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#### Abstract

We present two families of constacyclic linear codes with large automorphism groups. The codes are obtained from the twisted tensor product construction.
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## 1 Introduction and Statement of Results

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field with $q$ elements and let $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}=\mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)=$ $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}\right)$ be the $n$-dimensional projective space over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The elements of $\mathrm{PG}(n, q)$ are written as $\mathbf{P}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ where $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a non-zero element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}$. We have that $\mathbf{P}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ whenever there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$such that $y_{i}=\lambda x_{i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. The number of points of $\mathrm{PG}(n, q)$ is $\theta_{n}(q)=\left(q^{n+1}-1\right) /(q-1)$. The automorphisms (or collineations) of $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$ are the bijective mappings from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}$ to itself that take points to points in such a way that inclusions between subspaces are preserved.

If $q=r^{h}$, the field $\mathbb{F}_{r}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (of index $h$ ). Denote by $\phi_{h}$ : $x \mapsto x^{r}$ the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ which fixes $\mathbb{F}_{r}$. Let $T_{h}$ and $N_{h}$ be the trace and norm maps from $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{r}$, respectively. The trace map is $\mathbb{F}_{r}$-linear and additive, the norm map is $e$-to- 1 from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$onto $\mathbb{F}_{r}^{\times}$, where $e=$ $(q-1) /(r-1)$. A primitive element for $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is a generator of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. It always exists. If $\beta$ is a primitive element for $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ then $\beta^{e}$ is a primitive element for the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{r}$.

Any invertible linear map of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}$ induces a collineation of the projective space $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}\right)=\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$. The group of all such maps is denoted as $\operatorname{PGL}(n+$ $1, q)$. The order of $\operatorname{PGL}(n, q)$ is

$$
q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(q^{i}-1\right)
$$

If bases have been chosen, a linear map can be represented by an $(n+1) \times$ $(n+1)$ matrix. Non-zero scalar multiples of the same linear map induce the same map of projective space. We often ignore this ambiguity and simply speak of the matrix associated to the collineation. The identity collineation is represented by $I_{n+1}$, the $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ identity matrix. If $m(x)=$ $x^{n+1}+c_{n} x^{n}+\ldots+c_{1} x+c_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ and if $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$, then

$$
T_{m}:=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathbf{0}_{n} & -c_{0}  \tag{1}\\
\hline I_{n} & -c^{\top}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a matrix whose characteristic polynomial is $m(x)$ (here, $\mathbf{0}_{n}$ is the all-zero vector of length $n$ ). This matrix describes a collineation of $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$ if and only if $c_{0} \neq 0$. The Frobenius automorphism $\phi_{h}$ induces the collineation

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto \mathbf{P}\left(\phi_{h}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \phi_{h}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Let $\Gamma_{h}=\left\langle\phi_{h}\right\rangle$ and $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{h} \mathrm{~L}(n+1, q)=\operatorname{PGL}(n+1, q) \ltimes \Gamma_{h}$. The order of $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{h} \mathrm{~L}(n, q)$ is

$$
h q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(q^{i}-1\right)
$$

If $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$, the group $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(n+1, q)=\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{e} \mathrm{~L}(n+1, q)$ is known as the projective semilinear group. $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{h} \mathrm{~L}(n+1, q)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(n+1, q)$ of index $e / h$. It is well-known that for $n \geq 2$, the group of automorphisms of $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$ is $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(n+1, q)$. The automorphism group of the projective line $\mathrm{PG}(1, q)$ is defined to be $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(2, q)$. Often, the points of $\mathrm{PG}(1, q)$ are identified with the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ together with the element $\infty$, in such a way that $t \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}(t, 1)$ and $\infty \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}(1,0)$. Under the usual rules for computing with $\infty$, the element

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \\
b & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{PGL}(2, q)
$$

induces the transformation

$$
\varphi_{a, b, c, d}: t \mapsto \frac{a t+c}{b t+d}
$$

of $\mathrm{PG}(1, q)$. Also, the Frobenius automorphism $\phi_{e}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ induces the map (which we have agreed to call automorphism) $t \mapsto \phi_{e}(t)$ of the projective line $\mathrm{PG}(1, q)$ under the identification $t \leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}(t, 1)$. This map fixes $\infty$. The group $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ acts sharply 3 -transitive on the points of $\mathrm{PG}(1, q)$. That is, for any three (distinct) points $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$, there is a unique group element which takes $0,1, \infty$ to $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$, respectively.

A linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$, dimension $k$ and minimum distance $\geq d$ is denoted as $[n, k, \geq d]_{q}$. A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ is given by means of a generator matrix. This is a matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ whose $k$ rows (of length $n$ ) contain a basis of the code. A check matrix is a matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ whose $n-k$ rows (also of length $n$ ) contain a basis of the dual code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, which is the subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of all vectors which are orthogonal to all vectors of $\mathcal{C}$ (using the standard bilinear form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$ ). It is a well known fact (see, for instance [4] 1.3.10) that a linear code has minimum distance at least $d$ if any $d-1$ columns of the check matrix are independent (as vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n-k}$ ). The parity extension of a code $\mathcal{C}$ is the code $\mathcal{C}^{+}$whose codewords are $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}, c_{n+1}\right)$ where $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $c_{n+1}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $[n, k, d]$ code then $\mathcal{C}^{+}$is an $\left[n+1, k, d^{\prime}\right]$ code where $d^{\prime}=d+1$ if $d$ is odd and $d^{\prime}=d$ otherwise. Another construction is as follows. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ be the dual of an $[n, k, d]$ code $\mathcal{C}$. The code $\mathcal{D}$ which is dual to $\left(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}\right)^{+}$is said to be obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by parity extending the dual code. It has length $n+1$, dimension $k+1$ and minimum distance at least $d-1$.

Let $H(n, q)$ be the space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ equipped with the Hamming metric. Write $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$. The monomial group $M_{n}(q)$ is the group of all regular $n \times n$ matrices with exactly one nonzero entry in each row and each column. Let $P_{n}(q)$ be the subgroup of $M_{n}(q)$ consisting of all permutation matrices. Then $M_{n}(q)=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$〈 $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}$, with $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}$ the symmetric group of degree $n$. An element of $M_{n}(q)$ can be described as a pair $(f, \pi)$ where $f:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$is a mapping and $\pi$ is an element of $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}$. The semilinear monomial group $\Gamma M_{n}(q)$ is the group generated by $M_{n}(q)$ and $\Gamma_{e}$. The isometry group of $H(n, q)$ is $\Gamma M_{n}(q)$. The semilinear automorphism group of a code $\mathcal{C}$, denoted $\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(C)$, is the stabilizer of $\mathcal{C}$ in $\Gamma M_{n}(q)$. The monomial automorphism group of $\mathcal{C}$ is $\operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{C})=\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \cap M_{n}(q)$ and the permutation automorphism group of $\mathcal{C}$ is $\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{C})=\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \cap P_{n}(q)$. This is the
notation of [15, p. 26]. Clearly,

$$
\operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})
$$

We say that a code $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under a group $A$ if $A \leq \Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(C)$.
Let $\sigma_{n}=(0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ be an $n$-cycle in $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}$. Let $f_{\gamma}$ be the map from $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$with

$$
f_{\gamma}(i)= \begin{cases}\gamma & \text { if } i=n-1 \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $\gamma \neq 0$, let $\sigma_{\gamma}$ be the element $\left(f_{\gamma}, \sigma_{n}\right) \in M_{n}(q)$. A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is called constacyclic (in the sense of [3]) if it is monomially equivalent to a code $\mathcal{D}$ with $\left\langle\sigma_{\gamma}\right\rangle \leq \operatorname{MAut}(\mathcal{D})$. That is, the code $\mathcal{D}$ has the property that whenever $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ is in $\mathcal{D}$ then $\left(\gamma c_{n-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right)$ is in $\mathcal{D}$ as well. A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is called cyclic if it is permutation equivalent to a code $\mathcal{D}$ with $\left\langle\sigma_{n}\right\rangle \leq \operatorname{PAut}(\mathcal{D})$. That is, a constacyclic code is cyclic if the constant $\gamma$ can be taken to be the unit element 1.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following two results:
Theorem 1 For any prime power $q \geq 3$, there exist constacyclic $\left[q^{2}+1, q^{2}-\right.$ $8, \geq 6]_{q}$ codes. For any even prime power $q \geq 4$, there exist $\left[q^{2}+2, q^{2}-7, \geq\right.$ $6]_{q}$ codes. In both case, the semilinear automorphism group of the codes is $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ is a monomial automorphism group. Among these codes, only those of length $q^{2}+1$ for $q$ even are cyclic. The codes of length $q^{2}+2$ are not the parity extensions in the dual of the corresponding codes of length $q^{2}+1$.

Theorem 2 For any prime power $q \geq 3$, there exist constacyclic $\left[q^{3}+1, q^{3}-\right.$ $7, \geq 5]_{q}$ codes. The semilinear automorphism group of the codes is $\mathrm{P} Г \mathrm{~L}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$, and $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{3} \mathrm{~L}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$ is a monomial automorphism group. The codes are cyclic if and only if $q$ is even.

The following remarks are in order. For undefined terms like cyclotomic sets, see Section 2.

Remark 3 There exist $\left[q^{2}+1, q^{2}-8, \geq 6\right]_{q}$ BCH-codes for $q \geq 4$. Since $q^{4}-1=\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(q^{2}-1\right)$, the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}}$ contains all $\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity. Modulo $q^{2}+1$, the $q$-cyclotomic sets of 0,1 and 2 , respectively, are

$$
\{0\},\{1, q,-1,-q\},\{2,2 q,-2,-2 q\} .
$$

Their union contains the consecutive set $-2,-1,0,1,2$. Therefore, the BCHcode generated by the corresponding roots has length $q^{2}+1$, dimension $q^{2}-$ 8 and minimum distance at least 6. Since BCH-codes are cyclic, the only possible equivalences between the codes of Theorem 1 and BCH-codes is when $q$ is even and $n=q^{2}+1$. The examples in Section 6 will demonstrate, however, that the codes of length 17 and 65 of Theorem 1 are not BCH -codes.

Remark 4 There exist $\left[q^{3}+1,8, q\left(q^{2}-q-1\right)\right]_{q}$ codes $\mathcal{C}(q)$ for any prime power $q$. The experimental data in Section 7 indicates that the duals of the codes of Theorem 2 may have exactly these parameters. The statement is true for $q \leq 7$. The $\left[q^{3}+1,8, q\left(q^{2}-q-1\right)\right]_{q}$ codes arise from the $X$-construction of [22, 19] applied to a class of BCH -codes. In this particular case, the $X$ construction takes two codes $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ where $C_{2}$ is a subcode of $C_{1}$. The parameters of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are $[n, k, d]$ and $[n, k-1, D]$ for $D>d$. Using an auxiliary $[1,1,1]_{q}$ (trivial) code, a $[n+1, k, d+1]$ code is produced. Here, with $n=q^{3}-1, k=8$, and $s=q^{3}-q^{2}-q$, we construct $\mathcal{C}(q)$ from $\mathcal{C}_{1}(q)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}(q)$ which are $[n+1, k, s-1]$ and $[n+1, k-1, s]$ codes (respectively). The codes $\mathcal{C}_{1}(q)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}(q)$ are constructed in turn using the $X$-construction applied to BCH-codes $\mathcal{C}_{3}(q), \mathcal{C}_{4}(q), \mathcal{C}_{5}(q), \mathcal{C}_{6}(q)$, with parameters $[n, k, s-2]$, $[n, k-1, s-1],[n, k-1, s-1],[n, k-2, s]$ (respectively). If $A=\{0\}, B=$ $\left\{1, q, q^{2}\right\}, C=\left\{q+1, q^{2}+q, q^{2}+1\right\}$ and $D=\left\{q^{2}+q+1\right\}$ are $q$-cyclotomic sets modulo $q^{3}-1$, then the last four $B C H$-codes are constructed by using the sets

$$
A \cup B \cup C \cup D, \quad A \cup B \cup C, \quad B \cup C \cup D, \quad B \cup C
$$

(resp.) as exponents of non-roots. The bound on the minimum distance in each of the four cases follows by considering the consecutive sets of roots whose exponents are

$$
\left[0, q^{2}+q+1\right]^{\prime},\left[0, q^{2}+q\right]^{\prime},\left[1, q^{2}+q+1\right]^{\prime},\left[1, q^{2}+q\right]^{\prime}
$$

where we use the convention that $[i, j]$ denotes the interval $\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ and where $[i, j]^{\prime}$ denote the complement of $[i, j]$ in the set $[0, n-1]$. For more
details on these codes, see [5, page 191]. The relationship between the codes $\mathcal{C}(q)$ and the duals of those of Theorem 2 has yet to be examined.

Remark 5 Danev and Olsson [8] have constructed $\left[q^{2}-q+1, q^{2}-q-6,6\right]_{q}$ BCH-codes for $q \geq 4$.

## 2 Some More Notions From Algebra And Geometry

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cyclic code. We can identify the elements of a cyclic code of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with polynomials in the factor space $R_{n, q}:=\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$. Under this identification, the codeword $\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ gets mapped to the polynomial $c_{0}+c_{1} x+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ modulo $x^{n}-1$. It is well-known that the cyclic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ correspond one-to-one to the ideals in $R_{n, q}$. A cyclic code is uniquely described by its generator polynomial, which is the monic polynomial of least degree which generates $\mathcal{C}$ as ideal in $R_{n, q}$. If $g(x)$ is the generator polynomial of $\mathcal{C}$ then $g(x)$ divides $x^{n}-1$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C})=k=n-\operatorname{deg} g(x)$. The zeros of $g(x)$ are $n$-th roots of unity over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The variety of the code, denoted $V(\mathcal{C})$, is the set of all zeros of $g(x)$ in some extension field.

A $q$-cyclotomic set modulo $n$ is a set of integers modulo $n$ of the form $\left\{q^{i} a \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Let $\xi$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. If $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ is a $q$-cyclotomic set modulo $n$ then

$$
m_{a_{1}}\left(=m_{a_{2}}=\cdots=m_{a_{r}}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(x-\xi^{a_{i}}\right)
$$

is the minimum polynomial of $\xi^{a_{1}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In particular, it is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$, and no nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ of smaller degree divides $m_{a_{1}}$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$ with generator polynomial $g(x)$, the factorization of $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ corresponds to the unique way in which the variety of $\mathcal{C}$ can be written as disjoint subsets. Each irreducible factor of $g(x)$ contributes one set of $n$-th roots of unity, the exponents of which form one $q$-cyclotomic set.

The dual code of a cyclic code is cyclic with generator polynomial $\overleftarrow{h}$ $(x)$, where $h(x)=\left(x^{n}-1\right) / g(x)$ and $\overleftarrow{h}(x)=x^{\operatorname{deg} h(x)} h\left(x^{-1}\right)$ is the reverse polynomial of $h(x)$.

Let $m(x)$ be a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ of degree $d>1$. The subexponent of $m(x)$ is the smallest positive integer $s=\operatorname{Subexp}(m)$ for which there exists an element $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $m(x)$ divides $x^{s}-c$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$. The element $c$ is known as the integral element of $m(x)$ (cf. [13, 14, 4]). The exponent of $m(x)$ is the smallest positive integer $e=\operatorname{Exp}(m)$ for which $m(x)$ divides $x^{e}-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$. If $\beta$ is a root of $m(x)$ in some extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the subexponent $s$ is the order of $\beta \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$in the factor group $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$and $\beta^{s}=c$, the integral element. In particular, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Subexp }(m)=\frac{\operatorname{Exp}(m)}{\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, \operatorname{Exp}(m))} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynomial $m(x)$ is primitive if $\operatorname{Exp}(m)=q^{d}-1$. If $\beta$ is a root of $m(x)$ in some extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $m(x)$ is primitive precisely if $\beta$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, i.e. a generator for the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. The polynomial $m(x)$ is subprimitive if $\operatorname{Subexp}(m)=\theta_{d-1}(q)$. Let $\mathcal{R}(d, q)$ be the set of monic subprimitive polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ and let $R(d, q)=|\mathcal{R}(d, q)|$ be their number. Subprimitive polynomials are important because of the following result due to Hirschfeld [13].

Lemma 6 A linear collineation of $\mathrm{PG}(n, q)$ is cyclic (i.e., permutes the $\theta_{n}(q)$ points of $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$ in one cycle) if and only if the characteristic polynomial of an associated matrix is subprimitive. The number $R(d, q)$ of subprimitive polynomials of degree d over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is

$$
R(d, q)=(q-1) \frac{\Phi\left(\theta_{d-1}(q)\right)}{d}
$$

where $\Phi$ is Euler's totient function.

Let $\mathcal{R}_{c}(d, q)$ be the set of subprimitive polynomials of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with integral element $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $R_{c}(d, q)=\left|\mathcal{R}_{c}(d, q)\right|$ be the number of those polynomials. The class of polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{1}(2, q)$ is of particular interest when analyzing whether or not a code is cyclic. Therefore we determine the values of the counting function $R_{c}(d, q)$.

Lemma 7 Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element for the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let

$$
\theta_{d-1}(q)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}^{e_{i}}
$$

be the factorization of $\theta_{d-1}(q)$ into powers of distinct primes. Then

$$
R_{\alpha^{i}}(d, q)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{d}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ p_{j} \mid q-1}}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) \cdot \Phi\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ p_{j} \neq 1}}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}\left(i, q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)=1, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The function $R_{\alpha^{i}}(d, q)$ is periodic in $i$ with period $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)$. The non-zero function values depend only on $d$ and $q$, but not on $i$. If $d=2$, we have

$$
R_{c}(2, q)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \Phi(q+1) & \text { for all } c \text { if } q \text { is even } \\ \Phi(q+1) & \text { if } q \text { is odd and } c \text { is a nonsquare in } \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ 0 & \text { if } q \text { is odd and } c \text { is a nonzero square in } \mathbb{F}_{q} .\end{cases}
$$

In particular,

$$
R_{1}(2, q)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \Phi(q+1) & \text { if } q \text { is even } \\ 0 & \text { if } q \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{\times}=\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n} \mid \operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=1\right\} .
$$

Let $\beta$ be a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$. We may assume that $\alpha=\beta^{\theta_{d-1}(q)}$ is the primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ mentioned in the statement. Define $g:=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)$. Let

$$
S_{d, q}=\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^{d}-1} \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(i, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)=1\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{q^{d}-1}
$$

be the set of exponents of subprimitive elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$. From the properties of the gcd, it follows that $S_{d, q}$ is the union of all cosets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times}+j \theta_{d-1}(q)=\left\{x+j \theta_{d-1}(q) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coset representatives $j$ are chosen from $\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$. Let

$$
R_{i, n}=\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \equiv i \quad \bmod n\}
$$

be the set of integers which are congruent to $i \bmod n$. The equation

$$
\left(\beta^{k(q-1)+i}\right)^{\theta_{d-1}(q)}=\beta^{k(q-1) \theta_{d-1}(q)+i \theta_{d-1}(q)}=\left(\beta^{\theta_{d-1}(q)}\right)^{i}=\alpha^{i}
$$

shows that the power map

$$
x \mapsto x^{\theta_{d-1}(q)}
$$

is $\theta_{d-1}(q)$ to 1 from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}^{\times}$to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. The set of exponents of all preimages of $\alpha^{i}$ is

$$
\left\{k(q-1)+i \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}\right\}=R_{i, q-1} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{q^{d}-1},
$$

which is a set of size $\left(q^{d}-1\right) /(q-1)=\theta_{d-1}(q)$.
Next, we determine the number of subprimitive elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{d}}$ with integral element $\alpha^{i}$, which is the size of the set $S_{d, q} \cap R_{i, q-1}$. Let

$$
O_{i}=\left\{i+k(q-1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}\right\}
$$

denote the orbit of $i$ under the additive action of $(q-1) \mathbb{Z}$ on the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|O_{i}\right|=\frac{\theta_{d-1}(q)}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)}=\frac{\theta_{d-1}(q)}{g} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two orbits $O_{i}$ and $O_{j}$ coincide if and only if $i \equiv j \bmod (q-1) / g$.
We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{d, q} \cap R_{i, q-1}\right|=g\left|\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i}\right|, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, consider the mapping

$$
\varphi: S_{d, q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times}, x \mapsto x \quad \bmod \theta_{d-1}(q)
$$

Let $x \in S_{d, q} \cap R_{i, q-1}$, i.e. $x=k(q-1)+i$ for some integer $k$. Since $x \in S_{d, q}$, it follows from (3) that there is an element $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}$ and some coset representative $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ such that $x=h+j \theta_{d-1}(q)$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(q-1)+i=x=h+j \theta_{d-1}(q) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equation shows that $\varphi(x) \in O_{i}$, the second equation shows that $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times}$. That is, $\varphi$ is well-defined. It follows from (6) that $h-i \equiv 0$ $\bmod g$. That is, $h-i=g m$ for some integer $m$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(q-1)+i & \equiv h \bmod \theta_{d-1}(q) \\
\Longleftrightarrow k(q-1) & \equiv h-i \bmod \theta_{d-1}(q) \\
\Longleftrightarrow k a & \equiv m \bmod b
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are integers such that $(q-1)=g a$ and $\theta_{d-1}(q)=g b$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, the last equation has a unique solution. Therefore, the equation $k(q-1) \equiv h-i \bmod \theta_{d-1}(q)$ has exactly $g$ solutions. This shows that $\varphi$ is a $g$ to 1 map from $S_{d, q} \cap R_{i, q-1}$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i}$. In particular, the size of the former set is $g$ times the size of the latter set, which proves (5).

It remains to compute the size of the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i}$. For this, recall that $\theta_{d-1}(q)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} p_{i}^{e_{i}}$ and hence

$$
x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \Longleftrightarrow x_{i} \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p_{i} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r
$$

Let us introduce some notation. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$
Z_{x}=\left\{p_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r, x \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p_{j}\right\}
$$

and

$$
N_{x}=\left\{p_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r, x \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p_{j}\right\}
$$

so that $N_{x} \cup Z_{x}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$. Observe that $\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i}=\emptyset$ if $Z_{i} \cap Z_{q-1} \neq \emptyset$. Namely, if $p_{j} \in Z_{i} \cap Z_{q-1}$ then an arbitrary element $i+k(q-1) \in O_{i}$ satisfies $i+k(q-1) \equiv 0 \bmod p_{j}$. The condition $Z_{i} \cap Z_{q-1} \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to the condition $\operatorname{gcd}\left(i, q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right) \neq 1$. Otherwise, the number of elements $x=i+k(q-1)$ for which $x \not \equiv 0 \bmod p_{j}$ for all $j$ can be computed as follows. First note that $p_{j} \in Z_{q-1}$ implies $p_{j} \notin Z_{i}$, i.e. $i+k(q-1) \equiv i \not \equiv 0 \bmod p_{j}$ for all $k$. That is, the condition $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i}$ reduces to $x \not \equiv 0 \bmod p_{j}$ for all $p_{j} \in N_{q-1}$. Let $A_{j}$ be the set of $x \in O_{i}$ with $x \equiv 0 \bmod p_{j}$. For any subset $I$ of $N_{q-1}$, let

$$
A_{I}=\bigcap_{p_{j} \in N_{q-1}} A_{j}
$$

with the convention that $A_{\emptyset}=O_{i}$. Notice that

$$
\left|A_{I}\right|=\frac{\left|O_{i}\right|}{\prod_{p_{j} \in I} p_{j}}=\frac{\theta_{d-1}(q)}{g \prod_{p_{j} \in I} p_{j}},
$$

using (4). Then

$$
x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i} \Longleftrightarrow x \notin A_{j} \text { for all } p_{j} \in N_{q-1} .
$$

The Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion implies that the number of elements in $O_{i}$ which are in none of the $A_{i}$ (but in $A_{\emptyset}$ ) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\sum_{I \subseteq N_{q-1}}^{\left|\mathbb{Z}_{\theta_{d-1}(q)}^{\times} \cap O_{i}\right|} \\
&= \sum_{I \subseteq N_{q-1}}(-1)^{|I|}\left|A_{I}\right| \\
&=\frac{1}{g} \prod_{p_{j} \in Z_{q-1}} p_{j}^{e_{j}} \sum_{I \subseteq N_{q-1}}(-1)^{|I|} \frac{\theta_{d-1}(q)}{\prod_{p_{j} \in I} p_{j}} \\
&=\frac{1}{g}\left(\prod_{p_{j} \in N_{q-1}} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right. \\
& p_{p_{j} \in I} p_{j}
\end{aligned} \sum_{I \subseteq Z_{q-1}}(-1)^{|I|}\left(\prod_{p_{j} \in N_{q-1}} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right)\left(\prod_{p_{j} \in N_{q-1}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{j}}\right)\right)
$$

In the last step we made use of the well-known formula

$$
\Phi(n)=n\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{r}}\right),
$$

for any integer $n=\prod_{j=1}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}$. From (5) it follows that

$$
\left|S_{d, q} \cap R_{i, q-1}\right|= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}\left(i, q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right) \neq 1 \\ \left(\prod_{p_{j} \in Z_{q-1}} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) \Phi\left(\prod_{p_{j} \in N_{q-1}} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since any polynomial in $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha^{i}}(d, q)$ corresponds to exactly $d$ subprimitive elements we have proved that

$$
\left|R_{\alpha^{i}}(d, q)\right|= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \operatorname{gcd}\left(i, q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right) \neq 1 \\ \frac{1}{d}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ p_{j} \mid q-1}}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) \Phi\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ p_{j} \dagger q-1}}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Consider now the case $d=2$. Then $\theta_{d-1}(q)=q+1$. We distinguish cases according to the parity of $q$. If $q$ is even, then $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, q+1)=1$, which implies that the second clause always happens. Also, the first product is empty for the same reason. Thus $R_{\alpha^{i}}(2, q)=\frac{1}{2} \Phi(q+1)$. If $q$ is odd, then $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, q+1)=2$. In particular, 2 is one of the prime factors of $q+1$ and we may assume than $p_{1}=2$. For $i$ odd (i.e., for $\alpha^{i}$ a nonsquare in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ) we have

$$
R_{\alpha^{i}}(2, q)=\frac{1}{2} 2^{e_{1}} \Phi\left(\prod_{j=2}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right)=\Phi\left(2^{e_{1}}\right) \Phi\left(\prod_{j=2}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right)=\Phi(q+1) .
$$

For $i$ even (i.e., for $\alpha^{i}$ a nonzero square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ), we have $R_{\alpha^{i}}(2, q)=0$.
Example 8 Tab. 1 displays the values of the counting function $R_{c}(d, q)$ for small d and $q$. The entry in the table shows the value of $R_{c}(d, q)$ for some c for which $R_{c}(d, q) \neq 0$. The period $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q-1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)$ is indicated in the subscript.

Remark 9 The fact that each subprimitive polynomial in $\mathcal{R}(d, q)$ has exactly one integral element in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$allows for an independent check of Lemma 7 using Lemma 6. Namely, we can check whether $\sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} R_{c}(d, q)=R(d, q)$. Since $R_{c}(d, q)$ is periodic in the exponent $i$ of $c=\alpha^{i}$ with period $g=\operatorname{gcd}(q-$ $\left.1, \theta_{d-1}(q)\right)$ and since all nonzero values of $R_{c}(d, q)$ for fixed $d$ and $q$ are the same, we get the condition that

$$
\Phi(g) \frac{q-1}{g} \cdot \frac{1}{d}\left(\prod_{p_{j} \mid q-1} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right) \Phi\left(\prod_{p_{j} \nmid q-1} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right)=(q-1) \frac{\Phi\left(\prod_{p_{j}} p_{j}^{e_{j}}\right)}{d}
$$

where as before we have $\theta_{d-1}(q)=\prod_{j=1}^{r} p_{j}^{e_{j}}$. This last condition is easily seen to hold true.

In $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$, a regular oval is a nondegenerate conic, i.e. the zero set of a homogeneous polynomial in three variables, which cannot be transformed into a polynomial with fewer variables. It is a set of $q+1$ points, no three collinear. By a theorem of Qvist [21], if $q$ is even, an oval determines a unique point called nucleus. When added to the oval, a set of $q+2$ points results which still has no three points collinear. Such a set is called a regular

| $R_{c}(d, q)$ | $d=2$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $q=2$ | $1_{1}$ | $2_{1}$ | $2_{1}$ | $6_{1}$ | $6_{1}$ | $18_{1}$ |
| 3 | $2_{2}$ | $4_{1}$ | $8_{2}$ | $22_{1}$ | $48_{2}$ | $156_{1}$ |
| 4 | $2_{1}$ | $6_{3}$ | $16_{1}$ | $60_{1}$ | $144_{3}$ | $756_{1}$ |
| 5 | $2_{2}$ | $10_{1}$ | $24_{4}$ | $140_{1}$ | $360_{2}$ | $2790_{1}$ |
| 7 | $4_{2}$ | $18_{3}$ | $80_{2}$ | $560_{1}$ | $3024_{6}$ | $18928_{1}$ |
| 8 | $3_{1}$ | $24_{1}$ | $72_{1}$ | $900_{1}$ | $3888_{1}$ | $42336_{7}$ |
| 9 | $4_{2}$ | $24_{1}$ | $160_{4}$ | $1320_{1}$ | $6912_{2}$ | $85176_{1}$ |
| 11 | $4_{2}$ | $36_{1}$ | $240_{2}$ | $3220_{5}$ | $15552_{2}$ | $271908_{1}$ |
| 13 | $6_{2}$ | $60_{3}$ | $384_{4}$ | $6188_{1}$ | $56160_{6}$ | $747006_{1}$ |
| 16 | $8_{1}$ | $72_{3}$ | $1024_{1}$ | $12000_{5}$ | $138240_{3}$ | $2370816_{1}$ |
| 17 | $6_{2}$ | $102_{1}$ | $672_{4}$ | $17748_{1}$ | $132192_{2}$ | $3663738_{1}$ |
| 19 | $8_{2}$ | $126_{3}$ | $1440_{2}$ | $27300_{1}$ | $296352_{6}$ | $7084000_{1}$ |
| 23 | $8_{2}$ | $156_{1}$ | $1664_{2}$ | $58512_{1}$ | $584064_{2}$ | $21346864_{1}$ |
| 25 | $12_{2}$ | $180_{3}$ | $3744_{4}$ | $72800_{1}$ | $1296000_{6}$ | $34997760_{1}$ |
| 27 | $12_{2}$ | $252_{1}$ | $3456_{2}$ | $100320_{1}$ | $1959552_{2}$ | $57421728_{1}$ |
| 29 | $8_{2}$ | $264_{1}$ | $3360_{4}$ | $146508_{1}$ | $1710720_{2}$ | $88009572_{7}$ |
| 31 | $16_{2}$ | $330_{3}$ | $6912_{2}$ | $173500_{5}$ | $3991680_{6}$ | $131012448_{1}$ |

Table 1: The function $R_{c}(d, q)$
hyperoval. It is known (see [14], Corollary 7.14, for example) that the automorphism group of the regular oval and the regular hyperoval is $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(2, q)$. If $q>4$, this group fixes the nucleus. The only cyclic automorphisms of the regular oval are the Singer-cycles. These arise from cyclic collineations of $\mathrm{PG}(1, q)$ as described by Lemma 6. Their associated matrix has a subprimitive characteristic polynomial. Conversely, any polynomial in $\mathcal{R}(2, q)$ gives rise to a collineation acting transitively on the regular oval in $\mathrm{PG}(2, q)$.

For elements $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ in a field, we denote the $k \times k$ Vandermonde matrix by

$$
V_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1  \tag{7}\\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{k} \\
\alpha_{1}^{2} & \alpha_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{k}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\alpha_{1}^{k-1} & \alpha_{2}^{k-1} & \cdots & \alpha_{k}^{k-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 3 The Twisted Tensor Product

Let $V=V_{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space over a field $F$, with basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. The $h$-fold tensor product $\otimes_{h} V=V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ has a basis consisting of the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{h}}=a_{i_{1}} \otimes a_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i_{h}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. From now on, let $F=\mathbb{F}_{q^{h}}$ be a finite field and let $V_{n}=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space over $F$. Define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{h}: V_{n} \rightarrow \otimes_{h} V_{n}, x \mapsto x \otimes \phi_{h}(x) \otimes \phi_{h}^{2}(x) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_{h}^{h-1}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The induced mapping between the corresponding projective spaces is denoted by the same symbol:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{h}: \mathbf{P}\left(V_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\otimes_{h} V_{n}\right): \mathbf{P}(x) \mapsto \mathbf{P}\left(\iota_{h}(x)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 10 Let $V=V_{3}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{3}$, with basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, and $x=(a, b, c) \in V$. We may choose bases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=b_{1,1}, c_{2}=b_{2,2}, c_{3}=b_{3,3}, \\
& c_{4}=b_{1,2}, c_{5}=b_{2,1}, \\
& c_{6}=b_{1,3}, c_{7}=b_{3,1}, \\
& c_{8}=b_{2,3}, c_{9}=b_{3,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\otimes_{2} V$. Then $\iota_{2}$ is the following mapping from $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ to $\operatorname{PG}\left(8, q^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{2}(\mathbf{P}(a, b, c))=\mathbf{P}\left(a^{q+1}, b^{q+1}, c^{q+1}, a b^{q}, a^{q} b, a c^{q}, a^{q} c, b c^{q}, b^{q} c\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 11 Consider the projective line $\operatorname{PG}\left(1, q^{3}\right)=\mathcal{P}(V)$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$. Let $V=V_{2}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}^{2}$, with basis $a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $x=(a, b) \in V$. We may choose bases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=b_{1,1,1}, c_{2}=b_{2,2,2}, \\
& c_{3}=b_{1,1,2}, c_{4}=b_{1,2,1}, c_{5}=b_{2,1,1}, \\
& c_{6}=b_{1,2,2}, c_{7}=b_{2,2,1}, c_{8}=b_{2,1,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\otimes_{3} V$. Then $\iota_{3}$ is the following mapping from $\operatorname{PG}\left(1, q^{3}\right)$ to $\operatorname{PG}\left(7, q^{3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\iota_{3}(\mathbf{P}(a, b))=\mathbf{P}\left(a^{q^{2}+q+1}, b^{q^{2}+q+1}, a^{q^{2}+q} b, a^{q^{2}+1} b^{q}\right. \\
\left.a^{q+1} b^{q^{2}}, a^{q^{2}} b^{q+1}, a b^{q^{2}+q}, a^{q} b^{q^{2}+1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

As a general reference for twisted tensor products, see [23] or [2]. More recently, the twisted tensor product has been considered in [7] and in connection with the study of large arcs [10].

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. (of Theorem 1) Let $V=V_{3}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{3}$ with basis $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a regular oval in $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(V_{3}\right)$, i.e. a nondegenerate conic. Up to projective equivalence, we may assume that $\mathcal{O}$ consists of the points

$$
\mathcal{O}=\left\{\mathbf{P}\left(1, t, t^{2}\right) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right\} \cup\{\mathbf{P}(0,0,1)\} .
$$

Consider the image of the regular oval $\mathcal{O}$ as defined above under the mapping $\iota_{2}$ as defined in Example 10. Put

$$
m_{t}:=\iota_{2}\left(1, t, t^{2}\right)=\left(1, t^{q+1}, t^{2 q+2}, t^{q}, t, t^{2 q}, t^{2}, t^{2 q+1}, t^{q+2}\right)
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ and

$$
m_{\infty}=\iota_{2}(0,0,1)=(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
$$

Let $n=q^{2}+1$. Let $M$ be the $9 \times n$ matrix whose columns are the $m_{t}, t \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ together with $m_{\infty}$. The matrix $M$ is unique up to right-multiplication by an invertible diagonal matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ (we assume that we have placed an ordering on the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ and that the columns of $M$ are arranged in the corresponding order).

Let $\beta$ be an element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}$, and consider the invertible matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\beta}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1,1,1, V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right), V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right), V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right)\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right)\binom{t^{q}}{t} & =\binom{T_{2}(t)}{T_{2}(\beta t)} \\
V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right)\binom{t^{2 q}}{t^{2}} & =\binom{T_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)}{T_{2}\left(\beta t^{2}\right)} \\
V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right)\binom{2 q+1}{t^{q+2}} & =\binom{T_{2}\left(t^{q+2}\right)}{T_{2}\left(\beta t^{q+2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

are all in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ (recall that $T_{2}$ is the relative trace from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t}^{\top} & :=C_{\beta} m_{t}^{\top} \\
& =\left(1, t^{q+1}, t^{2 q+2}, T_{2}(t), T_{2}(\beta t), T_{2}\left(t^{2}\right), T_{2}\left(\beta t^{2}\right), T_{2}\left(t^{q+2}\right), T_{2}\left(\beta t^{q+2}\right)\right)^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

is in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{9}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. Notice that $h_{0}^{\top}=m_{0}^{\top}$. Also

$$
h_{\infty}^{\top}:=C_{\beta} m_{\infty}^{\top}=m_{\infty}^{\top}
$$

Let $H=C_{\beta} \cdot M$ be the $9 \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ whose columns are the $h_{t}^{\top}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, together with $h_{\infty}^{\top}$. Then $H$ is a parity check matrix of an $[n, n-9]=\left[q^{2}+\right.$ $\left.1, q^{2}-8\right]$ code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Furthermore, we claim that any 5 columns of $H$ are linearly independent. Since $C$ is invertible, we may prove this by showing that any 5 columns of $M$ are linearly independent. Since PGL $\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ acts triply transitively on the points of $\mathcal{O}$, we may assume that the chosen columns are $m_{\infty}, m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{s}, m_{t}$, i.e., the rows of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & s^{q+1} & s^{2 q+2} & s^{q} & s & s^{2 q} & s^{2} & s^{2 q+1} & s^{q+2} \\
1 & t^{q+1} & t^{2 q+2} & t^{q} & t & t^{2 q} & t^{2} & t^{2 q+1} & t^{q+2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $s$ and $t$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \backslash\{0,1\}$. Restricting to columns $1,2,3,4,9$ yields the submatrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & s^{q+1} & s^{2 q+2} & s^{q} & s^{q+2} \\
1 & t^{q+1} & t^{2 q+2} & t^{q} & t^{q+2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Expanding the determinant of this matrix along the first two rows leads to

$$
-\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
s^{q+1} & s^{q} & s^{q+2} \\
t^{q+1} & t^{q} & t^{q+2}
\end{array}\right|=-s^{q} t^{q}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
s & 1 & s^{2} \\
t & 1 & t^{2}
\end{array}\right|=\left|V_{3}(1, s, t)\right| \neq 0 .
$$

This shows that the 5 vectors are independent. Hence $H$ is the parity check matrix of a linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $q^{2}+1$, dimension $q^{2}-8$ and minimum distance at least 6 .

In order to prove the statement on the automorphism group of these codes, we let $\mathcal{D}$ be the $\left[q^{2}+1, q^{2}-8\right]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ generated by $M$. Recall that $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(2, q)$ is the full automorphism group of the regular oval in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$. We consider an element $\varphi_{a, b, c, d}: t \mapsto \frac{a t+c}{b t+d}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ where $a d-b c \neq 0$. Let $x=\iota_{2}(t)$ and $y=\iota_{2}\left(\varphi_{a, b, c, d}(t)\right)$. For sake of simplicity, write $A$ for $a t+c$ and $B$ for $b t+d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(y)= & \mathbf{P}\left(1,\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q+1},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{2 q+2},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{2 q},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{2},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{2 q+1},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q+2}\right) \\
= & \mathbf{P}\left(B^{2 q+2}, A^{q+1} B^{q+1}, A^{2 q+2}, A^{q} B^{q+2}, A B^{2 q+1},\right. \\
& \left.A^{2 q} B^{2}, A^{2} B^{2 q}, A^{2 q+1} B, A^{q+2} B^{q}\right) \\
= & \mathbf{P}(x \cdot R(a, b, c, d)),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $R(a, b, c, d)$ as in Table 2. The matrix $R(a, b, c, d)$ corresponding to $\varphi_{a, b, c, d}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ acts as automorphism of the code $\mathcal{D}$. Namely, we have

$$
R(a, b, c, d)^{\top} \cdot M \cdot X_{a, b, c, d}=M
$$

for some monomial matrix $X_{a, b, c, d} \in M_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$. Conjugating the matrix $R(a, b, c, d)$ by the matrix $C_{\beta}$ yields

$$
U(a, b, c, d, \beta)=C_{\beta} \cdot R(a, b, c, d)^{\top} \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1}
$$

It follows from the theory of twisted tensor products that the matrix $U$ is over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For this, we refer to $[2,26.3]$, or to $[7,2.2]$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(a, b, c, d, \beta) \cdot H \cdot X_{a, b, c, d} & =C_{\beta} \cdot R(a, b, c, d) \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot C_{\beta} \cdot M \cdot X_{a, b, c, d} \\
& =C_{\beta} \cdot M=H
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\quad X_{a, b, c, d}$ is an automorphism of the code $\mathcal{C}$. If $q=p^{e}$, the Frobenius automorphism $\phi_{e}$ is a semilinear code automorphism. The automorphism group cannot be larger since it is known that $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ is the automorphism group of the regular oval. This shows that $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(2, q^{2}\right)=\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ and that $\mathcal{C}$ is constacyclic.

Let us now investigate which automorphisms are monomial automorphisms. Consider the block diagonal matrix

$$
S=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,1, J, J, J)
$$



Table 2: The matrix $R(a, b, c, d)$
where

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Left multiplying $S$ (or $S^{\top}$ ) is the same as applying $\phi_{2}$ to the matrix $M$, i.e.

$$
S^{\top} \cdot M \cdot P=\phi_{2}(M) \cdot P=M
$$

for some permutation matrix $P$. Conjugating $S^{\top}$ by the matrix $C_{\beta}$ yields

$$
V=C_{\beta} \cdot S^{\top} \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,1, K, K, K)
$$

where $K$ is the $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
K=V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right) \cdot J \cdot V_{2}\left(\beta^{q}, \beta\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
T_{2}(\beta) & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (since all entries are norm values in the subfield). Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V \cdot H \cdot P & =C_{\beta} \cdot S^{\top} \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot C_{\beta} \cdot M \cdot P \\
& =C_{\beta} \cdot M=H
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P$ is the permutation matrix defined previously. Since $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}$ generate $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{2} \mathrm{~L}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, this is a monomial automorphism group of the code $\mathcal{C}$.

Next we prove that the codes of length $q^{2}+1$ are cyclic if and only if $q$ is even. By Lemma 6, the only automorphisms which permute the elements of $\mathrm{PG}\left(1, q^{2}\right)$ cyclically (i.e., in one orbit of size $q^{2}+1$ ) are those whose associated matrix has a subprimitive characteristic polynomial. Namely, if $m(x):=x^{2}+$ $c_{1} x+c_{0}$ is subprimitive of degree 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, the associated cyclic collineation is $\mathcal{S}:=\varphi_{0,1,-c_{0},-c_{1}}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$. Since $m(x)$ is subprimitive, $\mathcal{S}^{i}=c I_{2}, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ forces $i=\operatorname{Subexp}(m)$. In order to induce a cyclic permutation automorphism of the code, we must have $m(x) \in \mathcal{R}_{1}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 7 that $\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(2, q^{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ precisely if $q$ is even. This finishes the proof for the family of codes of length $q^{2}+1$.

If $q$ is even, we may consider the regular hyperoval $\mathcal{O}^{*}$ which is obtained by adding to $\mathcal{O}$ the nucleus $\mathbf{P}(0,1,0)$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}^{*}=\mathcal{O} \cup\{\mathbf{P}(0,1,0)\}$. Let $n=q^{2}+2$. Consider the $9 \times n$ matrix $M$ whose columns are the $m_{t}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ together with $m_{\infty}$ and $m_{*}$, where

$$
m_{*}=\iota_{2}(0,1,0)=(0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)
$$

Let

$$
h_{*}^{\top}:=C_{\beta} m_{*}^{\top}=m_{*}^{\top},
$$

and form the $9 \times n$ matrix $H=C_{\beta} \cdot M$ which is over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and whose columns are $h_{t}^{\top}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, together with $h_{\infty}^{\top}$ and $h_{*}^{\top}$. We need to show that any 5 columns of $H$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Consider any 5 -set of columns. Since the columns not containing $h_{*}^{\top}$ have already been shown to satisfy the property, we may assume that $h_{*}$ is among the 5 chosen columns. Since the stabilizer of the nucleus in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ is still doubly transitive on $\mathcal{O}$, we may assume that the 5 vectors are $m_{*}, m_{\infty}, m_{0}, m_{s}, m_{t}$, i.e., the rows of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & s^{q+1} & s^{2 q+2} & s^{q} & s & s^{2 q} & s^{2} & s^{2 q+1} & s^{q+2} \\
1 & t^{q+1} & t^{2 q+2} & t^{q} & t & t^{2 q} & t^{2} & t^{2 q+1} & t^{q+2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $s$ and $t$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}$. Restricting to columns $1,2,3,5,7$ yields the submatrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & s^{q+1} & s^{2 q+2} & s & s^{2} \\
1 & t^{q+1} & t^{2 q+2} & t & t^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Expanding the determinant of this matrix gives

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
s & s^{2} \\
t & t^{2}
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

This shows that $H$ is the check matrix of a $\left[q^{2}+2, q^{2}-7, \geq 6\right]_{q}$ code. The automorphism group of this code contains the automorphism of the corresponding code of length $q^{2}+1$ examined previously. The group is embedded as the stabilizer of the coordinate corresponding to the nucleus. Since $q^{2}>4$, the nucleus is fixed and hence the code is not cyclic.

It remains to show that the codes of length $q^{2}+2$ are not the parity extensions in the dual of the corresponding codes of length $q^{2}+1$. To do this,
we show that $\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} m_{t}=0$. Since $m_{*} \neq m_{\infty}$, this implies the statement. Note that

$$
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} t=0(\text { if } q>2), \quad \sum_{\substack{t, s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} \\ t \neq s}} t s=0(\text { if } q>3),
$$

and

$$
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} N_{2}(t)=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{q+1}=(q+1) \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} t=0(\text { if } q>2),
$$

the last equation because $N_{2}(0)=0$ and because the $N_{2}$ map is $(q+1)$ to 1 from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}$to $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. Since $q=2^{e}$ is even, the mapping $\phi_{e}: t \mapsto t^{2}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} N_{2}\left(t^{2}\right) & =\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} N_{2}(t)=0, \\
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2} & =\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{q} & =\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t\right)^{q}=0 \\
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2 q} & =\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2}\right)^{q}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, with $\beta$ a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, and $\beta^{q+1}$ a primitive element of the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q}$,

$$
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}} t^{q+2}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}} N_{2}(t) t=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} s\left(\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times} \\ N_{2}(t)=s}} t\right)
$$

If $s=\beta^{(q+1) j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$and $t=\beta^{i}$, the condition

$$
\beta^{(q+1) j}=s=N_{2}(t)=t^{q+1}=\beta^{(q+1) i}
$$

translates into the following condition for the exponents

$$
(q+1) j \equiv(q+1) i \quad \bmod q^{2}-1
$$

which holds if and only if $j \equiv i \bmod q-1$. Therefore, we continue

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \beta^{(q+1) j} \sum_{\substack{i=\bmod q^{2}-1 \\
i=j \\
\bmod q-1}} \beta^{i} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \beta^{(q+1) j} \sum_{k=0}^{q} \beta^{j+k(q-1)} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \beta^{(q+1) j} \beta^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{q}\left(\beta^{q-1}\right)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \beta^{(q+1) j} \beta^{j} \frac{\left(\beta^{q-1}\right)^{q+1}-1}{\beta^{q-1}-1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus also

$$
\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}} t^{2 q+1}=\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}} t^{q+2}\right)^{q}=0 .
$$

Using the shorthand notation $\nu_{i}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} \nu_{t, i}$ with $\nu_{t, i}$ the coefficient of $c_{i}$ in $m_{t}=\iota_{2}\left(1, t, t^{2}\right)$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{1}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} 1=0 \\
& \nu_{2}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{q+1}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} N_{2}(t)=0 \\
& \nu_{3}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2 q+2}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} N_{2}\left(t^{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{4}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{q}=0, \quad \nu_{5}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t=0, \quad \nu_{6}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2 q}=0, \\
& \nu_{7}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2}=0, \quad \nu_{8}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{2 q+1}=0, \quad \nu_{9}=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} t^{q+2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. (of Theorem 2) Let $V=V_{2}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}^{2}$ with basis $a_{1}, a_{2}$. Consider the projective line $\operatorname{PG}\left(1, q^{3}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(V_{2}\right)$. Let $n=\theta_{1}\left(q^{3}\right)=q^{3}+1$. Using the map $\iota_{3}$ and the ordering of basis vectors as in Example 1, we obtain

$$
m_{t}:=\iota_{3}(1, t)=\left(1, t^{q^{2}+q+1}, t, t^{q}, t^{q^{2}}, t^{q+1}, t^{q^{2}+q}, t^{q^{2}+1}\right)
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ and

$$
m_{\infty}=\iota_{3}(0,1)=(0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0) .
$$

Let $M$ be the $8 \times n$ matrix whose columns are the $m_{t}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ together with $m_{\infty}$. The matrix $M$ is unique up to right-multiplication by an invertible diagonal matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ (we assume that we have placed an ordering on the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ and that the columns of $M$ are arranged in the corresponding order).

Let $\beta$ be an element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}$, and consider the invertible matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\beta}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1,1, L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=V_{3}\left(\beta, \beta^{q}, \beta^{q^{2}}\right) \\
& \left.L_{2}=V_{3}\left(\beta^{q+1}, \beta^{q^{2}+q}, \beta^{q^{2}+1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
t \\
t^{q} \\
t^{q^{2}}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{3}(t) \\
T_{3}(\beta t) \\
T_{3}\left(\beta^{2} t\right)
\end{array}\right), \\
L_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
t^{q+1} \\
t^{q^{2}+q} \\
t^{q^{2}+1}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{3}\left(t^{q+1}\right) \\
T_{3}\left((\beta t)^{q+1}\right) \\
T_{3}\left(\left(\beta^{2} t\right)^{q+1}\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are all in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}\left(\right.$ recall that $T_{3}$ is the relative trace from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ to $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{t}^{\top}:= & C_{\beta} m_{t}^{\top} \\
= & \left(1, t^{q^{2}+q+1}, T_{3}(t), T_{3}(\beta t), T_{3}\left(\beta^{2} t\right),\right. \\
& \quad T_{3}\left(t^{q+1}\right), T_{3}\left((\beta t)^{q+1}, T_{3}\left(\left(\beta^{2} t\right)^{q+1}\right)\right)^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

is in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{8}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. Notice that $h_{0}^{\top}=m_{0}^{\top}$ and $h_{\infty}^{\top}:=C_{\beta} m_{\infty}^{\top}=m_{\infty}^{\top}$. Let $H=C_{\beta} \cdot M$ be the $8 \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ whose columns are the $h_{t}^{\top}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, together with $h_{\infty}^{\top}$. Then $H$ is a parity check matrix of an $[n, n-8]$ code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Furthermore, we claim that any 4 columns of $H$ are linearly independent. Since $C$ is invertible, we may prove this by showing that any 4 columns of $M$ are linearly independent. Since PGL $\left(2, q^{3}\right)$ acts triply transitively on the points of $\operatorname{PG}\left(1, q^{3}\right)$, we may assume that the chosen columns are $m_{\infty}, m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{t},(0 \neq t \neq 1)$ i.e., the rows of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & t^{q^{2}+q+1} & t & t^{q} & t^{q^{2}} & t^{q+1} & t^{q^{2}+q} & t^{q^{2}+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Restricting to columns $1,2,3,4$ yields the submatrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & t^{q^{2}+q+1} & t & t^{q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Expanding the determinant of this matrix along the first two rows leads to

$$
-\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
t & t^{q}
\end{array}\right|=t\left(1-t^{q-1}\right)
$$

which is nonzero since $t \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $t \neq 0,1$. This shows that the 4 vectors are independent. Therefore, $H$ is the parity check matrix of a linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of length $n$, dimension $n-8$ and minimum distance at least 5 .

In order to prove the statement on the automorphism group of these codes, we let $\mathcal{D}$ be the $\left[q^{3}+1, q^{3}-7\right]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ generated by $M$. The collineation group of the projective line is $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$ and is triply transitive on the points of $\operatorname{PG}\left(1, q^{3}\right)$. We consider a linear element $\varphi_{a, b, c, d}: t \mapsto \frac{a t+c}{b t+d}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ where $a d-b c \neq 0$. Let $x=\iota_{3}(t)$ and $y=\iota_{3}\left(\varphi_{a, b, c, d}(t)\right)$. For sake

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
m_{d d d} & m_{c c c} & m_{d d c} & m_{d c d} & m_{c d d} & m_{d c c} & m_{c c d} & m_{c d c} \\
m_{b b b} & m_{a a a} & m_{b b a} & m_{b a b} & m_{a b b} & m_{b a a} & m_{a a b} & m_{a b a} \\
m_{d d b} & m_{c c a} & m_{d d a} & m_{d c b} & m_{c d b} & m_{d c a} & m_{c c b} & m_{c d a} \\
m_{d b d} & m_{c a c} & m_{d b c} & m_{d a d} & m_{c b d} & m_{d a c} & m_{c a d} & m_{c b c} \\
m_{b d d} & m_{a c c} & m_{b d c} & m_{b c d} & m_{a d d} & m_{b c c} & m_{a c d} & m_{a d c} \\
m_{d b b} & m_{c a a} & m_{d b a} & m_{d a b} & m_{c b b} & m_{d a a} & m_{c a b} & m_{c b a} \\
m_{b b d} & m_{a a c} & m_{b b c} & m_{b a d} & m_{a b d} & m_{b a c} & m_{a a d} & m_{a b c} \\
m_{b d b} & m_{a c a} & m_{b d a} & m_{b c b} & m_{a d b} & m_{b c a} & m_{a c b} & m_{a d a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Table 3: The matrix $R(a, b, c, d)$ (with $\left.m_{x y z}=x^{q^{2}} y^{q} z\right)$
of simplicity, write $A$ for $a t+c$ and $B$ for $b t+d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(y)= & \mathbf{P}\left(1,\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q^{2}+q+1}, \frac{A}{B},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q^{2}},\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q+1},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q^{2}+q},\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{q^{2}+1}\right) \\
= & \mathbf{P}\left(B^{2 q+q+1}, A^{q^{2}+q+1}, A B^{q^{2}+q}, A^{q} B^{q^{2}+1}, A^{q^{2}} B^{q+1},\right. \\
& \left.A^{q+1} B^{q^{2}}, A^{q^{2}+q} B, A^{q^{2}+1} B^{q}\right) \\
= & \mathbf{P}(x \cdot R(a, b, c, d)),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $R(a, b, c, d)$ as in Table 3. The matrix $R(a, b, c, d)$ corresponding to $\varphi_{a, b, c, d}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$ acts as automorphism of the code $\mathcal{D}$. Namely, we have

$$
R(a, b, c, d)^{\top} \cdot M \cdot X_{a, b, c, d}=M
$$

for some monomial matrix $X_{a, b, c, d} \in M_{n}\left(q^{3}\right)$. Conjugating the matrix $R(a, b, c, d)$ by the matrix $C_{\beta}$ yields

$$
U(a, b, c, d, \beta)=C_{\beta} \cdot R(a, b, c, d)^{\top} \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1}
$$

It follows from the theory of twisted tensor products that the matrix $U$ is over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For this, we refer to $[2,26.3]$, or to $[7,2.2]$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(a, b, c, d, \beta) \cdot H \cdot X_{a, b, c, d} & =C_{\beta} \cdot R(a, b, c, d) \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot C_{\beta} \cdot M \cdot X_{a, b, c, d} \\
& =C_{\beta} \cdot M=H
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $X_{a, b, c, d}$ is an automorphism of the code $\mathcal{C}$. If $q=p^{e}$, the Frobenius automorphism $\phi_{e}$ is a semilinear code automorphism. This shows that $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}\left(2, q^{3}\right)=$ $\Gamma \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ and that $\mathcal{C}$ is constacyclic.

Let us now investigate which automorphisms are monomial automorphisms. Consider the block diagonal matrix

$$
S=\operatorname{diag}(1,1, J, J)
$$

where

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Left multiplying $S$ is the same as applying $\phi_{3}$ to the matrix $M$, i.e.

$$
S^{\top} \cdot M \cdot P=\phi_{3}(M) \cdot P=M
$$

for some permutation matrix $P$. Conjugating $S^{\top}$ by the matrix $C_{\beta}$ yields

$$
V(\beta)=C_{\beta} \cdot S^{\top} \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1,1, V_{1}, V_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
V_{1}=L_{1} \cdot J^{\top} \cdot L_{1}^{-1}, \quad V_{2}=L_{2} \cdot J^{\top} \cdot L_{2}^{-1}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{1}=\delta_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{3}\left(\beta_{21}-\beta_{12}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{20}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{10}-\beta_{1}\right) \\
T_{3}\left(\beta_{31}-\beta_{22}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{12}-\beta_{30}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{20}-\beta_{11}\right) \\
T_{3}\left(\beta_{41}-\beta_{32}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{22}-\beta_{40}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{30}-\beta_{21}\right)
\end{array}\right), \\
V_{2}=\delta_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{3}\left(\beta_{231}-\beta_{132}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{22}-\beta_{220}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{110}-\beta_{11}\right) \\
T_{3}\left(\beta_{341}-\beta_{242}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{132}-\beta_{330}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{220}-\beta_{121}\right) \\
T_{3}\left(\beta_{451}-\beta_{352}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{242}-\beta_{440}\right) & T_{3}\left(\beta_{330}-\beta_{231}\right)
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\beta_{i j k}=\beta^{i q^{2}+j q+k}$ and $\beta_{j k}=\beta_{0 j k}$ and $\beta_{k}=\beta_{00 k}$. Since all matrix entries are trace or norm values, these matrices are over the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V \cdot H \cdot P & =C_{\beta} \cdot S \cdot C_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot C_{\beta} \cdot M \cdot P \\
& =C_{\beta} \cdot M=H,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P$ is the permutation matrix defined previously. Since $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$ and $\phi_{3}$ generate $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{3} \mathrm{~L}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$, this is a monomial automorphism group of the code $\mathcal{C}$.

| $q$ |  | Parameters | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $\mathcal{C}$ | $[17,8,8]_{4}$ | $[18]$, optimal, cyclic |
| 4 | $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ | $[17,9,7]_{4}$ | optimal, cyclic |
| 5 | $\mathcal{C}$ | $[26,17,6]_{5}$ | not optimal $\left(\exists[26,17,7]_{5}\right)$, constacyclic |
| 5 | $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ | $[26,9,14]_{5}$ | best known |
| 7 | $\mathcal{C}$ | $[50,41,6]_{7}$ | best known, constacyclic |
| 7 | $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ | $[50,9,34]_{7}$ | best known |
| 9 | $\mathcal{C}$ | $[82,73,6]_{9}$ | best known, constacyclic |

Table 4: The codes of length $q^{2}+1$ of Theorem 1 for small $q$

| $q$ |  | Parameter | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $\mathcal{C}$ | $[18,9,8]_{4}$ | $[18]$, optimal, formally self-dual, |
|  |  |  | not self-dual, not cyclic |
| 4 | $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ | $[18,9,8]_{4}$ | $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}=\phi_{2}(\mathcal{C})$ |
| 8 | $\mathcal{C}$ | $[66,57,6]_{8}$ | best known, not cyclic |
| 8 | $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ | $[66,9,48]_{8}$ | best known, see also: $[20]$, or $X$-construction $[11]$ |

Table 5: The codes of length $q^{2}+2$ of Theorem 1 for small $q$

Next we prove that the codes are cyclic if and only if $q$ is even. By Lemma 6, the only automorphisms which permute the elements of $\operatorname{PG}\left(1, q^{3}\right)$ cyclically (i.e., in one orbit of size $q^{3}+1$ ) are those whose associated matrix has a subprimitive characteristic polynomial. Namely, if $m(x):=x^{2}+c_{1} x+c_{0}$ is subprimitive of degree 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$, the associated cyclic collineation is $\mathcal{S}:=$ $\varphi_{0,1,-c_{0},-c_{1}}$ in $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{3}\right)$. Since $m(x)$ is subprimitive, $\mathcal{S}^{i}=c I_{2}, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{3}}$ forces $i=\operatorname{Subexp}(m)$. In order to induce a cyclic permutation automorphism of the code, we must have $m(x) \in \mathcal{R}_{1}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 7 that $\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(2, q^{3}\right) \neq \emptyset$ precisely if $q$ is even. This finishes the proof.

## 6 Examples: Theorem 1

Tables 4 and 5 summarize the codes constructed by Theorem 1 for small $q$. Note that Theorem 1 only gives a lower bound for the minimum distance, and the true minimum distance may turn out to be better than predicted
by Theorem 1. In the following, we will discuss a few of the codes in more detail.

We let the elements of the finite fields be represented by integers. If the order $q=p$ is a prime, these numbers are the usual representatives of the residue classes of $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $p$. Otherwise, if $q=p^{e}$ with $e>1$, then we fix a root $\alpha$ of an irreducible polynomial of degree $e$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Using this root, we can represent field elements as integers using the correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} a_{i} \alpha^{i} \leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} a_{i} p^{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take the coefficients $a_{i}$ to be integers between 0 and $p-1$.
To create $\mathbb{F}_{256}$, we may use the primitive polynomial $X^{8}+X^{4}+X^{3}+X^{2}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Let $\zeta$ be a root of this polynomial, so that $\zeta^{8}=\zeta^{4}+\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{2}+1$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{256}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\zeta)$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{16}^{\times}$is a subgroup of index 17 in $\mathbb{F}_{256}^{\times}$, we have that

$$
\mathbb{F}_{16}=\mathbb{F}_{2}\left(\zeta^{17}\right)=\left\{0,1, \zeta^{17}, \zeta^{34}, \ldots\right\}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\alpha)
$$

where $\alpha=\zeta^{17}$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{4} & =\zeta^{68}=\zeta^{7}+\zeta^{4}+\zeta^{3}+1 \hat{=} 153 \\
\alpha^{3} & =\zeta^{51}=\zeta^{3}+\zeta \hat{=} 10 \\
\alpha^{2} & =\zeta^{34}=\zeta^{6}+\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{2}+\zeta \hat{=} 78 \\
\alpha & =\zeta^{17}=\zeta^{7}+\zeta^{4}+\zeta^{3} \hat{=} 152
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\alpha^{4}=\alpha+1$, i.e. $\alpha$ is a root of the (primitive, irreducible) polynomial $X^{4}+X+1$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{4}^{\times}$is a subgroup of index 5 in $\mathbb{F}_{16}^{\times}$, we have that

$$
\mathbb{F}_{4}=\mathbb{F}_{2}\left(\alpha^{5}\right)=\left\{0,1, \alpha^{5}, \alpha^{10}\right\}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\eta)
$$

where $\eta=\alpha^{5}$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta^{2} & =\alpha^{10}=\alpha^{2}+\alpha+1 \hat{=} 7 \\
\eta & =\alpha^{5}=\alpha^{2}+\alpha \hat{=} 6
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\eta^{2}=\eta+1$, i.e. $\eta$ is a root of the (primitive, irreducible) polynomial $X^{2}+X+1$.

For $q=4$, length 18 , the matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 15 & 14 & 12 & 13 & 10 & 11 & 9 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 6 & 10 & 11 & 15 & 14 & 8 & 9 & 13 & 12 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 6 & 12 & 13 & 8 & 9 & 15 & 14 & 11 & 10 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 10 & 12 & 8 & 15 & 1 & 1 & 15 & 12 & 12 & 8 & 10 & 15 & 10 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 12 & 10 & 15 & 8 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 10 & 10 & 15 & 12 & 8 & 12 & 15 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Left multiplying by

$$
C_{\beta}=C_{4}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1,1,1,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
5 & 4
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
5 & 4
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
5 & 4
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

yields the check matrix

$$
H=C_{4} \cdot M=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 1 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This matrix can be rewritten over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ as

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $2 \hat{=} \eta$ and $3 \hat{=} \eta^{2}=\eta+1$. A generator matrix is

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right),
$$

This defines a $[18,9,8]_{4}$ optimal code $\mathcal{C}_{18}$, which seems to have been studied first in [18]. It is uniquely determined by its parameters. The minimum distance is larger than predicted by Theorem 1. As described in [18], the code $\mathcal{C}_{18}$ is formally self-dual but not self-dual. This means that the weight enumerator of the code equals the weight enumerator of its dual code but the two codes are not equal. The weight enumerator of $\mathcal{C}_{18}$ and of the dual code $\mathcal{C}_{18}^{\perp}$ is

$$
1+2754 z^{8}+18360 z^{10}+77112 z^{12}+110160 z^{14}+50949 z^{16}+2808 z^{18}
$$

The dual code $\mathcal{C}_{18}^{\perp}$ is again an $[18,9,8]_{4}$ code, and we have that $\mathcal{C}_{18}^{\perp}=$ $\phi_{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{18}\right) \neq \mathcal{C}_{18}$, as pointed out in [18].

The code of length 17 turns out to be a $[17,8,8]_{4}$ code $\mathcal{C}_{17}$ with generator matrix

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
1+1530 z^{8}+8160 z^{10}+25704 z^{12}+24480 z^{14}+5661 z^{16}
$$

| line $i$ | $a, b, c$ | $j$ | $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0,1,3$ | 2,8 | 12,10 |
| 2 | $0,1,6$ | 3,5 | 2,3 |
| 3 | $0,2,3$ | 6,7 | 4,5 |
| 4 | $0,2,6$ | 1,4 | 8,3 |

Table 6: The $[17,8,8]_{4}$ code $\mathcal{C}_{17}$ as cyclic code

The generator polynomial for the $[17,8,6]_{4} \mathrm{BCH}$-code from Remark 1 is $1+x^{3}+x^{4}+x^{5}+x^{6}+x^{9}$. The weight enumerator of the BCH-code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+204 z^{6}+255 z^{8}+1632 z^{9}+5508 z^{10}+8568 z^{11}+11526 z^{12}+14280 z^{13} \\
& +12648 z^{14}+7752 z^{15}+2754 z^{16}+408 z^{17}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since isometric codes have the same weight enumerator, this data shows that the code of Theorem 1 of length 17 is different from the BCH-code of Remark 1. In this case, the code of Theorem 1 is better, since it has minimum distance 8 , whereas the BCH -code only has minimum distance 6 .

The code $\mathcal{C}_{17}$ is cyclic in 4 different ways. The field $\mathbb{F}_{256}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\zeta)$ with $\zeta^{8}=\zeta^{4}+\zeta^{3}+\zeta^{2}+1$ contains the primitive 17 -th root of unity $\xi=\zeta^{15}$. The 16 -cyclotomic sets modulo 17 are $\{0\}$ and $\{j, 17-j\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, 8$. By Lemma $7, R_{1}(2,16)=\Phi(17) / 2=8$. The 8 irreducible polynomials in $\mathcal{R}_{1}(2,16)$ are $w_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 8$ with $w_{j}=\left(x-\xi^{j}\right)\left(x-\xi^{17-j}\right)=x^{2}+\gamma_{j} x+1$. The values of $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{16}$ are in order: $8,12,2,15,3,4,5,10$. Let

$$
\mathcal{S}_{j}=\varphi_{0,1,1, \gamma_{j}}: t \mapsto \frac{1}{t+\gamma_{j}}
$$

be the collineation whose associated matrix has characteristic polynomial $w_{j}(x)$. In Tab. 6, each line $i=1, \ldots, 4$ corresponds to one way in which $\mathcal{C}_{17}$ is cyclic. More precisely, each line $i$ corresponds to one permutation equivalence $\pi_{i}$ which turns $\mathcal{C}_{17}$ into a code $\mathcal{C}_{17}^{\pi_{i}}$ which is invariant under $\sigma_{17}$. The variety of the code $\mathcal{C}_{17}^{\pi_{i}}$ consists of exactly three 4 -cyclotomic sets modulo 17 , with representatives $a, b, c$ of lengths $1,4,4$, respectively. The table lists all $j$ for which $\mathcal{S}_{j}$ induces a conjugate of $\sigma_{n}$ as cyclic automorphism. In the last column, the middle coefficient $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{16}$ in $w_{j}(x)$ is listed.

We wish to work out one case in more detail. Consider the case of $w_{1}(x)=$ $x^{2}+8 x+1$ (i.e., with $\gamma:=\gamma_{1}=9$ ). In order to create the code $\mathcal{C}_{17}^{\pi_{1}}$ invariant
under $\sigma_{17}$, we may take the point $t=0$ in $\operatorname{PG}(1,16)$ and apply $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ successively to the column $h_{0}^{\top}$ in the check matrix $H$. Let $H_{\gamma}$ be the $9 \times 17$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ whose columns are $\mathcal{S}_{1}^{j} \cdot h_{0}^{\top}$ for $j=0, \ldots, 16$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{j}=R(0,1,1, \gamma, \beta)$, computing the matrix $H_{\gamma}$ involves $n$ matrix vector multiplications. In the case of $\gamma=8$ and $\beta=4$, we have (with $2=\eta$ and $3=\eta^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{4}$ )

$$
U(0,1,1,8,4)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We obtain

$$
H_{\gamma}=H_{8}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}
1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

which can be row-reduced to

$$
H_{8}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
1 & \eta & 0 & \eta & \eta & \eta & 0 & \eta & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \eta & 0 & \eta & \eta & \eta & 0 & \eta & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

from which we read off

$$
h(x)=1+\eta x+\eta x^{3}+\eta x^{4}+\eta x^{5}+\eta x^{7}+x^{8} .
$$

Computing the reverse of $\left(x^{17}-1\right) / h(x)$ yields the generator polynomial $g(x)$. The roots of $g(x)$ determine the cyclotomic sets from which the cyclic code is composed. The 4 -cyclotomic sets modulo 17 are

$$
\{0\},\{1,4,16,13\},\{2,8,15,9\},\{3,12,14,5\},\{6,7,11,10\},
$$

which give rise to the following irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{0}=x+1, \\
& m_{1}=1+\eta^{2} x+x^{2}+\eta^{2} x^{3}+x^{4} \\
& m_{2}=1+\eta x+x^{2}+\eta x^{3}+x^{4}, \\
& m_{3}=1+x+\eta x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{4} \\
& m_{6}=1+x+\eta^{2} x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that

$$
g(x)=m_{0} m_{2} m_{6}=x^{9}+\eta x^{8}+\eta^{2} x^{7}+\eta^{2} x^{6}+\eta^{2} x^{3}+\eta^{2} x^{2}+\eta x+1 .
$$

For $q=8$, we obtain a (non-cyclic) $[66,57,6]_{8}$ code. It is not known if this code is optimal (but at present no better code is known). The best known upper bound for the minimum distance in this case is $d \leq 8$. The dual is a $[66,9,48]_{8}$. We are aware of two other constructions of codes with these parameters. One is due to Maruta [20], the other is by means of the $X$-construction [22, 19], as described in [11]. We have reason to believe (but no proof!) that the three codes are all isometric. Let us explain in more detail how we come to this conjecture.

Maruta constructs his code from an orbit of length 65 of a certain projective transformation, extended by a single vector. More precisely, he considers the vectors

$$
v_{i}=T_{m}^{i} e_{0}^{\top}, i=0, \ldots, 64
$$

where $e_{0}=(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ and $v_{65}=(1,0,2,7,3,7,2,0,1)$ and $T_{m}$ is the matrix from (1) for $m(x)=x^{9}+x^{8}+2 x^{7}+5 x^{6}+4 x^{5}+4 x^{4}+5 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+$

| Code | Parameters | 8-cyclotomic sets mod 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ | $[1,1,1]_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ | $[65,57,5]_{8}$ | $\{3,24,62,41\},\{21,38,44,27\}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ | $[65,56,6]_{8}$ | $\{0\},\{3,24,62,41\},\{21,38,44,27\}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}_{3}=\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\perp}$ | $[65,8,48]_{8}$ | negatives of the complement of those of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}_{5}=\mathcal{C}_{4}^{\perp}$ | $[65,9,47]_{8}$ | negatives of the complement of those of $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ |

Table 7: The codes for construction X
$x+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{8}[x]$. Here, the coefficient $i \alpha^{2}+j \alpha+k \in \mathbb{F}_{8}$ is represented by the integer $4 i+2 j+k$ and $\alpha^{3}=\alpha+1$. Maruta defines his code by means of the matrix whose columns are the $v_{i}^{\top}, i=0, \ldots, 65$.

The $X$-construction is applied to cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{3}$, which are duals of (cyclic) codes $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, respectively. Recall that $V(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the variety of a code, which is the set of roots. Since $V\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right) \subseteq V\left(\mathcal{C}_{4}\right)$, the code $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ is a subcode of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{3}=\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\perp}$ is a subcode of $\mathcal{C}_{5}=\mathcal{C}_{4}^{\perp}$ and construction $X$ applies. Using an auxiliary $[1,1,1]_{8}$ code $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, a $[66,9,48]_{8}$ code is constructed (cf. Tab. 7). The generator polynomial $g_{i}(x)$ for $\mathcal{C}_{i} i=2,4$ is $g_{2}(x)=$ $x^{8}+6 x^{6}+5 x^{5}+7 x^{4}+5 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+1$ and $g_{4}(x)=x^{9}+x^{8}+6 x^{7}+3 x^{6}+2 x^{5}+$ $2 x^{4}+3 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+x+1$. The 8 -cyclotomic sets are expressed with respect to the 65 -th root of unity $\beta^{63}$ where $\beta$ is a root of the primitive polynomial $x^{12}+x^{6}+x^{4}+x+1$ and $\alpha=\beta^{585}$.

It turns out that all three codes have the same weight enumerator, the coefficients of which are listed in Tab. 8. This is why we conjecture that all three codes are in fact the same (up to isometry, of course).

Theorem 1 also yields a cyclic $[65,56,6]_{8}$ code $\mathcal{C}$. The code $\mathcal{C}$ is cyclic in 12 different ways. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{4096}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\zeta)$, with $\zeta^{12}=\zeta^{6}+\zeta^{4}+\zeta+1$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{64}=\mathbb{F}_{2}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha=\zeta^{65}$ satisfies $\alpha^{6}=\alpha^{5}+1$. By Lemma $7, R_{1}(2,64)=\Phi(65) / 2=\Phi(5) \Phi(13) / 2=4 \cdot 12 / 2=24$. Let $\xi=\zeta^{63}$ be a primitive 65 -th root of unity. Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{24}$ be the integers between 1 and $65 / 2$ that are prime to 65 as displayed Tab. 9. The pair $\left\{r_{j}, 65-r_{j}\right\}$ forms a 64 -cyclotomic set modulo 65 and hence $w_{j}(x)=(x-$ $\left.\xi^{r_{j}}\right)\left(x-\xi^{65-r_{j}}\right)=x^{2}+\gamma_{j} x+1$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{64}$. In fact, $\mathcal{R}_{1}(2,64)=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{24}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{j}=\varphi_{0,1,1, \gamma_{j}}$ be the collineation whose associated matrix has characteristic polynomial $w_{j}(x)$. In Tab. 10,

| $i$ | $w_{i}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1 |
| 48 | 420420 |
| 50 | 524160 |
| 52 | 4586400 |
| 54 | 13759200 |
| 56 | 34179600 |
| 58 | 32104800 |
| 60 | 35773920 |
| 62 | 11924640 |
| 64 | 522795 |
| 66 | 421792 |

Table 8: The non-zero coefficients $w_{i}$ of the weight enumerator of the $[66,9,48]_{8}$ code constructed by Theorem 1

| $j$ | $r_{j}$ | $\gamma_{j}$ | $j$ | $r_{j}$ | $\gamma_{j}$ | $j$ | $r_{j}$ | $\gamma_{j}$ | $j$ | $r_{j}$ | $\gamma_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 17 | 60 | 19 | 24 | 59 |
| 2 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 27 | 20 | 27 | 21 |
| 3 | 3 | 31 | 9 | 11 | 54 | 15 | 19 | 7 | 21 | 28 | 38 |
| 4 | 4 | 55 | 10 | 12 | 34 | 16 | 21 | 49 | 22 | 29 | 3 |
| 5 | 6 | 19 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 23 | 31 | 41 |
| 6 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 48 | 18 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 32 | 24 |

Table 9: The polynomials $w_{j}(x)=x^{2}+\gamma_{j} x+1$ in $\mathcal{R}_{1}(2,64)$

| line $i$ | $a, b, c$ | $j$ | $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0,1,7$ | 4,24 | 55,24 |
| 2 | $0,1,28$ | 11,14 | 17,27 |
| 3 | $0,2,7$ | 21,22 | 38,3 |
| 4 | $0,2,14$ | 1,7 | 6,13 |
| 5 | $0,3,19$ | 9,18 | 54,25 |
| 6 | $0,3,21$ | 10,23 | 34,41 |
| 7 | $0,4,14$ | 6,8 | 5,43 |
| 8 | $0,4,28$ | 2,12 | 20,48 |
| 9 | $0,6,11$ | 3,19 | 31,21 |
| 10 | $0,6,21$ | 15,17 | 7,12 |
| 11 | $0,11,12$ | 16,20 | 49,21 |
| 12 | $0,12,19$ | 5,13 | 19,60 |

Table 10: The $[65,56,6]_{8}$ code as cyclic code
each line $i$ corresponds to one way in which $\mathcal{C}$ is cyclic. More precisely, each line $i$ corresponds to one permutation equivalence $\pi_{i}$ which turns $\mathcal{C}$ into a code $\mathcal{C}^{\pi_{i}}$ which is invariant under $\sigma_{n}$. The variety of the code $\mathcal{C}^{\pi_{i}}$ consists of exactly three 4 -cyclotomic sets modulo 65 , with representatives $a, b, c$ of lengths $1,4,4$, respectively. The table lists all $j$ for which $\mathcal{S}_{j}$ induces a conjugate of $\sigma_{n}$ as cyclic automorphism. In the last column, the middle coefficient $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ in $w_{j}(x)$ is listed.

## 7 Examples: Theorem 2

A few small examples of codes constructed by Theorem 2 are listed in Tab. 11. The references given in the comments refer to previous constructions of codes with the same parameter set. It does not imply that the codes are isometric.

At the time this paper was first submitted, the $[126,118,5]_{5}$ code seemed to be new. Brouwer's table [6] indicated that only a $[126,118,4]_{5}$ code was known. At the time of editing the paper according to the reviewers remarks, Brouwer's tables were dysfunctional and a new table [11] was put in place. During the final revisions of this paper, the new table indicated that a $[126,118,5]_{5}$ code can be obtained by means of the $X X$-construction of [1]. Let us present this construction, which is likely due to Grassl. A special

| $q$ |  | parameter | comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | code | $[28,20,6]_{3}$ | $[17]$, optimal, constacyclic |
| 3 | dual code | $[28,8,15]_{3}$ | optimal |
| 4 | code | $[65,57,5]_{4}$ | $[9]$, optimal, cyclic |
| 4 | dual code | $[65,8,44]_{4}$ | $[12]$, optimal, cyclic |
| 5 | code | $[126,118,5]_{5}$ | optimal, new, constacyclic |
| 5 | dual code | $[126,8,95]_{5}$ | optimal |
| 7 | code | $[344,336,5]_{7}$ | constacyclic |
| 7 | dual code | $[344,8,287]_{7}$ |  |

Table 11: The codes of Theorem 2 for small $q$

| code | parameters | 5-cyclotomic sets mod 124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ | $[124,118,4]_{5}$ | $\{38,66,82\},\{89,73,117\}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ | $[124,117,4]_{5}$ | $\{0\},\{38,66,82\},\{89,73,117\}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ | $[124,117,4]_{5}$ | $\{31\},\{38,66,82\},\{89,73,117\}$ |
| $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}$ | $[124,116,5]_{5}$ | $\{0\},\{31\},\{38,66,82\},\{89,73,117\}$ |

Table 12: The codes for Alltop's construction $X X$
case of Alltop's construction applies to an $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ with subcodes $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ $\left[n, k-1, d_{i}\right]_{q}(i=1,2)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}$ has minimum distance at least $D$. It produces a code $\mathcal{C}$ with parameters

$$
\left[n+2, k, \min \left\{D, d_{1}+1, d_{2}+1, d+2\right\}\right]_{q} .
$$

We choose $\mathcal{C}_{0}, \mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ cyclic as in Tab. 12 and obtain an $[126,118,5]_{5}$ code $\mathcal{C}$. To verify the statements about the minimum distance, we apply the bounding technique of van Lint / Wilson [24]. This technique applies to a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$, and defines a collection $U_{S}$ of subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ (that is, $U_{S}$ is a subset of the power set of $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}\right)$. The collection $U_{S}$ is defined as follows:
(i) $\emptyset \in U_{S}$,
(ii) $A \in U_{S}, A \subseteq S$, and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \backslash S$ implies $A \cup\{b\} \in U_{S}$,
(iii) $A \in U_{S}, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{\times}$implies $c A=\{c a \mid a \in A\} \in U_{S}$.

If $S=V(\mathcal{C})$ is chosen to be the variety of a cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ and $m$ the order of $q$ modulo $n$, then van Lint and Wilson prove that the minimum distance

| line $i$ | $a$ | $b$ | $j$ | $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13 | 1 | $5,19,23$ | $19,59,41$ |
| 2 | 13 | 6 | $8,11,22$ | $43,17,3$ |
| 3 | 13 | 9 | $9,15,16$ | $54,7,49$ |
| 4 | 13 | 11 | $1,4,12$ | $6,55,48$ |
| 5 | 26 | 2 | $3,10,13$ | $31,34,60$ |
| 6 | 26 | 3 | $6,14,21$ | $5,27,38$ |
| 7 | 26 | 7 | $17,18,20$ | $12,25,21$ |
| 8 | 26 | 22 | $2,7,24$ | $20,13,24$ |

Table 13: The $[65,57,5]_{4}$ code as cyclic code
of $\mathcal{C}$ is bounded from below by the size of the largest member of $U_{S}$ (those who read German might consult [16] for a nice discussion of the technique with examples). Let us represent 124 -th roots of unity by their exponent with respect to a primitive 124 -th root of unity $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{125}$. Let us write $a_{i}$ for the mapping $A \mapsto A \cup\left\{\alpha^{i}\right\}$ ( $a$ for add) and let us write $s_{i}$ for the mapping $A \mapsto \alpha^{i} \cdot A$ ( $s$ for shift). Note further that $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}$ is another cyclic code. If $g_{i}(x)$ denotes the generator polynomial of $\mathcal{C}_{i}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}$ has generator polynomial $\operatorname{lcm}\left(g_{1}(x), g_{2}(x)\right)$ and its variety is the union of the varieties of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{1,38,66,89\} & =a_{1} s_{-51} a_{16} s_{-28} a_{21} s_{-1} a_{118}(\emptyset) \in U_{V\left(\mathcal{C}_{i}\right)}, \quad i=0,1,2, \\
\{1,66,82,89,117\} & =a_{1} s_{51} a_{15} s_{38} a_{28} s_{-7} a_{7} s_{-1} a_{1}(\emptyset) \in U_{V\left(\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

show that $\mathcal{C}_{0}, \mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ have distance at least 4 and that $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}$ has distance $D \geq 5$. Thus, a $[126,118,5]_{5}$ code is constructed.

The $[65,57,5]_{4}$ code $\mathcal{C}$ is cyclic in 8 different ways. Let $\mathcal{R}_{1}(2,64)=$ $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{24}\right\}$ as in Tab. 9. In Tab. 13, each line $i$ corresponds to one way in which $\mathcal{C}$ is cyclic. More precisely, each line $i$ corresponds to one permutation equivalence $\pi_{i}$ which turns $\mathcal{C}$ into a code $\mathcal{C}^{\pi_{i}}$ which is invariant under $\sigma_{n}$. The variety of the code $\mathcal{C}^{\pi_{i}}$ consists of exactly two 4 -cyclotomic sets modulo 65 , with representatives $a$ and $b$ of lengths 2 and 6 , respectively. The table lists all $j$ for which $\mathcal{S}_{j}$ induces a conjugate of $\sigma_{n}$ as cyclic automorphism. In the last column, the middle coefficient $\gamma_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ in $w_{j}(x)$ is listed.
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