + 400 \log \Delta$ division vertices per edge is nonrepetitively 23-choosable.

Proof. We may assume that $\Delta \geq 2$. Let $r := 3 + \lceil 400 \log \Delta \rceil$. Let G be a subdivision of H with at least r division vertices per edge. Arbitrarily colour each original vertex of H from its list. For each edge vw of H, delete the colours chosen for v and w from the list of each division vertex on the edge vw. Now each division vertex has a list of at least 21 colours. Colour each division vertex independently and randomly from its list. Let $p := \frac{1}{21}$.

Suppose that some path P containing exactly one original vertex v is repetitively coloured. Let x be the vertex corresponding to v in the other half of P. Thus x is a division vertex of some edge incident to v, which is a contradiction since the colour of v was removed from the list of colours at x. Thus no path with exactly one original vertex is repetitively coloured. Say an even path with no original vertices is *short*, and an even path with at least two original vertices is *long*. To prove that a colouring of G is nonrepetitive it suffices to prove that no long or short path is repetitively coloured.

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all short or long paths in G. Say $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ and each P_i has $2\ell_i$ vertices, of which k_i are original vertices. Note that

$$2\ell_i \ge (k_i - 1)(r + 1) + 1 = (r + 1)k_i - r .$$
(4)

Orient each path P_i so that the *j*-th vertex in P_i is well defined. (Edges may be oriented differently in different paths.) Let A_i be the event that P_i is repetitively coloured. Let $\mathcal{E} := \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$. If $P_i = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2\ell_i})$ then v_j and v_{ℓ_i+j} are both division vertices for at least $\ell_i - k_i$ values of $j \in [1, \ell_i]$. Let $t_i := \ell_i - k_i$ be the weight of A_i and of P_i . Hence $\Pr(A_i) \leq (\frac{1}{21})^{t_i}$, and Lemma 17(a) is satisfied.

We claim that $100t_i \ge 99\ell_i$ for each path P_i . This is immediately true if $k_i = 0$. Now assume that $k_i \ge 2$. By (4), we have $2\ell_i \ge (r+1)(k_i-1) \ge 400(k_i-1)$ and $\ell_i \ge 200$. Thus $400k_i \le 2\ell_i + 400$ and $400t_i = 400\ell_i - 400k_i \ge 398\ell_i - 400 \ge 396\ell_i$, as claimed.

For each $i \in [1, n]$, let \mathcal{D}_i be the set of events A_j such that the corresponding path P_j and P_i have a division vertex in common. Since division vertices are coloured independently, A_i is mutually independent of $\mathcal{E} \setminus (\mathcal{D}_i \cup \{A_i\})$.

Let $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$. Our goal is to bound the number of paths in \mathcal{P} of given weight t that intersect P_i .

First consider the case of short paths of weight t. Such paths have order 2t. Each vertex is in at most 2t short paths of order 2t. Thus each vertex is in at most 2t short paths of weight t. Thus P_i , which has order $2\ell_i$, intersects at most $2\ell_i \cdot 2t \leq \frac{400}{99}tt_i$ short paths of weight t.

Now consider the case of long paths with weight t. Let P_j be such a long path. By (4), we have $(r+1)k_j \leq 2\ell_j + r \leq 4\ell_j = 4t + 4k_j$. Thus $k_j \leq \frac{4t}{r-3}$. Thus for each q, each vertex is the q-th vertex in at most $\Delta^{4t/(r-3)}$ long paths of weight t. Since $r-3 \geq 400 \log \Delta$, each vertex is the q-th vertex in at most $2^{t/100}$ long paths of weight t. Now $|P_i| = 2\ell_i \leq \frac{200}{99}t_i$. Similarly, each path of weight t has at most $\frac{200}{99}t$ vertices. Hence P_i intersects at most $(\frac{200}{99})^2 t_i t 2^{t/100}$ long paths of weight t. The same bound holds for short paths of weight t (since $\frac{400}{99}t t_i \leq (\frac{200}{99})^2 t_i t 2^{t/100}$).

Thus Lemma 17(b) is satisfied if for all i,

$$2\sum_{t\geq 1} (\frac{200}{99})^2 t_i t \, 2^{t/100} (\frac{2}{21})^t \leq t_i \; ;$$

that is,

$$2(\frac{200}{99})^2 \sum_{t \ge 1} t \left(\frac{2}{21} 2^{1/100}\right)^t \le 1$$
.

For 0 < c < 1, we have $\sum_{t \ge 1} tc^t = \frac{c}{(1-c)^2}$. Let $c := \frac{2}{21} 2^{1/100} \approx 0.0959$. Thus

$$2\left(\frac{200}{99}\right)^2 \sum_{t \ge 1} t \, c^t = 2\left(\frac{200}{99}\right)^2 \frac{c}{(1-c)^2} < 0.958$$

as desired. Hence Lemma 17(b) is satisfied.

Therefore with positive probability, no event in \mathcal{E} occurs. Thus, there exists a choice of colours for the division vertices such that no event in \mathcal{E} occurs. That is, no short or long path is repetitively coloured. Hence G is nonrepetitively colourable from the given lists. Therefore G is nonrepetitively 23-choosable.

B Caterpillars

Here we prove that every caterpillar is nonrepetitively 64-choosable. (Note that the constant 64 can be significantly improved using entropy-compression.) A coloured path (v_1, \ldots, v_{2p+1}) is almost repetitively coloured if $\psi(v_i) = \psi(v_{p+i+1})$ for all $i \in [1, p]$.

Lemma 19. Every path G is 64-choosable such that no path is repetitively coloured and no path is almost repetitively coloured.

Proof. Colour each vertex v of G independently and randomly by a colour in the list of v. Let P_1, \ldots, P_n be all the subpaths of G of order at least 2. If $|P_i|$ is even, then let A_i be the event that P_i is repetitively coloured. If $|P_i|$ is odd, then let A_i be the event that P_i is almost repetitively coloured. Let $t_i := \lfloor \frac{1}{2} |P_i| \rfloor$ be the weight of A_i and of P_i . Thus $\operatorname{Pr}(A_i) \leq 64^{-t_i}$. Hence Lemma 17(a) is satisfied with $p := 64^{-1}$. Let \mathcal{D}_i be the set of events corresponding to paths that intersect P_i . Thus A_i is mutually independent of $\{A_1, \ldots, A_n\} \setminus (\mathcal{D}_i \cup \{A_i\})$ Each vertex is in at most ℓ subpaths of order ℓ . Each path of weight t has order 2t or 2t + 1. So each vertex is in at most 4t + 1 subpaths of weight t. Hence P_i intersects at most $(2t_i + 1)(4t + 1) \leq 15t_i t$ paths of weight t. To apply Lemma 17 we need

$$2\sum_{t\ge 1} 15t \, t_i \, 32^{-t} \le t_i$$

That is,

$$30\sum_{t\geq 1} t \, 32^{-t} \leq 1$$
 .

Now $\sum_{t\geq 1} tc^{-t} = \frac{c}{(c-1)^2}$ for all c > 1. Thus

$$30\sum_{t\geq 1} t \, 32^{-t} = \frac{30\cdot 32}{31^2} < 1 \ ,$$

as desired. Hence Lemma 17(b) is satisfied. Therefore with positive probability, no A_i occurs. Thus, there exists a choice of colours such that no A_i occurs, in which case no path is repetitively coloured and no path is almost repetitively coloured.

Theorem 20. Every caterpillar is nonrepetitively 64-choosable.

Proof. Let P be the spine of a caterpillar T. By Lemma 19, P is 64-choosable such that no subpath is repetitively coloured and no subpath is almost repetitively coloured. Colour each leaf v of T by an arbitrary colour in the list of v that is distinct from the colour assigned to the neighbour of v (which is in P). There is no repetitive path in T, otherwise there is a repetitively coloured or almost repetitively coloured path in P.