Stochastic differential equations with variable structure driven by multiplicative Gaussian noise and sliding mode dynamic

Viorel Barbu¹, Stefano Bonaccorsi² and Luciano Tubaro²

¹University Al. I. Cuza and Institute of Mathematics Octav Mayer, Iasi, Romania ²Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, Italy

April 9, 2018

Abstract

This work is concerned with existence of weak solutions to discontinuous stochastic differential equations driven by multiplicative Gaussian noise and sliding mode control dynamics generated by stochastic differential equations with variable structure, that is with jump nonlinearity. The treatment covers the finite dimensional stochastic systems and the stochastic diffusion equation with multiplicative noise.

1 Introduction

We consider here stochastic differential equations of the form

$$dX + AX dt + f(X) dt = B(X) dW, \quad t \in (0, T)$$

X(0) = x, (1.1)

where $A: D(A) \subset H \to H$ is self-adjoint, positive definite such that $A^{-1+\delta}$ is of trace class for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, W is a cylindrical Wiener process of the form

$$W(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \beta_j(t) e_j.$$
(1.2)

Here $\{e_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H, $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a mutually independent system of Brownian motions in a probability space $\{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}\}$

with filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. The operator $f: H \to H$ is Borel measurable and locally bounded while $B \in L(H, \mathcal{L}^2(H))$ where $\mathcal{L}^2(H)$ is the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on H.

It should be said that under these general conditions equation (1.1) is not well posed except the case of additive noise (B(X) = I) where (1.1) has a unique weak (martingale) solution, see [5]. Equation (1.1) has however a unique strong solution if f is Lipschitz or accretive and continuous, see [4], or more generally if f is a maximal monotone graph in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with domain $D(f) = \mathbb{R}$ (see [2]).

Equations of the form (1.1) with discontinuous f describe systems with variable structure and, in particular, closed-loop control systems with "sliding" mode behaviour. Here we shall study from this perspective two special cases.

The first one is the finite dimensional system

$$dX + f(X) dt = \sigma(X) dW$$

X(0) = x (1.3)

where W is a n-dimensional Wiener process and $f \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n), \sigma \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^n, L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)).$

The second one is the stochastic partial differential equation

$$dX - \Delta X \, dt + f(X) \, dt = b(X) \, dW, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$$

$$X = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{O} \qquad (1.4)$$

$$X(0, \xi) = x(\xi), \qquad \qquad \xi \in \mathcal{O}$$

in a bounded and open domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$ with smooth boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}$. Here W is a cylindrical Wiener process of the form (1.2) in $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$, where $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a system of independent Brownian motions in a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}), \mu_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\{e_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ to be made precise later on. Here $f \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in \text{Lip}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.

Like in deterministic case, for existence in equation (1.4) one must extend it to a multivalued stochastic equation of the form

$$dX - \Delta X \, dt + F(X) \, dt \ni b(X) \, dW, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$$

$$X = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{O} \qquad (1.5)$$

$$X(0, \xi) = x(\xi), \qquad \qquad \xi \in \mathcal{O}$$

where $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Filippov map associated with f, that is (see [6], [7])

$$F(r) = [m(f_r), M(f_r)], \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$m(f_r) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \operatorname{ess inf}_{u \in [r-\delta, r+\delta]} f(u)$$

$$M(f_r) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \operatorname{ess sup}_{u \in [r-\delta, r+\delta]} f(u).$$
(1.6)

Roughly speaking, F is obtained from f by "filling" the jumps of f in discontinuity points. If $f \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $n \geq 1$, the Filippov map $F \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is defined as

$$F(r) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \bigcap_{m(N)=0} \overline{\operatorname{conv} f(B_{\delta}(r) \setminus N)}$$
(1.7)

where *m* is the Lebesgue measure and $B_{\delta}(r)$ is the ball of centre *r* and radius δ . Of course $F(r_0) = f(r_0)$ in all continuity points r_0 of *f*. Then to get existence in (1.3) one should replace *f* by *F* given by (1.7). If *f* is monotone and measurable then *F* is maximal monotone in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and locally bounded in \mathbb{R}^n , (see [1, Proposition 25]), and so, as shown in [2, Theorem 2.2], equation (1.3) has a unique strong solution (see also [3]). In the general case we consider here, the best that we can however expect is only a martingale solution for (1.3) (see Theorem 2.1, in which in general we do not have the uniqueness of the solution).

The main existence result for equation (1.3) is established in Section 2, where it's also given a "sliding mode" type result for this equation.

In Sections 3, 4 and 5 it is studied a similar problem for equation (1.5) and also for a stochastic parabolic system.

Notation We use the standard notation for the Sobolev spaces $H^k(\mathcal{O})$, $k = 1, 2, H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ and the Lebesgue integrable function spaces on $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The norm of $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_1$ and the norm of $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ by $|\cdot|_p$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$. The scalar product of $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ and the duality pairing between $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ and the dual space $H^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ is denoted by the same symbol $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2$. We denote by C([0,T];H) the space of all continuous H-valued functions on [0,T] and we also refer to [4] for basic results pertaining stochastic processes with values in Hilbert spaces. Finally, we denote by $C_b^k(\mathbb{R})$, k = 0, 1, the space of functions of class C^k on \mathbb{R} , with continuous and bounded derivatives up to order k. The norm in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by the same symbol $|\cdot|$, the difference being clear from the context.

2 Weak solution and "sliding" mode for the system (1.3)

We shall study here system (1.3) where W is a n-dimensional Wiener process, in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $f \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \sigma \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$. We consider the Filippov map $F \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ associated with f which was introduced in (1.7). **Definition 2.1.** The system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, W, X))$ is said to be a martingale solution to (1.3) if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is a filtered probability space on which it is defined an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ -Wiener process W and X is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ -adapted, \mathbb{R}^n -valued, continuous process that satisfies \mathbb{P} -a.s. the equation

$$X(t) + \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s)) \, dW(s), \qquad \forall t \ge 0.$$
 (2.1)

Here $\eta \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega)$, for each T > 0, is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted process such that

$$\eta \in F(X),$$
 a.e. in $(0,\infty) \times \Omega$.

This definition extends verbatim to infinite dimensional equation (1.1). In literature such a solution is also called **weak solution**. A martingale solution which is $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^W$ -adapted, where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t^W$ is the completed natural filtration of W is called strong solution, see [5]. We have

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable and that

$$|f(r)| \le a_1 |r| + a_2, \qquad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(2.2)

where $a_1 \geq 0, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there is at least one martingale solution $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{X})$ to (1.3) which satisfies the estimate

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}|\tilde{X}(t)|^2 \le C_T (|x|^2 + 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t \in [0, T].$$
 (2.3)

Proof. Consider the approximating equation

$$dX_{\varepsilon} + f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}) dt = \sigma(X_{\varepsilon}) dW, \qquad t \in [0, T]$$

$$X(0) = x \qquad (2.4)$$

where f_{ε} is a smooth approximation of f given by

$$f_{\varepsilon}(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r - \varepsilon \,\theta) \,\rho(\theta) \,d\theta, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, r \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(2.5)

Here $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is any mollifier such that

$$\rho(r) \ge 0, \quad \rho(r) = \rho(-r), \quad \rho(r) = 0 \text{ for } |r| \ge 1, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(r) \, dr = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Let $X_{\varepsilon} \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n))$ be the strong solution to (2.4). By (2.2) and Itô's formula it follows that

$$d |X_{\varepsilon}(t)|_{2}^{2} \leq C |X_{\varepsilon}(t)|_{2}^{2} dt + X_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) dW_{t}$$

and so by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy theorem (see e.g., [4]) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_{\varepsilon}(t)|^2\Big] \le C\left(1+|x|^2\right), \qquad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

(Here and everywhere in the following we shall denote by C several positive constants independent of ε .)

We set $Y_{\varepsilon} = (X_{\varepsilon}, W)$ and we consider $\nu_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{L}(Y_{\varepsilon})$ (the law of Y_{ε}) that is $\nu_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{P}[Y_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma]$ for each Borelian set $\Gamma \subset C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us show that $\{\nu_{\varepsilon}\}$ is tight in $(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n))^2 = C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$. This means that for each $\delta > 0$ there is a compact subset Γ of $(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n))^2$ such that $\nu_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma^c) \leq \delta$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. We take for $r > 0, \gamma > 0$,

$$\Gamma = B_{r,\gamma} = \{ y \in (C([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^n))^2 : |y(t)| \le r, \forall t \in [0,T], \\ |y(t) - y(s)| \le \gamma |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0,T] \}$$

Clearly, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, $B_{r,\gamma}$ is compact in $(C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^n))^2$. On the other hand, by (3.4) we have via Itô's formula applied to the process $t \to |X_{\varepsilon}(t) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_2^2$

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} |X_{\varepsilon}(t) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)|^{2} + \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t} \langle f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)), X_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - X_{\varepsilon}(s) \rangle \, d\theta$$
$$\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t} |X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^{2} \, d\theta \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Taking into account estimate (2.7), we obtain via Gronwall's lemma that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{\varepsilon}(t) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)|^{2} \le C \int_{s}^{t} |X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^{2} d\theta \le C |t - s|.$$
(2.8)

By estimates (2.7), (2.8) and by

$$\rho \mathbb{P}[|Y| \ge \rho] \le \mathbb{E}|Y|, \quad \forall \rho > 0,$$

we see that there are γ , r independent of ε such that $\nu_{\varepsilon}(B^c_{r,\gamma}) \leq \delta$, as desired. Then by the Skorohod's representation theorem there exist a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and random variables $\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{W}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{L}(X_{\varepsilon}, W_{\varepsilon})$ and for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -almost every $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\widetilde{W}_{\varepsilon} \to \widetilde{W}, \ \widetilde{X}_{\varepsilon} \to \widetilde{X} \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. in } C([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \\
\sigma(\widetilde{X}_{\varepsilon}) \to \sigma(\widetilde{X}) \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. in } C([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$$
(2.9)

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We have also $\mathcal{L}(f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon})) = \mathcal{L}(f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}))$ and so by (2.2), (2.5) it follows that on a subsequence, denoted $\{\varepsilon_n\}$,

$$f_{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon_n}) \to \tilde{\eta}$$
 weak-star in $L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \tilde{\Omega})$. (2.10)

Let us show that

$$\tilde{\eta} \in F(\tilde{X}), \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T) \times \tilde{\Omega}.$$
(2.11)

We have by (2.5),

$$f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega) - \varepsilon \,\theta) \,\rho(\theta) \,d\theta \in \overline{\operatorname{conv} f(B_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega)))},$$
$$\forall (t,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \tilde{\Omega},$$

and this implies that

$$\Sigma(t,\omega) = \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon_n \to 0} f_{\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega)) \right\} \subset F(\tilde{X}(t,\omega)), \qquad \forall (t,\omega) \in [0,T] \times \tilde{\Omega}.$$

By (2.10), which implies of course also the weak convergence in $L^2((0,T)\times\tilde{\Omega})$, it follows by Mazur's theorem (see e.g., [14, pag. 120]) that there is a convex combination of f_{ε_n} , that is

$$\varphi_n(t,\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^{(n)} f_{\varepsilon_i}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon_i}(t,\omega)),$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^{(n)} = 1, \ 0 \le \alpha_i^{(n)} \le 1, \ \text{which is strongly convergent in } L^2((0,T) \times \tilde{\Omega})$ to $\tilde{\eta}$ and so on a subsequence again denoted $\{n\}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_n(t, \omega) = \tilde{\eta}(t, \omega), \quad \text{a.e.} \ (t, \omega) \in (0, T) \times \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n(t,\omega) \in F(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\omega))$ we obtain (2.11) as claimed.

If we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{\varepsilon} &= \sigma \big(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s), \tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}(s); 0 \le s \le t \big), \qquad t \ge 0, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t &= \sigma \big(\tilde{X}(s), \tilde{W}(s); 0 \le s \le t \big), \qquad t \ge 0, \end{aligned}$$

then it follows that $(\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}^{\varepsilon})$ and $(\tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t})$ are Wiener processes and that \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s)) \, ds = x + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s) \, d\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}(s), \qquad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Taking into account (2.10) and that \mathbb{P} -a.s (see Lemma 3.1 in [8])

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s)) \, d\tilde{W}_{\varepsilon}(s) = \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{X}(s)) \, d\tilde{W}(s), \qquad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

we obtain that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.

$$\tilde{X}(t) + \int_0^t \tilde{\eta}(s) \, ds = x + \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{X}(s)) \, d\tilde{W}(s), \qquad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

This means that the system $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, {\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t\geq 0}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{W}(t), \tilde{X}(t))$ is a martingale solution to (1.3). The estimate (2.3) follows by (2.7) which in turn implies that

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t)|^{2} \leq C (1+|x|^{2}), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \ t \in [0,T].$$

Such a process \tilde{X} can be extended to all of $(0,\infty)$.

Remark 2.1. If f and σ are in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\sigma^* \sigma)_{ij}(x) \,\xi_i \xi_j \ge \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2, \qquad \forall \xi = (\xi_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.12}$$

then, as shown by A. Yu. Veretennikov [13], equation (1.3) has a unique strong solution X. (On these lines see also [8].) It should be said however that for the applications we have in mind, the nondegeneracy condition (2.12) is too restrictive.

The sliding mode dynamics arises in differential systems with variable structure of the form (1.3) and a typical case is that when f has the form

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} f_1(r) & \text{if } g(r) > 0\\ f_2(r) & \text{if } g(r) < 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(2.13)$$

where $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f_1, f_2 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfy the following conditions

$$|f_i(r)| \le a_{1i} |r| + a_{2i}, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad i = 1, 2$$
 (2.14)

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ |\nabla g(r)| + |D^2 g(r)| \} < \infty$$

$$(2.15)$$

$$\nabla g(r) \cdot f_1(r) \ge \alpha \quad \text{in } \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(r) > 0\}$$
(2.16)

$$\nabla g(r) \cdot f_2(r) \le -\alpha \quad \text{in } \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(r) < 0\}$$

$$(2.17)$$

$$|D^2g(r)||\sigma(r)|^2 \le C^* |g(r)|, \qquad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(2.18)

where $\alpha > 0$. We have

Theorem 2.2. Under assumptions (2.14)–(2.18) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a martingale solution $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{X})$ to (1.3) with the following properties: (i) if g(x) = 0 then $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. $g(\tilde{X}(t)) = 0$, $\forall t \ge 0$; (ii) if $g(x) \neq 0$ and $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : g(\tilde{X}(t)) = 0\}$ then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tau > t) \le \frac{\tilde{C}}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\tilde{C}t}\right)^{-1} |g(x)|, \qquad \forall t > 0,$$
(2.19)

where $\tilde{C} = C_1 C^*$, C_1 a positive constant independent of g and σ . If $C^* = 0$ then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tau > t) \le (\alpha t)^{-1} |g(x)|, \qquad \forall t > 0.$$

Theorem 2.2 amounts to say that the manifold $\Sigma = \{x : g(x) = 0\}$ is invariant for stochastic system (1.3) with f given by (2.13) and that for $x \notin \Sigma$ the solution \tilde{X} have reached the manifold Σ by time t with a probability greater or equal to $1 - (\alpha t)^{-1} |g(x)|$. In the classical automatic control terminology (see, e.g., [12]) this means that g(x) = 0 is a "sliding mode" equation for system (1.3) and Σ is a switching surface for this system. As a matter of fact this is typical "sliding" mode behaviour for the solution $X = \tilde{X}(t)$ and its dynamics has two phases: the first phase is on time interval $(0, \tau)$ until X reaches surface Σ and the second one for $t \ge \tau$ in which X(t) evolves on sliding surface Σ . The reaching time $\tau = \tau(\omega)$ is a stopping time determined by (2.19).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We note first that the function f can be written as

$$f(r) = f_1(r)H(g(r)) + f_2(r)H(-g(r)), \qquad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where H is the Heaviside function while the corresponding Filippov multivalued function F (see (1.7)) is just

$$F(y) = f_1(y)\tilde{H}(g(y)) + f_2(y)\tilde{H}(-g(y)), \qquad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and \tilde{H} is the multivalued Heaviside function

$$\tilde{H}(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r > 0\\ [0,1] & \text{for } r = 0\\ 0 & \text{for } r < 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.20)

In the following we shall use the notations

$$sgn(r) = H(r) - H(-r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r > 0 \\ -1 & \text{for } r < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{sgn}}(r) = \widetilde{H}(r), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let \tilde{X} be the martingale solution to (1.3) given by (2.9) where f is as in (2.13). In order to prove the theorem we need a few apriori estimates on the solution X_{ε} to (2.4) which will be obtained by applying Itô's formula to the function $\phi_{\lambda}(u) = \varphi_{\lambda}(g(u))$, where $\varphi_{\lambda} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{\lambda}(0) = 0\\ \varphi_{\lambda}'(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda}y & \text{for } |y| \leq \lambda, \ \lambda > 0\\ \varphi_{\lambda}'(y) = 1 + \lambda & \text{for } y \geq 2\lambda\\ \varphi_{\lambda}'(y) = -1 - \lambda & \text{for } y \leq -2\lambda\\ |\varphi_{\lambda}''(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}, & \text{for } |y| \leq 2\lambda. \end{cases}$$
(2.21)

On $(0, \infty)$ such a function can be taken as

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\lambda} y^2 & \text{for } 0 \le y \le \lambda\\ (1+\lambda) y & \text{for } 2\lambda \le y < \infty\\ p_{\lambda}(y) & \text{for } \lambda \le y < 2\lambda \end{cases}$$

where p_{λ} is a fourth order polynomial conveniently chosen and extend it by simmetry on $(-\infty, 0)$. As a matter of fact, φ_{λ} is a smooth approximation of function $y \to |y|$ and as easily seen

$$|\varphi_{\lambda}'(y) - (\widetilde{\operatorname{sgn}})_{\lambda}(y)| \le C\,\lambda, \qquad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, y \neq 0,$$

where $(\widetilde{\text{sgn}})_{\lambda}$ is the Yosida approximation of $\widetilde{\text{sgn}}$, that is

$$(\widetilde{\mathrm{sgn}})_{\lambda}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda} |r| & \text{for } |r| < \lambda \\ 1 & \text{for } r > \lambda \\ -1 & \text{for } r < -\lambda. \end{cases}$$

We have therefore for all $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \varphi_{\lambda}'(r) = \operatorname{sgn}(r)$$

Taking into account that, $\forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has

$$\nabla \varphi_{\lambda}(u) = \varphi_{\lambda}'(g(u)) \nabla g(u),$$

$$D^{2} \varphi_{\lambda}(u)(v) = \varphi_{\lambda}''(g(u)) (\nabla g(u) \cdot v) \nabla g(u) + \varphi_{\lambda}'(g(u)) D^{2} g(u)(v)$$

we obtain that

$$d\varphi_{\lambda}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))) + \varphi'_{\lambda}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)))f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) dt$$

= $\frac{1}{2}$ Tr[$\sigma^{*}(X_{\varepsilon}(t))\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t))D^{2}\phi_{\lambda}(X_{\varepsilon}(t))$] dt (2.22)
+ $\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) dW(t) \cdot \nabla \phi_{\lambda}(X_{\varepsilon}(t)), \quad t \in [0,T].$

Now, taking into account that on $\{g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \neq 0\}$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \varphi_{\lambda}'(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))) = \operatorname{sgn}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)))$$

and that in virtue of (2.18) and (2.21),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\lambda}''(g(X_{\varepsilon})) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \{ |g(X_{\varepsilon})| > 2\lambda \} \\ |\varphi_{\lambda}'(g(X_{\varepsilon}))| &\leq 2 \quad \text{on } \{ |g(X_{\varepsilon})| > 2\lambda \} \\ |D^{2}g(X_{\varepsilon})||\sigma(X_{\varepsilon})|^{2} &\leq C^{*} |g(X_{\varepsilon})| \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \\ |\varphi_{\lambda}''(g(X_{\varepsilon}))| &\leq C \lambda^{-1}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \end{aligned}$$

letting $\lambda \to 0$ in (2.22), we obtain that

$$d|g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))| + f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \operatorname{sgn}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \mathbb{1}_{[|g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))|>0]} dt$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\sigma^*(X_{\varepsilon}(t))\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t))D^2g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))]\operatorname{sgn}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) dt$ (2.23)
+ $\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t))dW(t) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))$

By (2.5) and (2.13) we have

$$\begin{split} f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \operatorname{sgn}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))) \\ &= \int\limits_{[g(X_{\varepsilon}(t) - \varepsilon\theta) > 0]} f_1(X_{\varepsilon}(t) - \varepsilon\theta) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))\rho(\theta) \, d\theta \\ &+ \int\limits_{[g(X_{\varepsilon}(t) - \varepsilon\theta) < 0]} f_2(X_{\varepsilon}(t) - \varepsilon\theta) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))\rho(\theta) \, d\theta \end{split}$$

and so taking into account (2.14)-(2.16) we get

$$f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \operatorname{sgn}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))) \ge \alpha - \delta(\varepsilon)(1 + |X_{\varepsilon}(t)|) \quad \forall t \ge 0$$

where $\delta(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Taking into account (2.23) this yields

$$d|g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))| + \alpha \mathbb{1}_{[|g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))|>0]}(1 - \delta(\varepsilon)|X_{\varepsilon}(t)|) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\sigma^{*}(X_{\varepsilon}(t))\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t))D^{2}g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))]\operatorname{sgn}(g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))) dt$$

$$+ \mathbb{1}_{[|g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))|>0]}|g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))|^{-1} \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) \cdot \sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(t)) dW(t)$$

and therefore, for $0 \le s \le t \le T$, we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{split} |g(X_{\varepsilon}(t))| &+ \alpha \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))|>0]} (1-\delta(\varepsilon)|X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|) \, d\theta \\ &\leq |g(X_{\varepsilon}(s))| + \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))|>0]} |g(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))|^{-1} \nabla g(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)) \cdot \sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)) \, dW(\theta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} \operatorname{Tr}[\sigma^{*}(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))D^{2}g(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))] \operatorname{sgn}((g(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta))) \, d\theta. \end{split}$$

The same inequality remains of course true for $(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{W})$ and so letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get that for \tilde{X} given by (2.9), we have

$$\begin{split} |g(\tilde{X}(t))| &+ \alpha \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))| > 0]} d\theta \\ &\leq |g(\tilde{X}(s))| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{t} \operatorname{Tr}[\sigma^{*}(\tilde{X}(\theta))\sigma(\tilde{X}(\theta))D^{2}g(\tilde{X}(\theta))]\operatorname{sgn}((g(\tilde{X}(\theta))) d\theta \\ &+ \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))| > 0]} \nabla g(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \cdot \sigma(\tilde{X}(\theta)) dW(\theta), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty, \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\text{-a.s} \end{split}$$

Taking into account (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\tilde{X}(t))| &+ \alpha \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} d\theta \\ &\leq \tilde{C} \int_{s}^{t} |g(\tilde{X}(\theta))| \, d\theta + \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} \nabla g(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \cdot \sigma(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \, dW(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

and so by the Gronwall lemma

$$e^{-\tilde{C}t}|g(\tilde{X}(t))| + \alpha \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\tilde{C}\theta} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} d\theta \leq e^{-\tilde{C}s}|g(\tilde{X}(s))| + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\tilde{C}\theta} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} \nabla g(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \cdot \sigma(\tilde{X}(\theta)) dW(\theta), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty.$$

$$(2.24)$$

In particular, it follows by (2.24) that if g(x) = 0 then $g(\tilde{X}(t)) = 0$ $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, by (2.24) it follows that $Z(t) = |g(\tilde{X}(t))|e^{-\tilde{C}t}$ is a nonnegative super-martingale and therefore for any couple of stopping times $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ we have $Z(\tau_1) \geq Z(\tau_2)$. This implies that if $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : |Z(t)| = 0\}$ we have that $Z(t) = Z(\tau)$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. for $t > \tau$. On the other hand, by (2.24) and (2.18) it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}Z(t) + \alpha \int_0^t e^{-\tilde{C}s} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tau > s) \, ds \le |g(x)| + \tilde{C} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}Z(s) \, ds, \qquad \forall t \ge 0$$

and therefore

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tau > t) \le \frac{\tilde{C}}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\tilde{C}t}\right)^{-1} |g(x)|, \qquad \forall t > 0,$$

which is just (2.19). This shows that $\tilde{X}(t)$ reaches the manifold Σ in stopping time τ and remains there for $t > \tau$ with a probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ greater or equal $\frac{\tilde{C}}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\tilde{C}t})^{-1} |g(x)|$. The proof is complete.

Remark 2.2. If conditions (2.16), (2.17) are satisfied with $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 2.2 then only part (i) follows.

Theorem 2.2 can be used to design feedback controllers for stochastic differential systems with a sliding mode dynamics on a given surface $\Sigma = \{x : g(x) = 0\}$. Such an example is presented below.

Example 2.3. Consider the controlled stochastic second order system

$$\ddot{X} + a_1 \dot{X} = \sigma_0(X, \dot{X})\dot{\beta} + u \quad \text{in } (0, \infty).$$
 (2.25)

We assume that $\sigma_0 \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Our aim is to find a feedback controller $u = -f_0(X, \dot{X})$ such that the corresponding closed loop system

$$\ddot{X} + a_1 \dot{X} + f_0(X, \dot{X}) = \sigma_0(X, \dot{X})\dot{\beta}$$

$$X(0) = x_0, \quad \dot{X}(0) = x_1$$
(2.26)

has the sliding mode equation

$$a_2 X + \dot{X} = 0, (2.27)$$

for some $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Here β is a Brownian motion in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $\dot{\beta}$ is the associated white noise.

We choose

$$f_0(r_1, r_2) = \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(a_2 r_1 + r_2), \quad \forall (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (2.28)

where $\alpha > 0$ and rewrite equation (2.26) as

$$dX_1 - X_2 dt = 0$$

$$dX_2 + a_1 X_2 dt + \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(a_2 X_1 + X_2) dt = \sigma_0(X_1, X_2) d\beta$$
(2.29)

for $t \ge 0$, where as us usually $\operatorname{sgn}(u) = \frac{u}{|u|}$ for $u \ne 0$. Equation (2.29) is a "jump" system of the form (1.3) where

$$f(r_1, r_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -r_2 \\ a_1 r_2 + \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(a_2 r_1 + r_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$
$$\sigma(r_1, r_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_0(r_1, r_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and so f is of the form (2.13) where

$$f_1(r) = \begin{pmatrix} -r_2 \\ a_1 r_2 + \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_2(r) = \begin{pmatrix} -r_2 \\ a_1 r_2 - \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
$$g(r) = a_2 r_1 + r_2, \quad r = (r_1, r_2).$$

It is easily seen that conditions (2.14)–(2.18) hold and so Theorem 2.2 is applicable to the present case. We get

Corollary 2.3. The stochastic closed loop system (2.29), equivalently (2.26), (2.28), has the "sliding mode" (2.27). More precisely, for every $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there is a martingale solution $(X_1(t), X_2(t))$ which reaches the surface $\Sigma = \{(x_1, x_2) : a_2 x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$ in time t with a probability $\geq 1 - (\alpha t)^{-1} |a_2 x_0 + x_1|$, and remains $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. on this surface after that time.

This describes a typical "sliding-mode" behaviour for solutions X to (2.26), namely

$$a_1 X(t) + \dot{X}(t) = 0$$

on $(t_0, \infty) \times \Omega_0$ where $\tilde{P}(\Omega_0) \ge 1 - (\alpha t_0)^{-1} |a_2 x_0 + x_1|$. (We refer to [9], [10], [11], for references and other significant results on "sliding-mode" behaviour of stochastic differential systems).

3 Existence of a weak solution to heat equation (1.4)

The following hypotheses will be assumed throughout in the sequel.

- i) $f \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $|f(r)| \leq a_1 |r| + b_1, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$
- ii) W is the cylindrical Wiener process (1.2) where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ given by $-\Delta e_j = \lambda_j e_j$ in \mathcal{O} ; $e_j = 0$ on $\partial \mathcal{O}$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^2 \,\lambda_j^2 > \infty \tag{3.1}$$

iii) $b \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}).$

Definition 3.1. Let $x \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$. We call weak (martingale) solution to (1.1) a tuple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}, W, X)$, where $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is a filtered probability space where there are defined a $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ -Wiener process W and a continuous $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ -adapted $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ -valued process $X = (X(t))_{t\geq 0}$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$X(t) = e^{-tA}x + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A}\eta(s) \, ds + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \, b(X(s)) \, dW(s), \qquad (3.2)$$

where $\eta \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \Omega)$ is a $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted process such that

$$\eta \in F(X), a.e \ in \ (0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \Omega. \tag{3.3}$$

Here $A = -\Delta$ with $D(A) = H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{O})$ and e^{-At} is the C_0 -semigroup on $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ generated by -A.

We note that the linear operator b(X) arising in (3.2) is defined by

$$b(X)h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j b(X) \langle h, e_j \rangle_2 e_j, \quad \forall h \in L^2(\mathcal{O}).$$

The construction of a weak (martingale) solution. We consider the approximating equation

$$dX_{\varepsilon} - \Delta X_{\varepsilon} dt + f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}) dt = b(X_{\varepsilon}) dW, \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \mathcal{O}$$

$$X_{\varepsilon} = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{on } (0,T) \times \partial \mathcal{O}$$

$$X_{\varepsilon}(0,\xi) = x(\xi), \qquad \qquad \xi \in \mathcal{O}$$
(3.4)

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and, as in the finite dimensional case (see (2.5)),

$$f_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \,\rho(\frac{r-s}{\varepsilon}) \, ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(r-\varepsilon \,\theta) \,\rho(\theta) \, d\theta, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(3.5)

Here $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that

$$\rho(\theta) \ge 0 \quad \rho(\theta) = \rho(-\theta), \quad \rho(\theta) = 0 \text{ for } |\theta| \ge 1, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(\theta) \, d\theta = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Clearly by (i) we have

$$f_{\varepsilon} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \qquad |f_{\varepsilon}(r)| \le a_1 |r| + b_1 + a_1 \varepsilon, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0.$$
 (3.7)

By standard existence theory for infinite dimensional stochastic equations with Lipschitz nonlinearity it follows that (3.4) has a unique strong solution

$$X_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^{2}(\mathcal{O}))) \cap L^{2}(\Omega; L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}_{0}(\mathcal{O}))),$$
(3.8)

see [4, pag.45]. By Itô's formula we get \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} |X_{\varepsilon}(t)|_{2}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} ||X_{\varepsilon}(s)||_{1}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \langle f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(s)), X_{\varepsilon}(s) \rangle_{2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |x|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{j}^{2} |b(X_{\varepsilon}(s)) e_{j}|_{2}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \langle b(X_{\varepsilon}(s)) dW(s), X_{\varepsilon}(s) \rangle_{2} ds, \\ &\forall t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

and so by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy formula we obtain by some calculation involving (i)–(iii)

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_{\varepsilon}(t)|_{2}^{2}+\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{t}\|X_{\varepsilon}(s)\|_{1}^{2}ds\leq C\left(|x|_{2}^{2}+1\right),\quad\forall\varepsilon>0,\qquad(3.9)$$

where C is independent of ε . By (3.7) we also have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(t))|_2^2 \le C \left(|x|_2^2 + 1\right).$$

Then on a subsequence, again denoted in the same way, we have for $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$X_{\varepsilon} \to X \quad \text{weak-star in } L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega; L^{2}(\mathcal{O})))$$

weakly in $L^{2}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega; H^{1}_{0}(\mathcal{O}))$ (3.10)

$$f_{\varepsilon} \to \eta$$
 weak-star in $L^{\infty}((0,T); L^2(\Omega; L^2(\mathcal{O})))$ (3.11)

$$X_{\varepsilon}(t) \to X(t)$$
 weakly in $L^2(\Omega; L^2((0,T) \times \mathcal{O}))$ (3.12)

$$b(X_{\varepsilon}) \to b^*$$
 weakly in $L^2(\Omega; L^2((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}))$

and

$$dX - \Delta X dt + \eta dt = b^* dW \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{O},$$

$$X(0) = x \qquad \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{O},$$

$$X = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{O},$$
(3.13)

that is

$$X(t) - \int_0^t \Delta X(s) \, ds + \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds = x + \int_0^t b^*(s) \, dW(s), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Assume now that $x \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$. Then by an application of Itô's formula in (3.4) to the function $x \to \frac{1}{2} ||x||_1^2$ we get for some $C_1, C_2 \ge 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|X_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{1}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} |\Delta X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_{2}^{2} ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_{1}^{2} + C_{1} \int_{0}^{t} (|\Delta X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_{2}^{2} + |X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_{2}^{2}) ds \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{j}^{2} \|\sigma(X_{\varepsilon}) e_{j}\|_{1}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \langle \Delta b(X_{\varepsilon}(s)), dW(s) \rangle + C_{2}$$

and in virtue of (ii), (iii) this yields via Burkholder-Davis-Gundy formula

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|X_{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{1}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} |\Delta X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_{2}^{2} ds \leq C(\|x\|_{1}^{2} + 1), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$
(3.14)

(Everywhere in the sequel we shall denote by C several constants independent of ε .)

Then, in this case besides (3.10), (3.12), we also have

$$X \in C([0,T]; L^{2}(\mathcal{O})) \cap L^{2}(\Omega; L^{\infty}(0,T; H^{1}_{0}(\mathcal{O}))) \cap L^{2}(\Omega; L^{2}(0,T; H^{2}(\mathcal{O}))).$$
(3.15)

Since the weak convergences (3.10)-(3.12) are not sufficient to conclude that (3.3) holds, then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we shall replace $\{X_{\varepsilon}\}$ by a sequence $\{\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}\}$ of processes defined in a probability space $\{\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{W}\}$ such that $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon})$ where \mathcal{L} is the law of the process.

To this end, consider the sequence $\{\nu_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon\geq 0}$ of probability measures, $\nu_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{L}(X_{\varepsilon})$, that is $\nu_{\varepsilon}(B) = \mathbb{P}(X_{\varepsilon} \in B)$ for any Borelian set $B \subset C([0, T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$. We have

Lemma 3.1. Let $x \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$. Then the sequence $\{\nu_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is tight in the space $C([0,T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$.

Proof. This means that for each $\delta > 0$ there is a compact subset B of $C([0,T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$ such that $\nu_{\varepsilon}(B^c) \leq \delta$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. We take for r > 0, $\gamma > 0$,

$$B = B_{r,\gamma} = \{ y \in C([0,T]; L^2(\mathcal{O})) : |y(t)|_2 \le r, \forall t \in [0,T], \\ \|y\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T; H^1_0(\mathcal{O}))} \le r, |y(t) - y(s)|_2 \le \gamma |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0,T] \}$$

Clearly, by Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, $B_{r,\gamma}$ is compact in $C([0,T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$. On the other hand, by (3.4) we have via Itô's formula applied to the process $t \to |X_{\varepsilon}(t) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_2^2$

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} |X_{\varepsilon}(t) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t} \langle \nabla X_{\varepsilon}(\theta), \nabla (X_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)) \rangle_{2} d\theta \\ + \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t} \langle f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)), X_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - X_{\varepsilon}(s) \rangle_{2} d\theta \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t} |X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|_{2}^{2} d\theta \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Taking into account estimates (3.7), (3.9) we obtain via Gronwall's lemma that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{\varepsilon}(t) - X_{\varepsilon}(s)|_{2}^{2} \leq C \int_{s}^{t} (|X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|_{2}^{2} + |\nabla X_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|_{2}^{2}) d\theta \leq C |t - s|.$$
(3.16)

By estimates (3.9), (3.14), (3.16) and taking into account that

 $\rho \mathbb{P}[|Y| \ge \rho] \le \mathbb{E}|Y|, \quad \forall \rho > 0,$

we infer that there are γ , r independent of ε such that $\nu_{\varepsilon}(B_{r,\gamma}^{c}) \leq \delta$, as desired.

Then by the Skorohod theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 2.4 in [4]) there are a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and the stochastic processes $\tilde{X}, {\{\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}}$ on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ such that the law $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon})$ of \tilde{X}_{ε} coincides with $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varepsilon})$ and \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon} \to \tilde{X} \quad \text{in } C([0,T]; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$$
(3.17)

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We have also $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X})$. Since $\mathcal{L}(f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon})) = \mathcal{L}(f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}))$, $\mathcal{L}(\sigma(X_{\varepsilon})) = \mathcal{L}(\sigma(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}))$ by (3.17) and (3.5) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}) \to \tilde{\eta}, \\ & b(X_{\varepsilon}) \to b(\tilde{X}), \end{aligned} \quad \text{a.e. in } (0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \tilde{\Omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\eta}) = \mathcal{L}(\eta)$ and

$$\tilde{\eta} \in F(\tilde{X}),$$
 a.e. in $(0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \tilde{\Omega}.$ (3.19)

The latter follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 taking into account that in this case F is given by (1.5), but we omit the details. We set

$$M_{\varepsilon}(t) = X_{\varepsilon}(t) - x - \int_0^t \Delta X_{\varepsilon}(s) \, ds + \int_0^t f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t) - x - \int_{0}^{t} \Delta \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s) \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$
(3.21)

It turns out that \tilde{M}_{ε} is a square integrable martingale on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{\tilde{X}_s; s \leq t\}$ because since $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{M}_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{L}(M_{\varepsilon})$ and M_{ε} is a square integrable martingale on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t) - \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(s) - \int_{s}^{t} \Delta \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(\theta) \, d\theta + \int_{s}^{t} f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(\theta)) \, d\theta\right) \chi(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon})\right] = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

for any bounded continuous function χ and all $0 \le s \le t \le T$. Passing to the limit in (3.20) and taking into account (3.17)–(3.19) one obtains that the process

$$\tilde{M}(t) = \tilde{X}(t) - x - \int_0^t \Delta \tilde{X}(s) \, ds + \int_0^t \tilde{\eta}(s) \, ds, \quad t \ge 0$$

is an $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ -valued martingale with respect to filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = \sigma\{\tilde{X}(s), s \leq t\}, t \in [0, T]$, with finite quadratic variation, see [4, pag.234]. Then by the representation theorem 8.2 in [4] there is a larger probability space $(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})$, a filtration $\{\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and an $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ -cylindrical Wiener process $\tilde{\tilde{W}}(t)$ on it such that, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ -a.s.,

$$\tilde{M}(t) = \int_0^t b(\tilde{X}(s)) d\tilde{\tilde{W}}(t), \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

This means that the system $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{W}(t), \tilde{X}(t))$ is a martingale solution to (1.1). We have proved therefore

Theorem 3.2. Under Hypotheses (i), (ii), for each $x \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$, there is at least one martingale solution $(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, {\{\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_t\}_{t\geq 0}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \tilde{X})$ to equation (1.1) and \tilde{X} is given by (3.17). Moreover, we have

$$\tilde{X} \in L^2(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}; L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\mathcal{O}))) \cap L^2(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}; L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathcal{O}))).$$
(3.23)

We note that (3.23) follow by (3.14) and (3.17).

Remark 3.1. Under additional assumptions on b (for instance if it is independent of X) it turns out that the martingale solution \tilde{X} is the unique strong solution, see [5]. (See also Remark 2.1)

4 Sliding mode control of the stochastic heat equation

For parabolic stochastic equations of the form (1.1) a "sliding" mode dynamic arises for discontinuous ("jump") functions $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form (2.13), that is

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} f_1(r) & \text{for } g(r) > 0\\ f_2(r)) & \text{for } g(r) < 0 \end{cases}, \qquad r \in \mathbb{R}$$
(4.1)

where g, f_1, f_2 are given continuous functions.

As in the previous finite dimensional case, the objective of the "slidingmode" control is to design for the linear time invariant system

$$dX - \Delta X dt = du \qquad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$$

$$X = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{O}$$

$$X(0) = x \qquad \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{O}$$
(4.2)

a stochastic feedback controller of the form

$$du = -f(X) dt + b(X) dW \tag{4.3}$$

such that the "sliding" motion occurs on the manifold $\Sigma = \{X : g(X) = 0\}$ which is also referred as "sliding" or "switching" surface. Roughly speaking, this means that any trajectory of the closed loop system (4.2)-(4.3), which starts from initial state x, reaches the sliding surface Σ at a certain time t_0 and remains there for $t \ge t_0$. As a matter of fact, this last phase of the dynamics is called "sliding mode". Of course in virtue of Theorem 3.2 a weak solution X to (4.2) in the sense of Definition 3.1 exists for the extended multivalued closed loop system

~

$$dX - \Delta X dt + f_1(X)H(g(X)) dt + f_2(X)\tilde{H}(-g(X)) dt = \sigma(X) dW \quad \text{in } (0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \\ X = 0 \quad \text{on } (0,T) \times \partial \mathcal{O} \\ X(0) = x \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

Here \tilde{H} is the multivalued Heaviside function (2.20) on \mathbb{R} . To begin with we shall prove first an invariance result for the manifold $\Sigma = \{X : g(X) = 0\}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, f_1 , f_2 be continuous functions which satisfy assumption (i) and let b, satisfying (iii), be such that

$$b^{2}(r)(g g'' + (g')^{2})(r) \le C g^{2}(r), \qquad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$
(4.5)

$$g(r) g''(r) + (g'(r))^2 \ge 0 \qquad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(4.6)$$

$$f_1(r) g'(r) \ge 0$$
 for $g(r) > 0$ (4.7)

$$f_2(r) g'(r) \le 0$$
 for $g(r) < 0.$ (4.8)

for some C > 0. Then, for all $x \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ such that g(x) = 0 on \mathcal{O} , there is a martingale solution $(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \{\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_t\}_{t \ge 0}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}, \tilde{X})$ to system (4.4) such that

$$g(\tilde{X}(t)) = 0, \qquad \forall t \in [0, T], \ \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\text{-}a.s..$$
(4.9)

Proof. We start with the approximating equation (3.4). We apply the Itô formula to function $x \to g^2(x)$ and get

$$d\int_{\mathcal{O}} g^2(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) d\xi + 2\int_{\mathcal{O}} (g g'' + (g')^2)(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) |\nabla X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi dt + 2\int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi))g(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi))g'(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) d\xi dt = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} |b(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) e_j|^2 |(g g'' + (g')^2)(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi))| d\xi dt + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \int_{\mathcal{O}} b(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi))g(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi))g'(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) e_j d\xi d\beta_j(t)$$

Taking into account (3.5), (3.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon})g(X_{\varepsilon})g'(X_{\varepsilon}) d\xi &= \int \rho(\theta) \\ \left(\int_{[g(X_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\theta)>0]} f_1(X_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\theta)(gg')(X_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\theta) d\xi + \int_{[g(X_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\theta)<0]} f_2(X_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\theta)(gg')(X_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\theta) d\xi \right) d\theta + \zeta_{\varepsilon}(t), \qquad \forall t \in [0,T], \end{split}$$

where

$$\zeta_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \tilde{C} \varepsilon \int_{\mathcal{O}} (|X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)| + 1) d\xi$$

with $\tilde{C} = C_1 C, C_1 > 0$; thus, by (4.5)–(4.7), this yields

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} g^2(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) d\xi \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} g^2(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) d\xi ds + \delta(\varepsilon) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)^2 + 1) d\xi ds, \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

where $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \delta(\varepsilon) = 0$ and the constant *C* is independent of ε . This yields via Gronwall's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathcal{O}} g^2(X_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) d\xi \le \delta(\varepsilon) \exp(Ct), \qquad \forall t \in [0,T].$$
(4.10)

If \tilde{X}_{ε} is defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, that is $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{L}(X_{\varepsilon})$ and (3.17) holds, we get by (4.10) that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \int_{\mathcal{O}} g^2(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) \, d\xi \le \delta(\varepsilon) \, \exp(C \, t), \qquad \forall t \in [0,T], \; \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ is the expectation in probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$. Hence, letting ε tend to zero we get $g^2(\tilde{X}) = 0$, $dt \times d\xi \times \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}$ -a.e. in $(0,T) \times \mathcal{O} \times \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$ as claimed.

Remark 4.1. In the particular case where the function

$$F(r) \equiv f_1(r)H(g(r)) + f_2(r)H(-g(r)), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a maximal monotone graph in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $R(F) = D(F) = \mathbb{R}$, equation (4.4) has a unique strong solution X. This happens for instance if f_i , i = 1, 2,

are monotonically nondecreasing continuous functions such that $f_1 \ge f_2$ on \mathbb{R} and g(x) = x (see [2]). Then the corresponding system (4.4)

has the invariant manifold X = 0.

Under stronger assumptions on g and b it turns out that the closed loop system (4.2)–(4.3) (equivalently (4.11)) has a "sliding" mode dynamics with the switching manifold $\Sigma = \{X : g(X) = 0\}$. Namely, we assume that

Hypothesis 4.2. f_i , i = 1, 2 satisfy assumption (i) and $g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$, $b \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap Lip(\mathbb{R})$ are such that

$$|g''(r)| |b(r)|^2 \le C^* |g(r)|, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R},$$
(4.12)

$$f_1(r) g'(r) \ge \alpha \quad \text{if } g(r) > 0; f_2(r) g'(r) \le -\alpha \quad \text{if } g(r) < 0$$

$$(4.13)$$

$$g', g'' \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad g'' \operatorname{sgn}(g) \ge 0 \quad on \ \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.14)$$

where $\alpha > 0$.

We note that by Theorem 3.2, equation (4.11) has a martingale solution \tilde{X} given by (3.17).

Theorem 4.3. Under Hypothesis 4.2, for each $x \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ there is a martingale solution $(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{N}}}, \tilde{X})$ to (4.2), (4.3) such that for $\tau = \inf\{t : |g(\tilde{X}(t))| = 0\}$ we have

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}(\tau > t) \le \frac{\tilde{C}}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\tilde{C}t})^{-1} |g(x)|^2.$$
(4.15)

where $\tilde{C} = C_1 C^*$. Moreover, if g(x) = 0 a.e. in \mathcal{O} , then $g(\tilde{X}(t)) = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.2, so it will be sketched only. If X_{ε} is the solution to equation (3.4) and \tilde{X}_{ε} such that $\mathcal{L}(X_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon})$, we get via Itô's formula applied to function $x \to \varphi_{\lambda}(g(x))$ and after letting $\lambda \to 0$

$$d |g(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t))|_{2} + \alpha \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t))|>0]} dt \leq \langle b(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t))dW(t), g'(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t))\operatorname{sgn}(g(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t))\rangle_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mu_{k}^{2}\int_{O}|b(X_{\varepsilon})e_{k}|^{2}|g''(X_{\varepsilon})| d\xi$$

where φ_{λ} is the function introduced in the proof of Theorem 2.2 (see (2.21)). We have used here condition (4.14) which in virtue of (2.21) yields

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \Delta \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda}'(g(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)))g'(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) d\xi
= -\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)) \cdot \nabla \left(\varphi_{\lambda}'(g(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi)))g'(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi))\right) d\xi \le 0,$$
(4.16)

and also assumption (4.13) which, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.2, implies that

$$f_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}) g'(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{sgn} g(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}) \ge \alpha - \delta(\varepsilon)(1 + |\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}|).$$

Now using (4.12) and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get

$$|g(\tilde{X}(t))|_{2} + \alpha \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} d\theta \leq |g(\tilde{X}(s))|_{2} + \tilde{C} \int_{s}^{t} |g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|_{2} d\theta + \int_{s}^{t} \langle b(\tilde{X}(\theta)) dW(\theta), g'(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \operatorname{sgn}(g(\tilde{X}(\theta)))_{2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t$$

for some constant $\tilde{C} > 0$. This yields (see (2.24))

$$e^{-\tilde{C}t}|g(\tilde{X}(t))|_{2} + \alpha \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\tilde{C}\theta} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} d\theta \leq e^{-\tilde{C}s}|g(\tilde{X}(s))|_{2} + \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\tilde{C}\theta} \mathbb{1}_{[|g(\tilde{X}(\theta))|>0]} \langle g'(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \operatorname{sgn}(g(\tilde{X}(\theta))), \sigma(\tilde{X}(\theta)) \, dW(\theta) \rangle_{2},$$
$$0 \leq s \leq t < \infty.$$

Here $\tilde{Z} = |g(\tilde{X}(t))|_2 e^{-\tilde{C}t}$ is a nonnegative supermartingale and so $\tilde{Z}(t) = \tilde{Z}(\tau)$ $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. for $t > \tau = \inf\{t > 0 : |\tilde{Z}(t)| = 0\}$. Taking expectation, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{Z}(t) + \alpha \int_0^t e^{-\tilde{C}s} \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}[\tau > s] \, ds \le |g(x)|_2 + \tilde{C} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\tilde{Z}(s) \, ds$$

which implies the desired estimate (4.15).

By (4.14) we see that g is convex on [g > 0], concave on [g < 0] and that $[r : g(r) = 0] = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ is a closed interval. Hence the switching manifold $\Sigma = \{X : g(X) = 0\}$ is of the form

$$\Sigma = \{ X \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \alpha_1 \le X \le \alpha_2 \}$$

and so under the assumptions (4.12)–(4.14) the closed loop system (4.2)–(4.3) has for each $x \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ a martingale solution which reaches the set Σ (that is the interval $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$) by time t with probability estimated by (4.15) and remains in this interval after that time.

5 "Sliding" mode control of a stochastic parabolic systems

Consider here the parabolic system

$$dX - \Delta X dt + f_1(X, Y) dt = b_1(X, Y) dW_1, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$$

$$dY - \Delta Y dt + f_2(X, Y) dt = b_2(X, Y) dW_2, \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathcal{O}$$

$$X(0) = x(\xi), \quad Y(0) = y(\xi), \qquad \xi \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$X = Y = 0, \qquad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{O}$$
(5.1)

where $f_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy assumption (i), $b_i \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R})$, i = 1, 2 and W_1, W_2 are Wiener processes of the form (1.2) in the space $H = L^2(\mathcal{O}) \times L^2(\mathcal{O})$. Let $g \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be given.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 it follows that for each $(x, y) \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) \times H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$, system (5.1) has a martingale solution (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) obtained as limit of solutions $(\tilde{X}_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{Y}_{\varepsilon})$ to corresponding approximating system

$$d\begin{pmatrix} X\\ Y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta & 0\\ 0 & -\Delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X\\ Y \end{pmatrix} dt + F_{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} X\\ Y \end{pmatrix} dt = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(X,Y) \, dW_1\\ b_2(X,Y) \, dW_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X\\ Y \end{pmatrix} (0) = \begin{pmatrix} x\\ y \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.2)

where $F \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$F = \begin{cases} f_1 & \text{in } [g > 0], \\ f_2 & \text{in } [g < 0]. \end{cases}$$

and

$$F_{\varepsilon}(r_1, r_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho(r - \varepsilon \theta) F(\theta) \, d\theta, \quad (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$

Assume further that

$$|D^{2}g(r)|(|b_{1}(r)|^{2} + |b_{2}(r)|^{2}) \leq C|g(r)|, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$$
(5.3)

$$f_1(r) g_{r_1}(r) \ge \alpha \quad \text{in} \ [r:g(r) > 0]$$
 (5.4)

$$f_2(r) g_{r_2}(r) \le -\alpha \quad \text{in} [r:g(r) < 0]$$
 (5.5)

where $\alpha > 0$ and $(g_{r_1}, g_{r_2}) = \nabla g$,

$$g_{r_1r_1} \operatorname{sgn} g \ge 0, \quad (g_{r_1r_2}^2 - g_{r_1r_1}g_{r_2r_2}) \operatorname{sgn} g \le 0.$$
 (5.6)

We have

Theorem 5.1. Under assumptions (5.3)–(5.6) for each $(x, y) \in H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) \times H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ there is a martingale solution $\{\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}, \tilde{\tilde{W}}, (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\}$ to (5.1) such that if τ is the stopping time $\tau = \inf\{t : g(\tilde{X}(t), \tilde{Y}(t)) = 0\}$ then

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}[\tau > t] \le \frac{C}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-Ct})^{-1} |g(x, y)|_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^2}$$
(5.7)

for some constant C > 0.

The proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.2 where the approximating equation (3.4) is replaced by (5.2). We note that in this case the corresponding inequality (4.16) is a consequence of hypothesis (5.6). The details are omitted.

A particular example is

$$g(r_1, r_2) = \alpha_1 r_1 + \alpha_2 r_2, \qquad \forall r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

which, for f_1 and f_2 satisfying condition

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_1 f_1(r) \ge \alpha & \text{in } \{\alpha_1 r_1 + \alpha_2 r_2 > 0\} \\
\alpha_2 f_2(r) \le -\alpha & \text{in } \{\alpha_1 r_1 + \alpha_2 r_2 < 0\}
\end{array}$$

where $\alpha > 0$, imply that system (5.1) has a martingale solution (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) that reaches the linear manifold

$$\Sigma = \{\alpha_1 \hat{X} + \alpha_2 \hat{Y} = 0\}$$

in a time t with probability $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \geq 1 - C t^{-1} |\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y|_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^2}$ and remains on this manifold afterwards.

References

- V. Barbu, Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Type in Banach Spaces, Springer (2010)
- [2] V. Barbu, A variational approach to stochastic nonlinear parabolic problems, J. Math Anal. Appl. 384, 2-15 (2011)
- [3] V. Barbu, Optimal control approach to nonlinear diffusion equations driven by Wiener noise, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 153, 1-26 (2012)
- [4] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions (second edition), Cambridge (2014)

- [5] G. Da Prato, F. Flandoli, E. Priola, M. Röckner, Strong uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces perturbed by a bounded measurable drift, Annals of Probability 41 (5) 3306–3344 (2013)
- [6] A. F. Filippov, Differential Equations with Discontinuous Right-hand Sides, Mat. Sbornik (N.S.) 51 (93), 99-128 (1960), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 42, 199-231 (1964)
- [7] A. F. Filippov, Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwel, (1988)
- [8] I. Gyöngy, N. Krylov, Existence of strong solutions for Itô's stochastic equations via approximations, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 105 n. 2, 143-158 (1996)
- K-C. Hsu, Sliding mode controllers for uncertain systems with input nonlinearities, Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, July, Vol. 21, No. 4 : pp. 666-669 (doi: 10.2514/3.22105)
- [10] P. Shi, Y. Xia, G. P. Liu, D. Rees, On designing of sliding-mode control for stochastic jump systems, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 97-103, 2006
- [11] D.J.W. Simpson, R. Kuske, Stochastically perturbed sliding motion in piecewise-smooth systems, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 19 (9), 2889-2913 (2014)
- [12] V. Utkin, Sliding Modes in Control and Optimization, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992)
- [13] A. Yu. Veretennikov, On strong solution and explicit formulas for solutions of stochastic integral equations, Math. USSR Sbornik, 39, 387-403 (1981)
- [14] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1980)